## **Journal of Plant Production**

Journal homepage: <u>www.jpp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Effect of some Stimulants on Productivity of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under High Temperature Stress Conditions

## EL-Afifi, S. T. M.<sup>1</sup>; S. M. Farid<sup>2</sup> and Refka S. A. Mansour<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vegetables & Floriculture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt.



<sup>2</sup> Self Pollinated Vegetable Crops Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

## ABSTRACT



Two filed experiment were conducted at El- Baramoon Experimental Farm during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons to study effect of sowing dates and some growth stimulants on growth, yield and its component and seed quality of pea. The experiment was laid-out in a split-plot design with three replications. Sowing on 1st week of Sept. recorded the best results of plant height, number of leaves, fresh and dry weights of plant, N, P and K contents in leaves, pods number/plant, yield per plant and feddan than. Treated pea plants with NPK at 50 % of RR + humic acid + magnetic iron recorded highest values of plant height, number of leaves, total fresh and dry weights/plant, yield per plant fed in the both seasons and 100-seed weight in 2nd season. The N, P and K % in leaves were obtained with NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid + proline. Sowing on 1st week of Sept. and fertilizing with NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid + magnetic iron increased plant height, number of leaves, total fresh and dry weights/plant, yield per plant fed in both seasons and 100 seed weight in the 2nd season. While, sowing on 1st week of Feb. and spraying with proline amino increased proline content and oxides enzyme activity. Meanwhile, sowing on 1st week of Sept. and fertilizing with NPK at 50 % RR+ humic acid + proline gave the highest values of N, K and lowest values of proline content and oxides enzyme.

Keywords: Pea, Sowing dates, stimulants, foliar spraying, growth, productivity.

## INTRODUCTION

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is a member of the *Fabaceae* family and is regarded one of the most significant legume crops for local consumption and export as a common vegetable crop in Egypt. Because of its elevated protein content, ascorbic acid, carbohydrates, balanced amino acid structure and excellent digestibility, this crop is commonly used as a source of protein in human diets. In general, this crop gives high yield and ensures high profits, especially when cultivated for green pods.

Sowing date is one of the significant variables affecting productivity by increasing the timing and length of the vegetative and reproductive phases, as environmental variables such as temperature and light length vary with different sowing dates. Individual environmental components such as light and temperature have immediate impacts on procedures of physiology such as photosynthesis and breathing. It is therefore very essential to determine the optimum seeding date for pea which achieves the optimum boundaries for these variables in order to obtain the highest returns, (Mahmoud, 2008). Pea cultivation is widespread in areas having a mild and warm climate, because relatively high or low temperatures are the most important factors limiting pea cultivation. A dry climate is also unfit for the plant, especially during the growth of flowering and pods. The cumulative mean floral initiation temperature conditions varied and this information could be used to determine the sowing dates (Bozoglu et al., 2007). Several workers found that early planting of pea significantly increased growth, yield and quality more than late planting (Tiwari et al., 2014; Waheed et al., 2015 and Sirwaiya and Kushwah, 2018).

Under Egyptian situation, there is a good need for further research to minimize the quantity of chemical NPK fertilizers in order to improve quality of vegetable crops and restrict environmental pollution. Many researchers indicated that increasing N, P and K fertilizers stimulated all morphological characteristics. In this connection, (Helmy, 2013; Lalito *et al.*, 2018 and Al-Bayati1 *et al.*, 2019) increasing N, P and K fertilizers gave the highest values of vegetative growth and yield of pea.

Humic substances can affect both respiration and photosynthesis (Nardi *et al.*, 2002). Treated pea plants with humic acid recorded the best results for enhancing growth, yield and quality (Khan *et al.* 2012 and Ramadan and Mansour 2019).

Magnetite (magnetic iron) is one of the most important factors affecting plant growth and yield and its components. Helmy (2013) showed that treated xspea plants with magnetic iron at 150 kg/fed improved yield and its components, *i.e.* pod length and diameter, number of seeds per pod, weight of 100 seeds, green pods yield per plant and per fed compared with untreated plants.

Royal jelly (RJ) is the Queen Honey Bee's exclusive food of (*Apis millifera*) larva (Viuda-Martos *et al.* 2008). RJ is mixed with saliva, hormones and vitamins from pollen, water and honey. It includes 65.3% water and 34.7% dry residue. The later is made up of proteins (48.2%), carbohydrates (37.8%), lipids (10.4%) and ash (2%). It also contains B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>, B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>8</sub>, B<sub>9</sub> and C vitamins. It is also wealthy in minerals, in particular potassium, magnesium, calcium, iron, phosphorus, sulphur, manganese and silicon (El-Shaikh, 2010). Nassef and El-Aref (2016) on cucumber, reported that the highest number of fruits/plant, fruit length and diameter and total yield/fed were recorded with foliar application by Royal jelly at the rate of 2.4 g/L.

It is known that salicylic acid (SA) or ortho-hydroxy benzoic acid and other salicylates effect multiple plant

### EL-Afifi, S. T. M. et al.

physiological and biochemical operations and can play a main part in controlling their development and productivity (Hayat *et al.*, 2010). In recent years, numerous studies have indicated that spraying salicylic acid increased plant growth and total yield of pea plants (El-Saadony *et al.*, 2017 and Thomson *et al.*, 2017). Proline is the most important amino acids that accumulate in various tissues of the plant as a first physiological reaction when they are exposed to water stress. It is reported that proline has significant function in stabilizing osmotic effects by balancing of ion concentrations such as Na, K, Mg, and Ca in strengthening the cell wall and in other enzymatic actions (Iba, 2002). Gouda *et al.* (2015) on potato and El-Saadony *et al.* (2017) on pea, found that spraying plants with proline at 100 ppm gave the best growth and yield than unsprayed plants.

Therefore, the present study was planned to evaluate the suitable planting date and best stimulants under various levels of mineral fertilizers to obtain the high plant growth and maximum yield with best quality of pea plants under the environmental conditions of Dakhalia Governorate, Egypt.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two filed experiments were conducted at El-Baramoon Experimental Farm, Dakhalia Governorate, Egypt, during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons to study the effect of sowing dates and some growth stimulants on growth, yield and its component and seed quality of green pods and quality of pea Master B cultivar.

both seasons of the experimental soil.

Soil analysis	1° season	2 <sup>m</sup> season							
Soli analysis	(2016/2017)								
Physical pro	Physical properties								
Sand (%)	12.21	13.90							
Silt (%)	37.58	35.9							
Clay (%)	44.74	45.20							
Texture	Silty clay loam	Silty clay loam							
Chemical pr	operties								
Soil reaction (pH) in 2.5 soil suspension	8.0	8.0							
$EC (dSm^{-1})$	1.31	1.17							
OM (%)	1.59	1.72							
Available N (ppm)	38.0	37.0							
Available P (ppm)	8.3	9.2							
Exchangeable K (ppm)	451	462							

<sup>\*</sup>Soil and Water Analysis Institute, Mansoura Lab., Agricultural Research Center (ARC).

Table 2. The local meteorological data (Tempurature "C<sup>o</sup>" and Relative Humidity "RH %") during 2016, 2017 and 2018 prevailing at El-Mansoura region.

		2016 season				2017 season				2018 season			
Months	Tem	Temp (C <sup>o</sup> )		RH (%)		Temp (C <sup>o</sup> )		RH (%)		Temp (C <sup>o</sup> )		<b>RH</b> (%)	
	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	
Jan.					18.9	13.6	89.4	65.4	18.9	13.6	89.4	64.4	
Feb.					21.6	14.6	87.6	63.4	21.6	14.6	87.6	63.4	
Mar.					25.4	16.6	82.3	48.3	25.	16.6	82.3	48.3	
April					27.8	20.	80.9	43.9	27.8	20	80.9	43.9	
May					31.2	23.8	75.6	43.9	31.2	23.8	75.6	43.9	
Sep.	32.6	24.3	83.1	51.8	32.8	23.5	83.1	48.3					
Oct.	29.8	21.7	82.4	55.3	28.7	24	81.0	54.7					
Nov.	24.9	17.9	77.9	56.8	23.7	19.9	84.7	58.6					
Dec.	19.3	10.8	85.4	65.1	21.5	18.4	88.2	64.8					

The field experiment was laid-out in a split-plot design with three replications. The experiment included sixty treatments comprising, two sowing dates and thirty stimulants treatments.

The main-plots were assigned to two sowing dates (first week of September and February) in each season.

The sub-plots were allocated with the following thirty stimulants treatments:

- 1. NPK at 50 % of the recommended rate (RR).
- 2. Humic acid (10 kg/fed.).
- 3. Magnetic iron (100 kg/fed.).
- 4. NPK at 50 % RR + magnetic iron.
- 5. NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid.
- 6. Humic acid+ magnetic iron
- 7. NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid+ Magnetic iron.
- 8. Royal gel ( 5000 ppm).
- 9. Salicylic acid (70 ppm).
- 10. Proline amino acid (25 ppm).
- 11. NPK at 50 % RR + royal gel.
- 12. NPK at 50 % RR + salicylic acid.
- 13. NPK at 50 % RR + proline.
- 14. Magnetic iron + royal gel.
- 15. Magnetic iron + salicylic acid.
- 16. Magnetic iron + proline.
- 17. Humic acid + royal gel.
- 18. Humic acid + salicylic acid.
- 19. Humic acid + proline.

- 20. NPK at 50 % RR + magnetic iron + proline.
- 21. NPK at 50 % RR+ magnetic iron + salicylic acid.

22. NPK at 50 % RR+ magnetic iron + royal gel.

- 23. Magnetic iron + humic acid + salicylic acid.
- 24. Magnetic iron + humic acid + proline.
- 25. Magnetic iron + humic acid + royal gel.
- 26. NPK at 50 % RR+ humic acid + royal gel.
- 27. NPK at 50 % RR+ humic acid + salicylic acid.
- 28. NPK at 50 % RR+ humic acid + proline.
- 29. Humic acid RR+ salicylic acid + royal gel.
- 30. NPK at 100 % RR (control treatment).
- The plants which fertilized with NPK as follows; 100 % recommended doses equal; 200 kg ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N)/fad, 200 kg calcium superphosphate (15.5 %  $P_2O_5$ )/fad and 100 kg potassium sulphate (48 %  $K_2O$ )/fed One third of NPK doses were added at soil preparation. The other two thirds were added after 30 and 60 days after sowing.

Humic acid and Magnetic iron were added at soil preparation. The plants were sprayed with Royal gel, Salicylic acid and proline amino acid, three times at 21, 36 and 51 days after sowing. The foliar treatments were sprayed by hand sprayer (for experimental plots) until saturation point.

Before sowing, seeds of pea Master B cultivar were successively washed and inoculated with root nodule bacteria (*Rhizobium leguminosarum*). The adhesive agent used was Arabic gum 20%. The inoculated seeds were left in a shaded place for one hour before sowing for air- drying. The seeds were sown in hills (two seeds/hill) at 10 cm apart on both sides of the ridge. Plot area was  $12 \text{ m}^2$ , it contains four ridges (5 m length and 0.6 m width).

#### Data recorded:

Samples of ten plants from each experimental unit were randomly taken at 55 days after sowing, and the following data were recorded. Plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, fresh weight (g/plant) and dry weight (g/plant).

#### 2- Chemical analyses:

- Proline content was determined in dry leaves after 55 days from sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season only according to the method described by Bates (1973).
- Oxides enzyme activity (mg/g FW/1hour). It was determined in leaves at 55 days after sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season only according to (Loukili *et al.*, 1999).
- Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in leaves at 55 days after sowing in both seasons according to the methods described by Bremner and Mulvaney (1982), Olsen and Sommers (1982) and Jackson (1970), respectively.

#### **3-** Yield and its components:

Green pods of each sub-plot were harvested at maturity stage, counted and weighed in each harvest and the following parameters were determined; average number of pods/plant,seed index(100-seed weight) (g), individual plant yield and total yield/fed.

#### Statistical analysis:

All obtained data were statistically analyzed according to the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the split-plot design as published by Gomez and Gomez (1984) by using "MSTAT-C" computer software package. Means of treatments were compared using Duncan's multiple range tests at 5 % level of probability as described by Duncan (1955).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Plant Growth: 1. Effect of sowing dates:

There were significant differences between the two sowing dates (1st week of September and February) of pea cv. Master B regarding plant height, number of leaves, total fresh weight and total dry weight / plant of pea in both seasons (Table 3). Sowing pea on 1st week of Sept. gave higher values of vegetative growth characters than sowing on 1st week of Feb. in both seasons. The increases in total dry weight / plant were about 38.30 and 36.57 % for sowing pea on the 1st week of Sept. over than sowing on the 1st week of Feb. in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. The higher plant growth of pea sown in September as compared with the February could be attributed to favourable climatic conditions in general and temperature in particular (Thongam et al. 2017).

Table 3. Effect of sowing dates and some stimulant treatments on plant height, number of leaves/plant, fresh weight and dry weight of pea plant during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

Characters	aracters Plant height (cm)		Number of leaves/plant		Total fres	h weight/plant	Total dry weight/plant	
Treatments	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018
			Effect	t of sowing da	ite			
1 <sup>st</sup> Sept	44.66 a	49.91 a	28.09 a	29.59 a	18.57 a	19.68 a	6.21 a	6.61 a
1 <sup>st</sup> Feb.	35.13 b	39.37 b	23.78 b	25.27 b	15.76 b	17.11 b	4.49 b	4.84 b
			Effec	t of stimulan	ts			
$T_1$	34.95 i	36.54 r	25.96 gh	21.62 n	15.41m	17.31 jk	5.05 gi	5.33 fg
$T_2$	38.58 f	43.49 m	27.53 ce	28.44dg	18.36 ce	18.96dg	5.70 be	5.90 be
T3	44.58 cd	45.51 jl	27.86 be	26.44 ik	17.63 hi	18.57 fh	5.51 de	5.77 bf
$T_4$	41.82 e	45.79 ik	27.96 bd	28.62 cf	18.64 bc	19.08 bf	5.81 bd	5.95 be
T5	44.18 d	47.56 df	27.25 de	27.77 fh	18.16 dg	18.51 fh	5.67 be	5.74 bf
T <sub>6</sub>	39.33 f	45.59 jk	23.92 ik	25.89 k	15.95 1	17.26 jk	4.96 gi	5.37 fg
T <sub>7</sub>	51.92 a	52.26 a	31.29 a	32.19 a	20.86 a	21.46 a	6.48 a	6.66 a
T8	35.66 gi	38.04 q	22.58 lm	22.95 m	13.72 o	14.63 m	4.18 k	4.53 i
T9	35.24 hi	36.69 r	23.12 km	24.30 1	15.41 m	15.87 1	5.06 gi	5.05 gh
T <sub>10</sub>	24.25 k	27.34 s	22.17 m	22.90 m	14.78 n	15.27 lm	4.61 j	4.76 hi
T11	41.35 e	49.67 b	26.85 dg	27.79 fh	17.90 fh	18.52 fh	5.57 ce	5.76 bf
T <sub>12</sub>	41.89 e	46.87 fh	27.16 df	28.56 cf	18.11 eg	19.04 cf	5.66 be	5.94 be
T <sub>13</sub>	36.65 gh	43.00 mn	24.03 ik	25.64 k	16.02 Ī	17.09 k	5.00 gi	5.33 fg
T <sub>14</sub>	36.45 gh	48.24 cd	24.24 ik	29.52 bc	16.16 kl	19.68 bd	5.04 gi	6.12 bc
T15	41.34 e	50.03 b	28.98 b	28.24 eg	18.83 b	19.32 be	5.86 bc	6.00 bd
T <sub>16</sub>	32.78 ј	42.74 mn	24.20 ik	25.61 k	16.13 1	17.08 k	5.02 gi	5.32 fg
T <sub>17</sub>	42.08 e	47.96 ce	24.42 ij	26.30 jk	16.28 jl	17.53 ik	5.10 gh	5.46 eg
T <sub>18</sub>	38.69 f	44.92 kl	27.90 bd	28.66 bf	18.60 bd	19.11 bf	5.77 bd	5.96 be
T19	47.81 b	44.651	27.89 bd	29.33 bd	17.51 hi	19.56 bd	5.81 bd	6.08 bd
T <sub>20</sub>	45.72 c	41.06 p	27.34 ce	29.10 be	18.22 cg	19.40 bd	5.69 be	6.03 bd
T <sub>21</sub>	38.47 f	48.62 c	23.91 ik	27.45 gi	15.94 1	18.30 gh	4.96 gi	5.71 bf
T <sub>22</sub>	41.56 e	49.60 b	26.68 eg	29.34 bd	17.78 gi	19.56 bd	5.53 de	6.08 bd
T <sub>23</sub>	35.60 gi	41.73 op	23.37 jl	26.96 hj	16.58 jk	17.97 hj	4.86 hj	5.60 df
T <sub>24</sub>	36.09 gi	46.14 hj	24.96 hi	29.55 bc	17.64 hi	19.70 bc	5.19 fg	6.14 b
T <sub>25</sub>	42.24 e	42.28 no	24.91 hi	27.65 fh	16.61 j	18.43 fh	5.17 fg	5.76 bf
T <sub>26</sub>	36.89 g	47.84 ce	23.09 km	29.50 bc	15.39 m	19.66 bd	4.79 ij	6.11 bc
T <sub>27</sub>	45.43 cd	47.14 eg	26.05 fh	27.11 hj	17.37 i	18.07 hi	5.41 ef	5.63 cf
T <sub>28</sub>	38.58 f	43.44 m	26.70 eg	27.81 fh	17.80 gi	18.54 fh	5.55 de	5.80 bf
T29	41.82 e	46.48 gi	27.43 ce	27.97 fh	18.29 cf	18.64 eh	5.67 be	5.77 bf
T <sub>30</sub>	44.82 cd	47.99 ce	28.46 bc	29.68 b	18.97 b	19.78 b	5.90 b	6.15 b
T1: 50 % NPK of th	ne recommended	T <sub>7</sub> =T <sub>1</sub> +	$T_2+T_3$	$T_{13} = T_1$	+T <sub>10</sub>	$T_{19} = \overline{T_2 + T_{10}}$	$T_{25} = T_3 + T_2 + T_3 + T$	T <sub>8</sub>
T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid		T <sub>8</sub> = Ro	yal gel	$T_{14} = T_{3}$	,+T <sub>8</sub> ΄	$T_{20} = T_1 + T_3 + T_{10}$	$T_{26} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_4 + T_5 + T$	+ <b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>
T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron		T9= Sal	icylic acid	$T_{15} = T_3$	,+Τ9 '	$T_{21} = T_1 + T_3 + T_9$	$T_{27} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T$	⊦T9
$T_4 = T1 + T3$		$T_{10} = Pr$	oline amino acid	$T_{16} = T_{2}$	+T <sub>10</sub> '	$T_{22} = T_1 + T_3 + T_8$	$T_{28} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T$	+T <sub>10</sub>
$T_5 = T1 + T_2$		$T_{11} = T_1$	+T <sub>8</sub>	$T_{17} = T_2$	2+T <sub>8</sub>	$T_{23} = T_3 + T_2 + T_9$	$T_{29} = T_2 + T_9 +$	-T <sub>8</sub>
$T_{6=}T2+T3$		$T_{12} = T_1$	+T9	$T_{18} = T_2$	2+T9 '	$T_{24} = T_3 + T_2 + T_{10}$	T <sub>30</sub> = 100 %	NPK

Such increases in the studied morphological character during the early sowing period may be due to the appropriate and common metrological variables specific to temperature and relative humidity (Table 2), which have a positive effect on plant growth. Also, the appropriate prevailing temperature resulting in an rise in the rate of photosynthetic assimilation and an increase in the length of the plant growth cycle. Such findings can be due to the appropriate temperature during germination and vegetative development, which led to increase in growth rate of plants and resulting in photosynthetic assimilation rate rising (Abou El-Yazied, 2011). These results are harmony with those reported by Sharma et al. 2014 and Waheed et al. (2015) on pea.

#### 2. Effect of stimulants:

The obtained results in Table 3 show that fertilizing pea plants with NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid + magnetic iron  $(T_7)$  increased plant height, number of leaves ,total fresh weight/ plant and total dry weight/ plant, followed by fertilizing with humic acid+ spraying with proline ( $T_{14}$ ) or  $(T_{19})$  in both seasons, while sprayed with proline  $(T_{10})$ decreased the above mentioned traits in both seasons. The increases in total dry weight / plant due to T7 were about 9.83 and 8.29% over the plants which fertilized with NPK at 100 % RR (  $T_{30}$ ) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

These results were in agreement with those obtained by Al-Bayati et al. (2019) for NPK, Ramadan and Mansour (2019) for humic acid and Helmy (2013) for magnetic iron. 3. Effect of the interaction:

The interaction between sowing date and some stimulant treatments had significant effect on all pea growth characters in both seasons (Tables 4 and 5). The interaction between first sowing date (1st week of Sept.) and T7 ( NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid + magnetic iron ). (T<sub>7</sub>) increased plant height, number of leaves, total fresh weight/ plant and total dry weight/ plant in the both seasons followed by the interaction between sowing date on the 1st week of Sept. and  $T_{17}$  (humic acid + spraying with proline) in the 2016/2017 season and sowing at 1st week of Sept. and T14 (magnetic iron + spraying with Royl gel) in the 2017/2018 season.

The increases in total dry weight / plant were 41.84 and 41.33 % due to the interaction between sowing pea plants on the  $1^{st}$  week of Sept. and treated with  $T_7$  over the interaction between sowing pea plants on Feb. and fertilizing with  $T_{30}$  in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

Table 4	4. Effect	of the	e interaction	between	sowing	dates a	ind some	e stimulant	treatments	s on plant	t height,	, number	0
	leaves/	plant,	fresh weight	and dry	weight o	f pea p	lant duri	ng the first	season (201	6/2017).			

Plan		Plant height (cm)		Number of leaves/plant		weight/plant	Total dry weight/plant	
Characters	1st week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1st week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1st week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1st week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of
1 reatments	Sept.	Feb.	Sept.	Feb.	Sept.	Feb.	Sept.	Feb.
T <sub>1</sub>	40.61 jm	29.29 xz	28.37fh	23.55 tx	16.47 qv	14.36/ab	6.00 gj	4.10 ya
$T_2$	41.38 hk	35.79 qr	28.69 eg	26.38 io	19.13đf	17.59 jn	6.38 eg	5.03 pr
T <sub>3</sub>	54.50 a	34.67 rs	29.81 bf	25.91jp	20.13 bc	15.13 yz	6.71 be	4.32 vz
$T_4$	47.41cd	36.24 or	30.60 bc	25.32ks	20.40 b	16.88 or	6.80 bd	4.82 qu
T <sub>5</sub>	50.05 b	38.32 no	30.42 bd	24.08rv	20.28 b	16.05 tx	6.76 be	4.59 sw
$T_6$	43.25 fh	35.42 r	25.45 ks	22.40wy	16.97 nr	14.93 ya	5.66 jm	4.27 wz
T <sub>7</sub>	53.54 a	50.31 b	32.47 a	30.12 be	21.65 a	20.08 bc	7.22 a	5.74 im
T <sub>8</sub>	40.55 jm	30.78 uy	24.86mu	20.30 -ab	15.91 ux	11.53 /e	5.00 ps	3.37 /b
T9	41.65 gj	28.83 yz	24.70 ou	21.54 ya	16.47 qv	14.36 /ab	5.36 mp	4.76 qu
T <sub>10</sub>	27.88 z	20.63 -a	24.62 pu	19.73-ь	16.41 rw	13.15 -d	5.47 lo	3.76/ab
T <sub>11</sub>	44.88 ef	37.82 nq	28.96cg	24.75nu	19.31 de	16.50 qu	6.44 cf	4.71 rv
T <sub>12</sub>	47.85 c	35.94 pr	30.47 bd	23.86rx	20.31 b	15.91 ux	6.77 be	4.55 tw
T <sub>13</sub>	43.50 fg	29.80 wz	26.44 in	21.62 ya	17.63 jm	14.41 /ab	5.88 hl	4.12 xa
T <sub>14</sub>	42.19 gj	30.72 uy	26.86 hk	21.62ya	17.91 ij	14.41 -ab	5.97 gj	4.12 xa
T <sub>15</sub>	50.05 b	32.64 su	30.42 bd	27.55 gj	20.53 b	17.13 lp	6.84 ac	4.89 qt
T <sub>16</sub>	34.23 rt	31.34 ux	25.83 jq	22.57vy	17.22 kp	15.05 yz	5.74 im	4.30 vz
T <sub>17</sub>	44.70 ef	39.47 kn	28.26 fh	20.59zb	18.84eg	13.73 /cd	6.28fh	3.92 za
T <sub>18</sub>	42.58 gj	34.81 r	28.87 d	26.94hk	19.25de	17.96 hj	6.42 df	5.13 nq
T <sub>19</sub>	53.54 a	42.08gj	31.12 ab	24.66 pu	18.59 fh	16.44 qv	6.92 ab	4.70 rv
T <sub>20</sub>	48.61 bc	42.84 fi	30.56 bc	24.12 qv	20.37 b	16.08 sx	6.79 be	4.59 sw
T <sub>21</sub>	41.50 gk	35.45 r	25.56 kr	22.26 xz	17.04 mq	14.84 za	5.68 jm	4.24 wz
T <sub>22</sub>	47.80 c	35.33 r	28.31 fh	25.05 lt	18.87eg	16.70 ps	6.29fh	4.77 qu
T <sub>23</sub>	40.94 il	30.27 vy	26.07 ip	20.68zb	17.38 jo	15.79 wx	5.79 il	3.94 za
T <sub>24</sub>	40.78 im	31.40uw	27.66 gi	22.26 xz	18.44 gi	16.84 or	6.15 fi	4.24 wz
T <sub>25</sub>	45.64 de	38.84 ln	26.53 im	23.30ux	17.69 jl	15.53 xy	5.90 hk	4.44 uy
T <sub>26</sub>	41.50 gk	32.28 tv	24.90mu	21.28 yb	16.60 pt	14.19/bc	5.53kn	4.05 ya
T <sub>27</sub>	52.94 a	37.92 np	28.31 fh	23.80sx	18.87 eg	15.87 vx	6.29fh	4.53 tx
T <sub>28</sub>	42.46 gj	34.71 rs	29.43 cf	23.97 rw	19.62 cd	15.98 tx	6.54 bf	4.57 sw
T29	44.93ef	38.71 mn	28.22 fh	26.65 hl	18.81eg	17.77 jk	6.27 fh	5.08 or
T30	48.38 bc	41.26 hk	30.19 be	26.73hk	20.13 bc	17.82 ik	6.71 be	5.09 or
T1: 50 % NPK of the	recommended	$T_7 = T_1 + T$	2+ <b>T</b> 3	$T_{13} = T_1$	$+T_{10}$ $T_{1}$	$y = T_2 + T_{10}$	$T_{25} = T_3 + T_2 + T_3 + T$	-T <sub>8</sub>
T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid		T <sub>8</sub> = Roya	ıl gel	$T_{14} = T_3$	+T <sub>8</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	$T_{1}+T_{3}+T_{10}$	$T_{26} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T$	-T <sub>8</sub>
T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron		T9= Salic	ylic acid	$T_{15} = T_3$	s+T9 T2	$1 = T_1 + T_3 + T_9$	$T_{27} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T$	-T9
$T_4 = T1 + T3$		$T_{10} = Prol$	ine amino acid	$T_{16} = T_3$	$+T_{10}$ $T_2$	$2 = T_1 + T_3 + T_8$	$T_{28} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_4 + T_5 + T$	-T <sub>10</sub>
$T_5 = T1 + T_2$		$T_{11} = T_1 + T_1$	Γ <sub>8</sub>	$T_{17} = T_2$	$_{2}+T_{8}$ $T_{2}$	$3 = T_3 + T_2 + T_9$	$T_{29} = T_2 + T_9 +$	-T <sub>8</sub>
T <sub>6-</sub> T2+T3		$T_{12} = T_{1+1}$	Го	$T_{18} = T_{2}$	+Τ <sub>0</sub> Τ <sub>2</sub>	$4 = T_{3} + T_{2} + T_{10}$	T <sub>30</sub> = 100 %	NPK

**Biochemical traits:** 

#### 1. Effect of sowing dates:

The effect of sowing date on leaves biochemical traits, i.e., proline content and oxides enzyme activity during 2017/2018 season are shown in Table (6). Sowing date on the 1st week of Feb. increased proline content and oxides enzyme activity in leaves compared with sowing on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. The increases in proline content and oxides activity due to sowing on the 1st

week of Feb. were 10.97 and 16.51 %, respectively over sowing on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of September.

The rise in proline amino acid in crops cultivated at elevated temperatures could be one of the earliest metabolic reactions caused in the transduction pathway that connects physiological perception reactions at the cellular level. (Hassanein et al., 2012). These results are similar to Naji and Devaraj (2011) who found that peroxidase isozyme activity in horse gram increase under heat and salt stresses.

Characters	Plant he	ight (cm)	Number of	leaves/plant	Total fresh weight/plant		Total dry w	Total dry weight/plant		
	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of	1 <sup>st</sup> week of		
Treatments	Sept.	Feb.	Sept.	Feb.	Sept.	Feb.	Sept.	Feb.		
T <sub>1</sub>	41.91st	31.18 ab	21.70 yz	21.54 yz	16.25sv	18.37 ko	6.42 ch	4.25 ps		
$T_2$	46.95mn	40.03 uv	30.51cg	26.38 nr	20.34 cg	17.59 nr	6.78 ag	5.03 lo		
T3	50.33 gj	40.70 tv	30.19 dg	22.70 xy	19.87 ei	17.27 ps	6.62 bh	4.93 lp		
$T_4$	51.08 fi	40.50 uv	31.45bd	25.79 ps	20.97 bd	17.19 qs	6.99 ae	4.91 lp		
T5	51.71 ef	43.41 qr	28.64 hk	26.90 lp	19.09 hk	17.93 lq	6.36 ch	5.12 lo		
T <sub>6</sub>	49.94 hj	41.24 tu	27.66 kn	24.12 ux	18.44 kn	16.08tw	6.15 gi	4.59 ms		
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	56.56 a	47.96 lm	33.41 a	30.98 bf	22.27 a	20.65 bf	7.42 a	5.90 hk		
T <sub>8</sub>	40.51 uv	35.58y	24.96 rv	20.95 z	15.97 tw	13.30 x	5.07 lo	4.00 rs		
T9	42.90 qs	30.48 b	25.64 pt	22.97xy	15.09 w	16.65 ru	6.00 hj	4.10 qs		
T <sub>10</sub>	30.78 b	23.91 c	25.27 qu	20.54 z	16.85 qt	13.69 x	5.62 il	3.91 s		
T <sub>11</sub>	56.56 a	42.79 qs	29.58fi	26.00 os	19.72 fi	17.33 os	6.57 bh	4.95 lp		
T <sub>12</sub>	49.84 ik	43.90 pq	31.30 be	25.83 ps	20.87 be	17.22 qs	6.96 ae	4.92 lp		
T <sub>13</sub>	49.85 ik	36.16 y	27.94jm	23.34 wx	18.63 jn	15.56 vw	6.21 fi	4.45os		
$T_{14}$	55.94 ab	40.55 uv	31.54bd	27.51 kn	21.03 bc	18.34 ko	7.01 ad	5.24 kn		
T <sub>15</sub>	55.28 bc	44.78 op	30.80 cf	25.69 ps	20.28 cg	18.37 ko	6.76 ag	5.25 km		
T <sub>16</sub>	46.85mn	38.63wx	27.76 kn	23.47 vx	18.51 jn	15.65 uw	6.17 gi	4.47 os		
T <sub>17</sub>	52.29 ef	43.64 pr	28.46 hk	24.15 tx	18.97 hl	16.10 tw	6.32di	4.60 ms		
T <sub>18</sub>	51.49eg	38.35 x	31.50 bd	25.83 ps	21.00 bc	17.22 qs	7.00 ae	4.92 lp		
T <sub>19</sub>	54.26 cd	35.05 y	31.12 be	27.55 kn	20.75 bf	18.37 ko	6.92 af	5.25 km		
T <sub>20</sub>	48.65 kl	33.48 z	30.75cg	27.46 ko	20.50 cg	18.31 kp	6.83 ag	5.23 kn		
T <sub>21</sub>	54.26cd	42.99 qs	30.24 dg	24.66 sw	20.16 cg	16.44 sv	6.72 ag	4.70 mr		
T <sub>22</sub>	53.95d	45.26 o	31.17 be	27.51 kn	20.78 be	18.34 ko	6.93 ae	5.24 kn		
T <sub>23</sub>	51.13 fh	32.33 za	29.90 eh	24.02 ux	19.93 dh	16.01 tw	6.64 bh	4.57 ms		
T <sub>24</sub>	52.59 e	39.69 vw	32.38 ab	26.73 mq	21.59 ab	17.82 mq	7.20 ab	5.09 lo		
T <sub>25</sub>	49.46 jk	35.11 y	31.50 bd	23.80 ux	21.00 bc	15.87 tw	7.00 ae	4.53 ns		
T <sub>26</sub>	49.99 hj	45.70 no	31.41 bd	27.59 kn	20.94 bd	18.39 kn	6.98 ae	5.25 km		
T <sub>27</sub>	51.65 ef	42.63 rs	29.30 gj	24.93 rv	19.53 gj	16.62 ru	6.51 bh	4.75 mq		
T <sub>28</sub>	51.26 fg	35.62 y	31.54 bd	24.08 ux	21.03 bc	16.05 tw	7.01 ad	4.59 ms		
T <sub>29</sub>	47.19 m	45.77 no	28.31 il	27.63 kn	18.87 im	18.42 kn	6.29 ei	5.26 jm		
T <sub>30</sub>	52.20 ef	43.78 pr	31.77 bc	27.59 kn	21.18 bc	18.39 kn	7.06 ac	5.25 km		
T <sub>1</sub> : 50 % NPK of the	recommended	$T_7 = T_1 + T_2$	2+T3	$T_{13} = T_1 +$	-T <sub>10</sub> T <sub>19</sub> :	$= T_2 + T_{10}$	$T_{25} = T_3 + T_2 +$	T <sub>8</sub>		
$T_2$ Humic acid $T_8 = I$		T <sub>8</sub> = Roya	l gel	$T_{14} = T_{3}$	-T <sub>8</sub> T <sub>20</sub> -	$= T_1 + T_3 + T_{10}$	$T_{26} = T_1 + T_2 +$	T <sub>8</sub>		
T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron		T9= Salic	ylic acid	$T_{15} = T_{3}$	-T <sub>9</sub> T <sub>21</sub> :	$= T_1 + T_3 + T_9$	$T_{27} = T_1 + T_2 +$	Т9		
$T_4 = T1 + T3$		T <sub>10</sub> = Prol	ine amino acid	$T_{16} = T_{3}$	$-T_{10}$ $T_{22}$	$= T_1 + T_3 + T_8$	$T_{28} = T_1 + T_2 +$	T <sub>10</sub>		
$\mathbf{T}_5 = \mathbf{T}1 + \mathbf{T}_2$		$T_{11} = T_1 + T_2$	Γ <sub>8</sub>	$T_{17} = T_2 +$	-T <sub>8</sub> T <sub>23</sub>	$T_8$ $T_{23}=T_3+T_2+T_9$		$T_{29} = T_2 + T_9 + T_8$		
$T_{6=}T2+T3$		$T_{12} = T_1 + T_2$	Г9	$T_{18} = T_2 +$	-T <sub>9</sub> T <sub>24</sub>	$= T_3 + T_2 + T_{10}$	$T_{30}=100\%$	NPK		
2. Effect of stimu	lants:	N. P and K Contents IN leaves:								

Table 5. Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and some stimulant treatments on plant height, number of leaves/plant, fresh weight and dry weight of pea plant during the first season (2017/2018).

#### 2. Effect of stimulants:

Proline amino acids and oxides enzyme activity in leaves of pea had significant effects by different treatments during 2<sup>nd</sup> season (Table 6). Spraying pea plants with proline  $(T_{10})$  gave the highest values of proline content (18.49) ppm) and oxides enzyme activity (27.68 mg/g FW/1hour) in leaves, followed by the plants which fertilized with humic acid (T<sub>2</sub>) (17.98 ppm) and 26.56 mg/g FW/1hour) proline content and oxides enzyme activity, respectively. On the other side, the lowest values of proline content (8.51 ppm) was obtained with of NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid + Royal gel  $(T_{26})$  and oxides enzyme activity (8.01 mg/g FW/1hour) with NPK at 50 %RR + Humic acid + Proline (T<sub>28</sub>). The plants which fertilized with NPK at 100 % RR (T<sub>30</sub>) recorded the moderate values of proline content and oxides enzyme activity between them.

#### 3. Effect of interaction:

The interaction between sowing date and some stimulants had significant effect on proline content and oxides enzyme activity in leaves of pea during 2017/2018 season (Table 7). The interaction between sowing date on the  $1^{st}$  week of Feb and spraying with proline (T<sub>10</sub>) increased proline content (19.32 mg/g DW) and oxides enzyme activity (29.05 mg/g FW/1hour) in leaves of pea compared with the other interaction treatments in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. On the other hand, T<sub>26</sub> and T<sub>28</sub> under early sowing (1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept.) gave the minimum contents of proline amino acid (7.35 ppm) and oxides enzyme (7.18 mg/g FW/1hour), respectively.

#### 1. Effect of sowing dates:

Data given in Table 8 indicate the effect of sowing date on N,P and K contents in shoots during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018seasons. Sowing date on the 1st week of Sept. increased N,P and K contents in leave compared to sowing date on the 1st week of Feb. in both seasons. The increases in NP and K in leaves/ plant due to sowing pea on the 1st week of Sept. were about 16.20, 24.66 % and 29.92, 26.33 % and 15.67 and 16.32 for N,P and K in the 1st and the 2nd seasons, respectively over sowing on the 1st week of February.

Such effect of the sowing date on N, P and K contents was linked to the plant vegetative growth response to the sowing date. The variations in NPK concentration in leave between the tested sowing date may be due to the prevailing temperature during the separate sowing date (Table (2)) affecting the absorption of nutrients and their migration to distinct morphological components. Abd-Alla (2006) on snap bean found that early sowing on 1st of March led to significant increases in N,P and K contents in plant foliage compared with either medium (1<sup>st</sup> April) or late (1<sup>st</sup> May) plantation.

## 2. Effect of stimulants:

Data in Table (8) illustrate the effect of some stimulants on shoots chemical composition, i.e., N, P and K percentages during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons. Fertilizing pea with NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid+ spraying with proline  $(T_{28})$  had significant effect and recorded the highest value of N, P and K contents in leave in both seasons, with no significant differences with spraying with salicylic acid ( $T_9$ ) with respect to P content. The increases in N,P and K in leave / plant due to  $T_{28}$  were about (17.39 and 20.86 % N), (3.36 and 3.57 % P) and (5.66 and 11.76 % K) over fertilizing with NPK 100 % RR ( $T_{30}$ ) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

Table 6. Effect of sowing dates and some stimulant treatments on proline content and oxides enzyme activity in leaves of nea as an averages of both season

leaves of pea as an averages of both season.									
Characters	Proline content	Oxides enzyme activity							
Treatments	(mg/g DW)	(mg/g FW/1 hour )							
	Effect of sowing	g date							
1st Sept	12.85 b	16.05 b							
1 <sup>st</sup> Feb.	14.26 a	18.70 a							
	Effect of stimu	lants							
$T_1$	15.79 fg	22.98 g							
$T_2$	17.98 b	26.56 b							
T3	16.81 e	24.33 e							
$T_4$	12.90 m	16.58 p							
T5	12.17 o	15.70 r							
T <sub>6</sub>	13.27 1	17.23 o							
T <sub>7</sub>	9.58 t	10.86 y							
T8	17.25 d	25.06 d							
T9	17.65 c	25.80 с							
T <sub>10</sub>	18.49 a	27.68 a							
T11	12.54 n	16.32 g							
T <sub>12</sub>	13.63 k	17.98 n							
T13	13.71 k	15.11 s							
T <sub>14</sub>	15.79 fg	22.24 h							
T15	15.14 i	20.82 j							
T <sub>16</sub>	15.37 hi	19.40 ľ							
T <sub>17</sub>	15.47 gh	21.54 i							
T <sub>18</sub>	14.78 j	20.13 k							
T <sub>19</sub>	16.00 f	18.69 m							
T <sub>20</sub>	9.95 s	11.55 x							
T <sub>21</sub>	10.29 r	12.24 w							
T22	11.22 pq	10.13 z							
T23	11.01 g	13.71 u							
T <sub>24</sub>	11.39 p	14.39 t							
T <sub>25</sub>	12.76 mn	12.98 v							
T <sub>26</sub>	8.51 v	8.73 b							
T <sub>27</sub>	8.86 u	9.40 a							
T <sub>28</sub>	10.15 rs	8.01 c							
T <sub>29</sub>	18.32 a	23.63 f							
T <sub>30</sub>	9.92 s	11.53 x							
T <sub>1</sub> : 50 % NPK of the	recommended T <sub>7</sub> =T <sub>1</sub>	$+T_2+T_3$ $T_{13}=T_1+T_{10}$							
T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid	$T_8 = R$	T <sub>14</sub> = $T_3+T_8$							
T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron	$T_9 = S_2$	alicylic acid $T_{15}=T_3+T_9$							
$T_4 = T1 + T3$	$T_{10} = F$	Proline amino acid $T_{16} = T_3 + T_{10}$							
$T_5 = T1 + T_2$	$T_{11} = T_{11}$	$T_{1+}T_{8}$ $T_{17}=T_{2+}T_{8}$							
$1_{6=}12+13$ T - T + T	$T_{12} = 1$	$I_{1}+I_{9}$ $I_{18}=I_{2}+I_{9}$							
$1_{19} = 1_2 + 1_{10}$ $T_{19} = T_{1+} T_{10}$	1 <sub>25</sub> = 1 T 1	L3+L2+L8 F-+T-+T-							
$T_{20} = T_1 + T_3 + T_{10}$ $T_{21} = T_1 + T_2 + T_0$	126= 1 T 1	1772788 F+T-+T-							
$T_{22} = T_1 + T_2 + T_2$	$T_{27} = T_{70} = T_{70}$	Γ1+T2+T10							
$T_{23} = T_3 + T_2 + T_9$	$T_{29} = 1$	$\Gamma_2 + T_9 + T_8$							
$T_{24} = T_3 + T_2 + T_{10}$	$T_{30}^{27} = 1$	00 % NPK							
	50								

These results coincide with those reported by Ramadan and Mansour (2019) on pea as for the effect of humic. As for proline effect Kahlaoui *et al.* (2013) indicated that spraying tomato plants with proline amino acid at the lower concentration (10 mg/l) increased K and P contents in different organs compared with control treatment.

#### 3. Effect of the interaction:

It is clear from such data that leave chemical composition, i.e., N, P and K percentages were significantly affected by the interaction between sowing date and treated with some stimulants in both seasons (Tables 9 and 10). The interaction between sowing date on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept. and spraying with salicylic acid (T<sub>9</sub>) increased P content in leave, whereas the interaction between sowing date on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept. and

fertilizing with NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid+ spraying with proline ( $T_{28}$ ) increased N and K contents in shoots in both seasons. On the other hand, the interaction between sowing date on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Feb. and fertilizing plants with humic acid ( $T_2$ ) decreased N, P and K contents in shoots in both seasons.

Table 7	7. Effect of the interaction between sowing date	S
	and some stimulant treatments on proline	e
	content and oxides enzyme activity in leaves o	f
	pea as an averages of both seasons.	

pea as an averages of both seasons.										
Characters	Proline o	content	Oxides enzyme activity							
Characters	(mg/g l	DW)	(mg/g FV	V/1hour )						
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week						
Treatments	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.						
T <sub>1</sub>	15.18 op	16.41 ik	21.27 1	24.70 g						
T <sub>2</sub>	17.93 cd	18.04 c	24.81 g	28.32 b						
T3	16.60 hj	17.02 gh	22.57 j	26.10 e						
T <sub>4</sub>	12.18 va	13.63 uv	14.95 x	18.21 p						
T5	11.39 ce	12.96 wx	14.62 y	16.78 s						
T <sub>6</sub>	12.57 xy	13.97 su	15.57 v	18.90 o						
T <sub>7</sub>	8.53 /	10.63 /fg	10.02 /k	11.71 /f						
T <sub>8</sub>	17.15 fg	17.35 eg	23.30 i	26.82 d						
T <sub>9</sub>	17.52 df	17.79 ce	24.03 h	27.58 c						
T <sub>10</sub>	17.66 ce	19.32 a	26.32 e	29.05 a						
T <sub>11</sub>	11.80 /ac	13.28 vw	15.19 wx	17.45 r						
T <sub>12</sub>	12.96 wx	14.30 rs	16.34 t	19.62 n						
T <sub>13</sub>	12.80 x	14.63 ar	14.23 z	15.99 u						
T <sub>14</sub>	15.42 np	16.17 il	20.52 m	23.96 h						
T <sub>15</sub>	14.63 ar	15.65 mo	19.16 o	22.48 i						
T <sub>16</sub>	14.99 pa	15.76 ln	17.75 a	21.06 1						
T <sub>17</sub>	14.98 pa	15.97 km	19.84 n	23.25 i						
T <sub>18</sub>	14.24 rt	15.32 np	18.46 p	21.80 k						
T <sub>19</sub>	15.37 np	16.64 hi	17.04 s	20.34 m						
T <sub>20</sub>	8.92 /kĺ	10.98 /ef	10.66 /i	12.45 /d						
T <sub>21</sub>	9.27 / jk	11.31 /de	11.40 /g	13.09 /c						
T <sub>22</sub>	10.14 /hi	12.31 yz	9.23 /m	11.03 /h						
T <sub>23</sub>	10.09 /hi	11.94 zb	12.82 /c	14.60 y						
T <sub>24</sub>	10.50 /gh	12.29 yz	13.49 /b	15.29 w						
T <sub>25</sub>	11.68 /bd	13.84 tu	12.06 /e	13.91 /a						
T <sub>26</sub>	7.35 /m	9.67 /ij	7.91 /p	9.55 /1						
T <sub>27</sub>	7.74 /m	9.98 /i	8.51 /o	10.30 /j						
T <sub>28</sub>	8.97 /kl	11.34 /ce	7.18 /q	8.84 /n						
T <sub>29</sub>	17.97 cd	18.68 b	21.88 k	25.39 f						
T <sub>30</sub>	9.22 /jk	10.62 /fg	10.55 /ij	12.52 /d						
T1: 50 % NPK of	the recommen	ded $T_7 = T_1 + T$	2+T3	$T_{13} = T_1 + T_{10}$						
T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid		$T_8 = Roya$	al gel	$T_{14} = T_3 + T_8$						
$T_3$ :Magnetic irol	1	$T_9 = Salic$ $T_{-} Dresh$	ylic acid line emine esid	$1_{15} = 1_3 + 1_9$						
$T_4 = T_1 + T_5$ $T_2 - T_1 + T_5$		$T_{10} = PT0$ $T_{} = T_{.+}$	шне аншно аси Т.	$T_{16} = T_{3} + T_{10}$ $T_{4} - T_{5} + T_{6}$						
$T_{6} T_{2} T_{7} T_{7$		$T_{12} = T_1 + T_1 + T_2 = T_1 + T_2 = T_1 + T_2 + T_2 = T_1 + T_2 + T$		$T_{17} = T_2 + T_8$ $T_{18} = T_2 + T_8$						
$T_{19} = T_2 + T_{10}$		$T_{25} = T_{3} +$	$T_2 + T_8$	-10 -2 9						
$T_{20} = T_1 + T_3 + T_{10}$		$T_{26} = T_1 +$	$T_2 + T_8$							
$T_{21} = T_1 + T_3 + T_9$		$T_{27} = T_1 +$	$T_2+T_9$							
$T_{22} = T_1 + T_3 + T_8$		$T_{28} = T_1 + T_1 + T_2 = T_2 = T_1 + T_2 = T_2 = T_1 + T_2 = T$	$T_2+T_{10}$							
$I_{23} = I_3 + I_2 + I_9$ T = T + T + T		$I_{29} = I_2 + I_{100}$	19+18 9/ NDK							
$1_{24} = 1_{3} + 1_{2} + 1_{10}$		$I_{30} = 100$	70 INF K							

## Yield and its components:

#### 1. Effect of sowing dates:

The effect of sowing date on pod number / plant weight of 100 seeds and green pod yield per plant, as well as green pod yield per fed of pea plants during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons are shown in (Table 11). Results show that there were significant differences between two sowing date on yield and its components in both seasons.

Sowing date of pea cv. Master B on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept. recorded the highest values of number of pods/ plant, 100 seed weight (g), green pod yield per plant and per fed. compared with sowing date of 1<sup>st</sup> of Feb. in both seasons. The increases in total yield / fed. were about 17.91 and 16.89% for sowing date of pea on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept. over than sowing date on the 1st week of Feb. in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

Characters	Ν	N (%)	P (%)	)	<b>K</b> (	K (%)		
Treatments	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018		
		Eff	ect of sowing date					
1 <sup>st</sup> Sept	3.37 a	3.74 a	0.411 a	0.427 a	1.55 a	1.71 a		
1 <sup>st</sup> Feb.	2.90 b	3.00 b	0.329 b	0.338 b	1.34 b	1.47 b		
		Efi	fect of stimulants					
T1	2.99 lm	3.22 s	0.331 pq	0.335 o	1.32 oq	1.42 q		
T <sub>2</sub>	2.89 no	3.13 u	0.315 t	0.317 q	1.25 r	1.35 s		
T3	2.94 mn	3.22 st	0.328 qr	0.330 p	1.26 qr	1.39 r		
$T_4$	3.20 ef	3.45 fh	0.374 j	0.415 cd	1.47 hk	1.58 kl		
T5	3.25 ce	3.49 de	0.385 h	0.393 gi	1.50 fi	1.60 jk		
T <sub>6</sub>	3.18 fh	3.43 gi	0.369 k	0.372 j	1.45 il	1.56 lm		
T <sub>7</sub>	3.11 ij	3.48 ef	0.415 cd	0.419 c	1.62 ac	1.74 c		
T <sub>8</sub>	2.92 n	3.21 st	0.325 s	0.331 op	1.34 np	1.43 pq		
T9	2.90 no	3.18 t	0.384 h	0.395 gh	1.26 qr	1.36 s		
T <sub>10</sub>	3.02 1	3.24 rs	0.334 p	0.335 o	1.31 pr	1.45 op		
T <sub>11</sub>	3.23 df	3.47 eg	0.378 i	0.397 fg	1.49 fj	1.66 gh		
T <sub>12</sub>	3.18 fg	3.41 hl	0.369 k	0.374 j	1.43 jm	1.59 kl		
T <sub>13</sub>	3.28 cd	3.51 ce	0.386 h	0.390 i	1.51eh	1.63 ij		
T <sub>14</sub>	2.99 lm	3.34 np	0.342 o	0.344 n	1.35 np	1.46 o		
T <sub>15</sub>	3.08 jk	3.33 op	0.346 n	0.349 lm	1.38 mo	1.53 n		
T <sub>16</sub>	3.11 ij	3.36 mo	0.352 m	0.357 k	1.38 mo	1.53 n		
T <sub>17</sub>	3.04 kl	3.30 pq	0.341 o	0.346 mn	1.36 np	1.47 o		
T <sub>18</sub>	3.09 ik	3.28 qr	0.348 n	0.351 1	1.39 ln	1.52 n		
T <sub>19</sub>	3.13 gj	3.38 jm	0.356 1	0.361 k	1.42 km	1.59 kl		
T <sub>20</sub>	3.14 gi	3.40 im	0.327 rs	0.416 c	1.48 gk	1.70 de		
T <sub>21</sub>	3.09 ik	3.38 kn	0.405 e	0.411 de	1.47 gk	1.69 ef		
T <sub>22</sub>	3.12 hj	3.42 hk	0.412 d	0.418 c	1.52 eh	1.72 cd		
T <sub>23</sub>	3.27 cd	3.54 bc	0.395 f	0.401 f	1.55 df	1.66 fgh		
T <sub>24</sub>	3.30 bc	3.37 ln	0.390 g	0.392 hi	1.53 dg	1.64 hi		
$\underline{T}_{25}$	3.35 b	3.42 hj	0.397 f	0.409 e	1.56 ce	1.68 eg		
$\underline{T}_{26}$	3.48 a	3.56 ab	0.427 a	0.429 a	1.65 ab	1.78 b		
T <sub>27</sub>	3.46 a	3.53 bcd	0.421 b	0.424 b	1.64 ab	1.79 b		
T <sub>28</sub>	3.51 a	3.59 a	0.430 a	0.434 a	1.68 a	1.90 a		
$\underline{T}_{29}$	2.85 o	3.01 v	0.315 t	0.417 c	1.25 r	1.55 mn		
T <sub>30</sub>	2.99 lm	3.49 ef	0.416 c	0.419 c	1.59 bd	1.70 de		
T <sub>1</sub> : 50 % NPK of the reco T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron	ommended	$T_7=T_1+T_2+T_3$ $T_8=$ Royal gel $T_9=$ Salicylic acid $T_1$ Burking and $T_2$	$T_{13} = T_1 + T_{10}$ $T_{14} = T_3 + T_8$ $T_{15} = T_3 + T_9$	$T_{19} = T_2 + T_1$ $T_{20} = T_1 + T_3$ $T_{21} = T_1 + T_3$ $T_{10} = T_1 + T_3$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & T_{25} = T_3 \\ +T_{10} & T_{26} = T_1 \\ +T_9 & T_{27} = T_1 \\ -T_2 & T_3 & T_{27} = T_1 \end{array}$	$+T_2+T_8$ $+T_2+T_8$ $+T_2+T_9$ $+T_2+T_9$		
14 = 11 + 13 T <sub>2</sub> - T1 $\perp$ T.		$T_{10}$ = Prome amino aci $T_{10}$ = $T_{10}$ = $T_{10}$	u $I_{16} = I_3 + I_{10}$ $T_{cr} = T_{cr} + T_{cr}$	$1_{22} = 1_1 + 1_3$ $T_{22} = T_{-+}T_{}$	$+18$ $1_{28}=1_{1}$ $+T_{0}$ $T_{28}=T_{1}$	+12+110 +Ta+Ta		
$T_{2} = T_{1} + T_{2}$ T <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>3</sub>		$T_{11} = T_{1} + T_{8}$ $T_{12} = T_{1} + T_{8}$	$T_{17} = T_2 + T_8$ $T_{10} = T_2 + T_2$	$1_{23} - 1_{3} + 1_{2}$ $T_{34} = T_{4} + T_{5}$	$T_{19} = 1_2 = 1_2$ + $T_{10} = T_{10} = 10$			
		-1211 - <b>1</b> 9	118-12-19	124-13+12	130-10	V / VIIII		

Table 8. Effect of sowing dates and some stimulant treatments on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in leaves of pea during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

The increments in total yield during early sowing date may be due to the suitable prevalent metrological factors specially temperature (Table 2) which affect positively and increased the vegetative growth phase of plant. Also, such suitable metrological factors increased macronutrients absorption (Table 8) and in turn increased total yield/ plant and total yield per fed). Whereas the late sowing date led to the decrease of all tested morphological characteristics owing to the lowest prevailing temperature during the vegetative development stage, which increased the use of assimilated materials in the breath and subsequently decreased the anabolic rate of fresh plant components and decreased the development of plants (Ali, 2011).

Similar results were reported by Sharma *et al.* (2014), Tiwari *et al.* (2014), Waheed *et al.* (2015) and Sirwaiya and Kushwah (2018) on pea,where they found that sowing on early date showed maximum yield and its components than delaying sowing of pea.

#### 2. Effect of stimulants:

The obtained results in Table 11 show that , fertilizing plants with NPK at 50 % RR + magnetic iron +humic acid (T<sub>7</sub>) increased average pods number / plant, 100 seeds weight, green yield /plant and green yield / fed. in both seasons, with no significant differences with fertilizing with NPK at 100 % and 50 % RR (T<sub>30</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>) with respect to pod number/ plant in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. The increases in total yield / fed. due to T<sub>7</sub> were about 8.93

and 2.34 % over fertilizing with NPK at 100 % RR  $(T_{30})$  in the  $1^{st}$  and the  $2^{nd}$  seasons, respectively.

These results were in the same line with those showed by Lalito, *et al.* (2018) and Al-Bayati *et al.* (2019) respecting the response of pea plants to NPK fertilizer. Khan *et al.*(2012) and Ramadan and Mansour (2019) regarding the effect of humic acid on pea, and magnetic iron, Helmy (2013) on pea.

#### 3. Effect of the interaction:

Data presented in Tables 12 and 13 the interaction between sowing date and some stimulants reflected significant effect on yield and its components in the two growing both seasons. The interaction between sowing pea on early date (1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept) and treated with all treatments recorded the best results for yield and its components than that delaying date (1st week of Feb.) and treated with the same treatments in both seasons. The interaction between sowing date on the 1st week of Sep. and fertilizing with NPK at 50 % RR (T<sub>1</sub>) in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and NPK at 100 % RR (T<sub>30</sub>) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season increased number of pod/ plant, whereas the interaction between sowing plants on the 1st week of Sept. and fertilizing with NPK at 50 % RR+ magnetic iron + humic acid (T7) increased 100 seed weight in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season and yield /plant and total yield /fed. in both seasons. The increases in total yield/fed. due to T<sub>7</sub> were about 33.64 and 27.63 % over T<sub>30</sub> under late sowing and 12.36 and 4.18 % over  $T_{30}$  under the early sowing in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively.

## EL-Afifi, S. T. M. et al.

Table 9. Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and some	stimulant treatments on nitrogen, phosphours and
potassium contents in leaves of pea during the first season	(2016/2017).

Characters	N (%)			(%)	K (%)		
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Sept.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Feb.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Sept.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Feb.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Sept.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Feb.	
$T_1$	3.24kl	2.75 /ad	0.37qs	0.291/f	1.41rw	1.23/cf	
T <sub>2</sub>	3.14mp	2.64 /ef	0.351vw	0.279/h	1.36vz	1.15 /f	
T3	3.18ln	2.71 /be	0.371qrs	0.286/g	1.36vz	1.17/ef	
$T_4$	3.47eg	2.94 /uw	0.415 j	0.334xy	1.57hm	1.37uy	
T5	3.52 cf	2.99 sv	0.422 hi	0.349vw	1.60fk	1.40sx	
T <sub>6</sub>	3.44 fh	2.92 vx	0.409 k	0.330y	1.55jn	1.35vz	
T7	3.07 ps	3.16 lo	0.457 d	0.373qr	1.73ad	1.51 lq	
T8	3.16 lo	2.69 /cf	0.364 t	0.286/g	1.49mr	1.19/df	
T9	3.14 mp	2.67 /df	0.490 a	0.279/h	1.36vz	1.17/ef	
T10	3.30 jk	2.74 /ad	0.374 pq	0.294/ef	1.41rw	1.22/cf	
T11	3.49 df	2.98 tv	0.420 i	0.336x	1.59gl	1.39tx	
T <sub>12</sub>	3.46 fg	2.91 vx	0.407 k	0.331y	1.54 jo	1.33 wb	
T <sub>13</sub>	3.55 be	3.02 qu	0.425 gh	0.348w	1.62ej	1.41rw	
T <sub>14</sub>	3.20 lm	2.78 zb	0.383 mn	0.301/cd	1.45pu	1.25/be	
T15	3.35 ij	2.81 ya	0.385 mn	0.307/ab	1.48ns	1.28zc	
T <sub>16</sub>	3.37 hj	2.86 wz	0.387 m	0.318z	1.51lq	1.25/be	
T <sub>17</sub>	3.29 jk	2.79 zb	0.382 no	0.301/cd	1.46ot	1.26/a	
T <sub>18</sub>	3.34 ij	2.85 xz	0.386 mn	0.311/a	1.50mq	1.29yc	
T19	3.39 gi	2.88 wy	0.396 1	0.317 z	1.53 kp	1.32xb	
T20	3.19 lm	3.10 nq	0.349 vw	0.305/bc	1.62ej	1.35vz	
T <sub>21</sub>	3.18 ln	3.01 ru	0.448 e	0.363tu	1.59gl	1.36vz	
T <sub>22</sub>	3.20 lm	3.05 qt	0.456 d	0.369 rs	1.68 bf	1.37 uy	
T <sub>23</sub>	3.60 bc	2.94 uw	0.437 f	0.353 v	1.65 dh	1.45 pu	
T <sub>24</sub>	3.57 bd	3.04 qt	0.428 g	0.352 vw	1.64 ei	1.43 qv	
T25	3.62 b	3.09 or	0.436 f	0.359 u	1.67 cg	1.46 ot	
T <sub>26</sub>	3.77 a	3.20 lm	0.471 b	0.384 mn	1.76 ab	1.55 jn	
T <sub>27</sub>	3.75 a	3.17 lo	0.464 c	0.378 op	1.75 ac	1.53kp	
T <sub>28</sub>	3.81 a	3.22 km	0.474 b	0.387 m	1.80 a	1.56 in	
T29	3.09 or	2.61 /f	0.334 xy	0.297 /de	1.34 wa	1.17 /ef	
T30	3.22 km	2.76 /ac	0.466 c	0.367 st	1.70 be	1.49 mr	
$\begin{array}{l} T_1: 50 \ \% \ NPK \ 0 \\ T_2 \ Humic \ acid \\ T_3: Magnetic \ iro \\ T_4 = T1 + T3 \\ T_5 = T1 + T_2 \\ T_{6=}T2 + T3 \end{array}$	f the recommended	$\begin{array}{c} T_7{=}T_1{+}T_2{+}T_3\\ T_8{=}\ Royal\ gel\\ T_9{=}\ Salicylic\ acid\\ T_{10}{=}\ Proline\ ami\\ T_{11}{=}\ T_1{+}T_8\\ T_{12}{=}\ T_1{+}T_9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} T_{13}=\\ T_{14}=\\ T_{14}=\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	$\begin{array}{cccc} \Gamma_1 + T_{10} & T_{19} = T \\ \Gamma_3 + T_8 & T_{20} = T \\ \Gamma_3 + T_9 & T_{21} = T \\ \Gamma_3 + T_{10} & T_{22} = T \\ \Gamma_2 + T_8 & T_{22} = T \\ \Gamma_2 + T_9 & T_{24} = T \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} & T_{25} = T \\ r_{1} + T_{3} + T_{10} & T_{25} = T \\ r_{1} + T_{3} + T_{10} & T_{27} = T \\ r_{1} + T_{3} + T_{9} & T_{27} = T \\ r_{1} + T_{3} + T_{8} & T_{28} = T \\ r_{3} + T_{2} + T_{9} & T_{29} = T \\ r_{3} + T_{2} + T_{10} & T_{30} = 10 \end{array}$	3+T2+T8 1+T2+T8 1+T2+T9 1+T2+T9 1+T2+T10 2+T9+T8 20 % NPK	
T-11. 10 E6	6 4 6. 41	· 1			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

Table 10. E	ffect of the interaction	between sowing dates a	ind some stimulant	t treatments on nit	rogen, phosphours and
1	potassium contents in le	aves of pea during the s	econd season (2017	//2018).	

Characters	N (%)		P (%)	)	K (%)		
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Sept.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Feb.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Sept.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Feb.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Sept.	1 <sup>st</sup> week of Feb.	
T <sub>1</sub>	3.69 il	3.03 uw	0.376 p	0.294 /de	1.53 ps	1.32/ef	
$T_2$	3.59 n	3.08 su	0.353 v	0.282 /f	1.46 vx	1.24 /h	
T3	3.65 kn	3.00 vx	0.372 pr	0.288 /ef	1.50 sv	1.29/fg	
$T_4$	3.87 ce	3.24 op	0.486 b	0.344 wx	1.69 jk	1.47 ux	
T5	3.91ac	2.78 /c	0.432 j	0.354 uv	1.72 ij	1.49 sv	
T <sub>6</sub>	3.86 ce	2.75 /c	0.413 k	0.331 y	1.67 kl	1.46 vx	
T7	3.72 ij	2.81 /bc	0.462 fg	0.376 p	1.85 cd	1.63 lm	
T8	3.64 ln	3.04 tv	0.369 qs	0.294 /de	1.48 tw	1.39 zb	
T9	3.61 mn	2.98 vy	0.495 a	0.296 /d	1.47 ux	1.25 /gh	
T <sub>10</sub>	3.68 jl	3.08 su	0.375 pq	0.296/d	1.58 no	1.33 /df	
T11	3.90 bc	2.97 wz	0.453 hi	0.342 x	1.80 eg	1.52 qt	
T <sub>12</sub>	3.85 cf	2.91 za	0.416 k	0.333 y	1.75 hi	1.43 xz	
T <sub>13</sub>	3.94 ab	2.94 xz	0.430 j	0.350 vw	1.75 hi	1.51 ru	
$T_{14}$	3.71 ik	2.87 /ab	0.384 no	0.304 /c	1.59 mo	1.34 /ce	
T15	3.75 gi	2.93 ya	0.388 mo	0.310 /bc	1.69 jk	1.37 /ad	
T <sub>16</sub>	3.79 fh	2.96 xz	0.394 lm	0.320 za	1.67 kl	1.40 ya	
T <sub>17</sub>	3.73 hj	3.13 qs	0.388 mo	0.305 /c	1.59 mo	1.35 /be	
T <sub>18</sub>	3.64 ln	3.11 rs	0.389 mo	0.314 /ab	1.67 kl	1.38 /ac	
T19	3.81 eg	3.15 qr	0.399 1	0.324 z	1.74 hi	1.44 wy	
T <sub>20</sub>	3.67 jm	3.12 rs	0.466 df	0.367 rs	1.82 df	1.59 mo	
T <sub>21</sub>	3.65 kn	3.10 rt	0.458 gh	0.364 st	1.81 dg	1.57 np	
T22	3.69 il	3.15 qr	0.466 df	0.370 ps	1.84 de	1.61 mn	
T <sub>23</sub>	3.97 a	3.27 o	0.448 i	0.355 uv	1.78 fh	1.55 or	
T <sub>24</sub>	3.65 kn	3.25 op	0.431 j	0.353 v	1.75 hi	1.53 ps	
T25	3.70 il	3.30 o	0.458 gh	0.360 tu	1.81 dg	1.56 oq	
T <sub>26</sub>	3.86 ce	2.75 /c	0.472 cd	0.387 no	1.89 bc	1.67 kl	
T <sub>27</sub>	3.82 df	3.19 pq	0.465 ef	0.383 o	1.92 b	1.67 kl	
T <sub>28</sub>	3.88 bd	3.03 uw	0.478 c	0.390 mn	2.03 a	1.77 gh	
T29	3.27 o	3.08 su	0.447 i	0.387 no	1.67 kl	1.43 xz	
T <sub>30</sub>	3.79 fh	3.00 vx	0.469 de	0.369 qs	1.82 df	1.59 mo	
$\begin{array}{l} T_1: 50 \ \% \ NPK \ of th \\ T_2 \ Humic \ acid \\ T_3: Magnetic \ iron \\ T_4 = T1 + T3 \\ T_5 = T1 + T_2 \\ T_{6=} T2 + T3 \end{array}$	e recommended	$\begin{array}{c} T_{7}\!\!=\!\!T_{1}\!\!+\!T_{2}\!\!+\!T_{3} \\ T_{8}\!\!=\!Royal gel \\ T_{9}\!\!=\!Salicylic acid \\ T_{10}\!\!=\!Proline amino aci \\ T_{11}\!\!=\!T_{1}\!\!+\!T_{8} \\ T_{12}\!\!=\!T_{1}\!\!+\!T_{9} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} T_{13} = T_1 + T_{10} \\ T_{14} = T_3 + T_8 \\ T_{15} = T_3 + T_9 \\ T_{16} = T_3 + T_{10} \\ T_{17} = T_2 + T_8 \\ T_{18} = T_2 + T_9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} T_{19} = \overline{T_{2} + T} \\ T_{20} = T_1 + T \\ T_{21} = T_1 + T \\ T_{22} = T_1 + T \\ T_{22} = T_3 + T \\ T_{24} = T_3 + T \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>8</sub> +T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>8</sub> +T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>9</sub> +T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>10</sub> +T <sub>9</sub> +T <sub>8</sub> 0 % NPK	

Characters Pod number		er/plant	100-seed w	eight (g)	Yiel	d/plant (g)	Total yie	Total yield (t/fed)	
Treatments	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018	2016/2017	2017/2018	
			Effe	ct of sowing date	e				
1st Sept	5.99 a	6.45 a	44.80 a	48.59 a	36.63 a	41.13 a	4.396 a	4.939 a	
1 <sup>st</sup> Feb.	5.51 b	5.94 b	37.63 b	42.03 b	31.07 b	35.21 b	3.728 b	4.225 b	
			Effe	ect of stimulants					
$T_1$	6.37 a	6.82 a	29.32 q	24.54 q	35.74 f	38.69 j	4.289 e	4.663 hj	
T <sub>2</sub>	5.22 mn	5.88 o	37.56 o	44.63 k	31.26 p	37.13 n	3.751 lm	4.455 m	
T <sub>3</sub>	5.61 k	6.59 c	47.62 b	48.96 c	33.19 j	39.71 h	3.982 g	4.765 eg	
$T_4$	5.40 1	5.76 q	38.81 m	42.59 o	32.28 n	35.46 q	3.874 ik	4.272 op	
T <sub>5</sub>	6.39 a	6.60 c	44.54 e	49.02 c	37.13 d	40.81 d	4.455 cd	4.898 d	
T <sub>6</sub>	6.12 bd	6.45f	43.85 f	47.73 e	36.52 e	39.78 gh	4.383 d	4.773 eg	
T <sub>7</sub>	6.22 b	6.55cd	49.00 a	53.01 a	40.73 a	44.08 a	4.888 a	5.290 a	
T <sub>8</sub>	4.20 p	4.72 r	42.91 g	46.67 gh	20.46 r	24.42 s	2.455 o	2.931 r	
T9	5.31 lm	5.82 p	37.39 o	41.14 p	27.19 q	37.08 n	3.263 n	4.449 m	
T <sub>10</sub>	5.02 o	6.47ef	39.21 m	43.42 mn	32.59 1	36.13 p	3.911 gi	4.336 no	
T <sub>11</sub>	5.84 gh	6.16jk	45.09 d	49.92 b	31.96 o	38.63 j	3.835 jk	4.635 ik	
T <sub>12</sub>	6.19 bc	6.51 de	46.41 c	47.26 f	33.87 i	40.12 fg	4.064 f	4.814 df	
T <sub>13</sub>	5.80 gi	5.97 mn	41.95 h	42.82 o	35.34 g	35.20 q	4.241 e	4.224 p	
T <sub>14</sub>	5.56 k	6.21 hij	38.19 n	47.66 e	31.82 o	39.70 h	3.819 kl	4.764 eg	
T <sub>15</sub>	5.14 n	6.60 c	37.62 o	46.23 i	31.27 p	38.48 jk	3.752 lm	4.617 il	
T <sub>16</sub>	5.72 ij	6.35 g	39.92 1	45.41 j	32.90 k	37.85 m	3.947 gi	4.542 1	
T <sub>17</sub>	5.74 hj	6.22 hi	38.93 m	46.94 fg	32.35 mn	39.08 i	3.883 hk	4.689 gi	
T <sub>18</sub>	5.63 jk	5.76 q	44.99 d	42.51 o	36.59 e	36.22 p	4.391 d	4.347 no	
T <sub>19</sub>	5.56 k	6.09 1	42.85 g	45.73 ј	34.23 h	39.55 h	4.107 f	4.746fh	
T <sub>20</sub>	6.09 cd	6.16 k	44.04 f	46.34 hi	38.57 b	36.70 o	4.628 b	4.403 mn	
T <sub>21</sub>	6.09 cd	6.24 h	40.70 jk	48.24 d	37.44 c	40.40 ef	4.493 c	4.849 de	
T <sub>22</sub>	5.88 fg	5.88 o	43.75 f	43.76 lm	35.69 f	37.10 n	4.283 e	4.452 m	
T <sub>23</sub>	5.90 fg	6.58 c	40.57 k	44.04 1	37.29 cd	41.84 c	4.474 c	5.021 c	
T <sub>24</sub>	5.53 k	6.00 m	32.60 p	44.54 k	31.15 p	34.20 r	3.738 m	4.104 q	
T <sub>25</sub>	5.83 gh	6.45 f	41.45 i	43.24 n	32.58 1	38.21 kl	3.909 gj	4.585 jl	
T <sub>26</sub>	5.95 ef	5.94 n	39.00 m	45.66 j	32.51 lm	38.02 lm	3.902 hj	4.562 kl	
T <sub>27</sub>	6.12 bd	6.18 ik	40.95 jk	48.20 d	38.45 b	40.70 de	4.614 b	4.884 d	
T <sub>28</sub>	5.60 k	5.80 pq	41.11 ij	42.59 o	32.96 k	36.72 o	3.956 gh	4.407 mn	
T <sub>29</sub>	6.04 de	6.46 ef	46.24 c	48.94 c	34.04 i	40.14 f	4.084 f	4.817 df	
T <sub>30</sub>	6.38 a	6.74 b	39.85 1	47.65 e	37.39 c	43.07 b	4.487 c	5.169 b	
T <sub>1</sub> : 50 % NPK of T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron T <sub>4</sub> = T1+T3 T <sub>5</sub> = T1+T <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub> = T2+T <sub>3</sub>	the recommended	$T_7=T_1+T_8=R_0$ $T_9=Sal$ $T_{10}=Pr$ $T_{11}=T_1$ $T_{11}=T_1$	-T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>3</sub> yal gel licylic acid roline amino acid +T <sub>8</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} T_{13} = T_1 \\ T_{14} = T_3 \\ T_{15} = T_3 \\ T_{16} = T_3 \\ T_{17} = T_2 \\ T_{17} = T_2 \end{array}$	$+T_{10} +T_8 +T_9 +T_{10} +T_8 +T_8 +T_{10} +T_8 +T_8 +T_8 +T_8 +T_8 +T_8 +T_8 +T_8$	$T_{19} = T_2 + T_{10}$ $T_{20} = T_1 + T_3 + T_{10}$ $T_{21} = T_1 + T_3 + T_9$ $T_{22} = T_1 + T_3 + T_8$ $T_{23} = T_3 + T_2 + T_9$ $T_{23} = T_3 + T_2 + T_9$	$\begin{array}{c} T_{25} = T_{3} + T_{2} $	+T <sub>8</sub> +T <sub>8</sub> +T9 +T <sub>10</sub> +T <sub>8</sub> N <b>P</b> K	
10=14+13		<b>1</b> 12- <b>1</b> 1	[ <b>T I y</b>	118-12	- <b>I</b> 9	124-13+12+110	1 30- 100 70	1 11 12	

Table 11. Effect of sowing dates and	some stimulant trea	tments on yield and	l its components of	pea during	2016/2017
and 2017/2018 seasons.					

Table 12. Effect of the interaction between sowing d	dates and some stimu	ılant treatments on yiel	ld and its components of
pea during the first season (2016/2017).			

Characters	Pod num	Pod number/plant		100-seed weight (g)		Yield/plant (g)		Total yield (t/fed)	
	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	
Treatments	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.	
T1	6.72 a	6.03jl	32.69 h	25.95 / j	39.17 g	32.32u	4.700f	3.878qr	
$T_2$	5.38 bd	5.06 /e	40.47 s	34.66 /ef	33.68s	28.84c	4.041op	3.461wx	
T <sub>3</sub>	6.20 fi	5.02/e	52.02 b	43.22 kl	36.46k	29.92za	4.375hi	3.590uv	
$T_4$	5.74 rw	5.06/e	43.18kl	34.45 /ef	35.931	28.64/c	4.312ij	3.437xy	
T5	6.66 ab	6.12 hj	50.06 c	39.02 v	41.76 b	32.50 u	5.011 b	3.900qr	
T <sub>6</sub>	6.29 eg	5.96 ko	47.34 f	40.37 st	39.44ef	33.61s	4.733ef	4.034op	
T <sub>7</sub>	6.55 bc	5.90lq	55.00 a	43.00 lm	45.65 a	35.811	5.478 a	4.298ik	
T8	4.53 /f	3.87 /g	47.04 f	38.79 v	23.01f	17.92/g	2.761 a	2.150/b	
T9	5.56 xa	5.07 /e	39.77 tu	35.02 /de	29.80za	24.59 /ē	3.576uv	2.951z	
T <sub>10</sub>	5.10 e	4.95/e	42.49mn	35.93 /ac	35.34 m	29.85za	4.241 jm	3.582uv	
T <sub>11</sub>	6.01 jm	5.68 ux	48.97 d	41.22 qr	34.50 p	29.42 /b	4.140 lo	3.531ux	
T <sub>12</sub>	6.25 ei	6.14 gj	48.02 e	44.80 h	36.001	31.74 v	4.320ij	3.809 rs	
T <sub>13</sub>	6.13 hj	5.48 zc	45.99 g	37.92 w	39.29fg	31.40 w	4.715f	3.768s	
T <sub>14</sub>	5.86 ms	5.27/d	42.00 np	34.38 /f	34.98no	28.67 c	4.198 kn	3.440xy	
T <sub>15</sub>	5.68 ux	4.60/f	41.84 oq	33.41 /g	34.760	27.78 /d	4.171 ln	3.334y	
T <sub>16</sub>	5.94 lp	5.50zc	43.35 jl	36.49 za	35.821	29.98z	4.298ik	3.597uv	
T <sub>17</sub>	5.82 ou	5.66 vy	40.66 rs	37.20 xy	33.81s	30.90x	4.058op	3.708st	
T <sub>18</sub>	5.84 nt	5.43 ac	47.01 f	42.97 lm	37.84h	35.35m	4.541g	4.242jl	
T19	5.77 qv	5.36 /cd	47.98 e	37.73 wx	37.10i	31.36 w	4.452gh	3.763s	
T <sub>20</sub>	6.30 ef	5.88 lr	48.75 d	39.34 uv	40.45cd	36.69jk	4.854cd	4.403 hi	
T <sub>21</sub>	6.38 de	5.80 pv	43.28 kl	38.12 w	39.68e	35.21mn	4.762df	4.225jm	
T <sub>22</sub>	5.89 lr	5.87 mr	46.03 g	41.48 pq	36.93ij	34.46 pq	4.432h	4.135 mo	
T <sub>23</sub>	6.20fi	5.60 wz	43.95 ij	37.19 xy	40.36 d	34.22 qr	4.843cd	4.106no	
T <sub>24</sub>	5.60 wz	5.47 zc	35.74 bc	29.47 /i	33.10 t	29.21b	3.972pq	3.505vx	
T <sub>25</sub>	5.96 ko	5.71 sx	44.87 h	38.04 w	34.15r	31.01x	4.098 no	3.721st	
T <sub>26</sub>	6.25 ei	5.66 vy	42.41 mo	35.60/cd	35.31m	29.72 /a	4.238jm	3.566uw	
T <sub>27</sub>	6.26 eh	5.99 jn	45.55 g	36.36 zb	40.25d	36.66k	4.830 ce	4.399hi	
T <sub>28</sub>	5.69 tx	5.52 yb	45.49 g	36.73yz	35.961	29.97 z	4.315ij	3.597 uv	
T29	6.49 cd	5.60 wz	48.37 de	44.11i	37.84 h	30.24y	4.540g	3.629tu	
T <sub>30</sub>	6.66ab	6.10 jk	43.73 ik	35.98/ac	40.63 c	34.16r	4.875 c	4.099no	
T <sub>1</sub> : 50 % NPK of the r	ecommended	$T_7 = T_1 + T_2$	+T <sub>3</sub>	$T_{13} = T_1 + T_{10}$	$T_{19}=7$	$\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{10}$	$T_{25} = T_3 + T_2 + T_3$	<u><u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u>8</u> <u></u> </u>	
T <sub>2</sub> Humic acid		1 <sub>8</sub> = Royal	gel lie oeid	$I_{14} = I_3 + I_8$	$T_{20} = 1$	$1_{1}+1_{3}+1_{10}$	$I_{26} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I$	1 <sub>8</sub> F	
$T_3$ Tagnetic from $T_4 = T_1 + T_3$		19= Salicy T <sub>10</sub> = Proli	ne aciu ne amino acid	$T_{15} = T_{3} + T_{9}$ $T_{12} = T_{2} + T_{22}$	$T_{21} = 1$	L1+13+19 L+T+T0	$T_{27} = T_{1} + T_{2} + T_{2}$	19 Г.	
$T_5 = T_1 + T_2$		$T_{11} = T_1 + T_1$	8	$T_{17} = T_2 + T_8$	$\mathbf{T}_{23}^{22} = 1$	$\Gamma_{3+}T_{2+}T_{9}^{3}$	$T_{29} = T_2 + T_9 + T$	Γ <sub>8</sub>	
$T_{6=}T_{2+T_{3}}$		$T_{12} = T_1 + \bar{T}_1$	9	$T_{18} = T_2 + \overline{T}_9$	$T_{24} = 1$	$\Gamma_{3} + T_{2} + T_{10}$	$T_{30} = 100\%$	NPK	

Table 13. Effect of the interaction between sowing date	s and some stimulant treatments on yield and its components of
pea during the second season (2017/2018).	

Characters	Pod num	Pod number/plant		100-seed weight (g)		Yield/plant (g)		Total yield (t/fed)	
	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1st week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	
Treatments	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.	of Sept.	of Feb.	
T <sub>1</sub>	7.09 a	6.55 gh	27.56/b	21.52 /c	39.651	37.73 o	4.7991mn	4.527rt	
$T_2$	6.02su	5.75 w	46.86jk	42.40t	39.01 m	35.25st	4.681np	4.230wz	
T <sub>3</sub>	6.85 cd	6.34mo	53.59 b	44.33 pq	42.33 g	37.09p	5.080fh	4.451tu	
$T_4$	5.94 v	5.59xy	45.34n	39.85 x	37.72 o	33.20 v	4.559qt	3.985cd	
T5	6.90 bc	6.310	52.02cd	46.03 lm	43.26ef	38.36 n	5.192ef	4.604ps	
T <sub>6</sub>	6.77 e	6.14 pr	50.52e	44.94no	42.04 g	37.52 op	5.045 gh	4.502st	
T <sub>7</sub>	6.95 b	6.16pr	59.45 a	46.57k	49.41 a	38.75 mn	5.930 a	4.650oq	
T <sub>8</sub>	4.88/a	4.56/b	48.03i	45.31 n	27.28x	21.57y	3.274g	2.588h	
T9	6.09rt	5.55yz	43.53r	38.76 yz	40.19jk	33.97u	4.823km	4.076 /bc	
T <sub>10</sub>	6.84 ce	6.10qs	48.64g	38.20/a	40.49 ijk	31.78w	4.859km	3.814/f	
T <sub>11</sub>	6.37lo	5.96uv	53.45 b	46.39km	40.96hi	36.30 q	4.915 jl	4.356uv	
T <sub>12</sub>	6.62fg	6.40 km	52.19 c	42.34t	41.97g	38.27n	5.036hi	4.593ps	
T <sub>13</sub>	6.30 o	5.64x	47.20j	38.44 za	38.43 n	31.98w	4.612ps	3.837/ef	
T <sub>14</sub>	6.64 f	5.79 w	53.38 b	41.95tv	44.49cd	34.91t	5.339 cd	4.190yb	
T <sub>15</sub>	6.82 de	6.39 kn	50.35e	42.12tu	41.94g	35.02t	5.033 hj	4.202ya	
T <sub>16</sub>	6.68 f	6.02tv	48.70 g	42.12tu	40.55 hk	35.16t	4.866km	4.219xz	
T <sub>17</sub>	6.46 ik	5.98uv	49.36 f	44.53oq	41.02 h	37.14p	4.923ik	4.456tu	
T <sub>18</sub>	6.04 su	5.48z	45.97m	39.06y	39.621	32.83 v	4.755mo	3.939/de	
T19	6.22p	5.96 uv	48.09 hi	43.38rs	43.04f	36.06qr	5.165eg	4.327vx	
T <sub>20</sub>	6.50 hj	5.82 w	48.55 gh	44.13 q	40.63hj	32.77 v	4.875km	3.932/df	
T <sub>21</sub>	6.44 jl	6.04su	50.45 e	46.03lm	43.66 e	37.15p	5.240de	4.458tu	
T <sub>22</sub>	6.17 pq	5.59xy	46.54 kl	40.98w	40.11kl	34.10u	4.813km	4.092/ac	
T <sub>23</sub>	6.84 ce	6.32no	46.37km	41.72 uv	44.94 c	38.74mn	5.393 c	4.649oq	
T <sub>24</sub>	6.36mo	5.64x	48.30gi	40.78 w	36.25qr	32.15w	4.350uw	3.858/ef	
T <sub>25</sub>	6.53 hi	6.38 ko	44.91no	41.57v	41.01h	35.41st	4.921ik	4.249vy	
T <sub>26</sub>	6.13qr	5.75w	48.35 gi	42.98 s	40.34jk	35.71rs	4.840km	4.285vy	
T <sub>27</sub>	6.56 gh	5.80 w	51.66 d	44.75op	44.28 d	37.12 p	5.314 cd	4.454tu	
T <sub>28</sub>	5.98 uv	5.63x	44.57oq	40.61 w	39.05 m	34.40 u	4.686np	4.128 zb	
T29	6.53 hi	6.39kn	53.18 b	44.70op	43.03f	37.25op	5.164eg	4.470tu	
T30	7.09a	6.40 km	50.80e	44.51oq	47.43 b	38.72mn	5.692 b	4.646 or	
T <sub>1</sub> : 50 % NPK of the	recommended	$T_7 = T_1 + T_2$ $T_0 = Rova$	2+T3 l gel	$T_{13} = T_1 + T_2$ $T_{14} = T_2 + T_4$	$T_{19} = T_{19} = T$	$T_{2}+T_{10}$ $T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{10}$	$T_{25} = T_3 + T_2 + T_8$ $T_{25} = T_1 + T_2 + T_8$		
T <sub>3</sub> :Magnetic iron		T <sub>o</sub> = Salic	vlic acid	$T_{15} = T_{3} + T_{4}$	, <u>-</u> 20- , T <sub>21</sub> =	$T_1+T_2+T_0$	$T_{27} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$		
$T_4 = T1 + T3$		T <sub>10</sub> = Prol	ine amino acid	$T_{16} = T_{2} + T_{16}$	$T_{m} = T_{m}$	T1+T2+Te	$T_{2} = T_1 + T_2 + T_4$		
$T_5 = T1 + T_2$		$T_{11} = T_{1+1}$	Ге	$T_{17} = T_{7} + T_{7}$	$T_{22} = T_{22}$	T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>0</sub>	$T_{20} = T_2 + T_0 + T_0$	,	
$T_{6} T_{2} + T_{3}$		$T_{12} = T_1 + 1$		$T_{18} = T_2 + T_2$	$T_{24} =$	$T_{3}+T_{2}+T_{10}$	$T_{30} = 100 \% NP$	ЧК	
-			-				00		

## CONCLUSION

From the obtained results of this research, it could be recommended that sowing pea on the 1<sup>st</sup> week of Sept and fertilizing plants with NPK at 50 % RR + humic acid at 10 kg /fed.+ magnetic iron at 100 kg/fed. was the best treatment for enhancing plant growth of pea and recorded the highest productivity of green pods in clay soil under the same conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- Abd Alla, M.A.A. (2006). Physiological studies on the role of some bioregulators in growth, flowering and yield of snap bean. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Banha university.
- Abou El-Yazied, A. (2011). Growth, biochemical constituents and yield of snap bean as influenced by low gamma irradiation doses under different sowing dates. Aust. J. Basic Appl.Sci., 5(11): 30-42.
- Al-Bayati, H.J.M., F. F.R. Ibraheem, W. B.A.M. Allela and D. K.A. Al-Taey (2019). Role of organic and chemical fertilizer on growth and yield of two cultivars of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Plant Archives 19 (1): 1249-1253.
- Ali, T.B.; S.E.Khalil and A.M. Khalil (2011). Magnetic treatments of *Capsicum annuum* L. grown under saline irrigation conditions. J. Appl. Sci. Res., 7(11):1558-1568.
- Bates, L. S. (1973). Rapid determination of proline for water stress studies. Plant and Soil, 39 : 205-207.

- Bozoglu, H., E. Peksen, A. Peksen and A. Gulumser (2007). Determination of the yield performance and harvesting periods of fifteen pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cultivars sown in autumn and spring. Pakistan J. Bot., 39(6): 2017-2025.
- Bremner, J. M. and C. S. Mulvaney (1982). Total nitrogen In: Page, A. L., R. H. Miller, and D. R. Keeney (Eds). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2, Amer.Soc.Agron. Madison, W. I. USA. pp. 595-624.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test. Biometrics, 11: 1-5.
- El-Saadony, F.M., D. A.S. Nawar and H.G. Zyada (2017). Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid, garlic extract and proline on growth, yield and leaf anatomy of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) grown under drought stress. Middle East J. Appl. Sci., 7(3): 633-650.
- El-Shaikh, K.A. (2010). Growth and yield of some cucumber cultivars as affected by plant density and royal jelly application. J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. plants, 2(2): 131:137.
- Gomez, K.A. and A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research—Hand Book. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Gouda, A.E.A.I. ; M.N.M.A. Gahwash and A.E. Abdel-Kader (2015). Response of potato growth and yield to some stimulating compounds. J. Plant Product., Mansoura Univ., 6 (8): 1293 - 1302,
- Hassanein, R.A.; S.A. El-Khawas and A.M.K. Mohamed (2012). Effect of heat shock on some biochemical and molecular criteria of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graceum* L.). J. Med. Plants Res., 6(9): 1782-1794

- Hayat, S.; S A. Hasan; Q. Hayat; M. Irfan and A. Ahmad (2010). Effect of salicylic acid on net photosynthetic rate, chlorophyll fluorescence, and antioxidant enzymes in *Vigna radiata* plants exposed to temperature and salinity stresses. Plant Stress, 4, 62-71
- Helmy, M.M. (2013). Effect of magnetite, humic acid and biofertlizer application on growth, yield and quality of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). M.Sc., Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- Iba, K. (2002). Aclimative response to temperature stress in higher plants: approaches of gene engineering for temperature tolerance . Annual Review of Plant Biology,53: 225-245.
- Jackson, M. L. (1970). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentic Hall, Englewood Ceiffs, N. J.
- Kahlaoui, B., M. Hachicha, J. Teixeira, E. Misle, F. Fidalgo and B. Hanchi (2013). Response of two tomato cultivars to field-applied proline and salt stress. J. Stress Physiology & Bioche., 9 (3): 357-365.
- Khan, A.; A. Gurmani; M.Z. Khan; F. Hussain; M.E. AKhtar and S. Khan (2012). Effect of humic acid on the growth, yield, nutrient composition, photosynthetic pigment and total sugar contents of peas (*Pisum* sativum L). J. Chem. Soc. Paks.,
- Lalito, C.; S. Bhandari; V. Sharma and S.K. Yadav (2018). Effect of different organic and inorganic nitrogenous fertilizers on growth, yield and soil properties of pea (*Pisum sativum*, L.). J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 7(4): 2114-2118.
- Loukili, A.; Limam, F.; Ayadi, A.; Boyer, N.; Ouelhazi, L. (1999). Purification and characterization of a neutral peroxidase induced by rubbing tomato internodes. Physiology Plantarum 105: 24- 31.
- Mahmoud, G. O. (2008). Effect of sowing date and plant density on growth and productivity of two faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) cultivars J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33(5): 3167 – 3179.
- Naji K.M. and V.R. Devaraj (2011). Antioxidant and other biochemical defense responses of *Macrotyloma uniflorum* (Lam.) Verdc. (Horse gram) induced by high temperature and salt stress. Braz. J. Plant Physiol., 23(3):187-195.
- Nardi, S., D. Pizzeghello, A. Muscolo and A. Vianello (2002). Physiological effects of humic substances in plant growth. Soil Bio. and Bioch., 34 (11): 1527-1536.
- Nassef, D. M.T. and H.M. El-Aref (2016). Response of cucumber to yeast and royal jelly foliar applications. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., (47) 6-2:633-648.

- Olsen, S. R., and L. E. Sommers. (1982). Phosphorus. In: Page. A. L., R. H. Miller, and D. R.Keeney (Eds) Methods of Soil Analysis .Part 2 Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, W. I. USA. pp. 403-430.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy. In Soil Science Society of America, 1159.
- Ramadan, M.M.A. and F.Y.O. Mansour (2019). Response of pea plants to humic acid and spraying with microelements. J. Product. Dev., 24(2): 319–340.
- Sharma, A. ; M. Sharma ; K.C. Sharma ; Y. Singh ; R.P. Sharma and G.D. Sharma (2014). Standardization of sowing date and cultivars for seed production of garden pea (Pisum sativum var. hortense l.) under north western Himalayas. Legume Res., 37 (3) : 287-293.
- Sirwaiya, S. and S.S. Kushwah (2018). Assessment of different sowing dates and varieties on growth, yield and quality of seed in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) .Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 7(3): 1387-1396.
- Thomson, T., G.S. Patel, J.B. Thakar and K.S. Pandya (2017). Effect of foliar application of acetyl salicylic acid and ascorbic acid on protein content, yield and economics of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cv. Bonneville. Int.J. Curr. Microbiol. App.Sci., 6(6): 1987-1990.
- Thongam, B. ; A.S. Kadam ; A.A. Singh and Y. H. Singh (2017). Influence of planting dates on growth and yield of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry , 6(6): 1243-1246.
- Tiwari, R. ; L. Bhatt and R. Dev (2014). Effect of date of sowing on growth and yield of vegetable pea genotypes under rain-fed mid-hill conditions of Uttarakhand. Indian J. Hort. 71(2): 288-291.
- Viuda-Martos, M., Y. Ruiz-Navajas, J. Fernandez-Lopez and J.A. Perez-Alvarez (2008). Functional properties of honey, propolis, and royal jelly. J. Food Sci. 73: 117-124.
- Waheed, A., F.S. Hamid, H. Gul, S. Mumtaz S. Aslam, N. Ahmed (2015). Effect of sowing date in the performance of pea plant (Pisum sativum) under agro climatic condition of Mansehra. Mor. J. Chem. 3 (4): 713-722

تاثير بعض المستحثات علي انتاجية البسلة تحت ظروف الإجهاد الحراري سمير طه محمود العفيفي<sup>1</sup> ، سيف الدين محمد فريد<sup>2</sup> و رفقة سامي عزيز منصور<sup>2\*</sup> 1قسم الخضر والزينة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة - مصر 2 قسم بحوث محاصيل الخضر ذاتية التلقيح - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

تم إجراء تجربة حقلية بالمزرعة البحثية بالبر امون ، محافظة الدقهلية ، مصر ، خلال موسمى 2017/2016 و2018/2017 لدراسة تأثير مواعيد الزراعة وبعض منشطات النمو على النمو والمحصول ومكوناته وجودة البنور في القرون الخضراء للبسلة صنف Master B. ثم تنفيذ التجربة في تصميم للقطع المنشقة مرة واحدة في ثلاثة مكررات. تم تخصيص القطع الرئيسية لمواعيد الزراعة، في حين تم تخصيص القطع الشقية لثلاثين معاملة لمنشطات النمو. سجلت زراعة البسلة في الأسبوع الأول من شهر محررات. تم تخصيص القطع الشقية لثلاثين معاملة لمنشطات النمو. سجلت زراعة البسلة في الأسبوع الأول من شهر من شهر من النبات الفدان من الزراعة في الأوراق وعدد القرون / النبات والمحصول لكل من شهر من النبات الفدان من الزراعة في الأوراق وعد القرون / النبات والمحصول لكل من النبات الفدان من الزراعة في الأسبوع الأول من شهر فيراير في كلا الموسمين. بينما زاد محتوى البرولين ونشاط إنزيم أوكسييز مع زراعة البسلة في في الأوراق ، إلى مقارنة بالزراعة في سبتمبر أفضل النتائج لزيادة طول النبات وعدد الأوراق والأوزان الطاز جة والجافة. ونتجت أعلى القيم لمحتويك N و P في الأوراق وعد القرون / النبات والمحصول لكل من شهر فيراير في كلا الموسمين ازاد محتوى البرولين ونشاط إنزيم أوكسييز مع زراعة البسلة في في ابر مع من معاد الفرز ما معال من شهر البراغة والمحصول الكل في سبتمبر . أنتجت نبلتك البسلة المعالج ب كالار من شهر في سبتمبر . أنتجل الفي الذاذم من الذاذ مع زراعة النبات ، عدد الأوراق ، إجمالي الوزن الطاز ج / النبك ، محصول الكلى/ فدان في كلا الموسمين ووزن 100 نزد على أعلى محتوى النبراعة وي سبتمبر والتسميد مالوران النبات ، عد الأوراق ، إجمالي والن الطاز ج / النبك والمحصول الكل في المور في الأول من شهر سبتمبر والتسبيد والتسبيد مالور النبك ، حداد من مالموحين الفوسفور السبلة والمحصول الكل النبك ، محصول النبكل في في كلا الموسمين ووزن 100 بنزة في الموراق من معاملة ملوى النبك ، لمولين ونشاط إنزيم أوكس من الثلي وعن مال الشهر في الأوراق من معاملة مالون النبك ، حمن الموصول الكل في المتوج والفوسفور الون الطاز ج / النبك ، محص اليبووجين والفوسفور والونا الطاز ج النب الى في منبي ونشاط إنزين في كار الموسم الفل والمن شهر فيراور ون مالمو مي ي في مالموسي الموس المولي في مالموسفي وي في كلا الموسمين أول في وي كل الموسول القام من م