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#### Abstract

The present study was conducted to assess the effect of polyploidy induction on different properties of Cercis siliquastrum plant. Experiment was conducted in RCBD with 15 treatments and 3 replications. The seeds were treated with $0.0,0.5,1.0,1.5$ and $2.0 \%$ colchicine solution at room temperature for various exposure times 12,24 and 48 h . Observations on morphological variations were recorded on each plant in each treatment. Various in seed germination, morphological and growth characteristics observed due to effects of interactions between colchicine concentration and soaked period. By increasing of the colchicine concentration the seed germination rate decreased significantly from $80 \%$ to $50 \%$, the effects on seed germinate were most evident at the higher colchicine concentrations ( 1.5 to $2.0 \%$ ). Longer stem and root, thicker stem and root diameter, higher number of leaves, branch and roots per transplant, were width area, thick and greener leaves, variable anatomy wood stem were achieved and development as compared to control plants. The length and width of stomata ( 21.6 and $10.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) respectively were significantly increased and induced in $0.1 \%$ of colchicine for 48 h , whereas stomata density was decreased to 68 per area in $0.2 \%$ of colchicine at 48 h . in contrast with initial diploid plants. However $1.5 \%$ and $0.2 \%$ concentrations of colchicine at different soaked time were more effective for producing variation in plants. These polyploids plants may be helpful for further development and improvement of ornamental tree. Keywords: Cercis siliquastrum L. variation, colchicine, polyploid breeding; stomata characteristics


## INTRODUCTION

The Cercis genus (Fabaceae: Caesalpinoideae: Cercideae), also known as redbud, is a valuable commodity in the North American landscape industry and can also be found growing in temperate environments across the globe (David and Dennis 2016). Cercis L. consists of about 6-10 species, (Davis et al., 2002 and Fritsch et al., 2009) Only Cercis siliquastrum L. ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{X}=14$ ), species is native to Kurdistan region and whole Iraq (Shahbaz, 2010 and Barwary, 2015). Cercis siliquastrum L. (Judas tree) is a deciduous shrub, beautiful, with attractive and interesting rosy-purple flowers, roundshaped foliage, and form, widely cultivated as ornamental tree. It is well adapted to semi-arid conditions and highly tolerant of urban Pollution (Cejka and AL-Aamiry, 1981). Commonly used as a street tree, gardens and parks as a parking lot island and in highway median. It will even thrive in inner city environments. Furthermore, ornamental-conservational importance, for restoration (Jazirei, 2001), protection against soil losses caused by wind or water erosion, used in reforestation of disturbed lands to improve the landscape, windbreaks and wildlife plantings (Gebre and Karam, 2004; and Unal et al., 2009). Also used as a phytoremediation measure against, (Yaşar et al. 2010), it has been valued for other purposes too. The blossoms and leaves of $C$. siliquastrum rich in many kinds of chemical compositions and volatile oils (Amer, J. 2019) also founded antimicrobial and antioxidant effects of $C$. siliquastrum leaves and flowers may contribute significantly to the biological activities and potential medicinal properties such as treatment of various microbial infections. In the other hand, wood of Judas tree is generally having good quality, hard with an attractive grain, coarse-textured, easy to work and finishes smoothly, it is used for making veneers, tool handles, mallet heads, turnery, cabinet making and to produce the high-quality charcoal and used in gunpowder manufacture (Pijut, 2008). Moreover, it is traditionally used as a fodder in the Mediterranean region (Papanastasis et al., 1997). In recent
times, polyploidy program had been used to bring about variation in chromosome number; in order to increase genetic variability and improve plant characteristics. Induction of polyploidy is widely recognized as an effective technique among various breeding tools because it has broadened genetic base, development of breeding lines in a short time span (Pereira et al., 2014). The polyploidy induction in most cases is associated with gigantism in different plant organs like leaves, flower, fruits and stomata. More than the induction of polyploidy is a valuable method to obtain useful and novel characteristics that are not found in the diploid progenitor. The improvement of plant material through induced polyploidy has been one of the major targets of plant breeding programmes. There for, Hannweg et al. (2016) suggested the main advantage of induced polyploidy is that the plants achieved usually have improved morphological and yield characteristics, such as taller height, larger tuber, rhizome or root size. While Noori, et al., (2017) provided the Polyploidy is an amazing evolutionary event that can be used in plant breeding to improve plant material. Actually, increased vigour and better performance are features that make polyploid organisms more preferred than their diploid relatives (Sattler et al. 2016). In the other hand, Sourour et al., (2014), indicated that different parts of plant like seed, apical meristems, flower buds and roots can be used to induce polyploidy, however the best results have been obtained in a seed treatment. While Pirkooi et al., (2011), suggested the success of polyploidy induction depends upon the colchicine application method, plant part used, species, concentration and duration of exposure, and founded that high concentration often leads to abnormalities in developing seedlings.

Colchicine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6}\right)$, frequently used method of increasing the chromosome number of plant, originally extracted from Colchicum autumnale, may induce some morphological, cytological and histological changes, and even changes in the gene expression level (Murali, et al., 2013). Very little research is known about the breeding and improvement method of $C$. siliquastrum L . information is
needed on genotypic and phenotypic variation of different characters. Such Information is needed to guide decisionmaking on future research, development, and management of the species. Keeping in mind the above views, this study was planned in Judas tree to find the efficient method of inducing by using colchicines to creating more genetic variability, made variation, high yield and novel characters that could be used as parent material in future breeding programs.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted at research area in the laboratory and nursery of the Forestry Department/Collage of Agriculture during 2016-2017. Healthy and Mature pods were collected from five healthy and open-pollinated tree of C. siliquastrum selected in November 2016, where growing in the stands of collage of Agriculture, University of Duhok of Kurdistan region-North of $\operatorname{Iraq}\left(42^{\circ}, 52^{\prime}, 02^{\prime \prime}\right.$ $\mathrm{E}, 36^{\circ}, 51^{\prime}, 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, and altitude 456 m . over sea level and average annual rainfall $400-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The seeds were extracted, cleaned of any extra material, air dried in shade for 24 h . putted in moisture-proof containers, such as polyethylene bags and stored in a cooling chamber in a low temperature $\left(2-4 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}\right)$ until experimentative. Seeds of $C$. siliquastrum have a deep double dormancy and are hard to germinate especially when dried related to the hardness and impermeability of their coat, and by endosperm dormancy due to the presence of ferulic acid around the seed endosperm, acting as chemical inhibitor (Haroni, 2014). To break this dormancy before treating with mitotic inhibitors (colchicine), the seed were treated and soaked in concentrated $(98 \%) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ for 45 min . to scarified the seed coat, after that they wished with distilled water for 15 min . later the seed were soaked in distilled warm water for 24 h at $25 \mathrm{C}^{0}$. Those seed which became scratched and visibly swollen were selected and surface sterilised by immersion in in 0.1 percent $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ (Mercuric chloride) for 15 minutes and washed thrice with double distilled water for 5 minutes with gentle swirling. These seed were subsequently used as the starting material for colchicine application.

## Colchicine treatment of seeds:-

Seeds immersed directly in aqueous solution of colchicine material, five concentrations are (zero, 500, 1000,1500 and $2000 \mathrm{mgL}^{-1}$ ) and different periods of seeds immersion in aqueous concentrations of colchicine in three periods (12, 18, and 24 hours). The disinfected seeds were placed on filter paper in 90 mm Petri dishes ( 20 seed in each dish) and provided with an aqueous solution of colchicine, so that the filter paper was fully saturated. After that, the seeds were washed with sterile water and transferred to fresh filter paper. Seeds treated throughout only with distilled water provided the control sample. The three treated seeds with colchicine solution were planted in polyethylene sacks ( $10 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), filled with a sandy soils in February 2017, inside a lath house, where the percentage of light was about $50 \%$. Seedlings of each experiment were lebled, maintained, irrigated and weed whenever it is necessary. In the end of November 2017, we selected best (5) seedlings in each experiment units for all treatments to study the variation between treated and untreated plants in each treatments. A rule (with accuracy 1 mm ) and Verner
digital caliper (with accuracy 0.1 mm ) were used in this study.

## Measurement of stomata

Stomatal characteristics (numbers $/ \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) and size of stomata (length and width) were measured by the method of Omidbaigi et al. (2010). Well expanded, mature and enlarged leaves were taken from both control and treated plants. Nail varnish technique was used to isolate samplings from surface epidermises. The epidermis were mounted on glass slides and a light microscopy "Olympus U-DA" with a DinoXcope digital camera support on MAC, was used to photograph and measure stomata dimensions with magnification of $10 x$ and $40 x$ respectively. The 15 readings for each treatment will scored in addition to the average, the stomata number will count per $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ by use a gaged lens.

## Chlorophyll determinations

Depending on method of Knudsen et al., (1977) the chlorophyll content ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and total) in leaves was evaluated. Pigments were extracted by dissolving 0.5 g of fresh mature leaf sample in 100 ml of absolute ethanol alcohol. The leaves after removing the middle vein cut into small pieces, and putted in flasks of 50 ml capacity which include to 30 ml of the absolute ethanol alcohol and then they kept in darkness for 24 hrs . The operation of extraction was repeats more than three times to guarantee the chlorophyll extraction completely, after that; the final volume was reached 90 ml and the volume complete to 100 ml . The absorption of solution was measured in two wavelengths ( 665 nm and 649 nm ) by using Spectrophotometer, which was utilized to study the following characteristics:

## 1. Chlorophyll a Content $(\mathbf{C H ~ a})=(13.70)(\mathbf{A} 665 \mathrm{~nm})-$ (5.76) (A 649 nm$)$ <br> 2. Chlorophyll b Content $(\mathbf{C H} \mathbf{b})=(\mathbf{2 5 . 8 0})(\mathbf{A} 649 \mathrm{~nm})-$ (7.60) (A 665 nm )

## 3. Total Chlorophyll Content $=$ Chlorophyll a +

 Chlorophyll b
## Morphological parameters of seedling characteristics:-

After identification of tetraploid plants, morphological, physiological and anatomically characteristics where mention blew, as well as growth behavior of both tetraploid and diploid plants were recorded in order to characterize the differences and compared with control plants.

The largest leaf of transplant were selected and calculated via (ImageJ 1.52a) program according to (Schneider et al, 2012), each sample was counted accurately.
Anatomical parameters of seedlings wood characteristics:-

The wood cells of seedlings in each experiment were separated from each other by using the maceration method (Franklin, 1945). The equal amount of glacial acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ and hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ by volume $1: 1$ were used to macerate the small piece of the wood stem of seedling. The macerate samples later they washed by distilled water to remove the remaining of solution, and then stained by Safranine stain ( $1 \%$ ). After that, the anatomical characteristics of wood will studies by use Olympus microscope with magnification of 100x and
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400x respectively. Fifteen readings for each treatment they taken in addition to the average.

## Statistical analysis

This study was conducted using factorial base experiment within randomized complete block design (RCBD). The collected data were analysed with the SAS 9.1 for windows software package (Statistical). Means were compared using Duncan Multi ranged test at the 5\% and $1 \%$ probability levels.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data present in table (1) indicated there was wide range of variation response of control and colchicine
treated plants, a high variability within seed and seedlings characters the seed germination (\%), morphological, physiological and anatomical seedling characteristics. The germination percentage was ranged between $50-90 \%$, seedling length $47.5-139.0 \mathrm{~cm}$, leaf area found to be (10.5$36.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ). This positive variations resulted is a great opportunity to allow the use of such a trait as a tool for estimation and screening and then for the specific selective improvement of plant. The changes in this characteristics study such as plant height, leaves area, chlorophyll content stomata size and stomata numbers were important indicators for the detection of ploidy levels in this species.

Table 1. the minimum, maximum, range, coefficient of variation and mean $\pm$ standard deviation of growth, morphological, physiological and anatomical characteristics of Cercis selegustriu L. seedling studies.

| Characters | Minimum, Maximum and Range | Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation (SD.V.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germination Percentage (\%) | 50.00-90.000 (40.00) | 17.229 | $66.222 \pm 11.409$ |
| Shoot System Characteristics |  |  |  |
| Seedling Length (cm) | 47.48-139.00 (91.52) | 28.390 | $82.979 \pm 23.558$ |
| Stem Length (cm) | 18.52-63.4 (44.88) | 34.217 | $35.021 \pm 11.983$ |
| Stem Diameter (mm) | 3.228-7.42 (4.192) | 23.001 | $4.748 \pm 1.092$ |
| Number of Branches / Transplant | 1.00-3.400 (2.4) | 37.36 | $1.4044 \pm 0.524$ |
| Largest Leaf Area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | 10.552-36.712 (26.1596) | 31.099 | $21.7121 \pm 6.7524$ |
| Number of Leaf / transport | $16.00-41.00$ (25.00)25.611 | 25.611 | $22.884 \pm 5.877$ |
| Leaf Blade Length / Leaf Blade Width Ratio | 0.7745-1.0299 (0.2553) | 5.1227 | $0.7553 \pm 0.04484$ |
| Leaf Thickness (mm) | 0.268-0.6300 (0.362) | 20.1798 | $0.3317 \pm 0.0770$ |
| Root Systems Characteristics |  |  |  |
| Root length (cm) | $28.960-80.510$ (51.540) | 29.9421 | $47.9589 \pm 14.3599$ |
| Root diameter (mm) | $3.2860-7.856$ (4.5640) | 21.570 | $5.2420 \pm 1.1307$ |
| Number of secondary roots | $1.400-7.00$ (5.600) | 40.6408 | $3.3511 \pm 1.362$ |
| Root System Dry Weight (g) | 1.1708-5.460 (4.2892) | 46.022 | $2.5077 \pm 1.154$ |
| Physiological Characteristics of Leaves |  |  |  |
| Chlorophyll (a) Content (mg/g) | 9.0532-16.2668 (7.2145) | 13.8893 | $11.784 \pm 1.6367$ |
| Chlorophyll (b) Content ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | 0.9476-4.2902 (3.3426) | 41.5801 | $2.2502 \pm 0.9356$ |
| Total Chlorophyll Content (mg/g) | $10.5565-20.5570$ (10.00) | 15.5086 | $14.0342 \pm 2.1765$ |
| Anatomical Characteristics of Leaves |  |  |  |
| Stomata Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 14.276-23.698 (9.423) | 12.223 | $17.350 \pm 2.1204$ |
| Stomata Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 6.948-11.430 (4.482) | 12.1087 | $8.4168 \pm 1.0192$ |
| Number of stomata / mm ${ }^{2}$ | 65.00-190.0 (125.0) | 14.912 | $116.10 \pm 17.317$ |
| Anatomical Characteristics of Shoot Wood |  |  |  |
| Fiber Tracheid Length (mm) | 0.5203-0.8864 (0.3661) | 12.977 | $0.7258 \pm 0.0941$ |
| Fiber Tracheid Diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $9.1077-17.2196$ (8.112) | 9.8647 | $13.332 \pm 1.315$ |
| Double Cell Wall Thickness of Fiber ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 4.7803-7.4630 (2.6826) | 9.774 | $5.999 \pm 0.5864$ |
| Vessel Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $44.740-91.5668$ (46.8261) | 15.127 | $71.8754 \pm 10.72$ |
| Vessel Diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 7.8258-19.261 (11.4353) | 25.460 | $11.1965 \pm 2.8507$ |

## Seed germination:-

Data presented in table 2, (means $\pm$ Standard division value and Duncan multi ranged test) indicated that the germination percentage of treated seed of the Judas tree declined with the increase in the concentration of colchicines dosage used, this evident based one valuations, the highest polyploidy induction efficiency was achieved by applying higher concentrations of colchicines ( $0.15 \%$ $0.2 \%$ for 48 h .) were most impacted in seed germination, which decreased in to ( 56.56 and $53.3 \%$ ) respectively, per contra the highest germination percentage ( $80.0 \%$ ) were recorded in controls treatment. This is because colchicine does not only have an effect on cell division but spreads through the cell, interfering with cellular mechanism and causing toxicity at high concentration. Colchicine apparently impacts the viscosity of cytoplasm so the cell cannot function normally. Han et al., (1999) It has been proved that when high dose of colchicine used as a
mutation agent for plant, toxic contamination, phytotoxicity and abnormality became main cause of death in the plants. Similar observations were reported in Japanese mulberry genotypes by (Tojyo, 1966), in tropical mulberry varieties namely by (Rao, 1996), in Platanus Acerifolia by (Liu et al., 2007), Robinia pseudoacacia L. and Ceratonia silique L. by (Omar, 2008), and in Quercus aegilops L. by (Toma, 2015), were indicated that a high concentration of colchicine and longer duration of immersion provided the reduction the rate of seed germination and plant survival.

## Morphological characteristics:-

The aim of this work was to induce variation through colchicine treatment in seed and select some useful variant which can be stabilised and used for developing new variety. The ANOVA results showed that there was significant effect of interaction colchicine concentration $\times$ treatment duration on the length of seedling, shoot length,
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shoot diameter, the number of branches, leaves area, leaf thickness and the length of roots. In the other hand, nonsignificant impact were found in germination percent, leaves number, leaf blade, root diameter and number. Means comparison analysis using Duncan multi test presented in table ( 2 and 3 ) showed that the highest mean of seedling height, shoot height and diameter (107.17, 46.67 cm . and 6.36 mm ) respectively, was achieved by applying $0.15 \%$ colchicine for 12 h . The lowest seedling height, shoot height and diameter were related to diploid plant with ( $56.05,22.81 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 4.19 mm ) sequentially. Based on the means comparison analysis Duncan Multi tests the application of $0.1 \%$ colchicine for 48 h . produced the maximum mean of branch number per transplant (2.27
branch/transplant), whereas the minimum branch number was recorded in diploid plants with average of 1.00 branch per transplant. Also the leaves in colchiploid plants were border, thicker, darker green and remarkable variations in leaf shape among different ploidy level (Figure, 3), have been reported by many studies (Omar, 2008; Toma, 2015; Talebi et al., 2017; Zinan et al., 2018; and Zhang, et al., 2018) that the Plant height, ground diameter, leaf area, and the photosynthetic parameter of triploid were significantly higher than those of the diploid plant. Were the Sattler et al., (2016), provided that the process of induction polyploidy is called gigas effect, is the most important results of polyploidy are increased in cell size due to the addition of extra gene copies.

Table 2. The effect of different colchicine treatments on seedling traits of C. siliquastrum

| No. of Treatments | Colchicine $\mathrm{mgl}^{-1}$ | Duration <br> (h) | Germination Percentage (\%) | Seedling Length (cm) | Shoot <br> Length (cm) | Stem Diameter $(\mathbf{m m})$ | No. of Branches/ Transplant | No. of Leaves/ Seedling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{gathered} 80.00 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(10.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.05 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(5.93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.81 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.19 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.27 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(0.78) \end{gathered}$ |
| T2 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 66.67 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(5.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.99 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(12.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.46 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(5.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.47 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(0.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.20 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(0.20) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.93 \text { abcd } \\ \pm(2.11) \end{gathered}$ |
| T3 | 1000 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 70.000 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(10.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.96 \text { bcd } \\ & \pm(12.95) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.47 \text { cdef } \\ \pm(7.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.70 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67 \mathrm{abcd} \\ & \pm(0.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.40 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(4.06) \end{gathered}$ |
| T4 | 1500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.00 \mathrm{abcd} \\ & \pm(10.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107.173 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(11.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.57 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(6.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.36 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.00 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.00) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.07 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(3.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| T5 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 63.333 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(5.77) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.38 \text { abcd } \\ \pm(11.20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.65 \text { cde } \\ \pm(9.10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5.14 abcd $\pm(0.95)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.42) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.40 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(3.17) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| T6 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 76.67 \text { abc } \\ & \pm(11.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.367 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(2.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.03 \text { ef } \\ & \pm(2.67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.92 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.33 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(1.53) \end{aligned}$ |
| T7 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 66.67 \text { abcd } \\ \pm(15.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.48 \text { cde } \\ \pm(8.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.48 \text { cdef } \\ & \pm(4.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.69 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.27 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.20 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(4.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| T8 | 1000 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(10.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.79 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(13.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.67 \text { cdef } \\ & \pm(5.76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.80 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(0.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.40 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(3.14) \end{gathered}$ |
| T9 | 1500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 63.33 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(11.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.66 \text { abcd } \\ \pm(10.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.17 \text { bcd } \\ \pm(6.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.99 \mathrm{bdc} \\ \pm(0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.20 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(2.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| T10 | 2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(10.00) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.77 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(12.55) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.77 \text { fde } \\ \pm(5.05) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.92 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.51) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.53 bcd $\pm(0.12)$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.20 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(2.55) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| T11 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{gathered} 83.333 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(5.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 59.17 \mathrm{e} \\ (3.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.71 \mathrm{ef} \\ & \pm(1.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.93 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.27 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(1.12) \end{aligned}$ |
| T12 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 70.00 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(17.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.12 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(11.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.20 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(5.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.88 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.00 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(4.01) \end{gathered}$ |
| T13 | 1000 | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 70.00 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(17.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.36 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(10.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.800 \mathrm{def} \\ \pm(4.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.97 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.27 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.13 \text { bcde } \\ & \pm(3.12) \end{aligned}$ |
| T14 | 1500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 56.67 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(5.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.19 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(8.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.20 \text { ef } \\ & \pm(3.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.97 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.00 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(3.22) \end{gathered}$ |
| T15 | 2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 53.33 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(5.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.73 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(14.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.1 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(8.20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.55 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.07 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(3.41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| P-value |  |  | 0.8172 | 0.0004 | 0.0024 | 0.0243 | 0.0012 | 0.1386 |

Note: Means $\pm$ standard deviations of 3 independent replications in the same column followed by the same letters do not differ significantly ( $\mathbf{p}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ ) as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.
Red indicates the highest mean of seed germination and seedling morphological characters

The highest mean of leaf area corresponded to using $0.1 \%$ for 12 h . Followed it T9 $(0.15 \%$ for 24 h .) of colchicine concentration and period of soaking, in area (29.55 and $25.67 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) respectively, while and also the lowest mean for this trait was related to diploid plants (T1) in area $14.86 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Were the thicker leaf produced also in 0.01 of colchicine for 12 h . in other hand the thinner leaves produced in control treatment plants (T11). Depending on ANOVA test non-significant effect of different ploidy level on the leaf blade (leaf length/leaf width) results of the leaf shape of Cercis siliquastrum L. it was circular to heart shape (Figure 3), and leaf length and width were very close
to it. According to the results of the means comparison analysis using Duncan multi test, the application of $0.1 \%$ followed it 0.15 of colchicine led to the highest means of root length ( 62.13 and 60.60 cm ) respectively. The lowest means were achieved in $0.0 \%$ of colchicine treatment control in root length (Table 3). The application of colchicine also led to different shapes and sizes of leaves in the Tetradenia riparia medicinal plant (Hannweg et al., 2016).

Applying the Duncan Multi test (table, 3). The larger means of leaves number per transplant (29.4 leaves) were recorded in $0.2 \%$ for 24 h . of colchicine, whilst
lowest founded in diploid plants (17.33 leaves). The thicker and huge number of roots ( 6.45 mm and 5.6 roots) respectively, were achieved also in treatment (T5) $0.2 \%$ for 24 h . of colchicine solution, conversely thinner root and lowest number of roots present in control treatment plants. Sattler et al. (2016), according from cultivation techniques to genetic breeding, founded there was considerable interest in improving the organ size of agriculture and forestry products. Polyploidization is generally recognized as an effective strategy to improve organ size. Handayani et al, (2018), investigated that the larger cells produce larger parts of the plant such as leaves, flowers, fruits, and plants. Whereas the increase in leaf area leads to increase the biological processes such as photosynthesis which in turn leads to increase the growth of plant (Beest et al., 2012 and Li et al., 2014). Visual evaluations were also showed the increasing effects of polyploidy induction on plant height and leaf area (Fig. 1 and 2).


Figure 1. Cercis siliquastrum seedlings grown in greenhouse at 8 months old. (Polyploid plant and Diploid plant).


Figure 2. Cercis siliquastrum seedling leaves for (A) Polyploid plant, (B) Diploid plant


Figure 3. Variation in leaves shape, size and color of Cercis siliquastrum $\mathbf{L}$.

## Physiological characteristics:-

Chlorophyll is the green pigments in leaves, is very important in plant life through the process of
photosynthesis. It had been not in a number of studies that as ploidy level increases the chlorophyll concentration within the polyploids leaves increases (Romero-aranda et al., 1997). Recently, the quantification of chlorophyll concentration using chlorophyll absorbance will successfully apply to distinguish between diploid and tetraploid.

The accumulation of high chlorophyll contents in the leaves of tetraploid plants may be relevant to increase number of chloroplasts in the stomata guard cells. ANOVA test analysis proved there were significant differences between treated seeds with colchiploidy and untreated control seed on the chlorophyll ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and total) content at ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001,0.003$ and 0.0001 ) respectively which showed in table (4). Duncan Multi test also investigated there were significant differences between diploid and tetraploid plants. The results (Table 2) demonstrated that highest chlorophyll content ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and total) of the tetraploid plants $(15.56 \pm 1.01,3.28 \pm 0.51$ and $18.74 \pm 1.69)$ respectively, were obtained in T3 and T15 and significantly higher than those of the diploid plants ( $9.28 \pm 0.70,1.16 \pm$ 0.18 and $10.44 \pm 0.88$ ) respectively, were founded in control treatment (T6). The result pointed out that polyploidy plants leaves were darker than diploid plants and they contained higher of chlorophyll $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and total compere to control plants treatment. The results of chlorophyll were agreement with Omar (2008); Tulay and Unal (2010); Grouh et al. (2011); Toma (2015) and others. On the other, the results were disagreeing with the study of both Ariyanto and Supriyadi (2011) and Yildiz (2013) who mentioned that there is a negative correlation between chloroplast number and ploidy level and the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll contents of tetraploid sugar beet genotypes were found to be lower than diploid.

## Anatomical characteristics

## Stomata characters:-

One of the most appropriate features that can be used as a strong indicator of the ploidy level in plants is stomatal density (Gomes et al., 2014). While Omidbaigi et al., (2010) reported that Tetraploid plants could be identified with a fair amount of certainty when the screening was based on the size of stomata and density of stomata.

More then, Zlesak, (2005), detected the size of stomata increases with increased ploidy level, so the size of stomata can be used to test the ploidy of plants, were Sadhukhan, et al., (2014), they used the stomatal lengths as the alternative method for the determination of ploidy in plants. The averages and range of the stomata length, width and density of plants were 17.35 (14.27-23.69), 8.42 (6.95$11.43 \mu \mathrm{~m}), 116.01\left(65.00-190.0\right.$ in $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) respectively. A comparison of stomata characteristics in diploid and tetraploid plants (Figure, 4) showed the stomata length and width increased while stomata number per area decreased in colchicine-induced tetraploid plants. The ANOVA test in table (4), investigated there were high significant effect of interaction between colchicine concentration and soaked time on stomata size and density in leaf area at ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ).

Table 3. The effect of different colchicine treatments on seedling traits of C. siliquastrum

| No. of Treatments | Colchicine $\mathrm{mgl}^{-1}$ | Duration <br> (h) | Largest Leaf Area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | Leaf Blade Length / Leaf Blade Width | Leaf Thicknesses $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Root length (cm) | Root diameter (mm) | Number of secondary roots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.86 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.922 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(0.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \text { def } \\ & \pm(0.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.25 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(4.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.76 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.40) \end{gathered}$ |
| T2 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 22.91 \mathrm{bc} \\ \pm(2.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.86 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \text { bcdef } \\ & \pm(0.03) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.53 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(7.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.23 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.13 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(1.29) \end{gathered}$ |
| T3 | 1000 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.55 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(4.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.89 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.51 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.48 \text { ab } \\ \pm(6.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.64 \text { abcde } \\ & \pm(0.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.47 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.70) \end{aligned}$ |
| T4 | 1500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.03 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(2.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.90 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \text { bcdef } \\ & \pm(0.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.60 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(10.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(1.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.67 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(1.10) \end{aligned}$ |
| T5 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 23.97 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(2.14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.86 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.03) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.42 \text { abcdef } \\ \pm(0.05) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.74 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(7.41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.45 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.86) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.60 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(1.21) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| T6 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.65 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(1.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.87 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.33 \mathrm{def} \\ & \pm(0.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.34 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(2.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.47 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.13 \mathrm{~d} \\ \pm(0.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| T7 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 23.99 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(3.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.867 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(0.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.37 \text { bcdef } \\ \pm(0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.01 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(11.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.77 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.33 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.81) \end{aligned}$ |
| T8 | 1000 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.01 \text { bcde } \\ & \pm(2.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.90 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \text { cdef } \\ & \pm(0.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.13 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(7.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.63 \text { abcde } \\ \pm(\mathrm{o}, 61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.33 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(1.11) \end{aligned}$ |
| T9 | 1500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 25.67 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(4.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.85 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.42 \text { abcde } \\ \pm(0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.49 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(7.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.92 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(0.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.47 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(1.30) \end{aligned}$ |
| T10 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.47 \text { cde } \\ \pm(3.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.88 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.04) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.99 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(8.11) \end{gathered}$ | 5.04 bcde $\pm(0.65)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.67 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(0.81) \end{aligned}$ |
| T11 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.04 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(1.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.84 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.45 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(2.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.58 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.53) \end{aligned}$ |
| T12 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.86 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(2.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.87 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.451 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.920 \text { abc } \\ \pm(6.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.765 \text { abcde } \\ \pm(0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.000 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(1.40) \end{gathered}$ |
| T13 | 1000 | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.03 \mathrm{bc} \\ & \pm(3.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.92 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.430 \text { abcd } \\ \pm(0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.560 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(7.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.477 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(0.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.867 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.52) \end{gathered}$ |
| T14 | 1500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18.26 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(4.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.94 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.349 \text { bcdef } \\ \pm(0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.993 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(5.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.633 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(0.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.600 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.42) \end{gathered}$ |
| T15 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 22.95 \mathrm{bc} \\ \pm(2.78) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.85 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.05) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.459 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(0.06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.587 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(6.48) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.104 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(0.94) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.233 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(1.10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| P-value |  |  | 0.0112 | 0.5450 | 0.0208 | 0.0037 | 0.0660 | 0.0655 |

Note: Means $\pm$ standard deviations of 3 independent replications in the same column followed by the same letters do not differ significantly ( $\mathbf{p}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ ) as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.
Red indicates the highest mean of seed germination and seedling morphological characters


Figure 4. Stomata size and density for (A) Polyploid plant, (B) Diploid plant in Cercis siliquastrum L.

The results demonstrated of induced tetraploid treatment T13 ( $0.10 \%$ of colchicine and socked for 48h) was most efficiency and recorded high value on stomata length $(21.62 \pm 2.17 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and stomata width ( $10.30 \pm$ $0.99 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) which were significant from control and other colchicine treatments at $(\mathrm{P}<0.0001)$ followed it T15 $(0.2 \%$ for 48 h .), while the few number or lower density of stomata observed high colchicine concertation solution ( $0.2 \%$ for 48 h ) treatment with means and standard deviation $\left(68.0 \pm 4.60\right.$ stomata $/ \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ). Whereas the small stomata and highly density recorded in control treatment in $\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 6$ and T 11 ), the averages of stomata characteristics of
diploid plants were $(14.90 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ length, $(7.35 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ width and stomata density of diploid plants was (133.3cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ).

The stomata length and width dramatic increased while reduction in the stomata density of colchicineinduced tetraploid plants have also been reported in other ploidy induction studies (Aina et al., 2012; Majdi et al., 2010; Toma 2015; Xu et al. 2016; Talebi et al., 2017; and Yang, 2018). The higher photosynthetic efficiency of the triploid plants may be able to explain their significant faster growth in plant height and ground diameter (Liao et al., 2016)
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Table 4. The effect of different colchicine treatments on seedling traits of C. siliquastrum

| No. of Treatments | Colchicine $\mathrm{mgl}^{-1}$ | Duration <br> (h) | Chlorophyll <br> (a) Content (mg/g) | Chlorophyll <br> (b) Content (mg/g) | Chlorophyll <br> (b) Content (mg/g) | Stomata <br> Length <br> (mm) | Stomata Width (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No. of } \\ \text { stomata / } \\ \text { mm }^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.45 \mathrm{fg} \\ & \pm(0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \mathrm{cde} \\ & \pm(0.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.66 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.46 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.35 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(0.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.67 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(3.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| T2 | 500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11.74 \mathrm{def} \\ & \pm(0.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.14 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(0.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.88 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(0.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.13 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.66 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \pm(13.87) \end{aligned}$ |
| T3 | 1000 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.56 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(1.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.18 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.74 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(1.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.29 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.09 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.67 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(12.58) \end{aligned}$ |
| T4 | 1500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.60 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.04 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.64 \mathrm{bc} \\ & \pm(1.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.33 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.75 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(1.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.67 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(7.64) \end{aligned}$ |
| T5 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.07 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(0.53) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2.15 abc $\pm(0.43)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.22 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(0.84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.13 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(1.02) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.04 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(0.75) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.667 \mathrm{bc} \\ \pm(6.90) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| T6 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.28 \mathrm{~g} \\ \pm(0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.16 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.44 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.64 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(0.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.347 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(0.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134.00 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(4.58) \end{aligned}$ |
| T7 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 11.49 \mathrm{def} \\ \pm(0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.74 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.23 \mathrm{ef} \\ & \pm(0.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.29 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.22 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(0.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.67 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(9.50) \end{aligned}$ |
| T8 | 1000 | 24 | $\begin{gathered} 11.56 \operatorname{def} \\ \pm(0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.20 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.76 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.47 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(1.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.62 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.50 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \pm(12.50) \end{aligned}$ |
| T9 | 1500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.26 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(0.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.08 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.34 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(0.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.67 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(0.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.75 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.67 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(8.95) \end{aligned}$ |
| T10 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 14.37 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.80 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(0.45) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.17 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.61 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(0.87) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.70 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.40) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.67 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(7.63) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| T11 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{gathered} 10.32 \mathrm{fg} \\ \pm(0.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(0.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.34 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(0.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.90 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(0.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.32 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.33 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(8.51) \end{gathered}$ |
| T12 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 12.52 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(0.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.90 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.94) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.42 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(1.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.35 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.97 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \mathrm{~cd} \\ \pm(7.04) \end{gathered}$ |
| T13 | 1000 | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.03 \text { ef } \\ & \pm(0.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.59 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(1.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.62 \mathrm{de} \\ & \pm(1.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.62 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(2.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.30 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(0.99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.33 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(6.29) \end{aligned}$ |
| T14 | 1500 |  | 11.74 def $\pm(1.06)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.57 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.31 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \pm(1.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.92 \mathrm{bc} \\ \pm(1.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.12 \mathrm{abcd} \\ \pm(0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.34 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(9.86) \end{aligned}$ |
| T15 | 2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.58 \mathrm{bc} \\ & \pm(0.62) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.28 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.51) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.86 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(1.08) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.74 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(1.46) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.33 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(0.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.00 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(4.60) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| P-value |  |  |  |  | <. 0001 | 0.0037 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |

Note: Means $\pm$ standard deviations of 3 independent replications in the same column followed by the same letters do not differ significantly ( $\mathbf{p}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ ) as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.
Red indicates the highest mean of seed germination and seedling morphological characters

## Wood shoot anatomical characters

The overall mean values and varies of fiber length, fiber diameter, double cell wall thickness, vessel length and vessel width are observed to be $0.72(0.52-0.88) \mathrm{mm}, 13.33$ (9.10-17.21), 5.99(4.78-7.46), 71.87 (44.74-91.56) and $11.19 \quad(7.82-19.26) \mu \mathrm{m}$ respectively (table 5). On comparing the fiber and vessel dimensions achieved the fiber and vessel of tetraploid plants was longer and wider than diploid plants (Table 5). Test of means using Duncan multi indicates the length fiber and vessel were observed in T14 ( $0.15 \%$ of colchicine soaked for 48 h .) an overall mean of 0.83 mm and $88.91 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, while the dwarf fiber and vessels were founded in diploid plants (T1) with the overall mean is of $0.57 \mathrm{~mm} 54.59 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The maximum values of both fiber diameter and double cell wall thickness were also observed to be 15.69 and $7.03 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ receptively in $0.15 \%$ of colchicine solution for 24 h ., while the minimum value of fiber diameter and double cell wall thickness is found to be 12.07 and $5.26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ receptively in control or diploid plants. In the end the width vessel was recorded in $0.05 \%$ followed it 0.25 of colchicine concentration, while
thinner was observed in control treatment plants. If these differences between the wood of polyploid and diploid individuals investigated persisted until the plants attained commercial timber size, a breeding program to increase the fiber length by selecting polyploid Judas tree can be easily justified by cholchploidy induction.

According to the results the increased in dimensions and area were probably due to the fact that cells with a larger complement of chromosomes grow larger to maintain a constant ratio of cytoplasmic to nuclear volume, and express more proteins with the presence of more genes (Amiri et al., 2010). In study by Griffin et al., (2014) revealed the tetraploid plants produced length, wider and greater cell wall thickness in libiform fiber and fiber tracheid, in addition to the longer and diameter of vessel, compared to the diploid plants which were less than treated seedlings. In the other hand, Nelson (2000) detected the amount of primary and secondary fiber was greater in tetraploid plants comparing to diploid plants, and the change of ploidy caused changes on economically valuable characteristics of wood.

Table 5. The effect of different colchicine treatments on seedling traits of C. siliquastrum

| No. of Treatments | Colchicine $\mathrm{mgl}^{-1}$ | Duration <br> (h) | Fiber Length (mm) | Fiber Diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Double Cell Wall Thickness of Fiber ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Vessel Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Vessel <br> Diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.57 \mathrm{~h} \\ \pm(0.042) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.07 \text { cde } \\ \pm(0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.26 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.595 \mathrm{f} \\ \pm(5.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.78 \text { cde } \\ \pm(0.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| T2 | 500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \mathrm{def} \\ & \pm(0.039) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.91 \mathrm{e} \\ & \pm(0.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.62 \mathrm{cdef} \\ & \pm(0.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.60 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(4.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.20 \mathrm{c} \\ & \pm(1.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| T3 | 1000 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \mathrm{efg} \\ & \pm(0.028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.45 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.99 \text { bcdef } \\ \pm(0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.49 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(6.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.99 \text { cde } \\ \pm(0.89) \end{gathered}$ |
| T4 | 1500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(0.042) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.25 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \pm(0.96) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.38 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.15 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(5.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.26 \text { ed } \\ & \pm(0.45) \end{aligned}$ |
| T5 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.787 \mathrm{ab} \\ \pm(0.04) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.81 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.16 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(0.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.49 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(5.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.52 \quad \text { cde } \\ \pm(0.70) \end{gathered}$ |
| T6 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.58 \mathrm{gh} \\ \pm(0.034) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.99 \mathrm{ed} \\ & \pm(0.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.49 \text { def } \\ & \pm(0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.17 \text { ef } \\ & \pm(5.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.09 \mathrm{e} \\ \pm(0.25) \end{gathered}$ |
| T7 | 500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \mathrm{def} \\ & \pm(0.043) \end{aligned}$ | 13.12 bcd $\pm(0.65)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.78 \text { cdef } \\ & \pm(0.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.58 \text { cde } \\ \pm(5.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.73 \mathrm{~cd} \\ & \pm(0.62) \end{aligned}$ |
| T8 | 1000 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.039) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.28 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \pm(0.67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.14 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.14 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(5.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.27 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.61) \end{aligned}$ |
| T9 | 1500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(0.050) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.69 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(0.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.03 \text { a } \\ \pm(0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.27 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(2.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.35 \text { cde } \\ \pm(0.99) \end{gathered}$ |
| T10 | 2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.739 \mathrm{abc} \\ & \pm(0.064) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.62 \mathrm{bc} \\ \pm(1.29) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.21 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.19) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80.12 \text { abc } \\ \pm(7.62) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.46 \mathrm{~b} \\ \pm(1.34) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| T11 | 0.0 control |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \mathrm{fgh} \\ & \pm(0.028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.13 \text { cde } \\ & \pm(0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.38 \text { ef } \\ & \pm(0.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.78 \text { ef } \\ & \pm(3.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.83 \text { cde } \\ \pm(0.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| T12 | 500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(0.047) \end{gathered}$ | 13.19 bcd $\pm(0.88)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.23 \mathrm{bcd} \\ & \pm(0.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.00 \mathrm{de} \\ \pm(4.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.52 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(1.62) \end{aligned}$ |
| T13 | 1000 | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \mathrm{def} \\ & \pm(0.065) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.48 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.12 \text { bcde } \\ \pm(0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.73 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(5.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.52 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \pm(1.31) \end{aligned}$ |
| T14 | 1500 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.83 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.038) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.57 \mathrm{bc} \\ \pm(0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.32 \mathrm{abc} \\ \pm(0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.91 \text { a } \\ & \pm(2.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.45 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \pm(1.12) \end{aligned}$ |
| T15 | 2000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.82 \mathrm{a} \\ \pm(0.041) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.10 \mathrm{~b} \\ \pm(0.91) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.73 \mathrm{ab} \\ & \pm(0.63) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.45 \mathrm{bcd} \\ \pm(7.23) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.02 \mathrm{a} \\ & \pm(1.19) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| P-value |  |  | 0.5910 | 0.0359 | 0.3571 | 0.0048 | <. 0001 |

Note: Means $\pm$ standard deviations of 3 independent replications in the same column followed by the same letters do not differ significantly ( $\mathbf{p}<0.05$ ) as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.
Red indicates the highest mean of seed germination and seedling morphological characters

## CONCLUSION

Morphological and physiological characteristics can be used as useful parameters for preliminary screening of putative polyploids in this Judas tree. Results showed that treated seed with colchicine at different concentrations in various periods significantly affect the germination rate of seed, seedling performance, and morphological, physiological and anatomical characters. Many superior traits in tetraploids as compared to control seedlings depending on the interaction were achieved. Also there were high variations in characteristics studies results of this interaction. These changes in seedling characters suggested ploidy manipulation as a rapid, effective method for enhancing genetic diversity and metabolite production and to use in breeding program.
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# تأثّير معاملة الكولثشيسين عطى النموو الصفات المظهرية و الفسيولوجبة و النتّريحية لثشتلات الأرغوان هثيار حازم مليمان' و هاجر سعيا أسكتلر " 
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أجريت هذه الار اسة لتقييم تأثير أستحداث التضاعف الكرموسومي على خصائص مختلفة لشتلات الأرغوان(Cercis siliquastrum) وقد أستخدمت



 ٪). أطول شتلة ، وأكبر قطر لسيقان وجذور، وعدد أكبر من الأور اق ، و الفروع والجذور لكل شنلة ، وأوراق ذات مساحة سطح و سكك كبيرة وأخضر داكن



 لمزيد من التطوير والتحسين لهذه الشجرة.
كلمات الدال .Cercis siliquastrum Lالتجاين، الكولثيسين ، تربية بالتضاعف الكروموسومي , خصائص الثغور

