

PARTIAL SUBSTITUTION OF CHEMICAL FERTILIZATION OF ROSELLE PLANT (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) BY ORGANIC FERTILIZATION IN PRESENCE OF ASCORBIC ACID

Youssef, A.S.M.*; M.A. Mady **and Ali, Maha M.E. Ali***

*** Hort. Dept. , Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha University,Egypt.**

****Agric. Botany Dept., Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha University,Egypt**

*****Soils and water Dept., Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha University,Egypt**

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of different levels of organic fertilizer (5, 10 and 15 m³ compost/fed.) when used with half dose of chemical fertilizer compare with full dose of chemical fertilizer in presence of ascorbic acid foliar spraying (0.0, 100 and 200ppm) on some growth parameters, yield and chemical constituents of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons. Interaction effects between ascorbic acid and the used fertilization treatments on growth and productivity were studied as well.

The results showed that either treatment of half chemical fertilizer dose+15m³ compost/fed. or full chemical fertilizer dose significantly induced the highest values of plant height, number of leaves, branches and fruits /plant, leaves dry weight/plant, fresh and dry weight of branches and sepals/plant, seed yield/plant, seed fixed oil per plant and per fed., sepals anthocyanin and vitamin-C content, leaf N, P, K, Fe, Zn and Mn uptake, leaf total carbohydrates, total sugars, total free amino acids, auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins content, but they decreased the values of leaf abscisic acid, peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase contents. However, the highest number of leaves, branches and fruits/plant, the heaviest leaves dry weight/plant, the heaviest fresh and dry weights of sepals/plant, the highest seed fixed oil content per plant and per fed., the highest sepals vitamin-C contents, the highest leaf N, K, Fe and Mn uptake, the highest values of chlorophyll a&b, carotenoids, total carbohydrates, total sugars, total free amino acids, gibberellins and cytokinins as well as the lowest values of abscisic acid, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase were recorded by half chemical fertilizer dose+15m³ compost/fed. treatment combined with 200ppm ascorbic acid. Besides, the highest sepals anthocyanin content was recorded by the combined treatment between half chemical fertilizer dose+10m³ compost/fed. and 200ppm ascorbic acid in both seasons. Moreover, the tallest plants, the heaviest fresh and dry weight of branches/plant, the highest seed yield/plant, the highest leaf P and Zn uptake and the highest leaf auxins content of roselle plants were scored by the full chemical fertilizer dose combined with 200ppm ascorbic acid, followed by the combined treatment between half chemical fertilizer dose+15m³ compost/fed. and 200ppm ascorbic acid in both seasons.

Consequently, it could be safely treat roselle plants with the combined treatment between half dose of chemical fertilizer+15m³ compost/fed. and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm for enhancing growth and productivity of this plant.

Keywords: Roselle, chemical & organic fertilization, antioxidants, growth, yeild, anthocyanin, and chemical composition.

Corresponding Author: E-mail: ahmed.youssef@fagr.bu.edu.eg

INTRODUCTION

Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*, L.) is one of the most important plants of the Malvaceae Family, which produce a fleshly red calyxes and epicalyxes (sepals). Rovesta (1936) stated that roselle is used as beverage because of its therapeutic properties since it contains citric acid and large amount of an emollient and sedative mucilage which permit rapid digestion, decrease hyperviscosity of blood and arterial pressure. The sepals are used for the preparation of hot and cold red drinks and obtaining the natural food coloring pigments such as anthocyanin compounds (Diab, 1968). Also, it is used as hypotensive agent since it lowers blood pressure without producing side effect (Sharaf, 1962). Furthermore, the seeds of roselle plants contain about 17-30% fixed oil which is similar in its properties to cotton seed oil (Hussin *et al.*, 1991). It has antimicrobial activities due to its phenolic compounds. It contains protein, fibers, calcium, iron, carotene, and vitamin-C (Fasoyiro *et al.* 2005). For the previously mentioned reasons, the cultivated area of roselle in Egypt is increasing gradually for local utilization and export. The interest of most investigators is to find out the most favorable conditions to get the best growth and yield of roselle plant.

Recently, a group of substances known as antioxidants or oxygen free radical scavengers were applied to protect against adverse effects of environment, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress such as ascorbic acid, citric acid, α tocopherol, glutathion and vitamins (Chen and Gallie, 2006).

On the other hand, antioxidants are one of the new methods enhanced plant growth and development, increased photosynthetic pigments thereby increased chlorophyll and productivity as well, (Inskbashi and Iwaya, 2006). Ascorbic acid is known as growth regulating factor that influences many biological processes. Price (1966) reported that, ascorbic acid increased nucleic acids content, especially RNA. It also influences the synthesis of enzymes, and protein. It acts as coenzyme in metabolic changes (Patil and Lall, 1973). In this concern, El-Kashlan (2013) reported that spraying *Tagetes patula* plants with ascorbic acid at 150ppm increased vegetative and flowering growth as well as chemical composition.

Recently, unconventional efforts are used to minimize the amounts of applied chemical fertilizers which applied to medicinal and aromatic plants in order to reduce production cost and environmental pollution without yield reduction. Therefore, the trend now is to use organic fertilizers.

Many investigators indicated that organic fertilizers could be applied as fertilizers, conditioners or both together. Organic fertilizers increase soil organic matter, particularly for the sandy soils in Egypt, which record less than 1% and hence improve the physical, chemical and biological properties. Consequently, the availability of nutrients for plants as well as soil characteristics should be improved (FAO, 1977).

The nutritional requirements of macronutrients for medicinal and aromatic plants were reported by many researchers. In this concern, El-Sakov *et al.* (2001) worked on some medicinal and aromatic plants, Kozera

and Nowak (2004) on *Silybum marianum*, Ashorabadi, et al. (2003) on *Foeniculum vulgare*, Niakan et al. (2004) on *Mentha piperita*, Lee et al. (2005) on *Chrysanthemum boreale* and Gomaa and Youssef (2007a) on fennel plant, Amran (2013) on *Pelargonium graveolens* plants and El-Khyat (2013) on *Rosmarinus officinalis*. They concluded that NPK fertilizers had an important physiological and biochemical functions on structure of photosynthetic pigments, metabolism of carbohydrates and protein and these effects were observed with significant increase in growth, yield and essential oil content of the different plant species.

The present study is undertaken to measure the usefulness of supplementing organic fertilizers in the form of compost manure with half dose of chemical fertilizer in presence of ascorbic acid as anti-oxidant on growth and yield of roselle plants and to minimize consuming chemical fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was carried out at the Experimental Farm, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor Benha Univ. during 2011 and 2012 seasons to study the effect of foliar spray with ascorbic acid (vitamin-c) at rates of 0.0, 100 and 200 ppm and some fertilization treatments (chemical and organic fertilizers) on the growth and productivity of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*, L.) plants. Roselle seeds were obtained from Floriculture Farm, Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha Univ. Seeds were sown in clay loam soils on mid April of each seasons in plots (1x1 m) containing two rows (50 cm width) every row had two hills (50 cm apart), and one month later, the plants were thinned, leaving only one seedling/hill. Physical and chemical analyses of the experimental soil were determined according to Jackson (1973) and Black et al. (1982), respectively. The obtained results of soil analyses are presented in Tables (a) and (b).

Table (a): Mechanical analysis of the experimental soil.

| Parameters | Unit | Seasons | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 2011 | 2012 |
| Coarse sand | % | 2.94 | 3.01 |
| Fine sand | % | 17.98 | 17.34 |
| Silt | % | 25.14 | 24.68 |
| Clay | % | 53.94 | 54.97 |
| Textural class | ----- | Clay loam | Clay loam |

Table (b): Chemical analysis of the experimental soil.

| Parameters | Unit | Seasons | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------|------|
| | | 2011 | 2012 |
| CaCO ₃ | % | 1.12 | 1.05 |
| Organic matter | % | 1.69 | 1.48 |
| Available nitrogen | % | 0.39 | 0.41 |
| Available phosphorus | % | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| Available potassium | % | 0.28 | 0.26 |
| E-C | dS.m ⁻¹ | 0.79 | 0.83 |
| pH | ----- | 7.58 | 7.69 |

This experiment was set up in a split plot design with three replicates. The main plot was employed by four fertilization treatments i.e. full chemical fertilization dose; 100 kg/fed. ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) + 300 kg/fed. calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) + 150 kg/fed. potassium sulphate (48% K₂O), half chemical fertilization dose+ organic fertilizer (compost (containing plant sources and cattle manure; 5 m³/fed., the chemical properties of the tested compost are presented in Table (c)), half chemical fertilization dose+ organic fertilizer (compost; 10 m³/fed.) and half chemical fertilization dose+ organic fertilizer (compost; 15 m³/fed.). Whereas, the sub plot was devoted to three ascorbic acid sprays i.e., control (tap water), 100 and 200 ppm. The amount of N and K fertilizers were divided into three equal portions as side dressing and added at three dates on mid June, on mid July and on mid August, respectively of both seasons. However, the amount of P-fertilizer and compost were added to the soil before seed sowing during soil preparation. Ascorbic acid treatments were applied as foliar spray at 90, 105 and 120 days after planting, respectively.

Table (c): Chemical properties of the used compost:

| Parameters | Ec dS.m ⁻¹ (1:5) | pH (1:5) | Total C % | Total N % | Total P % | Total K % | Total Fe (ppm) | Total Zn (ppm) | C:N ratio |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Reading | 2.12 | 6.52 | 19.31 | 1.12 | 0.39 | 1.24 | 1314 | 212 | 17:1 |

Recorded data:

1-Plant growth

Plant height (cm.), number and dry weight of leaves (g.) number, fresh and dry weight of branches were taken at the beginning of flowering stage; September 7, 2011 and September 12, 2012.

2- Fruits yield

Number of fruits /plant, sepals fresh and dry weight/plant, seed yield/plant, seed fixed oil content per plant and per fed. were recorded at harvesting time (November 2, 2011 and November 9, 2012).

3- Chemical constituents

At harvesting time anthocyanin content was determined in air-dried roselle sepals according to the method described by Du and Francis (1973). The percentage of fixed oil in seeds was determined according to the method mentioned by A.O.A.C (1980). Also, Vitamin-C was determined in sepals as discribed in A.O.A.C. (1980). Sepals acidity (pH value) was determined according to Diab (1968). The percentage of N,P,K, and total carbohydrates% were determined in the dry leaves during flowering stage, where total nitrogen was determined using the modified MicroKieldahl method according to A.O.A.C. (1980). Phosphorus was determined colourimetrically in spectronic (20) spectrophotometer using the method described by Trouge and Meyer (1939). Whereas, K content was determined by flame photometer according to Brown and Lilleland (1946). Also, Fe, Zn, and Mn were determined in the digested samples by atomic absorption as

described by Chapman and Paratt (1961). Total carbohydrates (mg/g D.W), total sugars (mg/g D.W), total free amino acids (mg/g F.W), chlorophyll a&b and carotenoids (mg/g F.W), were determined in the leaves according to (Herbert *et al.* 1971, Thomas and Dutcher, 1924, Rosed,1957and A.O.A.C, 1980, respectively).

Endogenous phytohormones:

Endogenous phytohormones were quantitatively determined in roselle leaves at the beginning of flowering stage in the second season using High- Performance Liquid Chromato-graphy (HPLC) according to Koshioka *et al.* (1983) for auxin (IAA), gibberellins and abscisic acid (ABA), while cytokinins were determined according to Nicander *et al.* (1993).

Assay of enzymes activities:

Assay of catalase, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase and their activities were made according to the methods described by Cao *et al.* (2005) and calculated according to the method of Kong *et al.* (1999).

Statistical analysis:

All data obtained in both seasons of study were subjected to analysis of variance as factorial experiments in split plot design. L.S.D. method was used to differentiate means according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I-Vegetative growth parameters

Data in Tables (1&2) indicate that full chemical fertilizer dose treatment (F₁) achieved the tallest plant, the heaviest fresh and dry weight of branches /plant, followed by 50% NPK + 15m³ compost /fed. treatment (F₄) in both seasons, whereas the highest number of leaves and branches/ plant and the heaviest dry weight of leaves were recorded by F₄, followed by F₁ treatment, in the first and second seasons. The differences between F₁ and F₄ were so small to reach the level of significant in both seasons. On contrary, the lowest values of these parameters were scored in most cases by 50% NPK+ 5m³ compost/fed. treatment (F₂) in the two seasons. The remained treatment 50% NPK+ 10m³ compost/fed. (F₃) occupied an intermediate position between the aforementioned treatments in both seasons. Concerning the effect of ascorbic acid treatments, data in Tables (1&2) show that plant height, number of leaves and branches/ plant, fresh weight of branches/plant and dry weight of leaves and branches were increased in the two seasons, due to the two used levels of ascorbic acid over those of control plants, with superiority for the high level (200 ppm) in the two seasons.

As for the interaction effect between fertilization and ascorbic treatments, data in the same Tables (1&2) reveal that all the combinations between fertilization and ascorbic acid succeeded in increasing the tested vegetative parameters of roselle plant in both seasons. However, the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm gave the tallest plant (180.20 and 183.66) , the heaviest fresh weight of branches (1401 and 1606g), the heaviest dry weight of branches/ plant (246.3 and 274.0 g), followed by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, in the first and second seasons, respectively. Parallely, the highest number of leaves / plant (171.8 and 183.7), the heaviest dry weight of leaves/

plant (31.86 and 34.96 g) and the highest number of branches/ plant (28.20 and 29.63) were registered by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, in the first and second seasons, respectively. The differences between abovementioned two combined treatments were so small to reach the significant level in both seasons.

The aforementioned results of fertilization concerning vegetative growth are in parallel with those obtained by Kandeel (2004) on *Ocimum basilicum*, Niakan et al. (2004) on *Mentha piperita*, El-Maadawy (2007) on *Amaranthus tricolor*, El-Maadawy and Moursy (2007) on jojoba, Gomaa and Youssef (2007a) on fennel, Badran et al. (2007) on cumin, Gomaa and Youssef (2007b) on lovage, El-Shora (2009) on *Mentha piperita*, Abou El-Ghait et al. (2012) on indian fennel, Gendy et al. (2012) on roselle plants, Gendy et al. (2013) on guar plants, Mohamed et al. (2012) on *Stevia rebaudiana*, Amran (2013) on *Pelargonium graveolens* and El-Khyat (2013) on *Rosmarinus officinalis*.

On the other hand, the positive effects of ascorbic acid are in agreements with the findings of Deore and Bharud (1990) on fenugreek, Chadha et al. (1999) on *Tagetes erecta*, Tarraf et al. (1999) on lemongrass, El-khayat (2001) on roselle plants, Noby (2002) on *Delphinium ajacis*, Ahmed (2005) on majoram plants, Gomaa (2006) on *Crinum assiaticum*, Balbaa and Talaat (2007) on rosemary plants, Farag (2009) on canola plants, Khalil et al. (2010) on basil plants, Eid et al. (2011) on *Tagetes erecta* and El-Kashlan (2013) on *Tagetes patula*.

II-Yield parameters

1-Fruits number/ plant

Data in Table (3) reveal that the highest fruits number/ plant (68.60 and 77.72) was scored by F₄ treatment, followed by F₁ treatment (66.96 and 73.91), in the first and second seasons, respectively. Also, fruits number/ plant were greatly affected by spraying roselle plants with ascorbic acid treatments, particularly the high level as compared with unsprayed plants in the two seasons. As for the interaction effect between fertilization and ascorbic acid treatments, data in Table (3) show that all resulted combinations increased the fruits number / plant, especially those received the combined treatments between F₄ or F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm as compared with the other in both seasons.

2-Fresh and dry weights of sepals/ plant.

It is clear from data in Table (3) that the heaviest fresh and dry weights of sepals/ plant were recorded as a result of F₄ and F₁ treatments with non-significant differences between them in both seasons. Remarkably, both levels of ascorbic acid resulted in significant increments in these parameters, especially those received the high level as compared with un-treated plants in the two seasons. Generally, all resulted interactions between fertilization and ascorbic acid treatments statistically affected the fresh and dry weights of sepals/plant in both seasons. However, the heaviest fresh and dry weights of sepals/plant were gained by using the combined treatments between F₄ or F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm when compared with other combinations in both seasons.

3-Seed parameters

Data in Table (4) realize that seed yield/plant and seed fixed oil content per plant and per feddan were greatly affected by using all studied fertilization treatments in both seasons. However, the highest seed yield / plant was recorded by F₁ treatment followed by F₄ treatment, whereas the highest seed fixed oil content per plant and per feddan were registered by F₄ treatment, followed by F₁ treatment in both seasons. In addition, spraying roselle plants with ascorbic acid significantly increased seed yield / plant and seed fixed oil content per plant and per feddan, particularly with high level in the two seasons. In general, the highest seed yield / plant was obtained by F₁ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, whereas the highest values of seed fixed oil content per plant and per feddan were scored by F₄ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm in both seasons. The differences between the abovementioned two combined treatments were so small to reach the level of significant in both seasons.

These results are in close agreement with those reported by El-khayat (2001) on roselle plants, El-Khyat and Zaghloul (1999), Abd El-Latif (2002) on caraway, Abd El-kader and Ghaly (2003) on coriander, Ashorabadi et al. (2003) on *Foeniculum vulgare*, Gomaa and Youssef (2007a) on fennel plant and Badran et al. (2007) on cumin plant.

III- Chemical constituents:

1-Anthocyanin content

Data in Table (5) show that the highest anthocyanin content (180.79 mg/100g DW) was accumulated in sepals as a result of using F₄ treatment in the first season, and F₃ treatment (177.17 mg/100g DW) in the second one. The differences between all tested fertilizer treatments were not significant in both seasons. Additionally, both levels of ascorbic acid succeeded in increasing anthocyanin content of roselle sepals, especially the high level in both seasons. Generally, F₃ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm gave the highest values in this concern (192.30 and 187.33 in the first and second seasons, respectively), followed by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm (189.50 and 182.33 mg /100g DW, in the first and second seasons, respectively).

2- Vitamin C

Data in Table (5) indicate that the highest content of vitamin C (49.00 and 48.19 mg /100g DW) was recorded by F₄, followed by F₃ and F₁ treatments in the first and second seasons, respectively. Moreover, both levels of ascorbic acid significantly increased this parameter as compared with untreated plants in both seasons. Generally, F₄ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm showed to be the most effective one for inducing the highest sepals vitamin-C content (58.53 and 56.33 mg /100g DW, in the first and second seasons, respectively).

3- Sepals acidity (pH value)

Data in Table (5) clear that all tested fertilization and ascorbic acid treatments as well as their interactions resulted in negligible effects in this parameter with non significant difference in both seasons. In general, the lowest pH values (2.17 and 2.16 in the first and second seasons, respectively) were scored by F₂ treatment provided with control plant (0.0 ppm ascorbic acid), whereas the highest pH value (2.39) was recorded by F₃ treatment and enriched with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm in the first season, and the combined treatment between F₃ and ascorbic acid at 100 ppm (2.43) in the second one.

4- Leaf N, P and K uptake

Data presented in Table (6) declare that the highest values of leaf N, P and K uptake of roselle plants were recorded by F₁ treatment, followed by F₄ treatment in most cases in the first and second seasons. On the reverse, the lowest values of these parameters were scored by F₂ treatment in both seasons. Also, all tested levels of ascorbic acid increased these parameters, especially the high level in both seasons. As for the interaction effect between fertilization and ascorbic acid treatments, data in Table (6) reveal that the greatest leaf N and K uptake of roselle plants were obtained by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by F₁ treatment and supported with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm as an average of both seasons, whereas the highest leaf P uptake were scored by F₁ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm as an average of both seasons.

5- Leaf Fe, Zn and Mn uptake

Data in Table (7) reveal that the highest leaf Fe and Mn uptake of roselle plants were obtained by F₄ treatment, followed by F₁ treatment in both seasons. On the opposite, the lowest values of these parameters were scored by F₂ treatment in both seasons. While, the highest leaf Zn uptake was gained by F₁ as an average of both seasons. Also, all tested levels of ascorbic acid increased these parameters, particularly the high level in both seasons. Concerning the interaction effect between fertilization and ascorbic acid treatments, data in Table (7) show that the greatest leaf Fe and Mn uptake of roselle plants were obtained by F₄ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm in both seasons, whereas the highest leaf Zn uptake were scored by F₁ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm as an average of both seasons. The differences between the abovementioned combined treatments were not significant in both seasons.

6-Photosynthetic pigments

Data in Table (8) indicate that different photosynthetic pigments as chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids were positively responded to foliar applications with ascorbic acid at 100 & 200 ppm and half chemical fertilizer dose + compost at 5, 10 & 15m³/fed. treatments compared with control plants during the two assigned seasons. Also, the interaction between ascorbic acid at 200 ppm and half chemical fertilizer dose + compost at 15m³/ fed. gave the highest value in the respect, comparing with individual application or the control plants. Moreover, increase of chlorophylls and carotenoids content may be enhanced photosynthesis efficiency and that is a good explain to the increasing of dry matter production.

7- Leaf total carbohydrates, total sugars and total free amino acids contents

Data in Table (9) clear that there were significant differences in leaf total carbohydrates, total sugars and total free amino acids contents as response to the studied fertilization treatments in both seasons. Meanwhile, the highest values of these parameters were scored by F₄ treatment, followed by F₁ treatment in both seasons. The differences between the abovementioned two treatments were so small to reach the level of significance, but the differences between any of these treatments and the rest treatments were significant in both seasons. With respect for the effect of ascorbic acid treatments, data in Table (9) show that both levels of ascorbic acid (100 and 200 ppm) resulted in significant increments in these parameters, with superiority for the high level in both seasons. As for the interaction effect between fertilization treatments and ascorbic acid treatments, data in Table (9) reveal that the highest values of total carbohydrates content (211.7 and 213.8 mg/g DW), total sugars content (36.63 and 38.43 mg/g FW) and total free amino acids content (20.92 and 19.57 mg/g FW) of roselle leaves were recorded by F₄ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm ,in the first and second seasons, respectively.

The aforementioned results of fertilization concerning chemical constituents are in parallel with those obtained by El-khayat (2001) on roselle plants, Kandeel (2004) on *Ocimum basilicum*, Niakan et al. (2004) on *Mentha piperita*, El-Maadawy (2007) on *Amaranthus tricolor*, El-Maadawy and Moursy (2007) on jojoba, Gomaa and Youssef (2007a) on fennel plant, Badran et al., (2007) on cumin plant, Gomaa and Youssef (2007b) on lovage plants, El-Shora (2009) on *Mentha piperita*, Abou El-Ghait et al. (2012) on indian fennel plant, Gendy et al. (2012) on roselle plants, Mohamed et al. (2012) on *Stevia rebaudiana*, Amran (2013) on *Pelargonium graveolens* and El-Khyat (2013) on *Rosmarinus officinalis*.

The results of ascorbic acid are nearly similar to those obtained by Deore and Bharud (1990) on fenugreek plant, Chadha et al. (1999) on *Tagetes erecta*, Morais et al. (2002) on *Capsicum annum*, Ismail (2004) on *Beaucarnea recurvata*, Ahmed (2005) on majoram plants, Farag (2009) on canola plants, Khalil et al. (2010) on basil plants, Eid et al. (2011) on *Tagetes erecta* and El-Kashlan (2013) on *Tagetes patula*.

8-Endogenous phytohormones content

Data in Table (10) reveal that the highest leaf auxins content (23.50 µg/g FW) was scored by F₁ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatments between F₃ or F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm. On contrary, the lowest value of this parameter was gained by F₂ treatment and without ascorbic acid. Moreover, the greatest leaf gibberellins content (38.16µg/g FW) was scored by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm. On the reverse, the lowest leaf gibberellins content was scored by the combined treatment between F₂ and control (untreated plants). Similarly, the richest leaf cytokinins content (10.76 µg/g FW) was recorded by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₁ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm. As for abscisic acid data in Table (9) show that the lowest leaf abscisic acid content was registered by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, while the highest value was scored by F₁ treatment and received no ascorbic acid treatment.

Improvement in different growth parameters of roselle plants under interaction treatments could be mainly attributed to reduction in the level of the growth inhibitor (abscisic acid) or to alteration of hormone profile under treatments. Moreover, the proportions of total promoters to the inhibitor were increased with different treatments. These results are in agreement with Aono *et al.* (1993) on *Nicotiana tabacum* and Gomaa and Mady (2008) on chamomile plants.

9-Anti-oxidant enzymatic activity content.

Data presented in Table (11) declare that leaf peroxidase content was greatly declined by F₄ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₃ and ascorbic acid at 100 ppm. Remarkably, the lowest leaf catalase content (48.50 µg/g FW/h) was scored by F₄ treatment combined with ascorbic acid at 100 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm. Parallely, the lowest leaf superoxide dismutase content (90.83 µg/g FW/h) was recorded by the combined treatment between F₄ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm, followed by the combined treatment between F₃ and ascorbic acid at 200 ppm. On contrary, the highest leaf peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase contents were recorded by the combined treatment between F₁ and control. Generally, these reductions in enzymatic activity with different applied treatment might be due to direct scavenging function against the toxic free radical and/ or their promotional effects on synthesis of internal productive antioxidants, i.e., total sugars, total amino acids and carotenoids. Xu *et al.* (2006) mentioned that plants have evolved well- developed defense mechanisms against these reactive oxygen species (ROS), involving enzymatic and non- enzymatic scavenging systems. Moreover, superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT) and glutathione reductase (GR) are key of enzymatic antioxidants.

DISCUSSION

1-Effect of ascorbic acid

The beneficial effect of antioxidant (ascorbic acid) on roselle growth might be due to: (1) their action as co-factors for some specific enzymes, i.e., dismutase, catalase and peroxidase which catalyzed breakdown of the toxic H_2O_2 , OH and CO_2 radicals (Elad, 1992), (2) their enhancement of cell division and/or cell enlargement (Arrigoni *et al.*, 1997), (3) DNA replication (Noctor and Fayer, 1998) and/or (4) may be due to their role as antioxidants in protecting chloroplasts from oxidative damage by free radicals (Aono *et al.*, 1993)

1-Effect of chemical fertilization

To interpret and evaluate the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium concerned in this study, on augmenting the different tested vegetative growth parameters, yield component parameters and chemical constituents of roselle plants, it is important to refer to the physiological roles of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in plant growth and development. Such three macronutrient elements are the common elements usually included in fertilizers (Cooke, 1982). Plant supplement with these macronutrients in form of fertilizers is necessary because the soil is usually in deficient of them due to plant removal leaching or they are not readily available for plants. Therefore, such addition of well balanced NPK fertilization quantities insured production of high productivity and chemical constituents of roselle plants.

Nitrogen is essential for plant growth and development as a constituent of many amino acids, enzymes and energy transfer materials such as chlorophyll, ADP and ATP. Growing plants must have nitrogen to form new cells and the rate of growth then becomes very nearly proportional to the rate at which nitrogen is supplied. Photosynthesis can produce soluble sugars from CO_2 and H_2O but the process can not go on to the production of proteins. Thus, a severe shortage of nitrogen will halt the processes of growth and reproduction, (Bidwell, 1974). Besides, supplying the plants with adequate quantities of N at right time tends to increase cell number and cell size with an overall increase in the vegetative growth production (Thompson and Troch, 1975).

Phosphorus which has been called the key to life is essential for cell division and for development of meristematic tissues and it is very important for carbohydrate transformation due to multitude of phosphorylation reaction and to energy rich phosphate bond (Lambers *et al.*, 2000). Phosphorus in the cell becomes united with carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen and other elements to form complex organic molecules. Cell cannot divide unless there is adequate phosphorus to form the extra nucleus, so, phosphorus deficiency causes stunting, delayed maturity and shriveled seeds. Phosphorus compounds are also essential for photosynthesis, the inter conversion of carbohydrates and related glycolysis, amino acid metabolism, fat metabolism and biological oxidation. Lack of phosphorus, therefore hampers metabolic

processes, such as the conversion of sugars into starch and cellulose (Devlin, 1972).

Potassium is important for growth and elongation probably due to its function as an osmoticum and may react synergistically with IAA. Moreover, it promotes CO₂ assimilation and translocation of carbohydrates from the leaves to storage tissues (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987).

The role of NPK fertilization on promoting vegetative growth characters, enhancing yield component parameters and increasing growth, fruit yield and as well as stimulating the chemical constituents content of roselle plants could be explained by recognizing their fundamental involvement in the very large number of enzymatic reaction that depend on NPK fertilization. NPK reflected directly on increasing the content of total carbohydrates, total sugars and total free amino acids as well as NPK % in the leaves were indirectly the cause for enhancing the augmenting of all other vegetative growth traits, yield components of roselle plants.

3- Effect of organic fertilizers (compost)

When organic manures added as fertilizer, it led to decrease soil pH which in turn increasing solubility of nutrients for plant uptake, in some cases organic materials may act as low release fertilizer. Recently, on the way of sustainable agriculture with minimum effects, the use of organic manures (compost or chicken manure, ..etc) as natural soil amendments is recommended to replace the soluble chemical fertilizers. They improve the structure of weak-structured sandy soils and increase their water holding capacity. Also, they improve soil fertility, and stimulate root development, induce active biological conditions and enhancing activities of micro-organisms especially those involved in mineralization (Zheljazkov and Warman, 2004).

Generally, it is preferable from the previous results, that foliar application of ascorbic acid combined with the fertilizer treatment of half dose of chemical fertilizer+ 15 m³/ fed. could play an important role in improving growth, yield and chemical constituents of roselle plants. Therefore, the present study strongly admit the use of such treatment to provide good and high exportation characteristics due to its safety role on human health.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C.(1980): Official Methods of Analysis,12th Ed. Association of official analysis chemists :Washington , D.C., U.S.A.
- Abd El-kader, H.H. and N.G. Ghaly, (2003): Effects of cutting the herb and the use of nitroben and phosphorein associated with mineral fertilizers on growth, fruit and oil yields and chemical composition of the essential oil of coriander plants (*Coriandrum sativum*,L.).J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28(3):2161-2171.
- Abd El-Latif, A.M. (2002): Effect of organic manure and biofertilizer on caraway plants (*Carum carvi*,L.) .J.Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(5): 3459-3468.

- Abou El-Ghait, E.M.; A.O. Gomaa; A.S.M. Youssef; E.M. Atia and W.H. Abd-Allah (2012): Effect of sowing dates, bio, organic and chemical fertilization treatments on growth and production of Indian fennel under north Sinai conditions. Bull. Fac., Cairo Univ., 63: 52-68.
- Ahmed, T.M.N. (2005): Physiological studies of majoram plants (*Majorana hortensis* M.). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric. Benha Univ.
- Amran, K.A.A. (2013). Physiological studies on *Pelargonium graveolens* L plant. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Benha. Univ.
- Aono, M.; Kubo; A. Saji; H. Tanaka K. and L. Kondo (1993): Enhanced tolerance to photooxidative stress of transgenic (*Nicotiana tabacum*) with high chloroplastic glutathione reductase activity. Plant Cell Physiol., 34: 129-135.
- Arrigoni, O.; G. Galabress; L. De-Gara; M.B. Brronti and R. Liso (1997). Correlation between changes in cell ascorbate and growth of *Lupinus albus* seedlings. J. Plant Physiol., 150: 302-308.
- Ashorabadi, E.S.; A. Matin and M.H. Lebaschi (2003): Investigate of physiological growth indices in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*). Iranian, Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research; 19 (2): 157-182.
- Badran, F.S.; M.K. Aly; E.A. Hassan and S.G. Shalatet (2007): Effect of organic and bio-fertilization treatments on cumin plants. The third conference of sustainable Agricultural Development, 12-14 November .
- Balbaa, L.K. and I.M. Talaat (2007): Physiological response of rosemary plants (*Rosmarinus officinalis*, L.) to ascorbic acid, phenylalanine and ornithine. Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 22 (IIB) 375-385.
- Bidwell, R.G.S. (1974): Plant Physiology. Mac-millan Publishing Go. Inc., New York.
- Black, C.A., D.O. Evans; L.E. Ensminger; J.L. White; F.E. Clark and R.C. Dinauer (1982): Methods of Soil Analysis. part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. 2nd Ed. Soil Sci., Soc. of Am. Inc. Publ., Madison, Wisconsin, U. S.A.
- Brown, J.D. and O. Lilleland (1946): Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extract by flame photometry. Proc. Amer. Soc., Hort., Sci., 48:341-346.
- Cao, S.; Q. Xu; Y. Cao; K. Qian; K. An; Y. Zhu; H. Zhao and B. Kuai (2005): Loss-of- function mutation in DET2 gene lead to an enhanced resistance to oxidative stress in Arabidopsis. Physiol. Plant. 123:57-66.
- Chadha, A.P.S.; S.V.S. Rathore and R.K. Ganeshe (1999): Influence of N and P fertilization and ascorbic acid on growth and flowering of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). South Indian Horticulture, 47(1/6): 342-344.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratte (1961): Methods of Soil, Plants and Water Analysis. Univ. California, Div. Agric. Sci., 314p.
- Chen, Z. and D.R. Gallie (2006): Dehydro ascorbate reductase affects leaf growth development and function. Plant. Physiol., 142 (2): 775-787.
- Cooke, G.W. (1982). Fertilizing for Maximum Yield. Third Edition Granada Publishing limited.

- Deore, B.P. and R.W. Bharud (1990): Growth, yeild and storability of fenugreek as influenced by foliar spry of growth substances. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 15(2): 208-210.
- Devlin, R.M. (1972): "Plant Physiology". Third Edition. Van Nostrand Company, New YorK, N.Y.
- Diab, M.A. (1968): The chemical composition of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*, L. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Du, C.T. and F. J. Francis (1973): Anthocyanins of roselle. Amherst, Mass. J. Food, Sci., 38(5): 310-312.
- Eid, Rawia, A.; Lobna S. Taha and Soad M.M. Ibrahiem (2011): Alleviation of adverse effect of salinity on growth and chemical constituents of marigold plants by using glutathione and ascorbate. J. Appli. Sci. Res., 7(5): 714-721.
- Elad, Y. (1992): The use of antioxidants (free radicals scavengers) to control grey nuold and white mould in various crops. Plant Pathol., 41 (4): 417-426.
- El.Kashlan, O.H.S. (2013): Response of *Tagetes sp.* Plants to foliar spray with some antioxidants. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Benha Univ.
- El-khayat, A.S.M. (2001): Physiological effects of tryptophane, thiamin and ascorbic acid on *Hibiscus sabdariffa*, L. plant. The Fifth Arabian Horticulture Conference, Ismailia, Egypt, March 24-28, Vol. II. p. 251-264.
- El-Khyat A.S. and R.A. Zaghloul (1999): Bio-fertilization and organic manuring efficiency on growth and yield of caraway plants (*Carum carvi*, L.) Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 37 (2): 1379-1397.
- El-Khyat, L.A.S. (2013): Effect of chemical and bio fertilizer on growth and chemical composition of rosemary plants. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Benha Univ.
- El-Maadawy, E.I. (2007): Response of summer annual flowering plants to chemical, organic and bio-fertilization treatments 1- Joseph's coat (*Amaranthus tricolor*, L.) plants. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 133-152.
- El-Maadawy, E.I. and Kh.S. Moursy (2007): Bio-fertilizers as a partial alternative to chemical NPKL fertilization of jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis*, L.) plants grown in different soil types. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 211-236.
- El-Sakov, G.V.; A.P. Gorelova and R.A. Mironova (2001): Role of fertilizers in cultivating medicinal crops north of the arctic circle. Agrokhimiya, (2): 40-45.
- El-Shora, S.E.E. (2009): Physiological studies on *Mentha Spp.* (fertilization – post harvest treatments). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric. Benha Univ.
- FAO Soil Bulletin (1977): China recycling of organic wastes in agriculture. FAO Soil Bull. 40 Rome.
- Farag, M.F.M. (2009): The effect of some amino acids and antioxidants on morphological, anatomical characters, chemical constituents and yeild of canola plant (*Brassica napus* L.). Ph.D Thesis Fac. Agric. Fayom Univ.

- Fasoyiro, S.B., O.A.A. Adeola and F.O. Samuel (2005): Chemical and storability of fruit flavoured (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) drinks. World J. Agric. Sci., 1: 165-168.
- Gendy, A.S.H.; H.A.H. Said-Al Ahl and Abeer A. Mahmoud (2012): Growth, productivity and chemical constituents of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) plants as influenced by cattle manure and biofertilizers treatments. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Science, 6(5): 1-12.
- Gendy, A.S.H., H.A.H. Said-Al Ahl; Abeer A. Mahmoud and Hanaa F. Y. Mohamed, (2013): Effect of nitrogen sources, bio-fertilizers and their interaction on the growth, seed yield and chemical composition of guar plants. Life Science Journal, 10(3): 389-402.
- Gomaa, A.O. (2006): Effect of foliar application with some amino acids and vitamins on growth and, flowering and chemical constituents of *Crinum asiaticum* plants. J. of Appl. Sci., 18 (6B): 736-754.
- Gomaa, A.O. and A.S.M. Youssef (2007a): Bio-fertilizers as a partial alternative to chemical N.P.K. fertilization and its influence on the productivity of fennel plants (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Miller). The Third Conf. of Sustain. Agric. and Develop.Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 12-14 Nov.
- Gomaa, A .O. and A.S.M. Youssef (2007b): Influence of chemical, organic and bio-fertilizer application on growth and productivity of lovage plant (*Levisticum officinale*, Koch). Egypt J. of Appl. Sci., 22 (IIB): 492-520 .
- Gomaa, A .O. and M.A. Mady (2008): Response of chamomile plants to foliar spray with boron and some antioxidants. The 4th Scientific Conference of the Agricultural& Biological Research Division.
- Herbert, D.; P.J. Phipps, and R.E. Strange (1971): Determination of total carbohydrates, Methods in Microbiology, 5 (8): 290-344.
- Hussin, M.S.; S.E. El-Sherbeny; H.M. El-Saeid and M.M. Kandeel (1991): Field experiments of foliar application with B-9 and Micronutrients on *Hibiscus sabdariffa*, L. (1) Growth yield and hormonal content. J. Egypt Hort Vol. 16 (1) 59- 68.
- Inskbashi, Y. and M. Iwaya (2006): Ascorbic acid suppresses germination and dynamic states of water in wheat seeds. Plant. Production. Sci., 9 (2): 172-175.
- Ismail, E.A. (2004): Physiological studies on *Beaucarnea recurvata*. Ph.D Thesis Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Benha Branch.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973): Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall of Indian Private, New Delhi.
- Kandeel, Y.M.R. (2004): Effect of bio, organic and chemical fertilization on growth, essential oil productivity and chemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum*, L. plant. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 42 (3): 1253-1270.
- Khalil, Soha, E.; Nahed, G. Abd El-Aziz, and Bedour, H. Abou Leil (2010): Effect of water stress and ascorbic acid on some morphological and biochemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum* plant. J.American Science, 6(12): 33-44.

- Kong, F.X.; S.Y. Chao; W.L. Sang and L.S. Wang (1999): Physiological responses of Lichem *Zanthoparmelia mexicana* to oxidative stress of SO₂. Environ. Exp. Bot., 42: 201-209.
- Koshioka, M.; J. Harada; M. Noma; T. Sassa; K. Ogiama; J. S. Taylor; S.B. Rood; R.L. Legge and R.P. Pharis (1983): Rever-sed phase C18 high performance liquid Chromatography of acidic and conjugated gibberellins. J. Chromatgr, 256: 101-115.
- Kozera, W. and K. Nowak (2004): The effect of fertilization on milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*) yield and its chosen features. Annales, Universitayis Mariae curie Skodowska Sectio-E, Agricultura, 59(1):369-374.
- Lambers, H.; F.S. Chapin and T.L. pons (2000): Plant physiology Ecology. Springer – verleg New Yourk.Inc.
- Lee, K.; M. Yang; Supanjani and D.L. Smith (2005). Fertilizer effect on the yield and terpene components from the flower heads of *Chrysanthemum boreale*, M. (Compositae). Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 25 (2): 205-211.
- Mengel, K. and A. Kirkby (1987): Principles of plant nutrition 4th Ed. International. Potash, Institute, Bern, Switzerland.
- Mohamed, S.M.; E. M. Abou El-Ghait; A.S.M. Youssef; A.M.M. Khalil and K. E. Attia (2012): Effect of irrigation rate and some fertilization treatments on vegetative growth and chemical composition of *Stevia rebaudiana*. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 50(4): 435– 446.
- Morais, H.; P. Rodrigues; C. Ramos; E. Forgacs; T. Cserhati and J. Oliveira (2002): Effect of ascorbic acid on the stability of beta–carotene and capsathin in paprica (*Capsicum annum*) powder. Nahrung, 46(5): 308-310.
- Niakan, M.; R.A. Khavarynejad and M.B. Rezaee (2004): Effects of different rates of NPK fertilizer on the leaf fresh weight, dry weight, leaf area and oil content of *Mentha piperita*, L. Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research, 20 (2): 131-148.
- Nicander, B.; U. Stahi; P.O. Bjorkman and E. Tillberg (1993): Immunoaffinity co- purification of cytokinins and analysis by high-performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet spectrum-detection. Planta, 189: 312-320.
- Noby, M.F.A. (2002): Effect of gamma radiation and some agrochemicals on germination, growth and flowering of *Delphinium ajacis* and *Mathiola incana* plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Benha Branch.
- Noctor, G. and C.H. Fayer (1998): Ascorbate and glutathione keeping active oxygen under control. Ann. Rev. Plant Phsiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 49: 249-279.
- Patil, B.N. and S.B. Lall (1973): Effect of presowing treatment with L-ascorbic acid and gibberelic acid on growth and physiological constituents of wheat. Botanique (Nagpur) , 4, 5770 (Biol. Abst. 57:34).
- Price, C.E. (1966): Ascorbic stimulation of RNA synthesis. Nature 212, 1481.
- Rosed, H. (1957): Modified ninhydrin colorimetric analysis for acid nitrogen. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 67 :10-15.

- Rovesta, P. (1936): Therapeutic and dietitic properties of karkade (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) Anew colonial pink tea furmacista it ul, 3, (1) 13, chemic industrie 34 p, 1138 Chem. Abst. 30 (4).
- Sharaf, A. (1962): The pharmacological characteristics of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*, L. (N.Res Centre Dokki Cairo) *Planta Med* 10:48-52.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1989): *Statistical methods*. 8th Ed., Iowa State Univ., Press, Iowa ,U.S.A.
- Tarraf, Sh. A.; K.M. Gamal El-Din and L.K.Balbaa (1999): The response of vegetative growth and essential oil of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citrates* Hort.) to foliar application of ascorbic acid, nicotinamide and some micronutrients. *Arab universities J. Gric. Sci.* 7(1): 247-259.
- Thomas, W. and R.A. Dutcher (1924): The colorimetric determination of carbohydrates methods. *J. Amr. Chem. Soc.*, 46:1662-1669.
- Thompson, L.M. and F.R. Troch (1975): *Soils and Soil Fertility*. TATA McGraw- Hill pub. Co. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Trouge, E. and A.H. Meyer (1939): Improvement in deiness calorimetric for phosphorus and arsenic. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed.*, 1; 136-139.
- Xu, S.; J. Li; X. Zhang; H. Wei and L. Cui (2006). Effects of heat acclimation pretreatment on changes of membrane lipid peroxidation, antioxidant metabolites, and ultrastructure of chloroplasts in two cool-season turfgrass species under heat stress. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 56: 274–285.
- Zheljazkov, V.D. and P.R.Warman (2004). Source-Separated Municipal Solid Waste Compost Application to Swiss Chard and Basil. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 33: 542-552.

الأستبدال الجزئي للتسميد الكيماوي لنبات الكركديه بواسطة التسميد العضوي في وجود حمض الأسكوربيك

أحمد سعيد محمد يوسف*، محمد أحمد ماضي** و مها محمد السيد علي***

*قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة بنها

**قسم النبات الزراعي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة بنها

***قسم الأراضي والمياه - كلية الزراعة - جامعة بنها

أجريت تجربتين حقليتين لتقييم تأثير اضافته مستويات مختلفه من الكمبوست (5 ، 10 ، 15 متر مكعب /فدان) عند استخدامها مع نصف جرعه السماد الكيماوي مقارنة بالجرعه الكامله في وجود الرش الورقي بحمض الأسكوربيك (صفر، 100 ، 200 جزء في المليون) علي النمو والمحصول والمحتوي الكيماوي لنبات الكركديه خلال موسمي 2011 ، 2012. بالإضافة تم دراسته تأثير التفاعل بين الأسكوربيك ومعاملات التسميد علي النمو والمحصول والمحتوي الكيماوي للكركديه.

أوضحت النتائج أنه سواء استخدمت معاملة نصف المعدل من التسميد الكيماوي +15 متر مكعب كمبوست أو معاملة المعدل الكامل من التسميد الكيماوي قد سجلت أعلى القيم بالنسبة لطول النبات ، عدد الأوراق والأفرع والثمار للنبات والوزن الجاف للأوراق ،الوزن الطازج والجاف للأفرع والسبلات للنبات، محصول البذور للنبات ، ومحصول الزيت الثابت للنبات والفدان، محتوى السبلات من الأنثوسيانين وفيتامين سي ، محتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم والحديد والزنك والمنجنيز الممتص ، ومحتوي الأوراق من الكربوهيدرات الكليه والسكريات الكليه والأحماض الأمينية الكليه وكورفيل أب، والكاروتينيدات والجبريللين والسيتوكينين والأندول بالإضافة للحصول علي أقل القيم بالنسبة لمحتوي الأوراق من حمض الأبسيسيك ، ومحتوي الأوراق من الأنزيمات (Peroxidase ,Catalase, Superoxide dismutase) . وعموما وجد أن أعلى عدد من الأوراق والأفرع والثمار للنبات ، أكبر وزن جاف للأوراق /نبات وأكبر وزن طازج وجاف للسبلات/ نبات ، أعلى محتوى زيت ثابت للنبات والفدان ، وأعلى محتوى للأوراق من فيتامين سي، وأعلى محتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين والبوتاسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز الممتص وأعلى محتوى للأوراق من كلورفيل أب، والكاروتينيدات، و أعلى محتوى للأوراق من الكربوهيدرات الكليه والسكريات الكليه والأحماض الأمينية الكليه والجبريللين والسيتوكينين بالإضافة للحصول علي أقل محتوى للأوراق من حمض الأبسيسيك وانزيم (Peroxidase, Superoxide dismutase) قد تم الحصول عليهم باستخدام المعاملة المختلطة بين معاملة التسميد نصف المعدل من التسميد الكيماوي +15 متر مكعب كمبوست ومعاملة الأسكوربيك اسيد بتركيز 200 جزء في المليون . كما تم الحصول علي أعلى محتوى للأنثوسيانين في السبلات باستخدام المعاملة المختلطة بين معاملة التسميد نصف المعدل التسميد الكيماوي +10 متر مكعب كمبوست والرش بالأسكوربيك اسيد بتركيز 200 جزء في المليون . علاوة علي ذلك فإن أكبر طول للنبات ، وأكبر وزن طازج وجاف للأفرع ، أكبر محصول من البذور/نبات وأكبر محتوى للأوراق من الفوسفور والزنك الممتص وأكبر محتوى للأوراق من الأكسين قد تم الحصول عليه باستخدام المعاملة المختلطة بين المعدل الكامل من التسميد الكيماوي والرش بحمض الأسكوربيك بتركيز 200 جزء في المليون، يليها في ذلك استخدام المعاملة المختلطة بين معاملة التسميد نصف المعدل من التسميد الكيماوي +15 متر مكعب كمبوست /فدان والرش بحمض الأسكوربيك بتركيز 200 جزء في المليون في كلا الموسمين . وبناءا علي ما سبق فإنه يمكن استخدام المعاملة المختلطة بين نصف المعدل من التسميد الكيماوي +15 متر مكعب كمبوست /فدان والرش بحمض الأسكوربيك بتركيز 200 جزء في المليون للحصول علي أفضل نمو وانتاجيه لنبات الكركديه.

قام بتحكيم البحث

أ.د / محب طة صقر

أ.د / ايمان مختار ابو الغيط

كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة
كلية الزراعة - مشتهر - جامعة بنها

Table 1: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on plant height, leaves number/plant and leaves dry weight/plant (g) of roselle plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Parameters | Plant height (cm) | | | | Leaves number/plant | | | | D.W of leaves/plant (g) | | | | |
| | ascorbic acid fertilization | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 163.8 | 176.3 | 180.2 | 173.4 | 158.4 | 164.2 | 171.6 | 164.7 | 25.23 | 26.94 | 30.13 | 27.43 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 154.9 | 159.7 | 162.4 | 159.0 | 118.6 | 129.2 | 124.4 | 124.1 | 18.06 | 20.14 | 23.63 | 20.61 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 156.4 | 161.6 | 164.1 | 160.7 | 129.8 | 146.6 | 141.6 | 139.3 | 20.63 | 26.21 | 27.26 | 24.70 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 159.7 | 165.1 | 168.3 | 164.4 | 157.3 | 165.8 | 171.8 | 165.0 | 24.80 | 29.03 | 31.86 | 28.56 |
| Mean | | 158.7 | 165.7 | 168.8 | | 141.0 | 151.5 | 152.4 | | 22.18 | 25.58 | 28.22 | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | | N.S | | | | N.S | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | | N.S | | | | 18.60 | | | |
| | | For interaction | | | | 25.11 | | | | 26.31 | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 171.8 | 179.2 | 183.7 | 178.2 | 164.3 | 172.8 | 175.4 | 170.8 | 25.06 | 28.30 | 32.56 | 28.64 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 158.4 | 164.4 | 167.3 | 163.4 | 116.9 | 121.6 | 161.2 | 133.2 | 18.13 | 21.93 | 30.16 | 23.41 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 161.8 | 165.5 | 169.2 | 165.5 | 132.8 | 155.2 | 158.5 | 148.8 | 22.86 | 26.84 | 29.86 | 26.52 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 164.3 | 169.7 | 173.4 | 169.1 | 161.5 | 178.3 | 183.7 | 174.5 | 26.50 | 31.92 | 34.96 | 31.13 |
| Mean | | 164.1 | 169.7 | 173.4 | | 143.9 | 157.0 | 169.7 | | 23.14 | 27.25 | 31.89 | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | | N.S | | | | 13.07 | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | | 14.48 | | | | 16.34 | | | |
| | | For interaction | | | | 20.47 | | | | 23.11 | | | |

Table 2: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on number, fresh and dry weights of branches/plant of roselle plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|
| Parameters ascorbic acid fertilization | Branches number/plant | | | | F.W of branches/plant (g) | | | | D.W of branches/plant (g) | | | | |
| | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 20.14 | 22.63 | 26.90 | 23.22 | 1216 | 1371 | 1401 | 1329 | 223.8 | 242.8 | 246.3 | 237.6 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 17.60 | 19.13 | 20.23 | 18.99 | 921 | 940 | 1069 | 977 | 163.9 | 170.9 | 193.4 | 176.1 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 19.12 | 21.26 | 23.13 | 21.17 | 965 | 1108 | 1208 | 1094 | 174.6 | 201.5 | 219.2 | 198.4 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 21.23 | 24.43 | 28.20 | 24.62 | 1232 | 1348 | 1373 | 1318 | 226.7 | 240.9 | 243.6 | 237.1 | |
| Mean | 19.52 | 21.86 | 24.62 | | 1084 | 1192 | 1263 | | 197.3 | 214.0 | 225.6 | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 1.76 | | | 96.4 | | | 15.72 | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | 2.20 | | | 120.5 | | | 19.65 | | |
| | | For interaction | | | 3.11 | | | 170.5 | | | 27.78 | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 21.74 | 25.20 | 27.76 | 24.90 | 1246 | 1445 | 1606 | 1432 | 214.3 | 249.4 | 274.0 | 245.9 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 18.76 | 24.30 | 21.43 | 21.50 | 997 | 1008 | 1296 | 1100 | 171.4 | 168.7 | 226.4 | 188.8 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 19.84 | 23.13 | 25.16 | 22.71 | 1018 | 1175 | 1242 | 1145 | 181.2 | 206.3 | 218.3 | 201.9 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 22.15 | 26.36 | 29.63 | 26.05 | 1284 | 1391 | 1478 | 1384 | 227.1 | 246.6 | 258.8 | 244.2 | |
| Mean | 20.62 | 24.75 | 26.00 | | 1136 | 1255 | 1406 | | 198.5 | 217.8 | 244.4 | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 2.29 | | | 103.7 | | | 14.06 | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | 2.87 | | | 129.9 | | | 17.58 | | |
| | | For interaction | | | 4.05 | | | 183.7 | | | 24.86 | | |

Table 3: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on number of fruits /plant, sepals fresh and dry weights/plant of roselle during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Parameters ascorbic acid fertilization | Number of fruits /plant | | | | Sepals fresh weight/plant (g) | | | | Sepals dry weight/plant (g) | | | |
| | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--|
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 59.83 | 67.90 | 73.16 | 66.96 | 148.2 | 168.3 | 191.6 | 169.4 | 26.26 | 29.28 | 34.73 | 30.09 | | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 51.24 | 54.16 | 57.16 | 54.19 | 118.7 | 131.9 | 154.5 | 135.0 | 21.33 | 23.47 | 28.36 | 24.39 | | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 53.60 | 59.76 | 66.26 | 59.87 | 132.7 | 152.7 | 178.3 | 154.6 | 24.36 | 28.11 | 32.83 | 28.43 | | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 61.27 | 69.36 | 75.16 | 68.60 | 149.2 | 169.6 | 192.1 | 170.3 | 27.36 | 31.54 | 35.36 | 31.42 | | |
| Mean | 56.49 | 62.80 | 67.94 | | 137.2 | 155.6 | 179.1 | | 24.83 | 28.10 | 32.82 | | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 4.75 | | | | 10.79 | | | | 2.47 | |
| | | For fertilization | | | 5.94 | | | | 13.49 | | | | 3.09 | |
| | | For interaction | | | 8.39 | | | | 19.07 | | | | 4.36 | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 69.53 | 76.46 | 75.73 | 73.91 | 173.3 | 192.9 | 196.5 | 187.6 | 32.36 | 34.12 | 36.83 | 34.44 | | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 62.11 | 66.30 | 59.73 | 62.71 | 151.8 | 163.5 | 152.5 | 155.9 | 28.16 | 28.06 | 28.23 | 28.15 | | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 61.70 | 64.36 | 71.36 | 65.81 | 146.9 | 162.9 | 201.3 | 170.4 | 27.43 | 29.00 | 36.93 | 31.12 | | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 72.16 | 79.56 | 81.43 | 77.72 | 173.3 | 201.1 | 207.7 | 194.0 | 32.50 | 36.52 | 37.76 | 35.59 | | |
| Mean | 66.38 | 71.67 | 72.06 | | 161.3 | 180.1 | 189.5 | | 30.11 | 31.93 | 34.94 | | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 3.67 | | | | 17.59 | | | | 2.45 | |
| | | For fertilization | | | 4.59 | | | | 21.99 | | | | 3.06 | |
| | | For interaction | | | 6.48 | | | | 31.09 | | | | 4.33 | |

Table 4: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on seed yield per plant and seeds fixed oil content per plant and fed. of roselle plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Parameters | Seed yield/plant (g) | | | | Seed fixed oil content(ml/plant) | | | | Seed fixed oil content (l/fed) | | | |
| | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 41.04 | 47.04 | 53.76 | 47.28 | 5.53 | 6.39 | 7.36 | 6.43 | 92.90 | 107.35 | 123.65 | 107.97 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 33.14 | 36.93 | 44.60 | 38.22 | 4.78 | 5.37 | 6.64 | 5.60 | 80.30 | 90.22 | 111.55 | 94.02 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 38.28 | 44.00 | 51.62 | 44.63 | 5.32 | 6.46 | 7.59 | 6.46 | 89.38 | 108.53 | 127.51 | 108.47 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 40.30 | 47.81 | 52.17 | 46.76 | 5.94 | 7.01 | 7.82 | 6.92 | 99.79 | 117.77 | 131.38 | 116.31 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Mean | | 38.19 | 43.95 | 50.54 | | 5.39 | 6.31 | 7.35 | | 90.59 | 105.97 | 123.52 | | | | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | | 3.29 | | | | 0.345 | | | | 5.80 | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | | 4.12 | | | | 0.431 | | | | 7.24 | | | |
| | | For the interaction | | | | 5.84 | | | | 0.612 | | | | 10.28 | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 46.71 | 52.68 | 53.92 | 51.10 | 6.17 | 7.02 | 7.36 | 6.85 | 103.66 | 117.94 | 123.65 | 115.08 | | | | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 42.28 | 44.01 | 41.40 | 42.56 | 5.96 | 6.38 | 6.30 | 6.21 | 100.13 | 107.18 | 105.84 | 104.38 | | | | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 42.34 | 45.36 | 50.28 | 45.99 | 6.31 | 6.97 | 7.32 | 6.87 | 106.01 | 117.10 | 122.98 | 115.36 | | | | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 47.44 | 51.14 | 52.89 | 50.49 | 7.05 | 7.83 | 7.96 | 7.61 | 118.44 | 131.54 | 133.73 | 127.90 | | | | |
| Mean | | 44.69 | 48.30 | 49.62 | | 6.37 | 7.05 | 7.24 | | 107.06 | 118.44 | 121.55 | | | | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | | 2.96 | | | | 0.337 | | | | 5.66 | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | | 3.71 | | | | 0.422 | | | | 7.10 | | | |
| | | For the interaction | | | | 5.26 | | | | 0.598 | | | | 10.05 | | | |

Table 5: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on anthocyanin, vitamin-C and sepals acidity of roselle plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----|--|--|--|
| Parameters | ascorbic acid | Anthocyanin (mg/100g DW) | | | | Vitamin-C (mg/100g DW) | | | | Sepals acidity (Ph value) | | | | | | | |
| | | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 173.20 | 184.16 | 181.46 | 179.61 | 42.56 | 46.20 | 52.30 | 47.02 | 2.27 | 2.32 | 2.28 | 2.29 | | | | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 169.40 | 186.33 | 174.33 | 176.69 | 41.30 | 43.20 | 41.80 | 42.10 | 2.17 | 2.41 | 2.12 | 2.23 | | | | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 172.34 | 171.50 | 192.30 | 178.71 | 43.81 | 56.13 | 42.54 | 47.49 | 2.21 | 2.18 | 2.39 | 2.26 | | | | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 174.51 | 178.36 | 189.50 | 180.79 | 42.32 | 46.16 | 58.53 | 49.00 | 2.19 | 2.34 | 2.37 | 2.30 | | | | |
| Mean | | 172.36 | 180.09 | 184.40 | | 42.51 | 47.92 | 48.79 | | 2.21 | 2.31 | 2.29 | | | | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | | 8.39 | | | | 4.22 | | | | N.S | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | | N.S | | | | 5.28 | | | | N.S | | | |
| | | For interaction | | | | 14.88 | | | | 7.48 | | | | N.S | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 168.36 | 178.30 | 176.30 | 174.32 | 40.74 | 47.46 | 51.33 | 46.51 | 2.23 | 2.39 | 2.26 | 2.29 | | | | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 165.18 | 180.80 | 169.50 | 171.83 | 41.92 | 44.23 | 41.26 | 42.47 | 2.16 | 2.31 | 2.18 | 2.22 | | | | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 170.14 | 174.03 | 187.33 | 177.17 | 43.64 | 53.23 | 43.36 | 46.74 | 2.22 | 2.43 | 2.41 | 2.35 | | | | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 172.46 | 174.53 | 182.33 | 176.44 | 42.92 | 45.33 | 56.33 | 48.19 | 2.21 | 2.36 | 2.34 | 2.30 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|------|
| Mean | | 169.04 | 176.92 | 178.87 | | 42.31 | 47.56 | 48.07 | | 2.21 | 2.37 | 2.30 |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 8.66 | 3.47 | | | N.S | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | N.S | 4.34 | | | N.S | | | |
| | | For interaction | | | 15.36 | 6.19 | | | N.S | | | |

Table 6: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on leaf N, P and K uptake of roselle plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|
| Parameters | Leaf N uptake (kg/fed.) | | | | Mean | Leaf P uptake (kg/fed.) | | | | Mean | Leaf K uptake (kg/fed.) | | | |
| | ascorbic acid fertilization | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 7.16 | 7.83 | 9.57 | 8.19 | 1.45 | 1.87 | 2.19 | 1.84 | 12.46 | 14.66 | 16.05 | 14.39 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 4.58 | 5.18 | 6.39 | 5.38 | 0.93 | 1.06 | 1.25 | 1.08 | 7.95 | 8.46 | 9.81 | 8.74 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 5.34 | 6.96 | 7.46 | 6.59 | 1.10 | 1.40 | 1.57 | 1.36 | 9.39 | 12.06 | 13.37 | 11.61 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 6.62 | 8.24 | 9.74 | 8.20 | 1.31 | 1.58 | 2.07 | 1.65 | 11.37 | 13.80 | 16.49 | 13.89 | |
| Mean | | 5.93 | 7.05 | 8.29 | | 1.20 | 1.48 | 1.77 | | 10.29 | 12.25 | 13.93 | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 2.19 | 0.21 | | | 2.32 | | | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | 2.74 | 0.26 | | | 2.91 | | | | | |
| | | For interaction | | | 3.87 | 0.37 | | | 4.10 | | | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 6.82 | 8.89 | 10.61 | 8.77 | 1.36 | 1.84 | 2.28 | 1.83 | 11.79 | 14.69 | 17.29 | 14.59 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 4.33 | 5.45 | 7.96 | 5.91 | 0.92 | 1.12 | 1.66 | 1.23 | 7.37 | 9.28 | 13.02 | 9.89 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 6.11 | 7.26 | 8.38 | 7.25 | 1.18 | 1.41 | 1.67 | 1.42 | 9.83 | 11.86 | 13.75 | 11.81 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 6.95 | 9.22 | 10.69 | 8.95 | 1.41 | 1.84 | 2.07 | 1.77 | 11.31 | 15.23 | 17.21 | 14.58 | |
| Mean | | 6.05 | 7.71 | 9.41 | | 1.22 | 1.55 | 1.92 | | 10.07 | 12.77 | 15.32 | | |
| L.S.D 0.05 | at | For ascorbic acid | | | 2.13 | 0.32 | | | 2.52 | | | | | |
| | | For fertilization | | | 2.67 | 0.40 | | | 3.16 | | | | | |
| | | For interaction | | | 3.77 | 0.57 | | | 4.46 | | | | | |

Table 7: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on leaf Fe, Zn and Mn uptake of roselle plants during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Parameters | Leaf Fe uptake (g/fed.) | | | | Leaf Zn uptake (g/fed.) | | | | Leaf Mn uptake (g/fed.) | | | | |
| | ascorbic acid fertilization | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | Mean |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 322.1 | 371.1 | 440.4 | 377.9 | 173.8 | 203.7 | 248.0 | 208.5 | 76.3 | 95.0 | 116.4 | 95.9 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 206.3 | 240.2 | 313.6 | 253.4 | 97.1 | 132.0 | 146.9 | 125.3 | 42.5 | 64.3 | 67.5 | 58.1 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 249.5 | 343.5 | 389.3 | 327.4 | 124.8 | 180.5 | 196.9 | 167.4 | 58.9 | 92.5 | 100.8 | 84.0 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 325.0 | 409.7 | 476.4 | 403.7 | 162.5 | 209.7 | 240.9 | 204.4 | 79.2 | 107.3 | 128.5 | 105.0 |
| Mean | | 275.7 | 341.1 | 404.9 | | 139.5 | 181.5 | 208.2 | | 64.2 | 89.8 | 103.3 | |
| L.S.D at 0.05 | For ascorbic acid | 61.8 | | | | 34.9 | | | | 23.1 | | | |
| | For fertilization | 77.4 | | | | 43.7 | | | | 28.9 | | | |
| | For interaction | 109.3 | | | | 61.7 | | | | 40.8 | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 332.6 | 385.1 | 486.8 | 401.5 | 193.7 | 233.0 | 284.4 | 237.0 | 71.6 | 90.3 | 109.4 | 90.4 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 219.3 | 291.1 | 390.2 | 300.2 | 94.4 | 132.6 | 187.5 | 138.2 | 36.6 | 58.9 | 86.1 | 60.5 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 299.6 | 347.2 | 411.4 | 352.7 | 149.8 | 207.4 | 245.8 | 201.0 | 57.6 | 85.7 | 90.3 | 77.9 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 360.6 | 445.1 | 511.0 | 438.9 | 187.0 | 246.7 | 281.9 | 238.5 | 80.1 | 101.9 | 123.3 | 101.8 |
| Mean | | 303.0 | 367.1 | 449.8 | | 156.2 | 204.9 | 249.9 | | 61.5 | 84.2 | 102.3 | |
| L.S.D at 0.05 | For ascorbic acid | 57.4 | | | | 42.6 | | | | 21.7 | | | |
| | For fertilization | 71.9 | | | | 53.4 | | | | 27.2 | | | |
| | For interaction | 101.5 | | | | 75.3 | | | | 38.4 | | | |

Table (8): Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on photosynthetic pigments contents of roselle leaves during the two seasons of 2011 and 2012.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Parameters | Chlorophyll a (mg/g F.W) | | | | Mean | Chlorophyll b (mg/g F.W) | | | Mean | Carotenoids (mg/g F.W) | | | Mean |
| | ascorbic acid fertilization | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 0.465 | 0.533 | 0.584 | 0.527 | 0.283 | 0.342 | 0.372 | 0.332 | 0.262 | 0.317 | 0.342 | 0.307 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 0.405 | 0.435 | 0.472 | 0.437 | 0.234 | 0.246 | 0.287 | 0.256 | 0.228 | 0.232 | 0.254 | 0.238 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 0.454 | 0.496 | 0.541 | 0.497 | 0.276 | 0.302 | 0.354 | 0.311 | 0.253 | 0.265 | 0.312 | 0.277 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 0.486 | 0.558 | 0.645 | 0.563 | 0.294 | 0.357 | 0.394 | 0.348 | 0.274 | 0.334 | 0.365 | 0.324 | |
| Mean | 0.453 | 0.506 | 0.561 | | 0.273 | 0.312 | 0.352 | | 0.254 | 0.287 | 0.318 | | |
| L.S.D at 0.05 | For ascorbic acid | 0.052 | | | 0.064 | | | 0.041 | | | | | |
| | For fertilization | 0.065 | | | 0.080 | | | 0.051 | | | | | |
| | For interaction | 0.092 | | | 0.123 | | | 0.072 | | | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 0.472 | 0.543 | 0.592 | 0.536 | 0.289 | 0.356 | 0.384 | 0.343 | 0.269 | 0.325 | 0.349 | 0.314 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 0.418 | 0.442 | 0.483 | 0.448 | 0.242 | 0.253 | 0.292 | 0.262 | 0.231 | 0.236 | 0.263 | 0.243 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 0.460 | 0.498 | 0.556 | 0.505 | 0.287 | 0.312 | 0.359 | 0.319 | 0.258 | 0.275 | 0.321 | 0.285 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 0.495 | 0.567 | 0.634 | 0.565 | 0.296 | 0.362 | 0.397 | 0.352 | 0.282 | 0.342 | 0.362 | 0.329 | |
| Mean | 0.461 | 0.516 | 0.566 | | 0.279 | 0.321 | 0.358 | | 0.260 | 0.295 | 0.324 | | |
| L.S.D at 0.05 | For ascorbic acid | 0.073 | | | 0.087 | | | 0.055 | | | | | |
| | For fertilization | 0.091 | | | 0.109 | | | 0.069 | | | | | |
| | For interaction | 0.128 | | | 0.153 | | | 0.097 | | | | | |

Table 9: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on total carbohydrates, total sugars and total free amino acids contents of roselle leaves during 2011 and 2012 seasons.

| First season (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------|---|-------------------------------|-------|------|
| Parameters | Total carbohydrates mg/g dry weight | | | | Mean | Total sugars mg/g fresh weight | | | | Mean | Total free amino acids mg/g fresh weight | | | Mean |
| | ascorbic acid fertilization | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 174.3 | 190.7 | 206.5 | 190.5 | 25.71 | 29.52 | 33.25 | 29.49 | 15.98 | 17.38 | 19.85 | 17.74 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 131.5 | 144.5 | 152.6 | 142.9 | 19.55 | 22.74 | 21.65 | 21.31 | 12.45 | 13.65 | 12.52 | 12.87 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 145.7 | 184.2 | 172.8 | 167.6 | 22.18 | 27.84 | 31.52 | 27.18 | 11.51 | 15.95 | 18.67 | 15.38 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 176.4 | 196.3 | 211.7 | 194.8 | 26.12 | 30.42 | 36.83 | 31.81 | 15.72 | 19.25 | 20.92 | 18.63 | |
| Mean | | 157.0 | 178.9 | 185.9 | | 23.39 | 27.63 | 30.81 | | 13.92 | 16.56 | 17.99 | | |
| L.S.D at 0.05 | ascorbic acid | 21.84 | | | | 1.88 | | | | 1.16 | | | | |
| | fertilization | 27.30 | | | | 2.35 | | | | 1.45 | | | | |
| | For the interaction | 38.49 | | | | 3.31 | | | | 2.04 | | | | |
| Second season (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | | 171.2 | 197.5 | 209.6 | 192.8 | 26.52 | 29.57 | 35.11 | 30.40 | 12.96 | 16.65 | 19.15 | 16.25 | |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | | 158.7 | 170.2 | 162.7 | 163.9 | 21.21 | 20.15 | 22.75 | 21.37 | 9.58 | 10.31 | 11.78 | 10.56 | |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | | 153.5 | 189.4 | 193.4 | 178.8 | 28.96 | 28.21 | 32.25 | 29.81 | 10.68 | 14.68 | 16.60 | 13.99 | |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | | 186.4 | 207.5 | 213.8 | 202.6 | 27.83 | 31.21 | 38.43 | 32.49 | 14.37 | 17.30 | 19.57 | 17.08 | |
| Mean | | 167.5 | 191.2 | 194.9 | | 26.13 | 27.29 | 32.14 | | 11.90 | 14.74 | 16.78 | | |
| L.S.D at 0.05 | For ascorbic acid | 22.56 | | | | 1.65 | | | | 1.22 | | | | |
| | For fertilization | 28.20 | | | | 2.06 | | | | 1.53 | | | | |
| | For the interaction | 39.76 | | | | 2.91 | | | | 2.15 | | | | |

Table 10: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on endogenous phytohormones content of roselle leaves during 2012 season.

| Parameters Treatments | Promoters | | | | | | | | | Inhibitors | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Auxins (µg/g FW) | | | Gibberellins (µg/g FW) | | | Cytokinins (µg/g FW) | | | Abscisic acid (µg/g FW) | | |
| | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 18.60 | 20.94 | 23.50 | 29.42 | 33.50 | 36.28 | 8.22 | 8.73 | 9.56 | 1.38 | 1.27 | 1.19 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 13.72 | 17.22 | 19.28 | 27.70 | 31.52 | 29.60 | 5.49 | 6.73 | 7.11 | 1.12 | 0.96 | 0.84 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 15.44 | 16.54 | 21.35 | 29.12 | 29.48 | 35.75 | 5.12 | 7.39 | 9.22 | 1.04 | 0.88 | 1.61 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 15.24 | 18.96 | 20.50 | 32.15 | 32.15 | 38.16 | 6.35 | 9.18 | 10.76 | 1.06 | 0.85 | 0.64 |

Table 11: Effect of ascorbic acid and fertilization treatments on some anti-oxidant enzymatic activity contents of roselle leaves during 2012 season.

| Parameters Treatments | Anti-oxidant enzymatic activity | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Peroxidase ($\mu\text{g/g FW/h}$) | | | Catalase ($\mu\text{g/g FW/h}$) | | | Superoxide dismutase ($\mu\text{g/g FW/h}$) | | |
| | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm | control | Ascorbic acid at 100ppm | Ascorbic acid at 200ppm |
| F1 (chemical NPK) | 119.80 | 95.43 | 76.50 | 95.48 | 78.41 | 60.22 | 149.18 | 118.61 | 97.24 |
| F2(50%NPK+5m ³ compost/fed.) | 118.55 | 92.70 | 71.63 | 88.43 | 74.28 | 57.84 | 147.50 | 113.25 | 96.55 |
| F3(50%NPK+10m ³ compost/fed.) | 105.45 | 66.57 | 80.30 | 83.50 | 70.54 | 65.32 | 136.35 | 114.30 | 91.78 |
| F4(50%NPK+15m ³ compost/fed.) | 111.18 | 79.40 | 60.38 | 80.42 | 48.50 | 53.75 | 138.15 | 107.40 | 90.83 |