

## Effect of some Drip Irrigation and Nano-Silicon Treatments on Growth, Yield and Water Relations of "Washington Navel" Orange Trees Grown in New Reclaimed Soils

Abo El-Enien, M. M. S.<sup>1</sup>; E. A. Moursi<sup>2</sup> and W. M. El-Rouby<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Citrus Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Instit. ARC. Giza, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Soils, Water and Envi. Res. Instit. ARC. Giza, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> Material Sci. and Nanotech. Dept. Fac. Post-graduate studies for advanced Sci., Beni-Suef Univ., Egypt



### ABSTRACT

The deficiency of irrigation water is a main factor of limiting the growth and production of fruit trees, particularly in arid and semi-arid areas regions. So this study was conducted in order to assess the influence of applying silicon in the nano form to minimize the negative effects caused by water stress. A field trial was behaved in 2016 and 2017 seasons at Beheira Governorate to study the effect of three rates of drip irrigation viz. high irrigation rate (control=100%) and two deficit irrigation regimes (75 and 50% from the control), foliar application of nano- silicon (0, 100, 200 and 300ppm) and their interaction on growth, yield and water relations of "Washington Navel" orange trees grown in sandy soil and irrigated by drip irrigation method. The findings showed that, deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) was related with reduced vegetative growth aspects (canopy volume, No. of shoots /branch, Shoot length) as well as stomatal resistance, however transpiration rate was increased in both seasons, in addition, nano-silicon spraying at 300 or 200ppm caused a significant increase in previous growth aspects over the control. The combination between high or moderate irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub> or I<sub>2</sub>) with nano-silicon spray at 300 ppm (S<sub>4</sub>) were more effective in enhancing growth parameters. In both seasons, deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>1</sub>) decreased leaf mineral contents and increased leaf proline content. However, high concentration of nano-silicon markedly increased leaf mineral contents but reduced leaf proline contents. Both low and high irrigation rates (I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub>) or high concentration of nano-silicon (S<sub>4</sub>) and their interaction achieved the highest values of bound water and osmotic pressure and the lowest values of total and free water contents. Furthermore, the yield and components, water use efficiency and most of external and internal fruit properties were significantly increased under moderate irrigation (I<sub>2</sub>) or high concentration of nano-silicon and their interaction (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>), while, the percentage of fruit splitting was decreased. Finally, trees irrigated with moderate irrigation rate combined with nano-silicon at (300 ppm) enhancement the growth, yield and fruit properties. On the contrary decreased fruit splitting(%) and applied irrigation water by 25% , whereas, increased water use efficiency compared to high irrigation rate (control) without nano-silicon spray.

**Keywords:** Irrigation regimes, Nano -silicon and Citrus trees

### INTRODUCTION

Citrus is one of the most important types of fruit, which has economic advantages among other fruit types. It is considered the first popular fruit in Egypt due to its high nutritional value, cheap prices and the international reputation in the foreign markets for its excellent fruit qualities. In Egypt the total area of cultivated citrus reached 3237157 fed. with annual production 3438030 tons (FAO, 2016). Drought stress is the main aspect controlling the growth and productivity of fruit crops in arid and semiarid regions, (Todorov *et al.*, 1998). Under the circumstances of climate change and the reduction of water resources facing Egypt, we must do our utmost to effectively secure irrigation water at the orchards level.

Fertilization with mineral elements such as silicon affects the drought tolerance of many crops (Epstein,1999). Silicon application may therefore improves crop production under drought circumstance (Zhu and Gong, 2014) which deposits in cell walls of xylem vessels inhibit compression of the vessels under conditions of high transpiration rate caused by drought. However, the silicon-cellulose membrane in epidermal tissue also protects plants versus extreme loss of water by transpiration this action occurs for owing a reduction in the width of stomatal pores and then a reduction of leaves transpiration rate (Efimova, and Dokynchan, 1986). For this reason, application of silicon can improve the water and economic situation in droughts (Gong *et al.*,2003).

Silicon is a key component of its benefits to plants, improving vegetable growth, fruit productivity and quality, and photosynthesis in response to abiotic stresses such as drought and salinity (Abdel Gawad, 2015 and Helalya *et al.*, 2017). However, Asgharipour and Mosapour, (2016) who declared that silicon spraying improved growth and physiological indices hence could increase the ability of

plants to resistance water stress which reduces transpiration leads to water stress tolerance.

Nano-fertilizers are used to control nutrient delivery, which is a powerful tool for achieving agriculture and sustainable environment. Using of Nano-fertilizers reduces soil pollution, decreasing the negative effects which associated with overdose of chemical fertilizers, reduces repeated use of fertilizers and improvement the use efficiency of fertilizers.(Naderi and Danesh-Shahraki, 2013) So, the topical of this study was to estimate the sensible effects of three irrigation regimes, foliar spray of nano-silicon which might help relieve the probable adverse effect of deficit irrigation and their interaction on vegetative growth, nutritional status, water relations, yield, fruit properties and water use efficiency of "Washington Navel" orange trees grown in sandy soil.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in a commercial orchard in 2016 and 2017 growth seasons on eleven-year-old of "Washington Navel" orange trees (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) grafted on Volkamer lemon rootstock grown on a sandy soil (spaced at 4 x 6 m). The orchard was situated in El-Nubaria region (30° 66' N Latitude and 30° 06' E Longitude), Beheira Governorate, Egypt. Trees were cultivated under drip irrigation method and submitted to cultural practices ordinarily done in this region.

The characterization of soil and moisture constants (%) before beginning the experimental are given in Table 1.

The selected trees were vigour, symmetric and healthy as possible and arranged in split plot design as follows:

**I. The main plots were assigned in three irrigation rate as:**

I<sub>1</sub>. Control (100%- as followed in the farm): each tree received 23.147 m<sup>3</sup> of water/year

I<sub>2</sub>. Moderate irrigation treatment (75% from the control): each tree received 17.36 m<sup>3</sup> of water/year I<sub>3</sub>. Deficit irrigation treatment (50% from the control): each tree received 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> of water/year

**Table 1. Soil characterize of the experimental location.**

Ec (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	O.M (%)	PH	Available (ppm)			
			N	P	K	
1.47	0.50	8.20	17.1	5.2	58.47	
Particle size divisions (%)			Textural class	Soil moisture properties (%)		
Sand	Silt	Clay		FC*	WP*	AW*
88.57	4.73	6.70	Sandy	12.07	4.22	7.85

\*, FC=Field capacity, WP=Wilting point, AW=Available water

The rates of irrigation water (the control, moderate, deficit) were organized by 16, 12, 8 drippers /tree (4L/hr), respectively at two lateral JR line (drinker each 50 cm) for each row of the trees. The amount of irrigation water = No. of

drippers x discharge of drinker (L/hr) x operating time. Irrigation water applied (litter tree<sup>-1</sup> and m<sup>3</sup>feddan<sup>-1</sup>) were showed in Table 2.

**Table 2. The quantity of irrigation water utilized in various irrigation rates in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	I <sub>1</sub> = Control (100%) (as followed in the farm)			I <sub>2</sub> = Moderate irrigation (75% from control)			I <sub>3</sub> = Deficit irrigation (50% from control)		
	2016	2017	Mean	2016	2017	Mean	2016	2017	Mean
	l/tree/year	22235	24060	23147	16676.25	18045	17360	11117.5	12030
m <sup>3</sup> /tree/year	22.235	24.06	23.147	16.676	18.045	17.36	11.117	12.03	11.563
m <sup>3</sup> /fed/year	3891.125	4210.5	4050.8	2918.34	3157.87	3038.10	1945.56	2105.25	2025.40

**II. The sub plots were ranged for three foliar sprays of nano-silicon beside the control were applied at four times (the first week of March, April, May and June) as follow:**

- S<sub>1</sub>= Control (Water spray)
- S<sub>2</sub>= Nano-silicon at 100ppm
- S<sub>3</sub>= Nano-silicon at 200ppm
- S<sub>4</sub>= Nano-silicon at 300ppm

The characterization and preparation of nano- silicon: Nano-silicon; 12-30 nm in diameters were prepared on Material Science and Nanotech. Dep. lab. Fac. Post-graduate studies for Adva. Sci., Beni-Suef Univ., Egypt.

The combinations between the two factors resulting twelve treatments (3 irrigation rates x 4 foliar applications) each treatment replicated three times with three trees in each replicate (3 replicate x 3 trees).

The following data were recorded:

- 1- **Vegetative growth aspects:** Number of shoots and shoot length in addition tree canopy volume (CV) was calculated according to Castle, 1983. Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) was estimated as stated by Chou, 1966. Stomatal resistance (s cm<sup>-1</sup>) and transpiration rate (µg H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Were defined on fully stretched leaves by a Portable Steady StatePorometer (LI – COR Model LI 1600).

**2-Nutritional status:**

Leaf mineral content. At the end of September from non-fruiting spring flush shoots 40 mature leaves/ tree were sampled, washed, dried at 70°C to constant weight ground and digested. The digested solution was used for the determination of N, P, K and Ca nutrients as described by A.O.A.C. (1995) while, leaf silicon content was determined according to Dai *et al.*, (2005).

Leaf proline content (µ mole/g). was determined conferring to (Bates *et al.*, 1973).

**3-Water relations:**

Total and free water contents in leaves tissues, also bound water content and osmotic of the cell sap of leaves were determined given to the method described by Koshirinko *et al.* (1970).

**4- Yield and Water use efficiency (WUE):**

At harvesting time (Mid-December in both seasons), No. of fruits/trees and yield as kg tree<sup>-1</sup> as well as

tonfed<sup>-1</sup>. were calculated. Water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated approving to Ali *et al.*, (2007) as follow:

$$WUE = \text{yield (kg/fed.)} / \text{water applied (m}^3\text{/fed.)}$$

**5- Fruit properties:**

A sample of 10 healthy fruits were taken at random from each tree at harvesting time and prepared for definition external (fruit weight (g), fruit height and diameter (cm), peel thickness (mm) and internal fruit properties. i.e. total soluble solids (TSS %) was determined by using hand refractometer, total acidity was determined as for Vit.C (mg/100 ml/juice) and TSS/acid ratio were analyzed (A.O.A.C., 1995). Number of splitting fruits was counted at weekly intervals from 15<sup>th</sup> July till the time of harvesting and the percentage of splitting fruits was calculated as: No. of splitted fruits / Total No. of harvested fruits x 100.

Statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) was implemented using MSTATC computer software program (Bricker, 1991). Differences among treatments means were examined by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1990).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**1- Vegetative growth aspects:**

**a. Canopy volume, No. of shoots /branch, Shoot length and leaf area**

Canopy volume, No. of shoots /branch, shoot length and leaf area were significantly affected by different drip irrigation rates (Table, 3). The maximum values of former mentioned growth aspects obtained from trees irrigated by the high irrigation rate (control-I<sub>1</sub>) followed by moderate irrigation treatment (I<sub>2</sub>), while the minimum values in this regard were found by trees subjected to deficit irrigation rate (I<sub>3</sub>). Vegetative growth reduction under deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) could be attributed greatly to minimal assimilate availability through decrease net photosynthesis rate under water stress (Mpelascocka *et al.*, 2001) In addition, the drought stress encouraged abscisic acid (ABA) production in root and transmission to the vegetative parts and increased consistence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which reduction the vegetative growth (Atkinson *et al.*, 2000).These results agree with the findings of Ennab and El-

Sayed (2014), Zayan *et al.*, (2017) and Zaghdan and Abo El-Enien(2019) stated that the increase of vegetative growth parameters were remarkably decreased under irrigation water deficit of citrus trees. Related results were reported by Mikhael *et al.*,(2010) on peach trees and El-Zawily (2016) on "Washington Navel" orange trees.

For nano-silicon spraying treatments (Table, 3), the results showed that, spraying trees with 300 ppm nano-silicon (S<sub>3</sub>) gave the higher values of the growth parameters than the other spraying treatments, compared with the least growth parameters were obtained in the sprayed trees with tap water (S<sub>1</sub>) in both seasons. Foliar application of nano-silicon had positive effect on vegetative growth aspects might have attributed due to the effect of silicon in improving the tolerance of the trees to all stresses, increased photosynthetic activity of trees, water metabolism, chlorophyll content, antioxidant activities, protective enzymes under drought circumstance and enhancement uptake of necessary nutrients (Ma and Takahashi, 2002 and Roshdy, 2014). Similar results were noticed by Ibrahim and Al- Wasfy (2014), Abo El-Enien *et al.*, (2017) and Kotb and Abdel-Adl (2017) on citrus trees and Helalya *et al.*, (2017) on mango trees, who found that, using silicon effectively enhanced vegetative growth characters.

A significant interaction among drip irrigation rates and nano-silicon spraying was found to exist on the vegetative aspects (Table, 3). Moderate irrigation combined with nano-silicon at 300 ppm (I<sub>2</sub>x S<sub>4</sub>) had expressively greater growth values than those sprayed with water (control) under deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>x S<sub>1</sub>) in both seasons.

**b. Stomatal resistance and transpiration rate:**

Among the studied treatments of irrigation rate (Table, 3), the high irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub>) registered the lowest stomatal resistance values followed by (I<sub>2</sub>) Whereas, deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) recorded the highest values in this regard. The transpiration rate markedly decreased with decreasing irrigation water rate, so the highest rate obtained with high irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub>) in the first season while in the second one (I<sub>1</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub>) gave the highest rates without significant variations between them. Oppositely the lowest rate obtained by (I<sub>3</sub>). A decline in transpiration under water deficiency associate with a stomatal mechanism, because the stomata are usually closed under water limited circumstances (De Sen *et al.*, 2007). That findings are in concord with those declared by Mahmoud *et al.*,(2017) who found that water deficit decreased transpiration rate but, increased stomatal resistance values on basil plants. Spraying the trees with nano-silicon at 300 ppm or 200ppm significantly enhancement stomatal resistance values and decreased transpiration rate comparing with the control (S<sub>1</sub>). The reduction of transpiration rate casing by foliar application of silicon could be attributed to silicon accumulates in the leaf, forming a doubled layer of cuticle. This accumulation supports a reduction in transpiration and decrease water loss by the plant (Freitas *et al.*, 2011). Such result is in line with those of Mahmoud *et al.*, (2017) and Pereira *et al.*, (2013). The highest values of stomatal resistance belonged to (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>), meanwhile the least values recorded by the combination treatment of (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>). However, the transpiration rate was increase under (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) combination treatments compared with the lowest rate obtained by (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>).

**Table 3. Effect of drip irrigation rate, nano-silicon foliar application and their interaction on the growth aspects of "Washington Navel" orange trees in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	Canopy volume (m <sup>3</sup> )		No. of shoots /branch		Shoot length (cm)		Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )		Stomatal resistance (s/cm)		Transpiration rate (µgH <sub>2</sub> O/cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Irrigation rate													
I <sub>1</sub>	26.59a	28.57 a	27.99a	28.45a	9.49a	10.49a	28.49a	29.02a	2.81c	2.92c	4.11a	4.76a	
I <sub>2</sub>	25.28b	27.31 b	24.02b	23.69b	9.11b	9.42b	27.32b	27.61b	3.19b	3.30b	3.69b	4.85a	
I <sub>3</sub>	23.14c	25.24 c	20.31c	20.44c	7.37c	8.11c	25.57c	26.22c	3.68a	4.30a	2.99c	3.21b	
Silicon rate													
S <sub>1</sub>	23.84d	25.89d	23.06d	22.92d	7.89d	8.62d	25.88d	25.93d	3.01d	3.33d	3.78a	4.22a	
S <sub>2</sub>	24.80c	26.80c	23.81c	23.85c	8.70c	9.28c	26.86c	27.84c	3.13c	3.43c	3.69b	4.02b	
S <sub>3</sub>	25.43b	27.43b	24.19b	24.74b	8.92b	9.56b	27.45b	28.17b	3.25b	3.51b	3.57c	3.90b	
S <sub>4</sub>	25.95a	28.04a	25.42a	25.27a	9.11a	9.91a	28.31a	28.52a	3.52a	3.75a	3.35d	3.61c	
Inter action (I x S)													
I <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	25.33bc	27.33 de	27.40c	28.10c	9.26c	10.03bc	28.15d	28.32de	2.72i	2.80i	4.30a	5.18a
	S <sub>2</sub>	26.11b	28.10 cd	27.70bc	28.16bc	9.53b	10.32b	28.20d	29.00bc	2.80h	2.85hi	4.20a	4.90b
	S <sub>3</sub>	27.13b	29.00 b	28.03b	28.53b	9.53b	10.74a	28.54b	29.21ab	2.83h	2.91h	4.03b	4.75b
	S <sub>4</sub>	27.85a	29.86 a	28.85a	29.03a	9.63a	10.89a	29.10a	29.55a	2.90g	3.13g	3.91c	4.23c
I <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	24.15d	26.18 f	22.50f	22.38f	8.21e	8.65e	26.30g	25.32h	2.92g	3.15g	3.85c	4.00cd
	S <sub>2</sub>	25.06c	27.00 e	24.23e	23.19e	9.15d	9.32d	27.15f	28.03e	3.03f	3.25f	3.72d	3.95d
	S <sub>3</sub>	25.80bc	27.80cde	24.35e	24.51d	9.50b	9.71c	27.51e	28.50cde	3.25e	3.28f	3.70d	3.83de
	S <sub>4</sub>	26.06b	28.26 bc	25.00d	24.69d	9.59a	10.00bc	28.33c	28.61cd	3.56c	3.55e	3.50e	3.62ef
I <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	22.05e	24.16 g	19.11h	18.28i	6.21i	7.19g	23.20i	24.15i	3.40d	4.06d	3.20f	3.50f
	S <sub>2</sub>	23.26d	25.32 f	19.51h	20.22h	7.43h	8.20f	25.23h	26.51g	3.55c	4.20c	3.15f	3.22g
	S <sub>3</sub>	23.36d	25.50 f	20.20g	21.18g	7.73g	8.23f	26.32g	26.82g	3.67b	4.35b	3.00g	3.12g
	S <sub>4</sub>	23.90d	26.00 f	22.41f	22.10f	8.10f	8.85e	27.52e	27.41f	4.10a	4.59a	2.63h	3.00g

I<sub>1</sub>,I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> = 23.147, 17.36 and 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> irrigation water /tree/ year

S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> = Foliar application with 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm of nano-silicon, respectively.

**2-Nutritional status:**

**a. Leaf mineral contents**

Table (4) displayed that leaf N, P, K, Ca and Si responded specifically to drip irrigation rates. Hence, irrigated

trees with I<sub>1</sub> (control) followed by I<sub>2</sub> (moderate irrigation) had statistically the highest mineral content in leaves, while the reverse was true with those watered with the lowest rate of irrigation water (I<sub>3</sub>). The positive effects of moderate

irrigation rate on mineral uptake could be led to its enhancing effect on transport of dissolved nutrients by mass flow also, the suitable balance of moisture in plant creates favorable conditions for photosynthesis and metabolites translocation, which accelerate the rate of nutrients uptake. On the other hand, the uptake of nutrients was retarded under irrigation deficit circumstances may be due to reduce active rooting. Analogous noting has been reported Abo El-Enien (2012) on Washington Navel orange trees, Panigrahi (2014) on mandarin trees and Helaly *et al.*, (2017) on mango trees. They found that the percentage of mineral nutrition in the leaves were decreased under deficit irrigation treatments.

The highest leaf mineral contents belonged with foliar application of nano-silicon at 300 ppm (S<sub>4</sub>) followed

in decreasing order S<sub>3</sub> (200ppm), S<sub>2</sub>(100ppm) and S<sub>1</sub> (control).The positive effects of Si on improving leaf mineral contents might be due to it is play an important role in lessen injurious of drought and improving water and nutrients uptake. Habasy (2016), Abo El-Enien *et al.*, (2017) and Kotb and Abdel-Adl (2017) mentioned that silicon was associated with the enrichment of mineral content of orange trees.

High irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub>) combined with foliar application of nano-silicon at 300ppm S<sub>4</sub> (I<sub>1</sub>x S<sub>4</sub>) followed by combination treatment (I<sub>1</sub>x S<sub>3</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub>x S<sub>4</sub>) significantly increased mineral contents of leaves compared to the other combination treatments (Table, 4).

**Table 4. Effect of drip irrigation rate, nano-silicon foliar application and their interaction on mineral and proline contents of "Washington Navel" orange trees in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		Ca (%)		Si (%)		Proline (µ mole/gFW)		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Irrigation rate													
I <sub>1</sub>	2.44a	2.46a	0.28a	0.26a	1.54a	1.52a	2.37a	2.33a	0.74a	0.72a	0.39c	0.41c	
I <sub>2</sub>	2.39b	2.42b	0.27b	0.25a	1.50b	1.49b	2.27b	2.25b	0.68b	0.64b	0.46b	0.48b	
I <sub>3</sub>	2.34c	2.38c	0.25c	0.23b	1.43c	1.44c	2.20c	2.17c	0.61c	0.58c	0.57a	0.56a	
Silicon rate													
S <sub>1</sub>	2.35d	2.38d	0.25d	0.23d	1.46d	1.46d	2.18d	2.15d	0.40d	0.42d	0.52a	0.53a	
S <sub>2</sub>	2.38c	2.40c	0.260c	0.24c	1.48c	1.47c	2.27c	2.23c	0.71c	0.66c	0.49b	0.49b	
S <sub>3</sub>	2.40b	2.43b	0.29b	0.27b	1.50b	1.49b	2.30b	2.28b	0.77b	0.72b	0.46c	0.48c	
S <sub>4</sub>	2.44a	2.46a	0.30a	0.28a	1.51a	1.52a	2.38a	2.35a	0.83a	0.79a	0.43d	0.44d	
Inter action (I x S)													
I <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	2.40e	2.42e	0.27e	0.25d	1.52d	1.50d	2.20h	2.17i	0.42j	0.45i	0.42efg	0.44g
	S <sub>2</sub>	2.42d	2.44c	0.24g	0.22f	1.53c	1.51cd	2.35c	2.30d	0.75e	0.70e	0.40fgh	0.42h
	S <sub>3</sub>	2.45b	2.47b	0.30b	0.28b	1.55b	1.53b	2.38b	2.35b	0.83b	0.82b	0.37h	0.41h
	S <sub>4</sub>	2.49a	2.50a	0.32a	0.30a	1.58a	1.55a	2.55a	2.51a	0.96a	0.93a	0.38gh	0.38i
I <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	2.35h	2.38g	0.25f	0.23e	1.48f	1.46f	2.18i	2.15j	0.40k	0.42j	0.51c	0.55b
	S <sub>2</sub>	2.38f	2.40f	0.27e	0.25d	1.50e	1.48e	2.27e	2.25f	0.72g	0.69f	0.47cde	0.49e
	S <sub>3</sub>	2.40e	2.43d	0.29c	0.27c	1.50e	1.51cd	2.31d	2.29e	0.79d	0.72d	0.45def	0.48f
	S <sub>4</sub>	2.44c	2.47b	0.30b	0.28b	1.52d	1.52c	2.35c	2.31c	0.81c	0.75c	0.40fgh	0.42h
I <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	2.30j	2.35i	0.23h	0.21g	1.40j	1.42i	2.15j	2.12k	0.38l	0.40k	0.62a	0.60a
	S <sub>2</sub>	2.33j	2.37h	0.25f	0.23e	1.42i	1.43h	2.18i	2.15j	0.65i	0.60h	0.59ab	0.56b
	S <sub>3</sub>	2.36g	2.40f	0.27e	0.25d	1.45g	1.45g	2.22g	2.20h	0.70h	0.63g	0.56b	0.54c
	S <sub>4</sub>	2.40e	2.42e	0.28d	0.27c	1.44h	1.48e	2.25f	2.23g	0.69f	0.69f	0.50cd	0.53d

I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> = 23.147, 17.36 and 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> irrigation water /tree/ year

S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> = Foliar application with 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm of nano-silicon, respectively.

**b. Leaf proline contents**

Irrigation regimes treatments showed significant variations in leaf proline content. The highest values in this respect ( 0.74 and 0.72 µ mole/g fw) recorded with deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) followed by moderate irrigation treatment (I<sub>2</sub>),but the lowest values (0.39 and 0.41 µ mole/g fw) were recorded under high irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub>) in both seasons, respectively (Table, 4).These results exposed negatively correlation between irrigation rates and proline content this means under water stress the hydrolysis of proteins increase which increased accumulation of proline content in leaves. In the same line, Mikhael *et al.*, (2010) and Ennab and El-Sayed (2014), who stated that prolinc content in leaves was increased under deficit irrigation conditions.

Leaf proline content was increased with decreasing nano-silicon concentrations from 0 up to 300ppm. The highest values in this respect recorded by the control (S<sub>1</sub>) meanwhile the least values obtained with the high concentration of nano-silicon (S<sub>4</sub>= 300ppm) in both seasons. the reduction of proline contents resulting to silicon spray may be led to the role of silicon to prevent hydrolysis of proteins in plant. Similar results were reported by Qin and Tian (2009),

Helalya *et al.*, (2017) and Hamed *et al.*, (2017) on mandarin trees.

With regard to, the effect of interaction (I x S), the data of both seasons showed that, deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) combined with low concentration of nano-silicon (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) resulted the highest values of proline content followed by (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>2</sub>) . Furthermore, the lower values belonged with the combination treatment (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>).

**3- Water relations:**

Results in Table (5) revealed that, total and free water contents in leaf tissues were markedly decreased by decreasing irrigation rates. Deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) recorded the least values and the variances were significant in 2016and 2017 seasons. The decrease in the total and free water content under deficit irrigation conditions (I<sub>3</sub>) could be resulted from the reduction of water absorption by the roots. In contrast, the highest values of bound water content and osmotic pressure recorded with the lower irrigation rate (I<sub>3</sub>). These results in partial agreement with findings of were obtained by Zayan *et al.*, (2002) on grapevine, Mikhael *et al.*, (2010) on peach trees and Helalya *et al.*, (2017) on mango trees. They found that, total and free water contents in leaf

tissues were significantly decreased while bound water content and osmotic pressure were increased with deficit irrigation.

Total and free water contents in leaf tissues were increased with decreasing the concentration of nano silicon, and the greatest values was obtained with control treatment (S<sub>1</sub>) comparing with the highest values recorded with nano-silicon spraying at 300ppm (S<sub>4</sub>). On the contrary, spraying nano-silicon at 300 or 200ppm raised bound water content

and osmotic pressure compared with the control in both 2016 and 2017 seasons. in the same line , El-Khawaga and Mansour (2014) on pomegranate trees and Pereira *et al.*, (2013) on pepper plants.

The maximum and minimum values of water relations parameters (total and free water contents) and (bound water content and osmotic pressure) in leaf tissues, respectively belonged with the combined treatment of (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>).

**Table 5. Effect of drip irrigation rate, nano -silica foliar application and their interaction on water relations of "Washington Navel" orange trees in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	Total water content %		Free water content %		Bound water content%		Osmotic pressure(atm)		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Irrigation rate									
I <sub>1</sub>	63.40a	63.84a	46.64a	45.83a	16.75c	18.00b	15.37c	15.77c	
I <sub>2</sub>	58.47b	60.76b	40.44b	39.19b	18.02b	21.86a	17.07b	18.05b	
I <sub>3</sub>	54.05c	54.91c	34.93c	32.68c	19.11a	22.23a	17.82a	18.56a	
Silicon rate									
S <sub>1</sub>	60.85a	61.77a	44.14a	42.89a	16.70d	18.87b	14.97d	15.99d	
S <sub>2</sub>	58.93b	60.92a	41.27b	40.06b	17.65c	20.86a	16.65c	17.09c	
S <sub>3</sub>	58.32c	58.90b	40.06c	38.14c	18.26b	20.75a	17.42b	18.07b	
S <sub>4</sub>	56.46d	57.76b	37.22d	35.83d	19.24a	21.92a	17.89a	18.69a	
Inter action (I x S)									
I <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	65.20a	65.50a	49.10a	49.40a	16.10g	16.10d	13.50h	14.13k
	S <sub>2</sub>	64.30b	64.00a	47.53ab	46.19b	16.76f	17.81cd	14.80g	15.32j
	S <sub>3</sub>	62.40c	63.15a	45.80ab	44.20c	16.60f	18.95bcd	16.33f	16.50h
	S <sub>4</sub>	61.70d	62.71ab	44.15bc	43.55d	17.55d	19.16bcd	16.86e	17.13g
I <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	61.10e	62.70ab	45.19b	43.10e	15.91g	19.60bcd	15.03g	16.32i
	S <sub>2</sub>	58.32g	63.50a	41.20cd	40.00f	17.12e	23.50a	17.25d	17.95e
	S <sub>3</sub>	59.28f	59.46bc	40.18d	38.13g	19.10b	21.33abc	17.80c	18.80d
	S <sub>4</sub>	55.18i	57.40cd	35.21ef	35.53i	19.97a	21.86ab	17.94bc	19.13b
I <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	56.25h	57.12cd	38.15de	36.19h	18.10c	20.93abc	16.37f	17.53f
	S <sub>2</sub>	54.18j	55.28de	35.10ef	34.00j	19.07b	21.28abc	17.91bc	18.00e
	S <sub>3</sub>	53.28k	54.10de	34.20f	32.11k	19.08b	21.99ab	18.13b	18.92c
	S <sub>4</sub>	52.52l	53.16e	32.30f	28.42l	20.22a	24.74a	18.88a	19.80a

I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> = 23.147, 17.36 and 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> irrigation water /tree/ year

S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> = Foliar application with 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm of nano-silicon, respectively

**4-Yield and water use efficiency (kg/m<sup>3</sup>):**

**a. Number of fruits/ tree, Kg/ tree and ton / fed.**

Data obtaining during both 2016 and 2017 seasons available in (Table, 6) stated that, the yield estimated in No. of fruits tree<sup>-1</sup>, Kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and ton fed<sup>-1</sup>. were gradually decreased by decreasing drip irrigation rate. The maximum yield was attained by high drip irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub>) and moderate rate (I<sub>2</sub>) without significant variances between them in most cases, while, the least values were resulted by deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>). This results could be attributed to increase average fruit weight and number of fruit per trees. In this regard, El-Abd *et al.*, (2012) and Conesa *et al.*, (2018) in citrus trees.

Trees sprayed by nano- silicon had significant promotion on the fruit yield (Table, 6) expressed in No. of fruits tree<sup>-1</sup>, Kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and ton fed<sup>-1</sup>. relative to the control (S<sub>1</sub>). The promotion was associated with nano- silicon at 300 ppm (S<sub>4</sub>) comparing to the other treatments in both seasons. Results in conformity with those obtained by Habasy (2016), Hamed *et al.*, (2017), Abd-Elall and Hussein (2018) on citrus trees.

The highest fruit yield were obtained by (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) combined treatments without significant changes between them, even though, the combined treatment (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) gave the lowest values in both seasons (Table, 6).

**b. Water use efficiency (WUE)**

The highest values of water use efficiency (Table, 6) were attained from trees irrigated under deficit irrigation (I<sub>3</sub>)

and moderate irrigation treatments (I<sub>2</sub>) followed by trees irrigated with high irrigation rate (I<sub>1</sub>). Similar results were achieved by Mikhael *et al.*, (2010) on peach trees, Mali *et al.*, (2015) on litchi and El-Zawily (2016) on Navel orange trees.

Concerning to the foliar application of nano-silicon, the results in Table (6) revealed that, spraying trees with high concentration (S<sub>4</sub>) gave the highest significant values of water use efficiency followed by 200 ppm (S<sub>3</sub>). El-Khawaga and Mansour (2014) on pomegranate trees and Mahmoud *et al.*, (2017) on basil plants, who found that silica nanoparticles sprayed at 60 ppm increased water use efficiency under deficit irrigation conditions.

About, the interaction between irrigation rate and foliar application of nano-silicon was significant in both seasons and the highest values of WUE belonged to (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) combination treatment comparing with the lowest values achieved by (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>).

**5-Fruit properties:**

**a. External properties**

About external fruit properties (fruit weight and diameter and peel thickness) data in Table (7) showed that, the highest fruit weight and diameter obtained with the control (I<sub>1</sub>) and moderate irrigation treatments (I<sub>2</sub>) achieved the values of fruit weight and diameter without significant variances between them , but significantly lower values came with deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>). Conversely, The highest values of peel thickness (4.71 and 4.72 mm)

recorded by lower irrigation rate (I<sub>3</sub>) followed in decreasing order moderate irrigation treatment (4.62 and 4.67mm) and control treatment (4.59 and 4.63mm) in both seasons, respectively. The lowering in fruit weight could be due to decrease fruit cell expansion under deficit irrigation through

decreasing water content of cells (Behbudian *et al.*, 1994). These results accorded with those stated by El-Abd *et al.*, (2012), Moursi and Abo El-Enien (2015) and Conesa *et al.*, (2018) on citrus trees.

**Table 6. Effect of drip irrigation rate, nano-silicon foliar application and their interaction on yield of "Washington Navel" orange trees in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	No. of fruits/tree		Yield(Kg/tree)		Yield (Ton/fed)		Water use efficiency (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Irrigation rate									
I <sub>1</sub>	254.2a	242.7a	66.75a	65.7a	11.6a	11.0a	3.0c	2.8c	
I <sub>2</sub>	249.5b	236.7a	65.5a	61.6b	11.4a	10.7a	3.8b	3.8b	
I <sub>3</sub>	207.3c	209.7b	51.1b	52.0c	8.9b	8.7b	4.7a	4.4a	
Silicon rate									
S <sub>1</sub>	219.5d	213.5d	55.64d	53.2d	9.3d	9.5d	3.5d	3.3d	
S <sub>2</sub>	235.2c	227.4c	60.2c	57.3c	10.0c	10.2c	3.8c	3.6c	
S <sub>3</sub>	243.6b	234.7b	63.0b	59.9b	10.4b	10.7b	4.0b	3.8b	
S <sub>4</sub>	249.5a	243.1a	65.62a	62.9a	11.0a	11.2a	4.2a	4.0a	
Inter action (I x S)									
I <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	235.0e	226.3de	60.6e	57.9ef	10.6e	10.1ef	2.7j	2.6j
	S <sub>2</sub>	251.0d	241.0bc	65.2d	62.3cd	11.4d	10.9cd	2.9i	2.8i
	S <sub>3</sub>	263.0ab	247.6ab	69.1bc	64.7bc	12.1bc	11.3bc	3.1h	2.9h
	S <sub>4</sub>	268.0a	256.0a	71.9a	68.0a	12.5a	11.9a	3.2h	3.1h
I <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	233.3e	219.3ef	60.1e	55.9fg	10.5e	9.7fg	3.5g	3.4g
	S <sub>2</sub>	249.6d	235.0cd	64.9d	60.8de	11.3d	10.6de	3.8f	3.7f
	S <sub>3</sub>	255.0cd	242.0bc	67.1cd	63.0cd	11.7cd	11.0cd	3.9ef	3.8ef
	S <sub>4</sub>	260.3bc	250.3ab	69.8ab	66.3ab	12.2ab	11.6ab	4.0e	4.0d
I <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	190.0i	195.0h	46.1h	45.7j	8.0h	8.0i	4.2d	3.9de
	S <sub>2</sub>	205.0h	206.3g	50.4g	48.8i	8.8.1g	8.5i	4.6c	4.3c
	S <sub>3</sub>	213.0g	214.6fg	52.8f	51.9h	9.2f	9.0h	4.9b	4.5b
	S <sub>4</sub>	220.3f	223.0ef	55.1f	54.5gh	9.6f	9.5gh	5.1a	4.7a

I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> = 23.147, 17.36 and 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> irrigation water /tree/ year

S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> = Foliar application with 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm of nano-silicon, respectively

For nano-silicon treatments, the minimum values of external fruit properties were obtained by the control, (S<sub>1</sub>). Spraying trees with 300 or 200 ppm of nano-silicon recorded the higher values of fruit weight and diameter as well as peel thickness than the control in both seasons. The improvement of fruit properties can be determined by the application of nano-silicon to promote the transfer of certain elements and improve the absorption capacity of water and fertilizers, which in turn increases vegetative growth, yield and fruit characteristics. These findings was supported by those Ibrahim and Al-Wasfy (2014) and El- Gioushy (2016) revealed that using silicon successfully improved physical and chemical properties of citrus fruits.

A significant interaction between drip irrigation treatments and nano-silicon spraying was found to occur on external fruit properties. It was clear that under high rate of irrigation (I<sub>1</sub>) and moderate rate (I<sub>2</sub>) sprayed trees at 300ppm silicon (S<sub>4</sub>) had remarkably weightiest fruit than the other combination treatments (Table,7). The maximum values of fruit diameter were created with (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) treatments in both 2016 and 2017 seasons, respectively. The higher thickness of peel resulted with the combination treatments of (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) and (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) without significantly differs between them.

**b. Fruit splitting %**

Under deficit irrigation treatment (I<sub>3</sub>) the percentage of fruit splitting was increased compared to the lowest percentage came from moderate irrigation treatment (I<sub>2</sub>) in both seasons (Table, 7). In this regard, Huang *et al* (2000) showed that, during fruit development the peel Ca content was decreased under decreasing irrigation water supply ,in turn has been associated with increased incidences of fruit splitting. The same results were also attained by Romero *et*

*al.*, (2006), Correa *et al.*,(2013), Zaghdan and Abo El-Enien(2109) on citrus trees.

All concentrations of nano-silicon (Table, 7) were significantly decreased fruit splitting (%) as compared to the control. Trees were sprayed with 300ppm nano-silicon belonged the lowest values. These findings may be due to that the silicon spray enhanced generally nutritional status and regular water balance which in turn of decrease fruit splitting (%). However, The lowest percentage of fruit splitting resulted by the combination treatment of (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) comparing with (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) treatment which recorded the highest values in this respect in both seasons.

**Internal fruit properties**

Results in Table (8) reveals that TSS(%), acidity(%) and Vitamin C contents in most cases were considerably increased by decreasing irrigation rate through 2016 and 2017 seasons, The highest values of internal fruit properties (TSS, acidity and Vit.C) belonged with moderate irrigation and deficit irrigation treatments comparing to control treatment. In contrast, TSS/acid ratio was increased under high irrigation rate (the control, I<sub>1</sub>). The same results were reported by Moursi and Abo El-Enien (2015), Conesa *et al.*, (2018) on citrus trees.

Total soluble solids, acidity and Vitamin C contents in most cases were obviously increased by the examined nano-silicon treatments especially with 300 or 200 ppm nano-silicon at compared to the control. However, TSS/acid ratio was decreased with increasing the nano-silicon rate. The highest ratio in this respect belonged by the control (S<sub>1</sub>). the present result goes partly with the findings of Ibrahim and Al-Wasfy (2014), Kotb and Abdel-Adl (2017), Abd-Elall and Hussein (2018) on citrus trees.

The interaction was significant and the highest values of internal fruit properties (TSS, acidity and Vit.C) were recorded with (I<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>) and (I<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>), while the combination treatment (I<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub>) increased TSS/acid ratio.

**Table 7. Effect of drip irrigation rate, nano-silicon foliar application and their interaction on external properties of "Washington Navel" orange fruits in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	Fruit weight (g)		Fruit diameter(g)		Peel thickness(mm)		Fruit splitting (%)		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Irrigation rate									
I <sub>1</sub>	262.41a	260.41a	8.81a	8.88a	4.59b	4.63c	8.99b	8.51b	
I <sub>2</sub>	262.25a	259.92a	8.58b	8.69b	4.62b	4.67b	7.38c	7.71c	
I <sub>3</sub>	246.75b	239.50b	7.87c	7.88c	4.71a	4.72a	10.25a	10.29a	
Silicon rate									
S <sub>1</sub>	253.00b	248.55c	8.39b	8.55a	4.53d	4.55d	9.96a	9.51a	
S <sub>2</sub>	255.33b	251.44c	8.39b	8.41b	4.59c	4.64c	9.17b	9.22ab	
S <sub>3</sub>	258.00ab	254.66b	8.37b	8.45b	4.68b	4.69b	8.79c	8.89b	
S <sub>4</sub>	262.22a	258.44a	8.53a	8.52a	4.78a	4.80a	7.57d	8.06c	
Inter action (I x S)									
I <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	258.00b	256.00c	8.85b	8.83c	4.50g	4.52f	9.87d	9.50bcd
	S <sub>2</sub>	260.00b	258.66b	8.80c	8.87b	4.55fg	4.60de	9.20e	9.07cde
	S <sub>3</sub>	263.00ab	261.33b	8.67d	8.89b	4.62de	4.62	8.84f	8.52de
	S <sub>4</sub>	268.66a	265.66a	8.94a	8.90b	4.71bc	4.75bc	8.03g	7.97ef
I <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	258.00b	255.00c	8.53e	8.99a	4.53fg	4.55ef	8.84f	8.10def
	S <sub>2</sub>	260.00b	259.00b	8.52e	8.53e	4.58ef	4.62d	7.50h	8.00ef
	S <sub>3</sub>	263.00ab	260.66b	8.55e	8.56e	4.63de	4.70c	7.20i	7.75ef
	S <sub>4</sub>	268.00a	265.00a	8.70d	8.69d	4.75b	4.80ab	5.97j	7.00f
I <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	243.00d	234.66f	7.80i	7.81i	4.55fg	4.58def	11.18a	10.92a
	S <sub>2</sub>	246.00cd	236.66f	7.85h	7.84h	4.65cd	4.69c	10.81b	10.60ab
	S <sub>3</sub>	248.00cd	242.00e	7.88g	7.89g	4.77b	4.75bc	10.31c	10.42abc
	S <sub>4</sub>	250.00c	244.66d	7.95f	7.96f	4.89a	4.85a	8.70f	9.20cde

I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> = 23.147, 17.36 and 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> irrigation water /tree/ year

S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> = Foliar application with 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm of nano-silicon ,respectively

**Table 8. Effect of drip irrigation rate, nano-silicon foliar application and their interaction on internal properties of "Washington Navel" orange fruits in 2016 and 2017 seasons.**

Treatment	TSS %		Acidity %		TSS /acid ratio		Vitamin C (mg /100 ml juice)		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Irrigation rate									
I <sub>1</sub>	11.34c	11.78b	0.99b	1.09b	11.45a	10.74a	49.72c	48.53c	
I <sub>2</sub>	11.52b	12.27a	1.10a	1.16a	10.46b	10.53b	50.09b	49.42b	
I <sub>3</sub>	11.78a	12.30a	1.43a	1.16a	10.33b	10.54b	50.54a	50.37a	
Silicon rate									
S <sub>1</sub>	11.51a	11.78d	1.01c	1.08b	11.45a	10.84a	49.30d	48.19d	
S <sub>2</sub>	11.60a	12.07c	1.07b	1.15a	10.86b	10.47b	49.55c	49.02c	
S <sub>3</sub>	11.60a	12.20b	1.09b	1.16a	10.69b	10.52b	50.19b	49.94b	
S <sub>4</sub>	11.48a	12.33a	1.15a	1.17a	9.99c	10.57b	51.44a	50.60a	
Interaction (I x S)									
I <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	11.30c	11.52f	0.95c	1.00f	11.93a	11.50a	48.83h	47.20i
	S <sub>2</sub>	11.32c	11.63ef	0.94c	1.13cde	11.93a	10.26d	48.92h	48.13h
	S <sub>3</sub>	11.34c	11.75de	0.98c	1.11e	11.60a	10.53bc	50.00e	48.80g
	S <sub>4</sub>	11.40c	12.00c	1.10b	1.13cde	10.36bc	10.60bc	51.13b	50.00d
I <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	11.45bc	11.83d	0.98c	1.14cd	11.66a	10.36cd	49.21g	48.17h
	S <sub>2</sub>	11.48bc	12.35ab	1.13ab	1.15bc	10.13cd	10.66b	49.82f	49.00f
	S <sub>3</sub>	11.50bc	12.40a	1.14ab	1.18ab	10.10cd	10.50bcd	50.20d	50.03d
	S <sub>4</sub>	11.65abc	12.50a	1.17a	1.18ab	9.93cd	10.60bc	51.15b	50.51c
I <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	11.78ab	12.00c	1.10b	1.12de	10.76b	10.66b	49.85f	49.22e
	S <sub>2</sub>	12.00a	12.25b	1.14ab	1.17ab	10.46bc	10.50bcd	49.92ef	49.95d
	S <sub>3</sub>	11.96a	12.45a	1.15ab	1.18ab	10.40bc	10.53bc	50.38c	51.00b
	S <sub>4</sub>	11.40c	12.50a	1.18a	1.19a	9.66d	10.50bcd	52.03a	51.31a

I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> = 23.147, 17.36 and 11.56 m<sup>3</sup> irrigation water /tree/ year

S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> = Foliar application with 0, 100, 200 and 300 ppm of nano-silicon, respectively

### CONCLUSION

According to the results obtained under the study conditions it could be recommended that watering "Washington Navel" orange trees planted in sandy soil under drip irrigation system by moderate irrigation rate (75% from actual irrigation practiced in the orchard) and spraying with nano-silicon at rate 300ppm this will improve the growth aspects, yield, fruit properties and decreased fruit

splitting(%). Additionally, It increases the efficiency of water use by providing irrigation water by reducing the amount of water used by 25% compared to the high irrigation rate without nano-silicon spraying.

### REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1995). Association of official analytical chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> Ed. Washington D.C., USA.

- Abdel Gawad, N. M. A. (2015). Effect of Some Anti-transpirant to Reduce Amount of Irrigation Water Added to the Banana cv."Grand Nain" in Sandy Soil. Egypt. J. Hort., 42 ( 1):69-86.
- Abd-Elall, E. H. and M. A. Hussein(2018). Foliar application of micro silica, potassium chloride and calcium chloride enhances yield and fruit quality of balady orange tree. Alexandria Science Exchange J., 39 (3):387-393.
- Abo El-Enien, M.M.S. (2012). Improvement of "Washington Navel" orange fruit quality using water regimes and GA<sub>3</sub>, potassium and calcium foliar applications. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafrelsheikh Univ., Egypt.
- Abo El-Enien, M.M.S.; A. B. Abo El-Kassim.; A.M. El-Azaze and F.S. El- Sayed (2017). Effect of silicon, potassium and calcium compounds on growth and increase the efficiency of citrus seedlings to resist citrus leafminer (*Phyllocnistis citrella*). J. Product. & Dev., 22(3):729-749
- Ali, M. H., M.R. Hoque, A. A. Hassan and A. Khair (2007). Effects of deficit irrigation on yield, water productivity and economic returns of Wheat. Agricultural water management, 92(3) : 151-161.
- Asgharipour, M.R. and H. Mosapour (2016). A foliar application of silicon enhances drought tolerance in fennel. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 26(4): 1056-1062.
- Atkinson, C.J.; A.D. Webster; S.P. Vaughan; L. Taylor and G. Kingswell (2000). Interaction between root restriction, irrigation rootstock treatments on "Queen Cox" apple trees: Effect of soil and plant water relation. J. Hort. Biotech., 75(4): 376-382.
- Bates, L.S.; R.P. Waldren and L.D. Teare (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant and Soil, 39: 205-207.
- Behboudian, M.H.; G.S. Lawes and K.M. Griffiths (1994). The influence of water deficit on water relation, photosynthesis and fruit growth in Asian pear (*Pyrus serotina* Rehd.). Scientia Horticulture, 60: 84-99.
- Bricker, B. (1991) MSTATC: A micro computer program from the design management and analysis of agronomic research experiments. Michigan State
- Castle, W. (1983). Growth, yield and cold hardiness of seven year old 'Bearss' lemon on twenty seven rootstocks. Proc. Florida Sta. Hort. Soc. 96: 23-25.
- Chou, G.J. (1966). A new method of measuring the leaf area of citrus trees. Acta. Hort. Sin., 5: 17-20.
- Conesa, M. R.; J. M.Rosa; J. P. Trujillo; R. Domingo and A. Pérez-Pastor (2018).Deficit irrigation in commercial mandarin trees: water relations, yield and quality responses at harvest and after cold storage. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research, 16 (3):1-15.
- Correa, D L. G.; J. E. Vélez-Sánchez and J. O. Rodríguez (2013). Influence of water deficit on growth and development of Valencia orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck) fruits in the piedmont of Meta department, Colombia. Acta Agronómica. 62 (2):138-148.
- Dai, W.M., K.Q.Zhang., B.W. Duan., C.X.Sun., K. L. Zheng., R. Cai and J.Y. Zhuag (2005). Rapid determination of silicon content in rice. Rice Sci., 12:145-147.
- De Sen, J. O.; H.A. Zaidan and P. R. Castro (2007). Transpiration and stomatal resistance variations of perennial tropical crops under soil water availability conditions and water deficit. Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol., 50 (2): 225-230.
- Efimova, G.V and S.A. Dokynchan (1986). Anatomomorphological construction of epidermal tissue of rice leaves and increasing of its protection function under silicon effect. Agric. Biol. 3:57-6.
- El- Gioushy, S. F., (2016). Productivity, fruit quality and nutritional status of Washington Navel Orange trees as influenced by foliar application with salicylic acid and potassium silicate combinations. Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants. 8 (2): 98-107.
- El-Abd, A. A.; E.A.Moursi and M.A.Gabr (2012).Effect of irrigation water regime on Navel orange yield, fruit quality and some water relation in North Middle Nile Delta region.J. Plant production, Monsoura Univ..3(6): 1049-1061.
- El-Khawaga, A.S and A.E.M. Mansour (2014). Enhancing the efficiency of irrigation water use by using some antitranspirants in "Wounderful" pomegranate orchards. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 3(3): 694-700.
- El-Zawily, H (2016). Evaluation the effect of different kinds of fertilizers on soil properties, vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality of "Washington Navel" orange trees under different irrigation levels in sandy soil. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafrelsheikh Univ., Egypt.
- Ennab, H.A. and S.A. El-Sayed (2014). Response of Balady mandarin trees to deficit irrigation. J. Agric. Res. Kafrelsheikh Univ., 40(3): 616-629.
- Epstein, E. (1999). Silicon. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 50:641-664.
- FAO (2016). Food and agriculture organization of the UN regional office for Africa, ACCRH. RAF Publication, 2016/01.
- Freitas, L.B.; E.M. Coelho.; S.C.M. Maia and T.R.B. Silva (2011). Foliar fertilization with silicon in maize. Rev Ceres 58: 262-267.
- Gong, H.J.; K.M. Chen; G.C. Chen; S.M. Wang and C.L. Zhang (2003). Effect of silicon on growth of wheat under drought. J. Plant Nutr. 26(5):1055-1063
- Habasy, R. Youness (2016). Response of Navel orange trees to potassium silicate application. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 47 (6-1): 164-172.
- Hamed, N.A.; R.A. Abdel-Aziz and N. H. Abou-Baker(2017).Effect of some applications on the performance of mandarin trees under soil salinity conditions. Egypt. J. Hort., 44 (1): 141 – 153.
- Helaly, M. N.; H. El-Hoseinyb; N. I. El-Sheeryc; A. Rastogid; H. M. Kalajif (2017). Regulation and physiological role of silicon in alleviating drought stress of mango. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry xxx (2017): 2-14.
- Huang, X. M.; H. B. Huang and F. F. Gao (2000). The growth potential generated in citrus fruit under water stress and its relevant mechanisms. Scientia Hort 83:227-240.
- Ibrahim , H. I. M and M. M. Al- Wasfy (2014). The promotive impact of using silicon and selenium with potassium and boron on fruiting of Valencia orange tree grown under Minia region condition. World Rural Observations. 6(2):28-36.
- Koshnirinko, M.D.; A.A.A. Gonsharova and E.M. Bonder (1970). Methods of study water relation and drought resistance of fruit trees. Acad. Sci. Press, Maldovia, USSR, pp. 53-61.
- Kotb, F.A. and M. D. Abdel-Adl (2017).Effect of silica compounds on vegetative growth, yield, fruit quality and nutritional status of Olinda Valencia orange. Middle East J. Agric. Res., 6(1): 45-56.
- Ma, J.F. and E. Takahashi (2002). Soil, fertilizer and plant. Silicon Research in Japan. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands p 1-200.



- Mahmoud, M. A.; A. Y. Shala and N M. Rashed (2017). The mutual effect of irrigation scheduling and foliar spray of silica nanoparticles on basil plant. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 8 (12): 1303 – 1313.
- Mali, S. S.; B. Das and P. R. Bhatnagar (2015). Effect of water application method and deficit irrigation on yield, quality and irrigation water use efficiency of litchi (*Litchi Chinensis* Sonn.) cv Shahi. International Journal of Irrigation and Water Management, Volume (2015) 7 Pages.
- Mikhael, G. B.Y.; M. A. Aziz and W. M. Abd El-Messeih (2010). Effect of some flood irrigation and potassium fertilization treatments on vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality of "Dessert red" peach trees grown in clay soil. J. Plant Production, 1 (4): 599 – 620.
- Moursi, E.A and M.M Abo El-Enien (2015). Studying behavior of Navel orange trees under different irrigation treatments in The North Middle Nile Delta. Annals of Agric.Sci., Moshtohor, 53(3):415-424.
- Mpelasoka, B.S.; M.H. Behboudian and T.M. Mills (2001). Water relations, photosynthesis, growth, yield and fruit size of "Braeburn" apple: response to deficit irrigation and to crop load. J. Hort. Sci. and Biotechnology, 76(2): 150-156.
- Naderi, M. R. and A. Danesh-Shahraki (2013). Nanofertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci., 5 (19): 2229-2232.
- Panigrahi, P.; R.K. Sharma; S. S. Parihar; M. Hasan and D. S. Rana (2014). Economic analysis of drip-irrigated Kinnow mandarin orchard under deficit irrigation and partial root zone drying. Irrig. and Drain., 62: 67-73.
- Pereira, T. S.; A. K. Lobato and D. K. Daniele (2013). Positive interference of silicon on water relations, nitrogen metabolism, and osmotic adjustment in two pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) cultivars under water deficit. AJCS 7(8):1064-1071.
- Qin, Z and S.P. Tian (2009). Enhancement of bio-control activity of *Cryptococcus laurentii* by silicon and the possible mechanisms involved. Phytopathology 95, 69-75.
- Romero, P.; J. M. Navarro; J. Pérez-Pérez; F. García-Sánchez; A. Gómez-Gómez and P. Botía (2006). Deficit irrigation and rootstock: their effects on water relations, vegetative development, yield, fruit quality and mineral nutrition of *Clemenules* mandarin. Tree Physiology 26, 1537-1548.
- Roshdy, K. A. (2014). Effect of spraying silicon and seaweed extract on growth and fruiting of Grandine Banana. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. 92 (3): 979-990.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1990). Statistical methods. 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames., Iowa, USA, p. 593.
- Todorov, D.; V. Alexieva and E. Karanov (1998). Effect of putrescine, 4-PU-30 and abscisic acid on maize plants grown under normal, drought, and rewatering conditions. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 17:197-203.
- Zayan, M. A.; R. A. Sayed; A. R. El-Sherief and H. M. A. El-Zawily (2017) Irrigation and fertilization programs for "Washington Navel" orange trees in sandy soil under desert climatic conditions. 1- Effect on soil properties, vegetative growth and yield. J. Agric. Res. Kafrelsheikh Univ., 40(3): 616-629.
- Zayan, M.A.; A.F. El-Sammak; S.M. Zeerban and A.R. El-Sherif (2002). Physiological responses of some grapevines varieties to drought conditions. 2<sup>nd</sup> Inter. Conf. Hort. Sci., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., Egypt, pp. 782-795.
- Zhu, Y. and H. Gong (2014). Beneficial effects of silicon on salt and drought tolerance in plants. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 34:455-472.
- Zoghdan, M. G. and M. M. S. Abo El-Enien (2019). Irrigation regime and soil conditioners impact on characteristics of sandy soil and Washington Navel orange trees. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., 10 (4): 233 – 243.

## تأثير بعض معاملات الري بالتنقيط والنانو سيليكون على النمو والمحصول والعلاقات المائية لأشجار البرتقال ابوسرة النامية في الأراضي حديثة الاستصلاح

محمد محمد سعد أبو العينين<sup>1</sup>، السيد أبو الفتوح مرسى<sup>2</sup> و وليد محمود الروبي<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم بحوث الموالح - معهد بحوث البساتين- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة - مصر

<sup>2</sup> قسم بحوث المقننات المائية معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه - مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة- مصر

<sup>3</sup> كلية العلوم المتقدمة - قسم بحوث تكنولوجيا النانو - جامعة بنى سويف

يُعد نقص مياه الري من أحد العوامل الرئيسية التي تحد من نمو وإنتاجية أشجار الفاكهة وخاصة في المناطق الجافة وشبه الجافة لذلك أجريت هذه الدراسة لتقييم تأثير الرش بالسيليكون في صورة النانو لتقليل الآثار السلبية الناتجة عن نقص مياه الري، حيث تم إجراء تجربة حقلية خلال عامي 2016 و 2017 في مزرعة خاصة بمنطقة النوبارية بمحافظة البحيرة - مصر. و ذلك لدراسة تأثير ثلاثة معدلات من مياه الري وهي 1- 100% (الكنترول وهو الري المتبع في المزرعة I<sub>1</sub> - 2- 75% من الكنترول (معدل الري المعتدل I<sub>2</sub> - 3- 50% من الكنترول) معدل الري المنخفض I<sub>3</sub>) والرش بأربعة تركيزات من النانو سيليكون (صفر و100 و200 و300 جزء في المليون) على النمو والمحصول والعلاقات المائية لأشجار البرتقال ابوسرة المنزرعة في تربة رملية تحت نظام الري بالتنقيط. وأوضحت النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها ان خفض معدل الري (I<sub>3</sub>) كانت مرتبطة بخفض معظم قياسات النمو الخضري وقيمة مقاومة الثغور في كلا الموسمين، بينما الرش، بالنانو سيليكون بمعدل 300 أو 200 جزء في المليون ادى الى زيادة معنوية في قياسات النمو الخضري. عكست النتائج المتحصل عليها من التداخل بين كل من معاملة الكنترول أو الري المعتدل (I<sub>1</sub> أو I<sub>2</sub>) مع رش النانو سيليكون بمعدل 300 جزء في المليون (S<sub>4</sub>) أكثر فعالية في تحسين قياسات النمو. في كلا الموسمين. كما اظهرت النتائج ان خفض معدل الري الى 50% من الكنترول (I<sub>1</sub>) ادى الى نقص محتوى الأوراق من العناصر مع زيادة في محتواها من البرولين في حين أدى التركيز العالي من السيليكون الى زيادة معنوية في محتوى الأوراق من مع نقص محتواها من البرولين. سجلت كل من معاملة معدل الري المنخفض والمعتدل (I<sub>2</sub> و I<sub>3</sub>) و التركيز الأعلى من السيليكون (S<sub>4</sub>) وكذلك التفاعل بينهم أعلى القيم للماء المرتبط والضغط الأسموزي للعصير الخلوي وأقل القيم للماء الكلي والحر. اوضحت النتائج ان معاملة الري المعتدل (I<sub>2</sub>) أو التركيز العالي من النانو سيليكون والتفاعل بينهما زيادة محصول الثمار (كجم/شجرة و طن/فدان) وكفاءة استخدام المياه (كجم/م<sup>3</sup>) و خصائص الثمار الخارجية والداخلية، في حين انخفضت النسبة المئوية للمؤوية لتشققات الثمار. وفقاً للنتائج التي تم الحصول عليها في ظل ظروف الدراسة، يمكن التوصية برى أشجار البرتقال ابوسرة المنزرعة في التربة الرملية بمعدل الري المعتدل (75% من الري الفعلي في البستان) تحت نظام الري بالتنقيط والرش باستخدام النانو سيليكون بمعدل 300 جزء في المليون مما يؤدي إلى تحسين النمو الخضري، والمحصول، وجودة الثمار بالإضافة الى تقليل تشقق الثمار، بجانب زيادة كفاءة استخدام المياه مع توفير مياه الري عن طريق تقليل كمية المياه المضافة بمقدار 25% مقارنة بمعدل الري العالي بدون رش النانو سيليكون.