Influence of Sowing Dates and Broomrape Control Methods on Yield and Yield Components of some Faba Bean Cultivars El-Ghareib, A. E. ; A. M. Azab ; E. A. E. Mesbah and N. E. Etiwa Department of Agronomy -Faculty Of Agriculture-Al-Azhar university-Egypt



ABSTRACT

In order to study the effect of sowing dates (10^{th} Nov., 20^{rd} Nov. and 30^{rd} Nov.), resistance methods (control, manual resistance and spray with Round up with recommended rate, 80 cm³/fed) of some faba bean cultivars (Misr 3,Giza 843 and Nubaria 1) and their interactions on yield and yield components. Tow field experiments were conducted at El-Tamamah village, Kafr El-Dawer, El-Behera Governorate, Egypt, during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons,.The results indicated that the difference between sowing dates for number of branches per plant, number of days broomrape appearance days, infestation %per polt, number of pods per plant, seed yield per fed, harvest index as % were significant in both seasons. While, plant height, 100 seed weight and biological yield /fed were significant in the second season only. Sowing on 10^{th} Nov gave the maximum values of most studied traits in the two seasons, compared with sowing 20 or 30^{rd} Nov, However sowing on 30^{th} Nov. gave the lowest value of infestation % per polt . Results showed the superiority of Giza 843 and Misr 3 cultivars on Nubaria 1 in most studied traits in both seasons. Misr 3 cultivar gave the best values for most stdied traits in the two seasons. Results indicated that faba bean plants spraying with Round up at 80 cm³/fed three times or manual resistance gave the best values of most studied traits compared with the control in both seasons. Interactions between sowing dates × faba bean cultivars × resistance methods were significant for most studied traits in the two seasons. Results showed that Misr 3 cultivar sowing on 10^{th} Nov. with Roundup at 80 cm³/fed times three gave the maximum values of most studied traits, except infestation % in the two seasons under experimental soil conditions.

Keywords: Faba bean, Orobanche crenata, sowing dates, cultivars, control methods.

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (Vicia faba, 1) is considered the most important food legume in Egypt. It is very important. as source of the plant protein, in addition to, the dry seeds contain about 58% carbohydrates, which considered as a good source of energy. Besides its contribution to soil nitrogen fertility through N2_fixation. The cultivated area in 2018 year was 82000 fed. Approximately with an average yield of 8.87 ard/fad. There is need to increase total production to meet the increasing demand for faba bean in Egypt. This could be achieved through enhancing crop breeding to broomrape infection and agronomic practice . Legume production in Egypt considered damage from infestation with the root-parasitic weed (Orobanche crenata, Forsk), which, can inflect devastating yield losses on faba bean. In Egypt, broomrape can cause total crop failure, where as, the percentage of infection by broomrape could reach up to 90-100% Anonymoas 1994. Various control methods have been proposed, ranging from cultural practices such as hand weeding, sowing dates, resistance cultivars and foliar spraying with herbicides. Planting time is the major factor affecting development, source sink relation ship and assimilation in faba bean plants, also, planting time is crucial in many farming systems to avoid frost, drout, pests ordisease, which, may accor early or late in the growing season (Sahile et al. 2008). Mekky et al (2003) referred that sowing faba bean on 15th and 30rd Nov . decreased broomrape infestation by 44.8 and 92% compared with 1st of Nov. in both seasons, with addition to, increasing seed yield by 6.55 and 27.7% respectively. Also, Mekky et al. (2003) They added that the increase in temperature encourages the earlier germination of broomrape seeds than late sowing date. Sahile et al. (2008) indicated that the late sowing date on 10th December gave the lowest values of previous traits. compared with 10th Nov. and 25th October. El. Metwally et al. (2013) showed that the late sowing date on 10^{th} December significantly reduced both the number and dry weight of broomrape as compared with sown on (25th October, 10th Nov. and 25th Nov). The decreasing percentage in dry weight of broomrape by sowing dates 10 Nov.,25 Nov and 10 December were 27.7,65.9 and 87.2% respectively, compared with the early sowing date on 25th October. El-Degwy et.al (2010) indicated that the late sowing date from 1st Nov or mid Nov. to the first of Dec. significantly increased number of branches per plant and decreased broomrape dry weight per plot. Hamdi (2002) showed that late sowing date to the first of Dec. significantly decreased broomrape dry weight/m². The decreasing percentage were 85.1% compared with the control. The added that the indicated that late sowing date with glyphosate and Giza 429 cultivar planted gave the lowest broomrape plants number per m² compared with Giza2 cultivar .The decreases percentages were 87,87,88,81 and 90% compared with the control treatment (Giza2 cultivar). Ashrie et al. (2010) indicated that the Five genotypes X_1714,Giza843, X_1671,X1720 and Misr 1 gave the highest values for yield, yield components compared with Giza 40 cultivar under Infested soil. El-Degwy et al. (2010) indicated that late sowing date to first Dec . with Misr 1 or Giza 843 cultivars and application of 75cm³/fed From Roundup twice gave the maximum values for seed yield/fed . and the lowest Orobanch dry weight/plot broomrape infested soil. Bayoumi et al. (2013) showed that Giza 3, Misr 1 and Giza 843 cultivar using Roundup with 75 cm³ gave the highest growth and seed yield compared with Triple white cultivar as susceptible Eid et al. (2017) indicated that the best control package for faba bean in sandy soil Infested with broomrape is by planting Misr 3 or Giza 843 cultivars on November and using 2 spray of Roundup at seeding rate 30 kg in with the susceptible cultivar Giza 3, that compared significantly increased of seed yield and yield components, as well as, decreased infestation %. Consequently, these study was aimed to investigate the effect of broomrape control treatments on seed yield and yield components of some faba bean cultivars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at El-Tamamah village, Kafr El-Dawer, El_Behera Governorate, Egypt, during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 Seasons, to study the effect of sowing dates, broomrape control methods and their interactions on seed yield and yield components of some faba bean cultivars. three faba bean cultivars were evaluated on an Orobanche nuturally Infested soil. Soil analysis for two seasons were carried out according to Jackson (1973).the results of analysis are shown in Table (1).

Table 1. Mechanical and chemical analysis of experimental soil at two seasons 2016/2017 and 2017 /2018.

| Mechanical analysis | 2016/2017 season | 2017/2018 season |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sand% | 56 | 60 |
| Silt% | 20 | 19 |
| Clay% | 24 | 21 |
| Texture class | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam |
| Chemical analysis | | |
| PH | 7.80 | 7.85 |
| EC(ds/l) | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| Available Ca ⁺⁺ (meg/l) | 1.15 | 2.13 |
| Available Mg ⁺⁺ (meg/l) | 0.92 | 1.70 |
| Available Na ⁺ (meg/l) | 2.67 | 4.94 |
| Available K ⁺ (meg/l) | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Available Co ₃ (meg/l) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Available $HCo_3^{-}(meg/l)$ | 0.27 | 0.50 |
| Available CL ⁻ (meg/l) | 2.61 | 4.82 |
| Available So ₄ (meg/l) | 1.90 | 3.50 |

Studied factors:

Three factors were studied includes sowing dates as follows:

1-10th November

2-20th November

3-30th November

The second factors includes three faba bean cultivars as follows:

1-Misr 3 (tolerant)

2- Giza 843 (tolerant)

3- Nubaria 1 (susceptible)

Three third factor includes three of control methods as follows:

1-Control (with out applications any treatment)

2-Manual resistance

3-Chmical control roundup (glyphosate 48% WSC 3 times after 65,80 and 95 day from sowing at 80 cm³/fed. each spray.

The experiment design was split-split-plot design with three replications, sowing dates were allocated in main plots, while, faba bean cultivars were arranged in the supplots and control methods were occupied in the sub-sub-agricultural practices for faba bean production were applied at the proper time. Phosphorus fertilizer at the rate of 15.5 kg p_{205} /fad. in the form of calcium superphosphate (15.5% p_{205}) was added during soil preparation. Potassium fertilizer was soil added at the rate of 24 kg k_{20} /fed.as potassium sulphate(48 % k_{20})in one dose at first irrigation after sowing. Faba bean seeds for three cultivars was sown at the rate of 60 kg/fed.The origin, pedigree, reaction to broomrape of three faba bean cultivars are presented in Table (2).

| Cultivars | Origin | Pedigree | Reaction to Orobanche |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Misr 3 | FCRT | L66×7(Caira 241×G.461) | Tolerant |
| Giza 843 | FCRT | Cross 461× Cross 561 | Tolerant |
| Nubaria 1 | FCRT | Selected form Giza Blanca | Highly susceptible |
| ECDT FLIC | | | |

FCRT=Field Crops Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

Studied attributes:

At harvest, ten individual plants were chosen at random form plot to record the experimental data, while, biological yield/fed and seed yield /fed were taken from whol plot.

1-Number of branches / plant.

2-Number of broomrape appearance days

3-Infestation% per plot, as % form total number of plant/ plot.

4-Plant height, (cm).

5-Number of pods / plant.

6-100 seeds weight, in (g).

7-Biological yield kg/fed were taken form whole plot in kg.

8-Seeds yield kg/ fed were taken form whole plot in kg. 9-Harvest index(HI) computed as

Harvest index (HI) = seed yield (kg/fed) /Biological yield (kg/fed) ×100.

10-Protein % in seeds, was determined by (Lowry *et al.*1951).

The obtained data were statistically analyzed according to the methods suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).Means were compared by using the L. S. D. at 5% level of significance

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of sowing dates, cultivars methods control and their interactions on yield and yield components of some

faba bean cultivar during 2016/2017 and 2017 /2018 seasons are presented in Tables 3-11. Results indicated that the difference between sowing dates for number of branches per plant, number of days broomrape appreance, infestation % per plot, number of pods per plant, seed yield per fed . and harvest index as% were significantly affected in both seasons, While. Plant height, 100 seed weight and biological yield per fed were significantly affected only in the second season. Sown on 10th Nov. gave the maximum values of most studied traits in the both seasons, compared with sawn on20th and 30th Nov. However sown on 30th Nov. gave the lowest value of infestation % per plot seed yield and yield components compared with the other sowing dates . The increases in seed of yield and yield components may be due to the fact that the plants had sufficient longer vegetative period and best utilization of water and nutrients. While, late sowing produced less pods per plant, consequently decreased in seed yield per fed. Also, the low yield might be due to cold weather during December sown . Which, hindered the normal growth, photosynthesis and rhizobial activitis and the crop did not produce enough leaf area to intercept most of incoming radiation and convert them to chemical energy through photosynthesis. Moreover, the reduction in yield may be due to poor growth, shorter seed filling duration maturity period, less number of fruiting nodes and pods per plant and minimum seeds per pod (sahile et al., 2008), These results are in harmony with those obtained by El-Metwally et al. (2013). On the other hand,

decreases in infestation % with late sowing date might be due to the increase in temperature, which, encourages the earlier germination of broomrape seeds than late sowing date, (Mekky *et al.* (2003))

The result presented in Tables from 3_11 showed that spraying of faba bean plants with Round up 3 times after 65, 80 and 95 day from sowing at 80cm³/fed. gave the best values of most studied traits in both seasons, compared with the control (without any treatment). The reduction percentage of broomrape dry weight by Roundup three times or manual resistance were 27.26 and 19.06% in the first season, 17.43 and 9.68% in second season, compared with the control 33.90 and 21.78% in both seasons. These the results may be due to the stimulant is secreted by the roots at a certain stage of development of the host, exactly the stage just before or during flowering causing broomrape germination and attachment to the host. The results are in agreement with those of Mekky et al. (2003), Ghalwash et al. (2008) and Ghannam et al. (2012) who reported that the action of Roundup on broomrape is attachment to its selective accumulation in the young parasite plant to a level four times as high as that in faba bean host root three days after spraying, with addition to, application of Roundup led to that the competition between crop and broomrape was minimized and this made the crop plants to utilize available resources and synthesized photo synthates more effectivety throughout crop growth period which, in turn positively influenced the fruit yield by improving yield components. Roundup was sprayed on the host crop and translocated to the parasite to kill it. Its phytotoxicity is most limiting factor. Necrosis of installed broomrape tuberles was noticed in faba bean plants after application Roundup. Nassr and Mekky(2002).

The results clearly indicated that the superiority of Giza 843 and Misr 3 cultivars on Nubaria 1 in most studied traits in both seasons. Misr 3 cultivar exceeded the tolerant check cultivars. Nubaria 1 and Giza 843 of most studied traits. Thes results may be due to host plants might escape broomrape infection by reduced plant maturity. The occurrence of phenolics, carbohydrates, proteins and chlorophyll content were also recorded in extractes of host plants. Misr 3 and Giza 843 cultivars accumulated more phenolics, carbohydrate and proteins as defence mechanism against broomrape. It might be concluded that such substances metabolites can be assumed as existing normally at genetically determined levels either in host root or the parasite as ameans of routine mechanical support and natural defense. But, in presence of broomrape it is tentatively suggested that a signal is received and transduced to hest genome, resulting in a general up regulation of genes encoding the phenyl propanoid pathway, thus providing the host cells with extra precursors of defensive compounds. These results are in agreement with those reported Bora et al. (1998), Bayoumi et al. (2013).

The interaction between sowing dates and faba bean cultivars was significant for number of branches per plant, infestation %per plot and seed yield per fed in both seasons, number of day broomrape appearance and plant height in second season only. While, 100 seed weight and harvest index% in the first season only. Sawn on10th Nov. of Misr 3 or Giza 843 cultivars gave the maximum values of most studied traits in both seasons, where sawn on 30th Nov. of Misr 3 or Giza 843 cultivars gave the best values for infestation% in both seasons.

 Table 3 . Means of number of braches/plant of as affected by sowing dates, cultivars and control method as well as their interaction.

| Tuestments | | | 2016/201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|-----------|------|------------------|------------|------------|------|
| 1 reatments | | | control me | thods (C) | | | control me | ethods (C) | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean |
| | Misr 3 | 5.33 | 6.00 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.40 | 5.87 | 5.80 | 5.69 |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 4.93 | 5.73 | 5.37 | 5.35 | 4.90 | 5.97 | 5.27 | 5.38 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 8.33 | 9.23 | 8.57 | 8.71 | 5.10 | 5.73 | 5.50 | 5.45 |
| Mean | | 6.20 | 6.99 | 6.54 | 6.58 | 5.13 | 5.86 | 5.52 | 5.50 |
| | Misr 3 | 4.20 | 4.70 | 4.90 | 4.60 | 3.83 | 4.53 | 4.90 | 4.42 |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 4.03 | 4.24 | 4.23 | 4.17 | 4.10 | 4.43 | 4.80 | 4.44 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 5.07 | 5.53 | 5.83 | 5.48 | 4.83 | 5.03 | 6.10 | 5.32 |
| Mean | | 4.43 | 4.82 | 4.99 | 4.75 | 4.26 | 4.67 | 5.27 | 4.73 |
| | Misr 3 | 3.73 | 4.00 | 3.70 | 3.81 | 4.27 | 4.40 | 3.73 | 4.13 |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 4.07 | 3.47 | 3.73 | 3.76 | 4.07 | 3.73 | 3.93 | 3.91 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 5.07 | 4.07 | 5.00 | 4.71 | 5.50 | 4.50 | 4.87 | 4.96 |
| Mean | | 4.29 | 3.84 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.61 | 4.21 | 4.18 | 4.33 |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 4.42 | 4.90 | 4.76 | 4.69 | 4.50 | 4.93 | 4.81 | 4.75 |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 4.34 | 4.48 | 4.45 | 4.42 | 4.36 | 4.71 | 4.67 | 4.58 |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 6.16 | 6.28 | 6.47 | 6.30 | 5.15 | 5.09 | 5.49 | 5.24 |
| Mean | | 4.97 | 5.22 | 5.22 | 5.14 | 4.67 | 4.91 | 4.99 | 4.86 |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | 0.5 | 52 | | | 0.2 | 26 | |
| В | | | 0.5 | 55 | | | 0.2 | 27 | |
| AB | | | 0.9 | 95 | | | 0.4 | 17 | |
| С | | | 0.1 | 5 | | | 0.2 | 25 | |
| AC | | | 0.2 | 26 | | 0.43 | | | |
| BC | | | N | S | | | N | S | |
| ABC | | | 0.4 | 6 | | | N | S | |

El-Ghareib, A. E. et al.

| Tuo s Anno s a Anno | | | 2016 / 201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------|-------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Treatments | | | Control me | ethods (C) | | Control methods (C) | | | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean |
| | Misr 3 | 95.33 | 95.67 | 101.00 | 97.33 | 92.33 | 93.67 | 36.67 | 74.22 |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 90.33 | 92.67 | 96.00 | 93.00 | 89.33 | 91.33 | 96.33 | 92.33 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 75.67 | 74.67 | 83.00 | 77.78 | 76.33 | 75.67 | 85.33 | 79.11 |
| Mean | | 87.11 | 87.67 | 93.33 | 89.37 | 86.00 | 86.89 | 72.78 | 81.89 |
| | Misr 3 | 92.00 | 90.67 | 100.67 | 94.44 | 91.67 | 91.00 | 100.33 | 94.33 |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 87.33 | 90.00 | 97.33 | 91.56 | 90.33 | 90.00 | 97.67 | 92.67 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 76.00 | 76.67 | 83.33 | 78.67 | 76.00 | 78.67 | 84.67 | 79.78 |
| Mean | | 85.11 | 85.78 | 93.78 | 88.22 | 86.00 | 86.56 | 94.22 | 88.93 |
| | Misr 3 | 72.67 | 73.00 | 76.00 | 73.89 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 71.00 | 70.67 | 73.67 | 71.78 | 103.67 | 72.33 | 37.33 | 71.11 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 81.67 | 80.00 | 92.67 | 84.78 | 81.67 | 81.67 | 92.00 | 85.11 |
| Mean | | 75.11 | 74.56 | 80.78 | 76.82 | 61.78 | 51.33 | 43.11 | 52.07 |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 86.67 | 86.44 | 92.56 | 88.56 | 61.33 | 61.56 | 45.67 | 56.19 |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 82.89 | 84.44 | 89.00 | 85.44 | 94.44 | 84.56 | 77.11 | 85.37 |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 77.78 | 77.11 | 86.33 | 80.41 | 78.00 | 78.67 | 87.33 | 81.33 |
| Mean | | 82.44 | 82.67 | 89.30 | 84.80 | 77.93 | 74.93 | 70.04 | 74.30 |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | N | S | | | 21. | 84 | |
| В | | | N | S | | | 14. | 67 | |
| AB | | | N | S | | | 25.4 | 41 | |
| C | | | 1.1 | .9 | | NS | | | |
| AC | | | N | S | | NS | | | |
| BC | | | 2.0 |)6 | | | N | S | |
| ABC | | | 3.5 | 57 | | | 32. | 38 | |

| Table 4 . Means of broomrape appearance | days of as affected | by sowing dates, | cultivars and control | method as |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| well as their interaction. | | | | |

 Table 5 . Means of infestation%/plot of as affected by sowing dates, cultivars and control method as well as their interaction.

| Turotromta | | | 2016 / 201 | 5 / 2017 Season 20017/20 | | | | 18season | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|------------|------------|-------|
| Treatments | | | Control me | ethods (C) | | | Control me | ethods (C) | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean |
| | Misr 3 | 16.00 | 8.89 | 5.78 | 10.22 | 8.00 | 4.45 | 0.89 | 4.45 |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 32.44 | 24.89 | 15.55 | 24.29 | 18.22 | 12.00 | 4.45 | 11.56 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 73.11 | 59.11 | 57.33 | 63.19 | 41.78 | 35.56 | 18.67 | 32.00 |
| Mean | | 40.52 | 30.96 | 26.22 | 32.57 | 22.67 | 17.33 | 8.00 | 16.00 |
| | Misr 3 | 10.22 | 9.33 | 5.33 | 8.30 | 8.44 | 6.67 | 4.00 | 6.37 |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 24.89 | 21.78 | 6.67 | 17.78 | 25.33 | 20.44 | 6.22 | 17.33 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 73.33 | 64.89 | 56.00 | 64.74 | 55.11 | 48.00 | 34.67 | 45.93 |
| Mean | | 36.15 | 32.00 | 22.67 | 30.27 | 29.63 | 25.04 | 14.94 | 23.21 |
| | Misr 3 | 4.45 | 2.22 | 1.33 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 9.78 | 6.67 | 3.10 | 6.52 | 5.78 | 4.00 | 0.44 | 3.41 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 60.87 | 47.55 | 20.44 | 42.96 | 33.33 | 25.78 | 17.78 | 25.63 |
| Mean | | 25.04 | 18.81 | 8.30 | 17.38 | 13.04 | 9.93 | 6.07 | 9.68 |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 10.22 | 6.82 | 4.15 | 7.06 | 5.48 | 3.70 | 1.63 | 3.61 |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 22.37 | 17.78 | 8.44 | 16.20 | 16.44 | 12.15 | 3.70 | 10.77 |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 69.11 | 57.19 | 44.59 | 56.96 | 43.42 | 36.45 | 23.70 | 34.52 |
| Mean | | 33.90 | 27.26 | 19.06 | 26.74 | 21.78 | 17.43 | 9.68 | 16.30 |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | 8.3 | 74 | | | 2.6 | 58 | |
| В | | | 3.10 | 07 | | | 2.8 | 98 | |
| AB | | | 5.382 | | | | 5.02 | 20 | |
| С | | | 1.9 | 11 | | | 1.5 | 98 | |
| AC | | | N | 5 | | 2.768 | | | |
| BC | | | 3.3 | 10 | | 2.768 | | | |
| ABC | | | 5.73 | 33 | | | N | S | |

J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 10 (6), June, 2019

| Treatments | | | 2016 / 201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--|
| reatments | | | Control m | ethods (C) | | | Control methods (C) | | | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | |
| | Misr 3 | 137.97 | 143.13 | 123.63 | 134.91 | 133.13 | 137.23 | 126.37 | 132.24 | |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 137.13 | 143.93 | 119.50 | 133.52 | 127.20 | 137.40 | 132.27 | 132.29 | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 125.77 | 125.60 | 118.67 | 123.34 | 128.67 | 134.90 | 124.20 | 129.26 | |
| Mean | | 133.62 | 137.56 | 120.60 | 130.59 | 129.67 | 136.51 | 127.61 | 131.26 | |
| | Misr 3 | 141.90 | 137.83 | 132.63 | 137.46 | 140.43 | 127.10 | 122.50 | 130.01 | |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 140.50 | 139.03 | 143.13 | 140.89 | 130.40 | 125.27 | 139.07 | 131.58 | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 119.93 | 124.30 | 119.73 | 121.32 | 111.80 | 120.10 | 111.37 | 114.42 | |
| Mean | | 134.11 | 133.72 | 131.83 | 133.22 | 127.54 | 124.16 | 124.31 | 125.34 | |
| | Misr 3 | 124.60 | 127.80 | 120.67 | 124.36 | 111.23 | 121.33 | 106.37 | 112.98 | |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 134.80 | 126.13 | 117.90 | 126.28 | 129.33 | 118.73 | 117.43 | 121.83 | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 120.07 | 123.50 | 112.03 | 118.53 | 123.27 | 124.60 | 118.00 | 121.96 | |
| Mean | | 126.49 | 125.81 | 116.87 | 123.06 | 121.28 | 121.56 | 113.93 | 118.92 | |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 134.82 | 136.26 | 125.64 | 132.24 | 128.27 | 128.56 | 118.41 | 125.08 | |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 137.48 | 136.37 | 126.84 | 133.56 | 128.98 | 127.13 | 129.59 | 128.57 | |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 121.92 | 124.47 | 116.81 | 121.07 | 121.24 | 126.53 | 117.86 | 121.88 | |
| Mean | | 131.47 | 132.36 | 123.10 | 128.96 | 126.16 | 127.41 | 121.95 | 125.17 | |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | Ν | S | | | 2.2 | 73 | | |
| В | | | 5.2 | 29 | | | 1.4 | 41 | | |
| AB | | | Ν | S | | | 2.4 | 45 | | |
| С | | | 2.0 |)3 | | 2.02 | | | | |
| AC | | | 3.5 | 51 | | | 3.: | 50 | | |
| BC | | | Ν | S | | | 3.: | 50 | | |
| ABC | | | 6.0 |)9 | | | 6. | 07 | | |

| Table 6 . Means of Plant height, I | in Cm of as affected by sowing | g dates, cultivars and c | control method as well a | s their |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| interaction. | | | | |

 Table 7 . Means of number of pods/ plant of as affected by sowing dates, cultivars and control method as well as their interaction.

| Tuestan | | 2016 / 201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------|---------|------------|------------|-------|
| Treatments | | | Control me | ethods (C) | | | Control me | ethods (C) | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean |
| | Misr 3 | 35.53 | 41.73 | 38.23 | 38.50 | 28.30 | 32.63 | 30.47 | 30.47 |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 29.27 | 38.07 | 36.57 | 34.63 | 27.80 | 29.30 | 28.30 | 28.47 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 22.90 | 21.43 | 26.33 | 23.56 | 24.03 | 19.60 | 25.30 | 22.98 |
| Mean | | 29.23 | 33.74 | 33.71 | 32.23 | 26.71 | 27.18 | 28.02 | 27.30 |
| | Misr 3 | 26.33 | 30.43 | 23.70 | 26.82 | 26.03 | 30.17 | 24.13 | 26.78 |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 21.73 | 26.77 | 28.70 | 25.73 | 24.23 | 31.40 | 35.33 | 30.32 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 18.43 | 19.83 | 23.30 | 20.52 | 19.90 | 22.97 | 25.03 | 22.63 |
| Mean | | 22.17 | 25.68 | 25.23 | 24.36 | 23.39 | 28.18 | 28.17 | 26.58 |
| | Misr 3 | 19.47 | 21.27 | 18.67 | 19.80 | 18.63 | 17.77 | 15.40 | 17.27 |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 21.77 | 22.20 | 20.80 | 21.59 | 15.60 | 17.27 | 15.20 | 16.02 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 12.20 | 13.60 | 15.93 | 13.91 | 11.53 | 13.13 | 14.90 | 13.19 |
| Mean | | 17.81 | 19.02 | 18.47 | 18.43 | 15.26 | 16.06 | 15.17 | 15.49 |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 27.11 | 31.14 | 26.87 | 28.37 | 24.32 | 26.86 | 23.33 | 24.84 |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 24.26 | 29.01 | 28.69 | 27.32 | 22.54 | 25.99 | 26.28 | 24.94 |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 17.84 | 18.29 | 21.86 | 19.33 | 18.49 | 18.57 | 21.74 | 19.60 |
| Mean | | 23.07 | 26.15 | 25.80 | 25.01 | 21.79 | 23.80 | 23.79 | 23.13 |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | 2.7 | 0 | | | 1.4 | -6 | |
| В | | | 2.9 | 2 | | | 1.7 | 6 | |
| AB | | | N | S | | | N | S | |
| С | | | 1.0 |)1 | | | 1.2 | 21 | |
| AC | | | 1.7 | 5 | | | 2.1 | 0 | |
| BC | | | 1.7 | '5 | | | 2.1 | 0 | |
| ABC | | | 3.0 |)2 | | | 3.6 | 54 | |

El-Ghareib, A. E. et al.

| interactio | /11. | | 2016 / 201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--|
| Treatments | | | Control m | ethods (C) | | | Control methods (C) | | | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | |
| 10 th N | Misr 3 | 74.67 | 72.90 | 70.74 | 72.77 | 80.63 | 77.03 | 75.67 | 77.78 | |
| 10 Nov. | Giza 843 | 75.50 | 78.15 | 70.95 | 74.87 | 78.87 | 76.90 | 76.27 | 77.34 | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 107.00 | 113.00 | 116.93 | 112.31 | 110.20 | 116.03 | 112.30 | 112.84 | |
| Mean | | 85.72 | 88.02 | 86.21 | 86.65 | 89.90 | 89.99 | 88.08 | 89.32 | |
| 20 th N | Misr 3 | 74.47 | 72.87 | 71.33 | 72.89 | 76.43 | 71.33 | 74.80 | 74.19 | |
| 20 Nov. | Giza 843 | 79.50 | 73.57 | 75.93 | 76.33 | 78.47 | 76.77 | 75.87 | 77.03 | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 102.87 | 103.87 | 96.37 | 101.03 | 93.60 | 103.50 | 100.60 | 99.23 | |
| Mean | | 85.61 | 83.43 | 81.21 | 83.42 | 82.83 | 83.87 | 83.76 | 83.49 | |
| 20 th Mars | Misr 3 | 69.17 | 66.83 | 65.90 | 67.30 | 75.17 | 74.87 | 77.33 | 75.79 | |
| 30 NOV | Giza 843 | 76.93 | 79.93 | 68.07 | 74.98 | 77.33 | 76.53 | 76.03 | 76.63 | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 100.47 | 100.43 | 100.57 | 100.49 | 94.27 | 102.47 | 101.70 | 99.48 | |
| Mean | | 82.19 | 82.40 | 78.18 | 80.92 | 82.26 | 84.62 | 85.02 | 83.97 | |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 72.77 | 70.87 | 69.33 | 70.97 | 77.41 | 74.41 | 75.93 | 75.92 | |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 77.31 | 77.22 | 71.65 | 75.34 | 78.22 | 76.73 | 76.06 | 77.00 | |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 103.44 | 105.77 | 104.62 | 104.61 | 99.36 | 107.33 | 104.87 | 103.85 | |
| Mean | | 84.51 | 84.62 | 81.87 | 83.66 | 87.99 | 86.16 | 85.62 | 85.59 | |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | N | S | | | 2.5 | 54 | | |
| В | | | 3.0 |)1 | | | 4.5 | 57 | | |
| AB | | | 5.2 | 22 | | | N | S | | |
| С | | | N | S | | | N | S | | |
| AC | | | N | S | | | N | S | | |
| BC | | | N | S | | | 3.0 |)6 | | |
| ABC | | | N | S | | | N | S | | |

| Table 8 . Means of 100 seed | weight,ing of as affected by sowi | ing dates, cultivars and c | control method as well as their |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| interaction. | | | |

Table 9 . Means of Biological yield/fed of as affected by sowing dates, cultivars and control method as well as their interaction.

| T | | | 2016 / 201 | 17 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|--------|--------|--|--|
| 1 reatments | | Control methods (C) | | | | Control methods (C) | | | | | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | | |
| | Misr 3 | 5905.8 | 5845.9 | 5590.8 | 5780.8 | 5960.6 | 5609.9 | 5495.8 | 5688.8 | | |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 5019.5 | 5284.2 | 5162.9 | 5155.6 | 4932.3 | 4954.1 | 5212.3 | 5032.9 | | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 4062.7 | 4775.6 | 5047.3 | 4628.5 | 3940.4 | 4254.6 | 4666.6 | 4287.2 | | |
| Mean | | 4995.9 | 5301.9 | 5267.0 | 5188.3 | 4944.4 | 4939.5 | 5124.9 | 5002.9 | | |
| | Misr 3 | 5973.0 | 5715.4 | 5932.2 | 5873.5 | 5871.9 | 5833.6 | 5773.6 | 5826.4 | | |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 4380.7 | 4774.6 | 4710.6 | 4621.9 | 5027.3 | 4743.7 | 4962.0 | 4911.0 | | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 4199.3 | 4585.3 | 4955.9 | 4580.2 | 4023.4 | 4431.9 | 4357.9 | 4271.1 | | |
| Mean | | 4851.0 | 5025.1 | 5199.6 | 5025.2 | 4974.2 | 5003.1 | 5031.2 | 5002.8 | | |
| | Misr 3 | 5315.5 | 5274.9 | 5679.4 | 5423.3 | 5936.8 | 6026.1 | 5886.9 | 5949.9 | | |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 5859.2 | 5377.4 | 5447.3 | 5561.3 | 5383.7 | 5249.9 | 5072.4 | 5235.3 | | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 4672.3 | 5330.9 | 5224.8 | 5076.0 | 4283.6 | 5927.5 | 5809.8 | 5340.3 | | |
| Mean | | 5282.4 | 5327.8 | 5450.5 | 5353.5 | 5201.4 | 5734.50 | 5589.7 | 5508.5 | | |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 5731.4 | 5612.1 | 5734.2 | 5692.6 | 5923.1 | 5823.2 | 5718.8 | 5821.7 | | |
| Over all means | Giza 843 | 5086.5 | 5145.4 | 5106.9 | 5112.9 | 5114.4 | 4982.6 | 5082.2 | 5059.7 | | |
| for cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 4311.4 | 4897.3 | 5076.0 | 4761.6 | 4082.5 | 4871.4 | 4944.8 | 4632.9 | | |
| Mean | | 5043.1 | 5218.3 | 5305.7 | 5189.0 | 5040.0 | 5225.7 | 5248.6 | 5171.4 | | |
| L.S.D at 5% for | r: | | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | Ν | S | | 251.12 | | | | | |
| В | | | 391.71 | | | | 322.11 | | | | |
| AB | | NS | | | | NS | | | | | |
| С | | 185.02 | | | | 164.50 | | | | | |
| AC | | NS | | | | NS | | | | | |
| BC | | 320.47 284.93 | | | | | | | | | |
| ABC | | NS 493.51 | | | | | | | | | |

J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 10 (6), June, 2019

| Treatments | | | 2016 / 201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|----------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | Control methods (C) | | | | Control methods (C) | | | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean |
| | Misr 3 | 2169.3 | 2220.0 | 2261.3 | 2216.9 | 1966.7 | 2000.0 | 2106.7 | 2024.4 |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 1908.0 | 2244.0 | 2055.0 | 2069.0 | 1856.7 | 2026.7 | 1936.7 | 1940.0 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 1210.0 | 1626.7 | 1916.7 | 1584.4 | 1130.0 | 1328.3 | 1580.0 | 1346.1 |
| Mean | | 1762.4 | 2030.2 | 2077.7 | 1956.8 | 1651.1 | 1785.0 | 1874.4 | 1770.2 |
| | Misr 3 | 1660.0 | 1806.7 | 1706.7 | 1724.4 | 1696.7 | 1906.7 | 1726.7 | 1776.7 |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 1353.3 | 1626.7 | 1640.0 | 1540.0 | 1593.3 | 1650.0 | 1790.0 | 1677.8 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 1238.3 | 1440.0 | 1706.7 | 1461.7 | 1100.0 | 1303.3 | 1360.0 | 1254.4 |
| Mean | | 1417.2 | 1624.4 | 1684.4 | 1575.4 | 1463.3 | 1620.0 | 1625.6 | 1569.6 |
| | Misr 3 | 1866.7 | 1826.7 | 1783.3 | 1825.6 | 1970.0 | 1956.7 | 1783.3 | 1903.3 |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 1766.7 | 1776.7 | 1751.7 | 1765.0 | 1723.3 | 1820.0 | 1736.7 | 1760.0 |
| | Nubaria 1 | 1356.7 | 1660.0 | 1740.0 | 1585.6 | 1170.0 | 1680.0 | 1770.0 | 1540.0 |
| Mean | | 1663.3 | 1754.4 | 1758.3 | 1725.4 | 1621.1 | 1818.9 | 1763.3 | 1734.4 |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 1898.7 | 1951.1 | 1917.1 | 1922.3 | 1877.8 | 1954.4 | 1872.2 | 1901.5 |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 1676.0 | 1882.4 | 1815.6 | 1791.3 | 1724.4 | 1832.2 | 1821.1 | 1792.6 |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 1268.3 | 1575.6 | 1787.8 | 1543.9 | 1133.3 | 1437.2 | 1570.0 | 1380.2 |
| Mean | | 1614.3 | 1803.0 | 1840.2 | 1752.5 | 1578.5 | 1741.3 | 1754.4 | 1691.4 |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | |
| A | | | 65. | 63 | | 75.19 | | | |
| В | | | 53. | 61 | | 68.13 | | | |
| AB | | 92.85 | | | | 117.99 | | | |
| С | | 38.13 | | | | 37.70 | | | |
| AC | | | 66. | 04 | | 65.30 | | | |
| BC | | | 66. | 04 | | | 65.3 | 0 | |
| ABC | | | 114 | .38 | | | 113. | 10 | |

| Table 10 . Means of seed | yield/fad of as affected | d by sowing dates, | cultivars and | control method | as well a | as their |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| interaction. | | | | | | |

Table 11. Means of harvest index% of as affected by sowing dates, cultivars and control method as well as their interaction.

| T | | | 2016 / 201 | 7 Season | | 20017/2018season | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|----------|-------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Treatments | | Control methods (C) | | | | Control methods (C) | | | | | |
| Sowing date (A) | Cultivars (B) | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | Control | Manual | Spray | Mean | | |
| | Misr 3 | 36.89 | 38.14 | 40.62 | 38.55 | 33.14 | 35.77 | 38.40 | 35.77 | | |
| 10 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 38.15 | 42.50 | 39.84 | 40.16 | 37.82 | 40.91 | 37.17 | 38.64 | | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 29.82 | 34.12 | 37.98 | 33.97 | 28.68 | 31.20 | 33.97 | 31.28 | | |
| Mean | | 34.95 | 38.26 | 39.48 | 37.56 | 33.21 | 35.96 | 36.51 | 35.23 | | |
| | Misr 3 | 27.86 | 31.61 | 28.82 | 29.43 | 28.95 | 32.68 | 29.99 | 30.54 | | |
| 20 th Nov. | Giza 843 | 30.92 | 34.09 | 34.81 | 33.28 | 31.67 | 34.79 | 36.07 | 34.18 | | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 29.49 | 31.47 | 34.43 | 31.80 | 27.41 | 29.52 | 31.22 | 29.39 | | |
| Mean | | 29.42 | 32.39 | 32.69 | 31.50 | 29.34 | 32.33 | 32.43 | 31.37 | | |
| | Misr 3 | 35.26 | 34.80 | 31.43 | 33.83 | 33.18 | 32.49 | 30.35 | 32.00 | | |
| 30 th Nov | Giza 843 | 30.20 | 33.10 | 32.82 | 32.04 | 32.18 | 34.75 | 34.40 | 33.78 | | |
| | Nubaria 1 | 29.24 | 31.49 | 33.49 | 31.41 | 27.37 | 28.53 | 30.54 | 28.81 | | |
| Mean | | 31.57 | 33.13 | 32.58 | 32.43 | 30.91 | 31.92 | 31.76 | 31.53 | | |
| Mean | Misr 3 | 33.33 | 34.85 | 33.62 | 33.94 | 31.75 | 33.65 | 32.91 | 32.77 | | |
| Over all means for | Giza 843 | 33.09 | 36.56 | 35.82 | 35.16 | 33.89 | 36.82 | 35.88 | 35.53 | | |
| cultivars | Nubaria 1 | 29.52 | 32.36 | 35.30 | 32.39 | 27.82 | 29.75 | 31.91 | 29.83 | | |
| Mean | | 31.98 | 34.59 | 34.92 | 33.83 | 31.16 | 33.40 | 33.57 | 32.71 | | |
| L.S.D at 5% for: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | 2.39 | | | | 1.21 | | | | | |
| В | | | 2.15 | | | | 1.85 | | | | |
| AB | | 3.73 | | | | NS | | | | | |
| С | | 0.77 | | | | 0.91 | | | | | |
| AC | | 1.34 | | | | NS | | | | | |
| BC | | 1.34 1.57 | | | | | | | | | |
| ABC | | 2.32 2.72 | | | | | | | | | |

The interaction between sowing dates and control methods was significant for number of branches per plant, infestation % per plot, plant height, number of pods per plant and seed yield per fed in both seasons, infestation% per plot in second season and harvest index% in the first season only. Sawn on 10th Nov. and manual resistance or spraying with Round up at 80 cm³ concentrate gave the best values of most studied traits in both seasons.

Regarding to the interaction between faba bean cultivars and control methods significantly of affected most studied traits in both seasons. swan Misr 3 and using manual gave the highest values for number of pods per plant, seed yield per fed. The interaction between Misr 3 and spraying Roundup number of days broomrape appreance in the first season only. whilst, Giza 843 cultivar and spraying with Roundup at 80 cm³ /fed in one spray or using manual gave the best values for most the other traits.

With rasped to the interaction between sowing dates, cultivars and control methods was significant in most studied traits. The interaction between sawn on 10th Nov. of Misr 3 or Giza 843 cultivars and using manual or spraying with Roundup at 80 cm³ three times gave the maximum values for most studied traits. While, sown on 30th Nov.of Misr 3 cultivar and spraying Roundup gave the lowest infestation % in both seasons.

It could be concluded that, sown Misr 3 cultivar on 10^{th} Nov. and using manual resistance or spraying with Roundup with 80 cm³ three times gave the highest seed yield per urinet area.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (1994). Demonstration book for faba bean cultivars. Ministry of Agric., A. R. E(in Arabic). 39pp.
- Anon(1990).Official methods of analysis the association of the official analytical chemists, Genetic variability of Tarwi(*Iupins mutabills*, sweet),Proc. 1 st, Int.Lupine conf., lima(peru), 1:34:49.
- Ashrie, A. M. A, Eman A. I. Mohamed; A. A. Helal;Y. M. Abdel-Tawab and E. H. El-Hart (2010).performance of six faba bean genotypes under free and Orobanche soils. Egypt. J. Plant Breed., 14(2):189-205.
- Bayoumi, T.Y.; Sh. M. Ammar; M. A. S. El-Brmawy and M. A. Emam (2013) Effect of some broomrape control methods on growth and seed yield attributes of faba bean Vicia faba, L. cultivars. J. Plant Prod. Sci., 1:17:24.

- Bora,G.C. ;S.N.Gupta; Y.S.Tomer and S. Singh (1998). Genetic variability correlation and path analysis in faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L). Indian J. Agric. Sci., 68(4):212:214.
- Eid,S.D.M.;O.M.M.Mobarak and Kh. A. Abou-Zied(2017). Evaluation of integrated broomrape(*Orobanche crenata*, Forsk) management packages under effect of varieties, seeding rates and Roundup treatment in faba bean under sandy Soil conditions. Alex. J. Agric. Sci., 62(1):31-44.
- El-Degwy,I.S.; A.A.Glelah; Ola,A.El-Galaly and Marwa K. Mohamed (2010). Performance of some faba bean cultivars (*Vicia faba*, L.) as influenced by sowing date and broomrape control. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., 36(3):292-313.
- El-Metwally, I. M. ;T. A. EL-Shahawy and M. A. Ahmed (2013). Effect of sowing dates and some broomrape control treatments of faba bean growth and yield. J. APPL, Sc. Res., 9(1):197-204.
- Ghalwash,A.M.; I.E.Soliman and A. E. Khaffagy (2008). Performance of some faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) cultivars under numerous broomrape (*Orobanche crenata*, Forsk.) control treatment. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura univ., 33(4):2439-2448.
- Ghannam, L. M. Al-Masri and R. Baraket (2012). The effect of herbicides on the Egyptian broomrape (*Orobanche* aegyptiaca) in Tomato fields. American J. Plant Sci., 3:346-352.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research 2nd Ed.
- Hamdi, E. H. A. (2002). Environmental impact of integrated control for Orobanche in faba bean crop. Egypt. Agric. Res.,80(2):753-769.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Printice Hall of India(p) Itd, New Delhi
- Lowry, O. H.; N. J. Rosebrough; A. L. Farr and R. J. Randall (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193:265-275.
- Mekky, M. S. ;Z. R. Yehia and A. N. M. Nassar (2003).Effect of sowing date, varieties and glyphosate application on broomrape and yield of faba bean. Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo, Univ., 54:55-76.
- Nassr, A. N. A. and M. S. Mekky (2002).Effect of irrigation frequency and glyphosate application on broomrape control and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(11):7193_7202.
- Sahil,S., S.Ahmed; C.Fininsa; M.M.Abang and P. K. Sakhujo (2008).Survey of chocolate spot (Botryty fabae) disease of faba bean (*Vicia faba*, L.) and assessment of factors influencing disease epidemics in northern Ethiopia. Crop Protection.,27:1457-1463.

تأثير مواعيد الزراعه وطرق مقاومة الهالوك علي المحصول ومكوناته لبعض أصناف الفول البلدي الغريب عبدالله الغريب ، عزب محمد عزب ، السيد عبدالله مصباح و نصرالله السيد عطيوه قسم المحاصيل- كلية الزراعة- جامعة الاز هر – القاهرة- مصر

اجريت تجريتان حقليتان بقرية التمامه مركز كفر الدوار محافظة البحيرة مصر العربية، خلال موسمي الزراعة ٢٠١٧/٢٠١٦ و ٢٠١٨/٢٠١٢ لدراسة تاثير مواعيد الزراعة (١٠ نوفمبر، ٢٠ نولمبر، ٢٠ نوفمبر، ٢٠ نوفمبر، ٢٠ نوفمبر، معنا ٢٠ مامبه/وحدة تجريبيه، عدد الأور فالازمه لظهور نباتات الهالوك، والنسبة المنويه للأصابه/وحدة تجريبيه، عدد القرون/نبات، محصول البنور/فدان، دليل الحصاد في موسمي النمو بينما كانت معد الأبر مالاتي فقط لصفات ارتفاع النبات، وزن ال٢٠٠٠ لدر المتعوب في البيور في النبور المار، دليل الحصاد في موسمي النمو بينما كانت معنوب على التير معنا معن النبور مالاني، محصول البنور/فدان، دليل الحصاد في موسمي المع الماني وقد معامله، الموسمين، مقارنة بميعاد الزراعة في ٢٠ نوفمبر، أوضحت البيولوجي/ فذان وكانت زار اعة الفول البلدي في ٢٠ نوفمبر، أوضحت البيولوجي/ فذان وكانت زار عة في ٢٠ المرام المنام وزن ال٢٠٠ الذر بالموسمي وزار واعت نوبارية في ٢٠ نوفمبر، أوضحت البيولوجي/ فذان وكانت بليول مالالدي في ٢٠ نوفمبر، أوضحت البيولوجي/ فذان وكانت في مالمان النتائج تنوي الموسمي وزار والموسمي الزراعة في ٢٠ سمرالي وزار مام مالموسان الموسمي وزار ولي الزراعة في ٢٠ فومبر في ماليون النوب الموسمي والدوسة في كلا الموسين ولمري أوضع، النوب الموسمل موسمي العربية على الموسمي التار موسمي الزراعة الموسي الموسمي الموسمي وزار الموسمي المومبر في ٢٠ سمرالي ماليون ولموسم في ٢٠ سمرالي الموسمي وزار مام الموسمي الموسمي الموسمي الموم البيولوجي/ فيان وزار ما للمول البلدي في ٢٠ مومبر العي مولمله الموسمي الثور ولمالي مولمبالي الموسي المولمبالموسي الموسي المومبر مو ٢٠ فومبر مو مو كلا الموسمي والموس الموسم الموبي المومبر مو مو كلا لموسمي المومبر مات الموسمل المومبر مول