# **Journal of Plant Production**

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg

# **Response of Tomatoes and Sunflower Intercropping to Organic and Mineral Fertilization**

# Zen El-Dein, A. A. M.\*



Crop Intensification Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

# ABSTRACT



A field experiment was conducted at Etay El-Baroud Research Station, El Beheira Governorate, Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt during 2023 and 2024 seasons to study the effect of intercropping 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower and fertilization by 50% mineral, NPK + 50% farm-yard manure, FYM; 50% mineral, NPK + 50% poultry manure, PM; 75% mineral, NPK + 25% farm-yard manure, FYM; 75% mineral NPK + 25% poultry manure, PM; 25% mineral, NPK + 75% farm-yard manure, FYM; 55% poultry manure, PM; 25% mineral, NPK + 75% farm-yard manure, FYM; 25% mineral, NPK + 75% poultry manure, PM; 100% mineral, NPK; 100% mineral NPK for sole tomatoes and 100% mineral, NPK for sole sunflower on yield, and its components of both crops. Randomized complete block Design (RCBD) with three replications was used. 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower with 50% NPK + 50% FYM produced maximum fruit characters, fruit yield/ fed and marketable fruit yield/ fed of tomatoes in both seasons. Intercropping sunflower with tomatoes reduced of damaged tomato fruits was markedly affected by sun scorch to 4.12 and 4.45%, resulting in a 15.46 and 15.31% increase in marketable yield/ fed under average of seven fertilizer treatments compared with sole tomatoes in both seasons. Intercropping 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower which fertilized by 50% NPK + 50% FYM produced maximum LER, gross returns and net returns (1.66 and 1.66 LER, 86804.23 and 109299.48 L.E. as well as 59960.91 and 77111.98 L.E./ fed) in both seasons, respectively.

Keywords: Intercropping, Tomatoes, Sunflower, Fertilizers, LER.

## INTRODUCTION

Tomatoes (Solanum lycopersium, Mill) are considered one of the most important vegetable crops grown in Egypt, with an annual consumption rate of about 9.8 million tons. The average production per fed in Egypt is 17 tons. The area of tomatoes in Egypt in 2023 reached 365,000 fed distributed over agricultural periods during the year, to 125,000 fed in the winter season, 210,000 fed in the summer season, and 30,000 fed in the fall season (Bulletin of the Agricultural Statistics 2023). In Egypt, the tomatoes market runs from open filed planting in May until August. During this period, temperatures might rise above 35°C in the field. The summer sun is scorching and dehydrating, leading to either irregular growth or decline in tomatoes fruit yield, or even completes failure of tomatoes cropping in a large portion of the planted region (Pressman et al., 2002). Saeed et al. (2007) found that high temperatures led to an increase in flower drop and a reduction in fruit set, which led to a severe decrease in fruit yield. Therefore, providing natural protection for tomatoes fruits by planting them with sunflower (Helianthus annus L.).

Sunflower (*Helianthus annus L.*) has features such as high-quality oil, harsh climate adaptation, growing in variation soil, short growth during, and is considered the highest crop oil production (Tavakoli, 2013). Kestha and El-Baz (2004) reported that seed and seed oil yields of sunflower were not significantly affected by intercropping with tomatoes at fruiting stage.

Intercropping tomatoes with field crops reduces production costs and increases the rate of land equivalent ratio and returns to the farmer. Other advantages and more stable returns can be obtained from intercropping compared to single crops. Therefore, much research was conducted on protecting tomatoes by planting them with some field crops. Abd El-Aal and Zohry (2003) found that planting maize with summer tomatoes led to greater benefits. They found that the marketable yield of tomatoes increased with shade of maize, along with the availability of water and increased land equivalent ratio. The shading resulting from intercropping reduces heat stress and is the most effective way to improve fruit set and increase their quality, especially the cultivation of tomatoes and sunflower, which led to an increase in productivity by 56.1% compared to alone (Abdel, 2006). Intercropping tomatoes with other crops caused maximum values of productivity, total land equivalent use, area time equivalent use, and income than tomatoes solid crop (El-Mehy and Mohamed, 2018 and Lamlom and Ahmed, 2021). The sunflower plant is over story above the tomatoes stands, resulting to protect from direct solar radiation by shady cool air columns (Ju et al., 2021).).

The partial replacement of mineral fertilizer by organic fertilizer reduces pollution and maintains human health. It is preferable to use farm-yard manure on the farm to produce tomatoes because of its low price and it is environmentally friendly compared to mineral fertilizers (Alhrout *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, the frequent use of mineral fertilizers leads to poor soil fertility and natural properties and may lead to the accumulation of heavy metals in plant tissues, affecting the nutritional value of fruits and causing human contamination (Shimbo *et al.*, 2001). The demand for healthy, nutritious products and the market for gournet products has increased the value of organic foods (Willer, H., and J. Lenoud, 2016). The practice of organic fertilizer is important for different crops, whether they use

### Zen El-Dein, A. A. M.

intercropping or sole planting systems. Sutoyo *et al.* (2020) found that using the organic of clay soil led to increased soil organic matter and decreased soil bulk density, filed capacity, soil particle, hydraulic conductivity available water and water content at wilting point compared with the control. Furthermore, increased productivity and improvements in the biological aspects of soil, and quality (Matos *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, this research aimed to determine the maximum profitability and land usage of intercropped sunflower with tomatoes under different organic and mineral fertilizer treatments.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Etay El-Baroud Research Station, El Beheira Governorate, Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt in the 2023 and 2024 seasons to examination the effect of organics and minerals on the productivitity of sunflower cv. (Giza 102) and tomatoes cv. (Super Estrin B) association as follows:

- 1- (100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 50% mineral NPK+ 50% farm-yard manure (10 m<sup>3</sup> FYM)/ fed.
- 2- (100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 50% mineral + 50% poultry manure (5 m<sup>3</sup> PM)/ fed.
- 3-(100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+ 25% farm-yard manure (5 m<sup>3</sup> FYM)/ fed.

- 4-(100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+ 25% poultry manure (2.50 m<sup>3</sup>, PM)/ fed.
- 5-(100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+ 75% farm-yard manure (15 m<sup>3</sup> FYM)/ fed.
- 6-(100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 25% mineral NPK + 75% poultry manure (7.50 m<sup>3</sup> PM)/ fed.
- 7-(100 tomatoes + 43% sunflower) were fertilized by 100% mineral NPK/ fed.
- 8- 100% tomatoes (15555 plant/ fed) were fertilized by100% mineral NPK (153:60:125 kg NPK)/ fed for sole tomato as recommended.
- 9- 100% sunflower (36000 plant/ fed) was fertilized by100% mineral NPK (30:15:50 kg NPK)/ fed for sole sunflower as recommended.

The experiment was implemented in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Each plot comprised 6 ridges, 3 m long and 0.90 wide. The plot area was  $16.20 \text{ m}^2$ .

Soil chemical analysis was taken from depth 30 cm of the experimental site before planting to determine physical and chemical properties by the standard methods as described by Chapman and Pratt (1961). The obtained values are presented in Table 1.

Table 1	. Physical and	l chemical	analysis of	experimental	sit in	2023 ar	nd 2024 seasons.
Iant	. ד וועסוכמו מווע						IU 404T SUASUIIS.

Soil properties	Soil texture	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	P <sup>H</sup>	Organic matter%	Available N (ppm)	Available P (ppm)	Available K (ppm)	EC (m mhos) /cm (1;5)
2022/23	Clay	7.01	32.01	60.98	7.73	2.01	1.50	0.41	279.88	1.93
2023/24	Clay	8.50	31.79	59.71	7.77	2.05	1.54	0.40	287.79	1.61

Chemical analysis of farm-yard manure (FYM) and poultry manure (PM) of organic fertilizers were used in the research are presented in Table 2. Organic manures were applied during the soil preparation at different ratios, two weeks prior to transplanting according to Brown *et al.* (1995) in two growing seasons.

Table 2. Chemical analysis of organic fertilizer (farmyard manure and poultry manure).

Туре	N %	Р %	K %	PH	Organic matter%	C/N ratio	Mg (ppm)	Ca (ppm)	S (ppm)
FYM	1.25	0.50	1.46	8.89	40.60	13/1	14	32	11
PM	2.13	1.48	1.69	7.20	45.90	10/1	27	55	5

Tomatoes were transplanted (30 days old) on  $28^{h}$  and  $29^{th}$  of February in the two growing seasons, respectively. Transplants were grown in hells spaced 30cm apart with one plant/ hill left (15555 plant fed<sup>-1</sup>) over the two seasons. Sowing dates of sunflower were  $15^{th}$  and  $17^{th}$  April in the two growing seasons, respectively. Sunflower plants were grown in hills on the other side of tomatoes ridge 30 cm in hills apart with one plant/ hill (15555 plant/fed was presented of 43% sunflower of the recommended) over the two seasons. Tomatoes were harvested, when the fruits were ripe, at the end of May and lasted until the  $5^{th}$  of July. Sunflower was harvested on  $2^{nd}$  July and  $4^{th}$  July in both seasons, respectively. Single agriculture was conducted according to technical recommendations of each crop.

Add calcium super phosphate (15.50%  $P_2O_5$ ) while preparing the land. While ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was added in four equal doses before transplanting and the first, second and third irrigations of tomatoes. While potassium sulfate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) was applied in four doses, the first before transplanting, the second and third at a month's interval, and the fourth at two weeks after the third. Other agricultural practices are based on the recommendations of the Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation.

### Data recorded in the study:

*Tomatoes*: 10 plants of the inner 4 ridges of each plot were taken to denote the representative samples of each plot. Characters recorded for tomatoes were plant height (cm) and number of branches/ plant. Number of fruits/ plant, average fruit weight (g), weight of fruits (kg/ plant), total yield (kg/ fed), damaged tomato (%) affected by sun scorch and Marketable fruit yield (kg/ fed) were estimated of all pickings. *Sunflower*: 10 plants of each plot were taken to measure plant height (cm), head diameter (cm), seed weight (g/ head) and weight of 100- seed (g). While seed yield (kg/ fed) was taken in whole plot and consequently yield/ fed.

### Yield and yield advantages:

#### A- Competitive characters:

#### 1. Land Equivalent Ratio (LER):

LER explains that it is the crop production intercrop attributed to its single production (Mead and Willey, 1980), as follows:

$$LER = (Y_{ab} / Y_{aa}) + (Y_{ba} / Y_{bb})$$

Where:

- $Y_{aa}$  = single yield of crop a (tomatoes).
- $\mathbf{Y}_{bb} =$  single yield of crop b (sunflower).
- $\mathbf{Y}_{ab}$  = Intercrop yield of crop a (tomatoes).
- $\mathbf{Y}_{ba}$  = Intercrop yield of crop b (sunflower).

#### 3. Aggressivity (A).

Aggressivity values were estimated by the equation proposed as follows:

- $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{Y}_{ab} / \mathbf{Y}_{aa} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{Z}_{ab}) \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_{ba} / \mathbf{Y}_{bb} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{Z}_{ba}) \text{ according to Mc-}$ Gilchrist (1965).
- Where: A<sub>ab</sub> = Intercrop yield of crop "a".
- $Y_{aa}$  is single yield of crop a,  $Y_{bb}$  is single yield of crop b,  $Y_{ab}$  is mixture yield of a (when combined with b) and  $Y_{ba}$  yield of b (when combined with a).
- $Z_{ab}$  is sown proportion of species a (in a mixture with b) and  $Z_{ba}$  is sown proportion of species b (in b mixture with a).

### **B-** Economic evaluation.

### 1. Gross return:

The economic return of the intercropping system compared to single tomatoes was determined as follows: Total return from intercropped cultivation = crop price of tomatoes + crop price of sunflowers (Egyptian bounds). The total return was calculated from the price of tomatoes and sunflowers according to the local market, whereas 4 and 5 L.E. as well as 15 and 18 L.E. of the kilo (1000g) for tomatoes (marketable yield) and sunflower seeds in the two growing seasons, respectively.

Production costs: Costs of production = fixed costs of tomatoes +Land rent during the two tomatoes growing seasons.
Net return: Net return = gross return - costs of production. Statistical analysis:

Analysis of variance for the obtained results in each growing season was conducted. The measured was analyzed by ANOVA by using the least significant differences (L. S. D.) at 5% level of probability, where it was computed using CoStat V 6.4 (2005) program.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Tomatoes.

Results in Table 3 revealed that tomatoes characters were significantly affected by fertilizer treatments under intercropping of sunflower plants in both seasons, except number of fruits/ plant was not significantly affected in the first season. The highest values were obtained when applying tomatoes by 50% mineral NPK + 50% FYM in plant height, number of branches/ plant, number of fruits/ plant, and fruits weight/ plant under intercropping condition in both seasons. Followed by treatment (100% NPK for sole tomatoes) in fruits weight/ plant. This may be because organic fertilizers contain all the nutrients and are slowly released into the plants throughout the growing season (Ilodibia and Chukwuma, 2015). Farm-yard manure improved physical and chemical properties of soil to resulting increasing the availability of major and minor nutrients. That led to enhancement fruit quality (Alhrout *et al.*, 2018). Treatments

100%T +43%S were fertilized by 50%mineral NPK+50% PM, 100%T+ 43%S were fertilized by 75%mineral NPK+25% FYM and 100%T +43%S were fertilized by 100% mineral NPK didn't reach the 5% level of significance in the first and second seasons. On the other hand, the lowest values of tomatoes characters were obtained with 25% NPK +75% PM, followed by 25%mineral NPK+75% FYM in both seasons. Burhan and Hajo (2000) explained that poultry manure is acidic, so it is suitable for alkaline soil, it is considered easy to dissolve and decompose quickly, so care must be taken when using it because placing large quantities of it near plants may lead to damage to them as a result of the high heat resulting from its decomposition of the fertilizer as well as the high acidity around the roots.

Data presented in Table 6 obtained that the highest yields fed<sup>-1</sup> were behaved the same trend of fruit characters, under intercropping condition in the two growing seasons. 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower by fertilized 50%NPK + 50% FYM recorded the highest total fruit yield and marketable yield per fed in the first and second seasons. These results due to the shade of sunflower. Similar findings were obtained by Hussain et al., (2008); Mohamed et al. (2013) and Degri et al. (2014). Intercropping sunflower with tomatoes reduced damaged tomatoes fruits as affected by sun scorch to 4.12 and 4.45 %, resulting in a 15.46 and 15.31% increase in marketable yield/ fed under average of seven fertilizer treatments compared with sole tomatoes in both seasons, respectively. Sunflower protects tomatoes from the increase in temperature, the flowers do not die, the nodes rise, and thus the production of fruits is high. These observations are consistent with Lamlom and Ahmed (2021). Sunflowers act as a buffer against high heat waves and make the climatic condition suitable around tomatoes plants. Reducing high temperatures by intercropping sunflowers led to evaporative cooling and shading, which improved fruit set and thus resulted in a high-quality tomatoes crop (Abdel, 2006). Intercropping sunflower with tomatoes leads to provides ample shade during tomatoes fruiting, and therefore reduces fruit damage resulting from direct sunburn (Kestha, and El-Baz, 2004).

Table 3. Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield components of tomato under intercropping system during 2023 and 2024 seasons.

	Plant l	height	Numb	per of	Nur	nber	Averag	ge fruit	Fruits	weight	
Treatments		(cm)		branches/ plant		fruits/ plant		weight (g)		(kg/ plant)	
	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50%mineral NPK+50% FYM	51.45	52.11	6.07	6.10	13.59	13.79	97.55	98.301	1.288	1.294	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50% mineral NPK+50% PM	50.73	51.69	5.88	5.90	13.15	13.19	96.14	96.39	1.241	1.248	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% FYM	48.66	48.75	5.78	5.85	13.01	13.09	95.16	95.63	1.228	1.234	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% PM	47.95	48.03	5.47	5.56	12.85	12.95	95.01	95.51	1.221	1.227	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25%mineral NPK+75% FYM	49.57	50.23	5.43	5.51	12.61	12.67	94.64	95.05	1.199	1.190	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% PM	48.81	49.07	5.18	5.26	12.23	12.24	93.44	94.38	1.148	1.152	
100% T+ 43% S fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	50.91	51.18	5.82	5.91	13.19	13.30	94.34	94.66	1.248	1.252	
100% sole tomatoes fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	49.39	50.01	5.77	5.88	12.37	12.41	101.17	101.71	1.265	1.270	
LSD 0.05	1.71	1.57	0.27	0.24	ns	0.63	3.84	3.73	0.06	0.06	

Table 4. Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield and quality of tomato under intercropping system during 2023 and 2024 seasons.

Treatments	Total fr (kg/	uit yield fed)	Damaged ton affected by s	nato (%) as sun scorch	Marketable fruit yield kg/fed		
	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50% mineral NPK+50% FYM	19959.00	20260.67	4.19	4.44	19122.82	19361.10	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50%mineral NPK+50% PM	19321.00	19422.00	4.11	4.05	18526.91	18635.41	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% FYM	19163.00	19264.00	4.21	4.21	18356.24	18452.99	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% PM	19025.00	19046.00	4.12	4.18	18241.17	18249.88	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% FYM	18681.00	18682.00	4.21	4.23	17894.53	17891.75	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% PM	17917.00	18054.67	5.02	5.02	17017.57	17148.33	
100%T+43%S fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	19391.00	19493.00	5.01	5.02	18419.51	18514.45	
100% sole tomatoes fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	19630.00	19731.00	21.51	20.75	15407.59	15636.82	
LSD 0.05	141.51	279.04	0.18	0.15	253.59	213.27	

### Sunflower.

Results were presented in Table 5 revealed that sunflower plant height was significantly affected by different fertilizer treatments in both seasons. Sole sunflower (100% NPK) recorded the highest value compared with all intercropping treatments in the first and second seasons. These results may be due to inter-specific competition between sunflower plants which included 100% plant density compared other treatments which were 43% of its alone. Similar proofs were reported with Kestha and El-Baz (2004). Opposite, all intercropping treatments i.e. head diameter, seed yield/ plant and 100-seed weight were surpassed than sunflower pure stand. These results may be due to these traits as a yield component of sunflower increased fertilizer high levels for sunflower intercropped with tomatoes beside of fertilizer organic manure than sunflower in pure stand. Similar proofs were obtained by Shaik Mohammad et al. (1993) and Kestha and El-Baz (2004). Results were presented in Table 5 indicated that seed yield of sole sunflower (100% NPK) recorded the highest values from the work-intercropping in these study in the two growing seasons. These results may be due to plant density of sunflower being 100% of its pure stand compared with those in all intercropping treatments which were 43% of sunflower separately. These results coincided with those obtained by Shaik Mohammed et al. (1993), Kestha and El-Baz (2004), Jones and Sieving (2006) and Mehta et al. (2017). Data in Table 5 indicated that applying sunflower of 50% NPK + 50% FYM superior of seed yield /fed than other fertilizer treatments under intercropping condition in the two growing seasons. Farm-yard manure effects sunflower plants, it is an established fact in many previous studies that contains all micro and macro elements as well as released slowly into the plants. The organic fertilizer of sunflower showed a significant effect on seed weight/head, and they attributed the increase in these traits to the increase in the shelling percentage and 100-seed weight (Al-Aref et al., 2011 and Alzammel et al., 2022). Mixing organic fertilizer and mineral nitrogen at a ratio of 25 + 25 kg/ ha resulting the highest yield of sunflower (Sharma et al., 2008).

Table 5. Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield characters of sunflower during 2022/2023 and 2023/2024 seasons.

Trootmonts		Plant height		Head		Seed yield (g/		seed	Seed yield (kg/	
	(cm)		Diameter (cm)		plant)		weight (g)		fed)	
	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50%mineral NPK+50% FYM	170.14	171.44	17.45	17.66	45.13	45.49	7.55	8.15	687.53	691.11
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50%mineral NPK+50% PM	171.11	172.23	17.32	17.53	45.01	45.27	7.50	8.10	680.13	682.59
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% FYM	165.99	165.85	17.22	17.29	42.11	42.63	7.77	8.29	667.19	669.81
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% PM	167.06	166.81	17.17	17.21	41.90	42.30	7.68	8.21	665.13	666.44
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% FYM	174.48	175.11	17.40	17.61	41.71	41.85	7.36	7.93	635.91	637.33
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% PM	176.11	176.90	17.35	17.52	41.03	41.15	7.31	7.89	628.11	629.15
100%T+43%S fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	177.88	178.18	17.49	17.67	44.53	44.66	7.25	7.96	678.09	681.41
100% sole sunflower fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	180.30	182.19	15.11	15.18	36.67	37.19	7.33	7.86	1081.94	1093.88
LSD 0.05	7.58	6.95	0.53	0.67	2.37	2.37	ns	0.29	37.66	42.92

Yield and yield advantages.

A- Competitive characters.

### 1. Land equivalent ratio (LER).

Results in Table 6 obtained that LER values, in 2023 and 2024 seasons exceeded than one in all intercropping treatments. It ranged from 1.49 to 1.66 due to the intercropping 43% of sunflower with tomatoes. The highest LER by application of 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower were fertilized by 50% NPK + 50% FYM in both seasons. The number of 43% sunflower with tomatoes plants played a major role in enhancing productivity per unit area under different fertilization treatments, as it reached more than 60% compared to single cultivation in the two successive seasons. These results coincided with those obtained by Khan, *et al.* (2017); El-Mehy and Mohamed (2018) and Sheha *et al.* (2022).

#### 2. Aggressivity (A).

Results in Table 6 reviled that the dominant crop has a (+) sign and the dominated crop has a (-) sign. Results obtained those tomatoes had a negative sign while the sunflower had a positive sign in all intercropping treatments in the two growing seasons. This indicated that tomatoes was a dominated crop while sunflower was dominant. Interpretation of this finding may be attributed to sunflower, which had a good protection to tomatoes plants against heat stress condition, leading to increasing the yield of tomatoes as well as its competitiveness ability. The successfulness of intercropping tomatoes with sunflower crop in ameliorating natural heat stress in this investigation might be attributed to many factors the most important of these are the evaporative cooling and shading which are usually confined to such circumstances. Similar results were obtained by Kurg (1997) and Abdel (2006).

Table 6. Land equivalent ratio (LER) and aggressivity (A) as affected by fertilizer treatments under intercropping sunflower with tomatoes during 2023 and 202024 seasons.

Sumover with tomatoes during 2020 and		Second								
		Land e	quivalen		Aggressivity (A)					
Treatments	2023			2024			2023		2024	
	Ryt	Rys	LER	Ryt	Rys	LER	At	As	At	As
100% T+ 43% S fertilized by 50% mineral NPK+50% FYM	1.02	0.64	1.66	1.03	0.63	1.66	-0.67	+0.67	-0.64	+0.64
100%T+43%S fertilized by 50%mineral NPK+50% PM	0.98	0.63	1.61	0.98	0.62	1.60	-0.70	+0.70	-0.69	+0.69
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75%mineral NPK+25% FYM	0.98	0.62	1.60	0.98	0.61	1.59	-0.65	+0.65	-0.63	+0.63
100%T+43%S fertilized by 75%mineral NPK+25% PM	0.97	0.61	1.58	0.97	0.61	1.58	-0.66	+0.66	-0.65	+0.65
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25%mineral NPK+75% FYM	0.95	0.59	1.54	0.95	0.58	1.53	-0.60	+0.60	-0.59	+0.59
100%T+43%S fertilized by 25%mineral NPK+75% PM	0.91	0.58	1.49	0.92	0.57	1.49	-0.63	+0.63	-0.61	+0.61
100% T+ 43% S fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	0.99	0.63	1.62	0.99	0.62	1.61	-0.68	+0.68	-0.67	+0.67
100% sole tomatoes fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
100% sole sunflower fertilized by 100% mineral NPK		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

### **B-** Evaluation economic.

#### 1. Gross return (L.E.).

The total economic return for tomatoes and sunflower crops intercropping, compared to single cultivation of both crops is shown in Table 7. All intercropping cultivation transactions increased the total economic return in the two cultivation seasons. The highest total return of intercropping sunflower with tomatoes 86804.23 and 109299.48 L.E. / fed were obtained when application of 50% NPK + 50% FYM in the first and second seasons, respectively. Intercropping sunflower with tomatoes increased gross return by 25.61% and 24.43% under average all intercropping treatments compared to tomatoes transplanting alone in both seasons. According to the stated objective, tomatoes grown with sunflowers are compared to single tomatoes under farm conditions. Similar proofs with Abdel (2006); Lamlom and Ahmed (2021) and Vlahova (2022).

**2-** Costs production (*L.E.*): Data in Table 7 revealed that costs production was highest when tomatoes were fertilized with 100% mineral fertilizer, either alone or under intercropping. However, increasing organic fertilizer in the treatments reduced costs of production. Intercropping sunflower with tomatoes leads to reduced costs compared with monoculture crop of tomatoes under farm conditions. Similar findings were reported by Upadhyay *et al.*, (2010).

**3-** Net return (L.E.): All intercropping treatments increased net return in the two growing seasons as resulted in Table 7. The highest net return was obtained when application of 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower were fertilized by 50% NPK + 50% FYM in the first and second seasons. Intercropping sunflower with tomatoes increased net return by 42.40 and 39.75% under average all intercropping fertilizer treatments as compared to tomatoes alone in the two growing seasons, respectively. Similar proofs were reported with (Lamlom and Ahmed, 2021 and Vlahova, 2022).

Table 7. Gross return, costs production and net return as affected by fertilizer treatments under intercropping sunflower with tomatoes during 2023 and 202024 seasons.

Treatments	Gross retu	rn (L.E.) /fed	Costs production	n (L.E.)/ fed	Net return (L.E.)/ fed		
Treatments	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	
100% T+43%S fertilized by 50% mineral NPK+50% FYM	86804.23	109299.48	26843.32	32187.50	59960.91	77111.98	
100% T+43% S fertilized by 50% mineral NPK+50% PM	84309.59	105463.67	26593.32	31937.50	57716.27	73526.17	
100% T+43%S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% FYM	83432.81	104321.53	26093.28	32756.50	57339.53	71565.03	
100% T+43% S fertilized by 75% mineral NPK+25% PM	82941.63	103245.32	27968.28	32631.50	54973.35	70613.82	
100% T+43%S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% FYM	81116.77	100930.69	25589.96	30536.70	55526.81	70393.99	
100% T+ 43% S fertilized by 25% mineral NPK+75% PM	77491.93	97066.35	25214.96	30161.70	52276.97	66904.65	
100% T+ 43% S fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	83849.39	104837.63	29343.24	35270.70	54506.15	69566.93	
100% sole tomatoes fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	61630.36	78284.10	29348.24	35270.70	32282.12	43013.40	
100% sole sunflower fertilized by 100% mineral NPK	16229.10	19689.84	11933.95	14498.10	4295.15	5191.74	
L S D 0.5%	1328.95	1629.78	543.64	558.44	1043.00	1291.51	

### CONCLUSION

On present study, it is concluded that the intercropping 100% tomatoes + 43% sunflower were fertilized by 50% NPK + 50% FYM are the suitable application for getting the most profitable and economic yield, in addition to the achievement of food security and to some extent, the environment integrity.

### REFERENCES

- Abdel, C.G. (2006). Improvement of tomato fruit-set under natural high temperature, 1-Intercropping tomato with sunflower or corn. *Journal Dohuk University*, *Kurdistan- Iraq*, 9 (2): 2-16.
- Abd El-Aal, A. I. N. and A. A., Zohry (2003). Natural phosphate affecting maize as a protective crop for tomato under environmental stress conditions at Toshky. *Egypt Journal* of Agricultural Research, 81(3): 937-953.
- Al-Aref, A. O.; A. S. A., Abo-El-Hamd and A. M. A., Abd El-Monem (2011). Influence of filter mud cake fertilization under low levels of nitrogen on yield and its components for two sunflower cultivars. *Journal of Plant Production*, 2, 165–178.
- Alhrout, H.H.; M. W. Akash and R. K., Hejazin (2018). Effect of farm yard manure and NPK on the yield and some growth components of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*). *Journal of Res. on Crops, India*, 19 (4): 655-658.
- Alzammel, N. M.; E. M. M.Taha; A. A. A. Bakr and N., Loutfy (2022). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties, growth yield, and physiochemical properties of sunflower seeds and oils. *Journal of Sustainability*, 14(12928): 1-18.

- Brown, J.E.; C.H. Gilliam; R.L. Shumack; D.W. Porch and J.O., Donald (1995). Comparison of broiler litter and commercial fertilizer on production of tomato, Lycopersicon esculentum. *Journal Vegetable Crop Production*, 1: 53-62.
- Bulletin of the Agricultural Statistics (2023). Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt.
- Burhan H. O. and T. A.S., Hajo (2000). Basics of Agronomy Production. Faculty of Agriculture-Publishing House-El-Khartoum University, First edition, Page 276.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F., Pratt (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. *University of California*, *Berkeley, USA*.
- CoStat Ver. 6.4 (2005). Cohort software798 light house Ave. *PMB320, Monterey, CA93940, and USA.* email: info@cohort.com and Website: http://www.cohort. com/ DownloadCoStatPart2.html
- Degri, M. M. and A. E. Samaila (2014). Impact of intercropping tomato and maize on the infestation of tomato fruit borer [*Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner*)]. Journal of agricultural and crop research, 2(8): 160-164.
- El-Mehy, A. A. and M. H. M. Mohamed (2018). Yield and economic evaluation of maize and tomato as affected by cropping systems and some growth stimulants. *Journal of Sciences*, 8(1): 209-222.
- Hussain, S. A.; N. Ali; A., Rab and M. Shah (2008). Yield and economic dynamics of intercopping in summer vegetables. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 24(1): 31-35.
- Ilodibia, C.V. and M.U., Chukwuma (2015). Effects of Application of Different Rates of Poultry Manure on the Growth and Yield of Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum Mill.*). *Journal of Agronomy* 14 (4): 251-253.

- Jones, G. A., and K. E., Sieving (2006). Intercropping sunflower in organic vegetables to augment bird predators of arthropods. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 117, 171–177.
- Ju, J. H.; S. Y. Cho; H. Y. Song; S. Ju; Y. H. Yoon and K. J. Yeum (2021). Growth and Carotenoid Contents of Intercropped Vegetables in Building-Integrated Urban Agriculture. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2021(4): 1-9.
- Khan, M. A. H.; N., Sultana; S., Akhtar; N., Akter and M. S. Zaman (2017). Performance of intercropping groundnut with sesame. *Bangladesh Agronomy Journal*, 20(1): 99-105.
- Kestha M. M. and M. G. El-Baz (2004). Studies on sunflower-tomato intercropping. J. Crop Production: Management, Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> International Sunflower Conference, Fargo, ND USA, 243-249.
- Kurg, H. (1997). Environmental Influences on development growth and yield. In: H.C. Wien (ed) The physiology of vegetable crops, pp. 101-180. (CAB International: Wallingford, UK
- Lamlom, M. M. and A. M. Ahmed (2021). Effect of sesametomatoes intercropping systems under different dates of sesame on improving productivity of crops. *Egyptian Journal Agricultural Research*, 99 (1):108-117.
- Matos, R. M.; P. F., Silva; J. D. Neto; A. S., Lima; V. L. A., Lima and L. M. F. Saboya (2021). Organic fertilization as an alternative to the chemical in cherry tomato growing under irrigation depth. *Journal of Bioscience*, 37 (37006): 1-12.
- Mc-Gilchrist, C.A. (1965). Analysis of competition on experiments. *Biometrics* 21, 975–985.
- Mead, R. and R. W., Willey (1980). The concept of a 'land equivalent ratio' and advantages in yields from intercropping. *Experimental Agriculture*, 16(3):217-228.
- Mehta, R. S.; S. S., Meena and G. Lal, (2017). Effect of intercropping seed spices with vegetable for enhancing system profitability. *International Journal Seed Spices*, 7(2): 33–39.
- Mohamed, W.; N. R., Ahmed and W. M. Abd El-Hakim, (2013). Effect of intercropping dates of sowing and N fertilizers on growth and yield of maize and tomato. *Egypt Journal Application Science*, 28(12B): 625-644.
- Pressman, E.; M. M., Peet, and D. M. Pharr (2002). The effect of heat stress on tomato pollen characteristics is associated with changes in carbohydrate concentration in the developing anthers. *Annals of botany*, 90(5): 631-636.

- Saeed, A. S. I. F.; K. H. I. Z. A. R., Hayat; A. A., Khan and S. A. J. I. D. Iqbal (2007). Heat tolerance studies in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.*). *International Journal* of Agriculture and Biology, 9(4): 649-652.
- Sharma, K. L., K., Neelaveni; J. C., Katyal; A., Srinivasa Raju; K., Srinivas; J., Kusuma Grace and M., Madhavi (2008). Effect of combined use of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on sunflower yield, soil fertility, and overall soil quality in Rainfed Alfisol. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 39(11-12): 1791–1831.
- Shaik Mohammad; Anand Reddy, K. and D.H. Putnaml (1993). Evaluation of planting pattern and density effects on sunflower: groundnut sole and intercrop system in a systematic fan design. *Helia*, *16* (18): 1-14.
- Sheha, A.M.; A. A., El-Mehy; A. S. Mohamed and S. A. Saleh (2022). Different wheat intercropping systems with tomato to alleviate chilling stress, increase yield and profitability. *Annals of Agricultural Science*, 67 (2022): 136–145.
- Shimbo, S.; T., Watanabe; Z. W., Zhang and M., Ikeda (2001). Cadmium and lead contents in rice and other cereal products in Japan in 1998-2000. *Science Total Environmental* 281 : 165-75.
- Sutoyo, W; H. Karamina and W. Fikrinda (2020). Soil amendment impact to soil organic matter and physical properties on the three soil types after second corn cultivation. *Agricultural Food Journal*, 5 (1): 150–168.
- Tavakoli, P.A. (2013). Effect of plant density on yield and yield components of sunflower varieties in temperate regions of Kermanshah. *European Journal of Explain Biology*, 3 (5): 601-604.
- Upadhyay, K. P. ; M. D., Sharma; S. M., Shakya; G., Ortiz-Ferrara; T. P., Tiwari and R. C. Sharma (2010). Performance and profitability study of baby corn and tomato intercropping. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 47 (3): 183-193.
- Vlahova, V. (2022). Intercropping an opportunity for sustainable farming systems. *Journal of Scientific Papers Series a Agronomy, LXV* (1): 728-740.
- Willer, H., and J. Lenoud (2016). The world of organic agriculture: summary. In H. Willer, and J. Lenoud (Ed.), The world of organic agriculture. *Statistics and Emerging Trends* p. 114-116. *Switzerland; Germany: Research Institute of Organic Agriculture* (FiBL); IFOAM - Organics International.

# إستجابة الطماطم ودوار الشمس المحملين للأسمدة العضوية والمعدنية

## عاطف عبدالجليل مسعود زين الدين

قسم بحوث التكثيف المحصولي معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية حركز البحوث الزراعية ,الجيزة ,مصر

### الملخص

أجريت تجرية حقلية بمحطة بحوث ايتاي البارود بمحلفظة البحيرة، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة، مصر خلال موسمي 2023 و 2024 لدراسة" تأثير تحميل 100% طماطم 43% بدوار شمس بالأسمدة العضوية والمعنية كالآتي NPK 50% معني 50% +سمد بلدي، 50% NPK معني 50% +سمد دواجن، 75% NPK معني 25% بسمد بلدي، 75% NPK معني 25% بسمد دواجن 25% NPK معني 10% بسمد بلدي، 50% NPK معني 50% +سمد دواجن، 10% NPK معني، 20% سمد بلدي، 75% NPK معني 20% بسمد معني الموصي به لدوار الشمس منفرد , (على المحصول ومكوناته لكلا المحصولين, نفذت التجربة بتصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية (RCBD) في ثلاث 75% معني 100% سمد معني الموصي به لدوار الشمس منفرد , (على المحصول ومكوناته لكلا المحصولين, نفذت التجربة بتصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية (RCBD) في ثلاث 75% منذة، و 100% سمد معني الموصي به لدوار الشمس منفرد , (على المحصول ومكوناته لكلا المحصولين, نفذت التجربة بتصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية (RCBD) في ثلاث 75% مكررات. سجلت زراعة 100% معامل 40% بدوار المسمدة بـ 10% NPK معني 50% +سمد بلدي أعلى محصول ومكوناته الطماطم في كلا الموسمين . 75% مكررات. سجلت زراعة 100% معامل 10% بدوار المسمدة بـ 10% NPK معني 10% بسمد بلدي أعلى محصول ومكوناته الطماطم في كلا الموسمين . 75% معا الطماطم إلى انخفاض نسبة ثمار الطماطم التالفة وتلأر ها بشكل ملحوظ بحروق الشمس إلى 11.2 و 4.4 %، أنتجت زيادة مئوية 5.4 % و 15.3 % جودة في ثمار الطماطم الصالحة معني التسويقي /فنان لمتوسط السبع معاملات تحت التحميل مقارنة بالطماطم المنفرية في 25% سمد بلدي أعلى محصول الشمس بنور الفدان في كلا الموسمين . يتحميل محار دا الشمس مع 100% الموسمين ,على التوالي . زراعة دوار الشمس منفرد المسمد بلاسم الصالحة التسويق /فنان لمتوسط السبع معاملات تحت التحميل معال ما الماطم المنفرية في كلا الموسمين ,على التوالي . زراعة دوار بنور الفدان في كلا الموسمين . 24% درار الشمس مع 100% الموسمين ,على التوالي . زراعة دوار الشمس منفرد المسمد بلاس الاسبع معاملات الارض (128) 10% 10% الموسمين . ويور الفدان في كلا الموسمين . 24% درار الشمس مع 100% الموسمين ,على التوالي . زراعة دوال الشمس بلارض (128), إجمالي الموسمين . 26% 10% معني -20% معني . 26% NPK معني . 26% NPK معني . 26% NPK معني . 26% 10% معال الموسمين ,على الول الرض الموس الرض (128). ولمولي الموسمي . 2