

Heterosis and Combining Ability for Development of Squash Hybrids (*Cucurbita pepo* L.)

El - Shoura, A. M. and M. Y. Abed

Veget. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.



ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to evaluate a set of inbred lines and their hybrids and to determinate the phenotypic and genotypic parameters. In addition, identify the heterosis and combining ability for the studied traits in order to determine the best combinations and the ideal improvement strategy for hybrid production in squash. The experimental study was conducted at El-Baramoon Research Station, Horticulture Research Institute during the two summer season of 2016 and 2017. Six inbred lines selected from Eskandrani cultivar and fifteen F_1 hybrids were evaluated for different traits. Significant differences were observed among the hybrids and their parents for all studied traits. Based on mid-parent heterosis, the hybrid ($P_5 \times P_6$) gave the highest value for early yield per plant (98.51 %) followed by the hybrid ($P_1 \times P_4$) (90.24%) for the same trait. While heterosis over better parent, the hybrid ($P_1 \times P_6$) gave the highest value for early yield per plant (78.95 %) followed by the hybrid ($P_5 \times P_6$) (73.91 %) for the same trait. Furthermore, the highest mid-parent heterosis for total yield per plant was obtained by the hybrid $P_4 \times P_6$ (75.0%) followed by $P_5 \times P_6$ (60.38%) and $P_4 \times P_5$ (59.65%). Otherwise, the largest heterosis over better parent for total yield per plant were expressed by $P_4 \times P_6$ (61.77%) followed by $P_4 \times P_5$ (46.77%) and $P_5 \times P_6$ (37.10%), respectively. General combining ability (GCA) was significant or highly significant for all traits except for early yield per plant and shape index, specific combining ability was highly significant for all traits except for number of node female flower and early yield per plant. The estimated GCA/SCA mean squares revealed that the additive gene effects were the major effect in the inheritance of all studied traits, except for early yield per plant and vitamin C. Also, GCA estimates were larger than their corresponding estimates of SCA for most of the studied traits. The result illustrated that both additive and non-additive gene actions were positive for all studied traits. Heritability in broad sense ranged from 72.95 to 99.46 % for number of node female flower and total soluble solids, respectively. Also, heritability in narrow sense ranged from 28.49 to 81.94 % for number of leaves per plant and fruit shape index. The parent P_1 , P_2 and P_3 could be recommended as good combiners for average fruit weight, vitamin C, number of fruits per plant and total yield per plant whereas the P_5 and P_6 as promising parents for plant height, leaf area, number of leaves per plant, days to anthesis of female flowers, number of node of female flower and total soluble solids.

Keywords: Summer squash, *Cucurbita pepo*, heterosis, combining ability, heritability, gene action.

INTRODUCTION

Summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.), ($2n=40$), is a warm season crop belongs to family Cucurbitaceae. Commercial development of squash hybrids have been increasing owing to the superior of hybrids due to the expression of heterosis for vegetative growth, yield and other important characters (Firpo *et al.* 1998; Ahmed *et al.* 2003 and López-Anido *et al.* 2004). Furthermore, squash hybrids show resistance to pests or pathogens. Therefore, squash hybrids extensively replaced local varieties.

El-Adl *et al.* (2014), Rehab *et al.* (2015) and Abdein *et al.* (2017) estimated heterosis for some economical characters and high yield in summer squash. They detected heterosis over mid-parents and over its better parents for all traits. Jahan *et al.* (2012) and Davoodi, (2016) in sweet gourd (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch.ex Poir) found desirable mid and better parent heterosis values in the formed hybrids for number of fruits and fruit yield per plant. In the same time, Marie *et al.* (2012) observed heterosis over M.P in all yield traits, where the hybrid (L3 X L6) exhibited (16.89 and 57.57%) for the percentage of pistillate flowers and fruits number / plant, respectively.

César *et al.* (2013) obtained 56 hybrids of summer squash as a result of a complete diallel crosses mating design of eight varieties. They found heterosis for total yield, fruit diameter, fruit length and appearance of first female flower. Tamilselvi *et al.* (2015) estimated heterosis and combining ability for earliness and yield characters in pumpkin using line x tester mating design. They illustrated the parents: Kasi Harit, CO2 and Vadhalagundu Local as the best genotypes for improvement of earliness and yield characters.

Abd El- Hadi *et al.* (2014) proved that heterosis over mid – parents recorded highly significant magnitudes for all studied traits, whereas amounts of heterosis versus the better parent exhibited high significant magnitudes for most studied traits. Hussien, (2015) indicated that the maximum heterosis over better parents in desirable direction was

recorded for early yield per plant (179.9 %), total yield (106.9 %), number of fruits per plant (57.0 %), and plant height (40.9%).

Israa, (2016) found that heterosis from mid parent exhibited different values. Regrading vegetative characters, heterosis for stem length from the means of all 20 F_1 : 11.7, - 3.7 and 27.1%, respectively. These values were: 7.2, 5.9 and 8.4% for number of leaves per plant, respectively. As for earliness, number of nodes to first female flower was the only trait that showed significant heterosis. The heterosis values for number of fruits per plant, total yield per plot, number of fruits per plot, fruit length and shape index were: 22, 11, 24, 24 and 19%, respectively.

Abou El-Nasr *et al.* (2010) found that highly significant differences for general and specific combining abilities of leaf area, sex ratio, number of node to first female flowers, Early yield and total fruit weight per plant. On summer squash, Ahmed, *et al.* (2003) and Abd El-Hadi *et al.* (2013) illustrated that the mean squares of GCA and SCA were highly significant for stem length, leaf area, number of leaves per plant, number of male flowers per plant, number of female flower per plant and sex ratio. Moreover, they found that both GCA and SCA genetic variances were highly significant for the tested characters indicating the relative importance of both additive and non-additive gene actions. Obiadalla-Ali, (2006) and Abd, El-Hadi *et al.* (2014) noted that GCA magnitudes were larger than their corresponding magnitudes of SCA for fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit shape index, total soluble solids, average fruit weight and total yield per plant. In the same time, El-Adl *et al.* (2014) on squash, showed that GCA was larger than their corresponding estimates of SCA for most earliness traits, in the same study they found that the estimates of heritability in broad sense were greater than their corresponding estimates of narrow sense. Hassan *et al.* (2016) studied inheritance of some important traits in summer squash; they found that broad sense heritability were ranged from 80.47 to 90.36 % for plant length, 66.84 % for number of branches, 85.57 % for

date of the first female flower anthesis and 71.68 % for number of nodes to the first female flower. Also, narrow sense heritability were from 39.77 to 77.39 % for plant length and 22.29 % for first female flower. In summer squash, Hussien (2015) studied nature of gene action for plant length, first female flower and number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, fruit diameter, fruit length, early and total yield per plant. Dominance degree was more than unity for all studied characters, indicating the presence of over-dominance. Broad sense heritability estimates were high for all studied characters. Narrow sense heritability was low and recorded 13 % for fruit length, 21 % for fruit diameter and 27 % for average fruit weight.

Abdein *et al.* (2017) on pumpkin showed that both additive (δ^2A) and non-additive gene action (δ^2D) were positive for all yield and its component traits excluding additive genetic variance for fruit diameter, average fruit weight, flesh thickness and seed weight. Also, they revealed that heritability magnitudes in broad sense were larger than their corresponding estimates in narrow sense.

On watermelon, El- Diasty *et al.* (2007) estimated that the heritability in broad sense were larger in magnitudes than those estimates of heritability in narrow sense for number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, total yield per plot and total yield per plant traits.

The aim of the current investigation is to evaluate the performance of six inbred lines and their hybrids and determination the phenotypic and genotypic parameters. In addition, identify the heterosis and combining ability for the studied traits in order to determine the best combinations and the ideal improvement strategy for hybrid production in squash.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at El-Baramoon Research Station, Horticulture Research Institute, during summer seasons of 2016 and 2017. Six inbred lines of summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) were selected from the local Eskandarany cultivar, which have been designated as P₁, P₂, P₃, P₄, P₅ and P₆. These parental lines were obtained from Vegetable Research Department at Dokki, Giza, Egypt. Seeds of the parental inbred lines were sown in the field on March 5th in summer season of 2016. When plants start flowering stage, all possible crosses were made according to half diallel crosses mating design.

A total of 21 genotypes (6 parental lines and 15 F₁ hybrids) were planted in the summer season of 2017 in the field on March 3rd for measuring the different types of heterosis in mid- parent and better parent, gene action in the terms of general and specific combining ability (GCA and SCA), heritability in broad and narrow sense. A randomized complete block design with three replicates was adopted. Each plot contained three rows each of 5.00 m long and 1.00 m wide, the plants were spaced at 50 cm apart on one side trellis ten plants were grown in each row. All agricultural practices were applied according to the recommendations of Ministry of Agriculture. Measurements for hybrids and their

parents were recorded on plant height (PH cm), number of leaves per plant, leaf area (LA cm²), number of node female flower (NNFF), days to anthesis of female flowers (DAFF), fruit shape index (ShI) as a ratio between fruit length and diameter (L/D), average fruit weight (AFW g), total soluble solids (TSS %), Vitamin c (Vit C mg), number of fruits per plant (N.F./P), early yield per plant (EY/P kg) and total yield per plant (TY/Pkg).

Statistical procedures:

After data recording from 21 genotypes, the analysis of variance and mean squares were estimated according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Statistical analyses were made in order to test the significance of the differences among the 6 parents and their F₁ hybrids. LSD test was used for the comparison among genotype means. The amount of heterosis in F₁ hybrids was determined as the deviation of the mid- parents and the better parent as follows:

$$H \% (M.P) = \frac{F_1 - M.P}{M.P} \times 100 \quad \text{and} \quad H \% (B.P) = \frac{\bar{F}_1 - B.P}{B.P} \times 100$$

Half diallel crosses analysis was made to provide the estimations of general (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA), and at the same time to estimate various types of gene action (Griffing, 1956).

The estimates of GCA variance (δ^2g) and SCA variance (δ^2s) could be expressed in terms of genetic variances according to Cockerham (1963).

Heritability was calculated as narrow sense $h^2_{ns}\%$ or broad sense $h^2_{bs}\%$. Narrow sense heritability was estimated as the percentage ratio of additive genetic variance to the phenotypic variance in percentage, while broad sense heritability was estimated by dividing genetic variance by the phenotypic variance in percentage.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Squash varieties possess a wide range of variation for vegetative, earliness, yield and its components. Vegetable breeder usually uses this variation as a tool to improve summer squash varieties through selection programs or to produce F₁ hybrids to obtain high and quality yield.

Analysis of variance:

In this study, different vegetative, earliness and yield component traits were estimated including; plant height (PH cm), number of leaves per plant, leaf area (LA cm²), days to anthesis female flowers (DAFF), number of node female flower (NNFF), early yield per plant (EY/P kg), fruit shape index (ShI), average fruit weight (AFWg), total soluble solids (TSS %), Vitamin C (Vit C), number of fruits per plant (NF/P) and total yield per plant (TY/P kg). The analysis of variance and mean squares for all genotypes are presented in Tables (1&2). The results indicated that the mean squares for all genotypes were highly significant for all traits.

These results were in agreement with the results obtained by Abd El- Hadi *et al.* (2013); Hussien (2015); Israa, (2016) and Abdein *et al.* (2017).

Table1. Analysis of variance and mean squares of parental inbreds and their F₁ hybrids for vegetative and earliness traits in summer squash.

| S.O.V | d.f | Vegetative and earliness traits | | | | | |
|------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| | | PH (cm) | NL/P | LA (cm ²) | DAFF | NNFF | EY/P (kg) |
| Replicates | 2 | 32.123 | 1.730 | 3764.714 | 6.048 | 0.492 | 0.001 |
| Genotypes | 20 | 569.953** | 59.397** | 323681.110** | 47.286** | 1.450** | 0.026** |
| Error | 40 | 7.984 | 1.580 | 3548.030 | 1.198 | 0.392 | 0.001 |

** significant at 0.01 levels of probability

Table 2. Analysis of variance and mean squares of parental inbreds and their F₁ hybrids for yield and its component traits in summer squash.

| S.O.V | d.f | yield and yield component traits | | | | | |
|------------|-----|----------------------------------|------------|---------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| | | ShI cm | AFW (g) | TSS % | Vit. C. (mg) | NF/P | TY/P (kg) |
| Replicates | 2 | 0.100 | 50.486 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1.159 | 0.007 |
| Genotypes | 20 | 0.769** | 1202.134** | 0.948** | 2.133** | 11.787** | 0.278** |
| Error | 40 | 0.018 | 11.573 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.609 | 0.010 |

** significant at 0.01 levels of probability

The mean performance of all genotypes:

The mean performances of varieties and their F₁ hybrids for all traits are presented in Tables (3&4). The results cleared that the obtained mean values showed that there was no single parent exceeded all the other parents for all studied traits. The results presented in Table (3) showed that the parental variety P₄ was the lowest parent for PH, NL/P and LA, while the parental variety P₆ showed the lowest values for DAFF, NNFF and EY/P. In the same time P₆ was the highest parent for PH, NL/P and LA traits, also, showed had the lowest value for DAFF, NNFF and EY/P traits. In the same time, the results indicated that the highest F₁ hybrids P₅ X P₆ for P.H (96.39 cm), NL/P (40.33) and LA (3000.30 cm²). While F₁ hybrid P₂ X P₃, P₂ X P₅ and P₁ X P₄ showed the highest value for DAFF, NNFF and E.Y./P. The results showed that the means of the F₁ hybrids ranged from 55.01 to 96.39 cm; 40.33 to 17.00; 3000.30 to 2012.30 cm²; 48.67 to 38.00; 1.67 to 5.00 and 0.18 to 0.51 kg for PH; NL/P; LA; DAFF; NNFF and EY/P, respectively. The results indicated that the magnitudes of the means of the F₁ hybrids were close to each other most studied traits. The results presented in Table (4) showed that the parental variety P₂ was the highest parent for AFW; Vit C.; NF/P and TY/P. In the same time, the parental variety P₆ showed the lowest values for NF/P and TY/P. The results showed that the highest F₁ hybrid for AFW was P₂ X P₆ with the mean value of (133.05 g) while , P₅ X P₆ hybrid was the lowest with the mean value of (85.71g) for the same trait. On the other hand, F₁ hybrid P₂ X P₃ was the highest with the mean value (1.50 kg) for the TY/P trait. Furthermore, the results showed that the means of F₁ hybrids ranged from 4.24 to 6.21(cm); 133.05 to 85.71(g); 3.26 to 5.02(%); 12.32 to 15.07(mg); 6.33 to 12 and 0.79 to 1.50 (kg), for ShI; AFW; TSS; Vit. C; NF/P and TY/P, respectively.

Heterosis over the mid- parents

Heterosis percentage of the 15 F₁ Hybrids over the mid – parent and better parent for all traits are presented in Tables (5&6). The results show that the values of heterosis over the mid – parents for F₁ hybrids ranged from – 0.13 (P₄ X P₆) to 18.77% (P₄ X P₅); -13.62 (P₂ X P₆) to 39.52 % (P₃ X P₆); - 7.02 (P₂ X P₄) to 16.03 % (P₃ X P₆); -13.54 (P₄ X P₅) to 3.70 % (P₃ X P₄); -37.50 (P₁ X P₃) to 57.73 % (P₂ X P₅); - 29.07 (P₄ X P₆) to 98.51% (P₅ X P₆) for P.H; NL/P; LA/P; DAFF; NNFF and EY/P. While, the values of heterosis over mid- parents for F₁ hybrids of yield and its component ranged from - 8.26 (P₁ XP₃) to 32.70 % (P₅ XP₆); 4.23 (P₁ X P₃) to 39.01 % (P₃ X P₅); - 8.79 (P₃ X P₅) to 29.58 % (P₅ X P₆); 0.60 (P₁ X P₄) to 13.37% (P₃ X P₄); -24.04 (P₂ X P₆) to 48.46 % (P₄ X P₆); -2.47 (P₂ X P₆) to 75.00 % (P₄ X P₆) for ShI; AFW; TSS%; Vit. C.; NF/P. and TY/P, respectively. Similar finding were reported by Gabr, (2003); Al-Araby(2010); Ghobary and Ibrahim(2010); César *et al.*(2013); Hussien (2015); Davoodi,(2016) and Abdein *et al.* (2017)

Table 3. The mean performance for the parental varieties and their F₁ hybrids for vegetative and earliness traits in summer squash.

| Traits | PH (cm) | NL/P | LA (cm) | DAFF | NNFF | EY/P (kg) | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|-------------------|-----------|------|
| P ₁ | 54.69 | 20.67 | 2126.70 | 46.33 | 2.67 | 0.19 | |
| P ₂ | 62.39 | 24.33 | 2569.70 | 41.00 | 3.67 ^h | 0.28 | |
| P ₃ | 64.82 | 22.00 | 2210.00 | 42.33 | 2.67 | 0.26 | |
| P ₄ | 44.82 | 14.67 | 1797.00 | 38.67 | 2.67 | 0.34 | |
| P ₅ | 85.79 | 27.67 | 2712.70 | 50.00 | 2.67 | 0.23 | |
| P ₆ | 90.45 | 32.00 | 2934.00 | 53.00 | 2.00 | 0.17 | |
| P ₁ X P ₂ | 60.11 | 21.33 | 2365.00 | 40.33 | 4.00 | 0.31 | |
| P ₁ X P ₃ | 65.07 | 20.67 | 2195.00 | 42.33 | 1.67 | 0.38 | |
| P ₁ X P ₄ | 55.01 | 17.67 | 2057.70 | 39.33 | 2.00 | 0.51 | |
| P ₁ X P ₅ | 83.17 | 25.00 | 2670.70 | 45.67 | 2.67 | 0.25 | |
| P ₁ X P ₆ | 81.20 | 28.67 | 2676.00 | 45.00 | 2.33 | 0.34 | |
| P ₂ X P ₃ | 66.17 | 22.67 | 2332.70 | 38.00 | 3.33 | 0.48 | |
| P ₂ X P ₄ | 55.65 | 19.67 | 2030.00 | 40.00 | 4.67 | 0.38 | |
| P ₂ X P ₅ | 82.39 | 25.33 | 2493.70 | 44.33 | 5.00 | 0.28 | |
| P ₂ X P ₆ | 85.68 | 24.33 | 2876.30 | 45.00 | 2.33 | 0.19 | |
| P ₃ X P ₄ | 57.85 | 17.00 | 2012.00 | 42.00 | 3.00 | 0.31 | |
| P ₃ X P ₅ | 83.16 | 22.67 | 2597.30 | 43.33 | 2.67 | 0.21 | |
| P ₃ X P ₆ | 94.20 | 37.67 | 2984.30 | 46.00 | 2.67 | 0.26 | |
| P ₄ X P ₅ | 77.56 | 19.00 | 2301.70 | 38.33 | 2.67 | 0.36 | |
| P ₄ X P ₆ | 67.55 | 23.33 | 2708.30 | 44.33 | 2.33 | 0.18 | |
| P ₅ X P ₆ | 96.39 | 40.33 | 3000.30 | 48.67 | 2.67 | 0.40 | |
| LSD | 5% | 3.66 | 2.07 | 98.29 | 1.80 | 1.03 | 0.02 |
| | 1% | 6.24 | 2.78 | 131.53 | 2.42 | 1.38 | 0.03 |

Table 4. The mean performance for the parental inbreds and their F₁ hybrids for yield and its component traits in summer squash.

| Traits | ShI (L/D) cm | AFW (g) | TSS % | Vit. C. (mg) | NF /P | TY/P (kg) | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------|------|
| P ₁ | 4.97 | 108.50 | 3.84 | 13.52 | 8.33 | 0.91 | |
| P ₂ | 4.45 | 119.35 | 4.22 | 14.21 | 11.00 | 1.31 | |
| P ₃ | 4.38 ^l | 115.13 | 3.19 | 11.11 | 7.33 | 0.84 | |
| P ₄ | 4.46 | 86.70 | 3.06 | 13.40 | 6.00 | 0.52 | |
| P ₅ | 4.59 | 66.19 | 4.08 | 12.46 | 9.33 | 0.62 | |
| P ₆ | 4.77 | 76.10 | 4.43 | 12.72 | 5.67 | 0.43 | |
| P ₁ X P ₂ | 4.98 | 123.06 | 4.24 | 15.07 | 12.00 | 1.47 | |
| P ₁ X P ₃ | 4.29 | 116.54 | 3.26 | 12.80 | 8.33 | 0.97 | |
| P ₁ X P ₄ | 5.00 | 112.02 | 3.97 | 13.54 | 7.33 | 0.82 | |
| P ₁ X P ₅ | 5.13 | 105.66 | 3.83 | 13.32 | 10.00 | 1.05 | |
| P ₁ X P ₆ | 5.48 | 114.86 | 4.59 | 13.51 | 8.00 | 0.92 | |
| P ₂ X P ₃ | 4.49 | 125.32 | 4.32 | 14.23 | 12.00 | 1.50 | |
| P ₂ X P ₄ | 4.80 | 122.59 | 3.72 | 14.60 | 7.67 | 0.94 | |
| P ₂ X P ₅ | 4.30 | 125.40 | 4.37 | 14.18 | 11.00 | 1.38 | |
| P ₂ X P ₆ | 5.63 | 133.05 | 4.53 | 13.73 | 6.33 | 0.85 | |
| P ₃ X P ₄ | 4.48 | 127.34 | 3.26 | 13.89 | 8.33 | 1.06 | |
| P ₃ X P ₅ | 4.24 | 126.03 | 3.32 | 12.93 | 7.67 | 0.86 | |
| P ₃ X P ₆ | 5.08 | 125.17 | 4.08 | 13.17 | 6.33 | 0.79 | |
| P ₄ X P ₅ | 4.75 | 94.07 | 4.12 | 13.49 | 9.63 | 0.91 | |
| P ₄ X P ₆ | 5.31 | 97.08 | 4.57 | 13.59 | 8.67 | 0.84 | |
| P ₅ X P ₆ | 6.21 | 85.71 | 5.02 | 13.72 | 10.00 | 0.85 | |
| LSD | 5% | 0.22 | 5.61 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 1.28 | 0.16 |
| | 1% | 0.30 | 7.51 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 1.72 | 0.22 |

Heterosis over better parent

Heterosis percentage of the 15 F₁ hybrids for vegetative and earliness and yield and its components over the better parent are presented in Tables (5&6). The results

show that the values of heterosis over the better parent for F₁ hybrids varied from - 25.32 (P₄ X P₆) to 6.57 % (P₅ X P₆) for P.H; - 31.33 (P₄ X P₅) to 26.03 % (P₅ X P₆) for No.L/P; - 21.00 (P₂ X P₄) to 2.26% (P₅ X P₆) for LA/P; -7.32 (P₂ X P₃) to 14.64 % (P₄X P₆) for DAFF; - 37.45 (P₁ X P₃) to 87.27 % (P₂ X P₅) for NNFF and - 47.06 (P₄ X P₆) to 78.95 % (P₁ X P₆) for EY/P. while , the values of heterosis over the better parent for yield and its components traits ranged from -13.68 (P₁ X P₃) to 30.19 % (P₅ X P₆); - 2.62 (P₁X P₅) to 12.63 %

(P₅X P₆); - 18.63 (P₃ X P₅) to 24.60 % (P₅ X P₆); - 5.33 (P₁ X P₃) to 7.86 % (P₅X P₆); - 42.45 (P₂ X P₆) to 44.50 % (P₄ X P₆) and - 35.11 % (P₂ X P₆) to 61.77% (P₄ X P₆) for ShI; AFW; TSS%; Vit.C; NF/P. and TY/P, respectively. Average fruit weight possess significant positive heterosis was up to 39.01 % (P₃ X P₅) over mid - parent and 12.63 % (P₅ X P₆) over better parent. The same trend was recorded by Al-Araby (2010), Ghobary and Ibrahim (2010); Jahan *et al.* and Marie (2012); Hussien (2015) and Abdein *et al.* (2017).

Table 5. Relative heterosis as mid – parents and better parent for vegetative and earliness traits in summer squash.

| Traits Geno. | PH (cm) | | NL/P | | LA/P (cm) | | DAFF | | NNFF | | EY/P (kg) | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P |
| P ₁ X P ₂ | 2.68 | -3.65 | -5.20 | -12.33 | 0.72 | -7.97 | -7.64 | -1.63 | 26.18 | 49.81 | 34.05 | 10.71 |
| P ₁ X P ₃ | 8.89 | 0.39 | -3.12 | -6.05 | 1.23 | -0.68 | -4.51 | 0.00 | -37.50 | -37.45 | 71.36 | 46.15 |
| P ₁ X P ₄ | 10.56 | 0.59 | 0.00 | -14.51 | 4.89 | -3.24 | -7.46 | 1.71 | -25.00 | -25.09 | 90.24 | 50.00 |
| P ₁ X P ₅ | 18.41 | -3.05 | 3.43 | -9.65 | 10.37 | -1.55 | -5.18 | -1.42 | 37.45 | 37.45 | 17.62 | 8.70 |
| P ₁ X P ₆ | 11.89 | -10.23 | 8.87 | -10.41 | 5.77 | -8.79 | -9.39 | -5.03 | -0.02 | 16.50 | 85.86 | 78.95 |
| P ₂ X P ₃ | 4.03 | 2.08 | -2.14 | -6.82 | -2.39 | -9.23 | -8.80 | -7.32 | 5.24 | 24.72 | 80.90 | 71.43 |
| P ₂ X P ₄ | 3.81 | -10.80 | 0.88 | -19.15 | -7.02 | -21.00 | 0.40 | 3.44 | 47.32 | 74.91 | 9.68 | 11.76 |
| P ₂ X P ₅ | 11.20 | -3.96 | -2.58 | -8.46 | -5.58 | -8.07 | -2.57 | 8.12 | 57.73 | 87.27 | 11.64 | 0.00 |
| P ₂ X P ₆ | 12.12 | -5.27 | -13.62 | -23.97 | 4.52 | -1.97 | -4.26 | 9.76 | -17.66 | 16.50 | -14.22 | -32.14 |
| P ₃ X P ₄ | 5.53 | -10.75 | -7.28 | -22.73 | 0.42 | -8.96 | 3.70 | 8.61 | 12.36 | 12.36 | 2.33 | -8.82 |
| P ₃ X P ₅ | 10.43 | -3.07 | -8.71 | -18.07 | 5.52 | -4.25 | -6.14 | 2.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -14.99 | -19.23 |
| P ₃ X P ₆ | 12.32 | 4.15 | 39.52 | 17.72 | 16.03 | 1.71 | -3.49 | 8.67 | 14.10 | 33.50 | 19.53 | 0.00 |
| P ₄ X P ₅ | 18.77 | -14.25 | -10.25 | -31.33 | 2.08 | -15.15 | -13.54 | -0.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.67 | 38.46 |
| P ₄ X P ₆ | -0.13 | -25.32 | -0.02 | -27.09 | 14.50 | -7.69 | -3.28 | 14.64 | -0.02 | 16.50 | -29.07 | -47.06 |
| P ₅ X P ₆ | 9.38 | 6.57 | 35.15 | 26.03 | 6.26 | 2.26 | -5.50 | -3.26 | 56.84 | 83.50 | 98.51 | 73.91 |

Table 6. Relative heterosis mid-parent and better parent for yield and its component traits in summer squash.

| Traits Geno. | ShI (L/D) cm | | AFW (g) | | TSS % | | Vit. C. (mg) | | NF/P | | TY/P (kg) | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P | M.P | B.P |
| P ₁ X P ₂ | 5.77 | 0.20 | 8.02 | 3.11 | 5.25 | 0.47 | 8.66 | 6.05 | 24.14 | 9.09 | 32.70 | 12.21 |
| P ₁ X P ₃ | -8.26 | -13.68 | 4.23 | 1.23 | -7.21 | -15.10 | 3.94 | -5.33 | 6.39 | 0.00 | 11.20 | 6.60 |
| P ₁ X P ₄ | 6.15 | 0.60 | 14.77 | 3.24 | 15.07 | 3.39 | 0.60 | 0.15 | 2.32 | -12.00 | 14.93 | -9.89 |
| P ₁ X P ₅ | 7.26 | 3.22 | 20.99 | -2.62 | -3.21 | -6.13 | 2.52 | -1.48 | 13.21 | 7.18 | 37.80 | 15.38 |
| P ₁ X P ₆ | 12.46 | 10.26 | 24.45 | 5.86 | 10.87 | 3.61 | 2.97 | -0.07 | 14.29 | -3.96 | 37.17 | 1.10 |
| P ₂ X P ₃ | 1.77 | 0.90 | 6.90 | 5.00 | 16.44 | 2.37 | 12.41 | 0.14 | 30.91 | 9.09 | 39.42 | 14.50 |
| P ₂ X P ₄ | 7.82 | 7.62 | 19.00 | 2.71 | 2.28 | -11.85 | 5.77 | 2.75 | -9.76 | -30.27 | 2.24 | -28.24 |
| P ₂ X P ₅ | -4.84 | -6.32 | 35.17 | 5.07 | 5.30 | 3.55 | 6.32 | -0.21 | 8.20 | 0.00 | 43.32 | 5.34 |
| P ₂ X P ₆ | 22.23 | 18.03 | 36.15 | 11.48 | 4.70 | 2.26 | 1.96 | -3.38 | -24.04 | -42.45 | -2.47 | -35.11 |
| P ₃ X P ₄ | 21.33 | 0.45 | 26.20 | 10.61 | 4.47 | 2.19 | 13.37 | 3.66 | 24.88 | 13.64 | 55.88 | 26.20 |
| P ₃ X P ₅ | -5.42 | -7.63 | 39.01 | 9.47 | -8.79 | -18.63 | 9.71 | 3.77 | -7.96 | -17.79 | 18.22 | 2.38 |
| P ₃ X P ₆ | 11.07 | 6.50 | 30.91 | 8.72 | 7.04 | -7.90 | 10.53 | 3.54 | -2.62 | -13.64 | 36.62 | -5.95 |
| P ₄ X P ₅ | 5.01 | 3.49 | 23.05 | 8.50 | 15.45 | 0.98 | 4.33 | 0.67 | 29.39 | 3.22 | 59.65 | 46.77 |
| P ₄ X P ₆ | 15.16 | 11.32 | 19.26 | 11.97 | 22.00 | 3.16 | 4.06 | 1.42 | 48.46 | 44.50 | 75.00 | 61.77 |
| P ₅ X P ₆ | 32.70 | 30.19 | 20.46 | 12.63 | 29.58 | 24.60 | 8.98 | 7.86 | 33.33 | 7.18 | 60.38 | 37.10 |

Analysis of combining ability variances:

Analyses of variance and mean squares of the half diallel mating design for combining ability are presented in Tables (7&8). From this analysis it was evident that both GCA and SCA variances were highly significant for all studied traits thus indicating the importance of additive (δ^2A) and non – additive gene action (δ^2D) in inheritance of these traits. The GCA effects and SCA effects were estimated for

six parents and 6 x 5 half diallel crosses without reciprocals, an estimate of GCA effects of parents to which this particular parent was crosses in the crossing system. If the parent has exactly the same average in its combination as the general average performance of the parent in their combinations, would indicate that the parent is such better or much poorer than the overall average of parents involved in the test.

Table 7. Analysis of combining abilities and the mean square of F₁ hybrids for vegetative and earliness traits in summer squash.

| S.O.V | d.f | PH (cm) | NL/P | LA (cm) | DAFF | NNFF | EY/P (kg) |
|------------|-----|-----------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Replicates | 2 | 32.12 | 1.73 | 3764.71 | 6.05 | 0.49 | 0.0001 |
| Genotypes | 20 | 569.95** | 59.40** | 323681.11** | 47.29** | 1.45** | 0.026** |
| GCA | 5 | 2042.59** | 218.30** | 1164993.17** | 150.40** | 3.74** | 0.02 ^{ns} |
| SCA | 15 | 79.09** | 6.40** | 43219.10** | 12.93** | 0.68 ^{ns} | 0.03 ^{ns} |
| Error | 40 | 7.98 | 1.58 | 3548.03 | 1.20 | 0.39 | 0.0001 |
| GCA / SCA | | 25.826 | 34.109 | 26.956 | 11.632 | 5.500 | 0.667 |

*, ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

The results indicated that the mean squares due to crosses were highly significant for all studied traits. The values of GCA mean squares were higher than those of SCA means for all studied traits. The mean squares due to general

combining ability (GCA) exhibited significant differences for all studied traits. The mean squares due to specific combining ability (SCA) were highly significant for all studied traits. It means that additive genetic variance was more important in

the inheritance of those characters. These results are in agreement with those of Al-Araby (2010), Ghobary and

Ibrahim (2010), Abd El-Hadi *et al.* (2013), Israa, (2016) and Abdein *et al.* (2017).

Table 8. Analysis of combining abilities and the mean square of F₁ hybrids for yield and its component traits in summer squash.

| S.O.V | d.f | ShI cm | AFW (g) | TSS % | Vit. C. (mg) | NF/P | TY/P (kg) |
|------------|-----|--------------------|------------|---------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| Replicates | 2 | 0.100 | 50.486 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1.159 | 0.007 |
| hybrids | 20 | 0.769** | 1202.134** | 0.948** | 2.133** | 11.787** | 0.278** |
| GCA | 5 | 1.47 ^{ns} | 3056.43** | 2.98** | 2.13* | 30.27** | 0.87** |
| SCA | 15 | 0.53** | 584.01** | 0.27** | 5.70** | 5.64** | 0.08** |
| Error | 40 | 0.018 | 11.573 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.609 | 0.010 |
| GCA / SCA | | 2.774 | 5.234 | 11.037 | 0.374 | 5.367 | 10.875 |

*, ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

General combining ability effects (gi) for the parents:

The general combining ability effects (*gi*) of the six parents for vegetative and earliness and yield and its components are presented in Tables (9&10), respectively. In Table 9, reflected that the best general combiner parent that appeared to have the significant highest positive value of GCA. The results illustrated that (P₅ and P₆) had the greatest GCA effects (10.65 ± 0.527) and (11.24± 0.527) for plant height, respectively. While, the other parents were poor combiner at the same traits. Also, the results revealed that (P₆) was a good combiner at P.H, NL/P and DAFF traits, respectively.

Table 9. Estimates of GCA and SCA effects for vegetative and earliness traits for parental varieties and their F₁ hybrids in summer squash.

| Traits Geno. | PH (cm) | NL /P | LA (cm) | DAFF | NNFF | EY/P (kg) |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|
| GCA | | | | | | |
| P ₁ | -5.64 | -0.86 | -109.20 | 0.09 | -0.22 | 0.01 |
| P ₂ | -3.03 | 0.50 | 18.55 | -1.88 | 0.74 | 0.01 |
| P ₃ | -1.24 | -1.24 | -93.76 | -1.04 | -0.18 | 0.01 |
| P ₄ | -11.97 | -4.44 | -298.46 | -2.92 | -0.01 | 0.03 |
| P ₅ | 10.65 | 2.14 | 152.60 | 1.96 | 0.07 | -0.02 |
| P ₆ | 11.24 | 4.35 | 330.60 | 3.80 | -0.39 | -0.05 |
| S.E. (gi) | 0.527 | 0.234 | 11.099 | 0.203 | 0.116 | 0.002 |
| SCA | | | | | | |
| P ₁ X P ₂ | -2.51 | -0.94 | 14.36 | -1.40 | -0.05 | -0.01 |
| P ₁ X P ₃ | 0.66 | -0.32 | -43.67 | -0.23 | -0.47 | 0.07 |
| P ₁ X P ₄ | 1.33 | -0.11 | 23.73 | -1.36 | -0.30 | 0.16 |
| P ₁ X P ₅ | 6.86 | 0.64 | 185.68 | 0.11 | -0.72 | -0.04 |
| P ₁ X P ₆ | 4.31 | 2.10 | 12.97 | -2.40 | 0.41 | 0.07 |
| P ₂ X P ₃ | -0.85 | 0.77 | -33.38 | -2.61 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| P ₂ X P ₄ | -0.64 | 0.98 | -131.38 | 1.27 | 0.41 | -0.01 |
| P ₂ X P ₅ | 3.48 | 0.06 | -118.73 | 0.72 | 0.66 | -0.01 |
| P ₂ X P ₆ | 6.19 | -3.15 | 85.86 | -0.44 | 0.55 | -0.07 |
| P ₃ X P ₄ | 0.23 | -0.40 | -37.41 | 2.44 | 0.67 | -0.03 |
| P ₃ X P ₅ | 2.46 | -1.31 | 96.84 | -1.11 | 0.24 | -0.08 |
| P ₃ X P ₆ | 5.92 | -1.52 | 105.83 | -0.27 | -0.30 | -0.01 |
| P ₄ X P ₅ | 7.60 | -1.78 | 5.94 | -4.24 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| P ₄ X P ₆ | -3.00 | 0.35 | 234.53 | -0.07 | 0.20 | -0.10 |
| P ₅ X P ₆ | -6.79 | 1.77 | -101.52 | -0.60 | -0.22 | 0.17 |
| S.E. (ij) | 1.994 | 0.531 | 25.17 | 0.462 | 0.265 | 0.005 |

Data in table 10 shows the general combining ability effects (*gi*) for yield and its component. Where the (P₂) which was the good combiner for AFW (14.10 ± 0.633), (Vit. C (0.78 ± 0.034), NF/P (1.64 ± 0.145) and TY/P (0.31 ± 0.019), respectively. At the same time, the results showed that the (P₁) which appeared to be good combiner parental cultivar for ShI (0.42 ± 0.025), followed by (P₅) which was seemed to be the best combiner for TSS % (0.19 ± 0.013). From such results, it could be generally concluded that combining ability estimates can be used to select the parents to be involved in hybrid combinations to predict the good hybrids.

Also, it showed be mentioned that the parents with best GCA. don't necessary produce superior cross with best SCA in all combinations. These results were in agreement with the results obtained by Ahmed, *et al.* (2003), Abou El-Nasr *et al.* (2010); Abd El-Hadi *et al.* (2014); Tamilselvi *et al.* (2015); Israa, (2016) and Abdein *et al.* (2017).

Table 10. Estimates of GCA and SCA effects for yield and its component traits for parental varieties and their F₁ hybrids in summer squash.

| Traits Geno. | ShI (L/D) cm | AFW (g) | TSS % | Vit. C. (mg) | NF /P | TY/P (kg) |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------|
| GCA | | | | | | |
| P ₁ | 0.11 | 4.23 | -0.11 | 0.17 | 0.56 | 0.10 |
| P ₂ | -0.10 | 14.10 | 0.17 | 0.78 | 1.64 | 0.31 |
| P ₃ | -0.32 | 10.67 | -0.46 | -0.59 | -0.44 | 0.02 |
| P ₄ | -0.08 | -6.10 | -0.29 | 0.20 | -1.19 | -0.18 |
| P ₅ | -0.02 | -13.26 | 0.19 | -0.31 | 0.60 | 0.06 |
| P ₆ | 0.42 | -9.65 | 0.50 | -0.25 | -1.15 | -0.19 |
| S.E. (gi) | 0.025 | 0.633 | 0.013 | 0.034 | 0.145 | 0.019 |
| SCA | | | | | | |
| P ₁ X P ₂ | 0.13 | -3.17 | 0.31 | 0.70 | 1.54 | 0.17 |
| P ₁ X P ₃ | -0.35 | -6.26 | -0.05 | -0.20 | -0.05 | -0.04 |
| P ₁ X P ₄ | 0.13 | 5.99 | -0.31 | -0.25 | -0.30 | 0.05 |
| P ₁ X P ₅ | 0.18 | 6.79 | -0.12 | 0.04 | 0.58 | 0.12 |
| P ₁ X P ₆ | 0.10 | 12.38 | -0.18 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.12 |
| P ₂ X P ₃ | 0.07 | -7.35 | -0.26 | 0.61 | 2.54 | 0.27 |
| P ₂ X P ₄ | 0.14 | 6.69 | -0.02 | 0.19 | -1.04 | -0.09 |
| P ₂ X P ₅ | -0.43 | 16.65 | -0.06 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.24 |
| P ₂ X P ₆ | 0.47 | 20.70 | -0.01 | -0.23 | -2.43 | -0.16 |
| P ₃ X P ₄ | 0.04 | 14.89 | -0.02 | 0.85 | -1.30 | -0.06 |
| P ₃ X P ₅ | -0.27 | 20.72 | -0.29 | 0.41 | -0.75 | 0.01 |
| P ₃ X P ₆ | 0.14 | 6.25 | 0.16 | 0.59 | -0.34 | 0.01 |
| P ₄ X P ₅ | 0.01 | -4.47 | 0.35 | 0.07 | -0.34 | -0.04 |
| P ₄ X P ₆ | 0.13 | -5.07 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 2.75 | 0.22 |
| P ₅ X P ₆ | 0.96 | -9.28 | 0.46 | -0.14 | 0.29 | -0.04 |
| S.E. (ij) | 0.057 | 1.438 | 0.030 | 0.077 | 0.330 | 0.042 |

Specific combining ability effects (Sij)

Estimates of specific combining ability effects (*Sij*) of the 15 F₁ hybrids for vegetative and earliness and yield and its component traits are presented in Tables (9&10), respectively. These effects are an effective way to test and select the good combinations obtained from the different crosses. The high values of Sij cross which involving two parents would be a promising way for genetic improvement (Cruz and Regazzi, 2001). For vegetative and earliness and yield and its component which appear in table 10. The results illustrated that GCA and SCA effects were shown to be major contributing factors in squash, indicating the importance of additive (δ^2A) and non additive (δ^2D) types of gene action in the inheritance of the studied traits.

The results illustrated that comparisons among the estimated values of SCA illustrated that the good hybrid combination which showed the highest value for P.H traits was found to be the cross (P₄ X P₅) (7.60 ± 1.994). The

results illustrated that the hybrids (P₄ X P₆) (234.53 ± 25.17) showed the best combinations for LA trait, while, the cross (P₃ X P₄) (-4.24 ± 0.462) showed desirable negative value of *Sij* for DAFF trait. Similarly, the specific combinations *Sij* for yield and its component were estimated and are presented in Table 10. The results illustrated that the hybrid (P₄ X P₆) (0.48 ± 0.30) (2.75 ± 0.030) showed that the best combinations for TSS % and No F/P, respectively. This result illustrated that this F₁ hybrid could be considered as the best hybrid combination for TSS %, while, hybrid (P₃ X P₅) (20.72 ± 1.438) showed the best combination for AFW trait.

Genetic parameters and heritability:

The relative magnitudes of genetic parameters were estimated for vegetative and earliness and yield and its component traits the present results in Tables (11&12), respectively. The results illustrated that both additive (δ^2A)

and non additive genetic variances including dominance (δ^2D) were positive for all studied traits. The results reported that the magnitudes of additive genetic variances were larger than dominance genetic variances for all studied traits expect for ShI. Thus, it could be suggested that additive genetic variance predominant in the inheritance of the studied traits. The results illustrated generally that the estimations of heritability percentages in the broad sense ($h^2_{bs}\%$) for the various studied traits, were found to be high and ranged from 72.95% for NNFF trait up to 99.43 % for EY/P trait and 94.84 % for NF/P up to 99.46 % for TSS % trait. Also, the results showed that the heritability in broad sense were 98.60 %, 97.34 %, 98.90 % and 97.47% for PH, NL/P, LA, DAFF, respectively and 97.60 %, 99.04 %, 98.42 % and 96.44 % for ShI, AFW, Vit C. and TY/P, respectively.

Table 11. The estimates of genetic variance components and heritability for vegetative and earliness traits in summer squash.

| Genetic parameters | PH (cm) | NL/P | LA (cm) | DAFF | NNFF | EY/P (kg) |
|--------------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| δ^2_{ph} | 189.98 | 19.80 | 107893.70 | 15.76 | 0.48 | 0.09 |
| δ^2A | 163.62 | 17.66 | 93481.17 | 11.46 | 0.26 | 0.001 |
| δ^2D | 23.70 | 1.61 | 13223.69 | 3.91 | 0.10 | 0.009 |
| δ^2e | 3.187 | 0.12 | 629426.18 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| $h^2_{bs}\%$ | 98.60 | 97.34 | 98.90 | 97.47 | 72.95 | 99.43 |
| $h^2_{ns}\%$ | 35.32 | 28.49 | 35.02 | 49.82 | 44.88 | 40.55 |
| D. d | 0.179 | 0.020 | 0.029 | 0.158 | 0.961 | 0.00 |

Table 12. The estimates of genetic variance components and heritability for yield and its component traits in summer squash.

| Genetic parameters | ShI (L/D cm) | AFW (g) | TSS % | Vit. C. (mg) | NF/P | TY/P (kg) |
|--------------------|--------------|---------|--------|--------------|-------|-----------|
| δ^2_{ph} | 0.26 | 400.71 | 0.32 | 0.71 | 3.93 | 0.09 |
| δ^2A | 0.08 | 206.03 | 0.23 | 0.40 | 2.05 | 0.07 |
| δ^2D | 0.17 | 190.81 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 1.68 | 0.02 |
| δ^2e | 0.0001 | 6.70 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.02 | 0.0001 |
| $h^2_{bs}\%$ | 97.60 | 99.04 | 99.46 | 98.42 | 94.84 | 96.44 |
| $h^2_{ns}\%$ | 81.94 | 69.01 | 53.07 | 65.28 | 65.29 | 49.89 |
| D. d | 2.702 | 0.769 | 0.415 | 0.579 | 0.740 | 0.186 |

Generally the heritability in broad sense ($h^2_{bs}\%$) were larger than their corresponding values of heritability in narrow sense ($h^2_{ns}\%$) for all studied traits. In the same study, the highest values of ($h^2_{ns}\%$) was (49.82 %) for DAFF followed by 44.88 % for NNFF trait, 40.55 % for 35.32 % for P.H trait, 35.02 % for LA trait and 28.49 % for No.L/P trait. In the same time, the highest values of ($h^2_{ns}\%$) for yield and its component were 81.94 % for Sh.I cm² trait followed by 69.01 % for AFW, 65.29 % for No.F/P trait, 65.28 % for Vit C, 53.07 % for TSS % and 49.89 % for TY/P.

The degree of dominance (D.d) was less than one for all studied traits while, it was larger than one for Sh.I trait indicating the presence of non – additive variances for this trait. The results seemed to agree with those obtained by Abd El-Rahman *et al.* (2001); El- Diasty *et al.* (2007); Abd El-Hadi *et al.* (2014); Israa (2016) and Abdein *et al.* (2017).

REFERENCES

Abd El- Hadi, A.H.; A. M. El- Adl; Horeya M. Fathy and M. A. Abdein (2014). Heterosis and genetic behavior of some yield and yield component traits in squash (*Cucurbita pepo*, L.) Alexandria Science Exchange Journal 35 (3) : 178 – 189.
 Abd El- Hadi, A.H.; S. M. Farid and Eman H. El- Khatib (2013). Combining ability and genetic variance components of A diallel crosses among some squash varieties. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 4 (3) : 119 – 131.

Abd El-Rahman, M. M.; M. E.Abou El-Nasr and A.A.Kamoooh (2001). Diallel analysis for variability in pumpkin. J.Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(4) : 2205- 2213.
 Abdein, M.A., Horeya M. Fathy and Dalia M. Hikal (2017). General performance, combining abilities and heritability of yield and yield component traits in Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Poir) at Different Conditions. KMITL, Sci. Tech. J.17 (1): 121 – 129.
 Abou El- Nasr, M.E. ; M. H. Tolba and H. M. I. Ahmed (2010). Combining ability for some characters in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). J.Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.1(5) : 779 – 787. Agric. Sci., 37:123-135.
 Ahmed E.A.; Ibn Oaf H.S. and El Jack A.E. (2003). Combining ability and heterosis in line x tester crosses of summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report, 26: 54-56.
 Al-Araby, A.A. (2010). Estimation of heterosis, combining ability and heritability in inter varieties crosses of summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Tanta Univ., Egypt.
 César Sánchez - Hernández C. Villanueva - Verduzco; J. Sahagún - Castellanos; J. P. Legaria - Solano; J. Martínez-Solís and M. Á. Sánchez-Hernández (2013). Heterosis en híbridos de calabacita tipo grey zucchini. Revista Chapingo Serie Horticultura 19 (1): 99-115.

- Cockerham, C.C.(1963). Estimation of genetic variances. Statistical Genetics and Plant Breeding. NAS-NRC, 982: 53 – 68.
- Cruz CD and AJ Regazzi (2001). Biometric models applied in breeding. 2ed. rev. Viçosa: UFV, 390p.
- Davoodi, S.; J. A. Olfati; Y. Hamidoghli and A. Sabouri (2016). Standard Heterosis in *Cucurbita moschata* and *Cucurbita pepo* Interspecific Hybrids. International Journal Of Vegetable Science 22(4): 383 – 388.
- El- Adl A. M.; A. H. Abd El- Hadi; Horeya M. Fathy and M. A. Abdein (2014). Heterosis, Heritability and Combining abilities for some Earliness Traits in Squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). Alexandria Science Exchange Journal 35 (3) : 203 – 214.
- El-Diasty, Z. M.; Z.A. Kosba; M.M. Abd El- Rahman and A. M. El-Shoura (2007). Genetic behavior of some important yield and yield component traits of watermelon, (*Citrullus lanatus* , Thumb). J.Agric.Sci.Mansoura Univ., 32 (9) : 7260 – 2007.
- Firpo IT.; FL. Anido; SM. Garcia and Cointry E. (1998). Heterosis in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report, 21:43- 45.
- Gabr,A.H. (2003). Nature of gene action and performance of hybrids in squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ. Egypt.
- Ghobary H. M. and Kh. Y. Ibrahim (2010). Improvement of summer squash through inbreeding and visual selection. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., 36: 340-349.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.
- Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Austr. J. Boil. Sci., East Millburn, v 9, p.463- 493.
- Hassan, A. A., Abdel-Ati, K. E. A. and Mohamed, M.I.A. (2016). Inheritance of some important characters in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.).Current Science International, 5 (2) :165 – 174.
- Hussien, A. H. (2015). Nature of gene action and heterotic performance for yield and yield components in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) Journal of Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 6 (1): 29 - 40.
- Israa, A.El-Kh.H., (2016). Genetic analysis of some important traits in squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.).M.Sc.Thesis, Fac.Agric., Mansoura, Univ.
- Jahan, T. A.; A. K. M. Islam; M. G. Rasul; M. A. K. Mian and M. M. Haque (2012). Heterosis of qualitative and quantitative characters in sweet gourd (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch.ex Poir). African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 12 (3): 6186-6199.
- López Anido, F; V. Cravero; P. Asprelli; T. Firpo; S. M. García and E. Cointry (2004). Heterotic patterns in hybrids involving cultivar-groups of summer squash, *Cucurbita pepo* L. Euphytica 135(3): 355–360.
- Marie, A.K.; M.Y. Moualla and M.G.Boras (2012). Heterosis study of some quantity characters in squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) Damascus J. Agric. Sci., 28 (1) : 339 – 354.
- Obiadalla-Ali, H. A. (2006). Heterosis and nature of gene action for earliness and yield components in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo*, L.). Assuit J. of Agric. Sci., 37:123-135.
- Rehab, M.Habiba; A.M.M.AdL and Israa , H.Othman (2015). Intra and inter specific hybrids in summer squash. J.Agric.Chem.and Biotech., Mansoura Univ.,6(12) : 597 – 613.
- Tamilselvi, N. A.; P. Jansirani and L. Pugalendhi (2015). Estimation of heterosis and combining ability for earliness and yield characters in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. Ex. Poir). African Journal of Agricultural Research, 10(16) : 1904-1912.

قوة الهجين والقدرة على التآلف لإنتاج هجن الكوسة

علاء محمد الشورة و محمد يوسف عابد

قسم بحوث الخضر – معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة - مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال الموسمين الصيفيين 2016 و2017 بمزرعة محطة بحوث البساتين بالبرامون التابعة لمعهد بحوث البساتين وقد استخدم في هذه الدراسة ستة سلالات نقية من الكوسة الاسكندراني حيث أجرى التهجين بين السلالات بنظام التزاوج النصف دائري وقد قيمت الأباء والهجن الناتجة منها في تجربة قطاعات كاملة العشوائية في ثلاث مكررات وتم تسجيل البيانات على صفات (طول النبات بالسهم و عدد الأوراق للنبات و المساحة الورقية بالسهم² و عدد الأيام حتى تفتح أول زهرة مؤنثة و رقم أول عقدة تحمل زهرة مؤنثة و المحصول المبكر للنبات بالكجم ومعامل شكل الثمرة بالسهم و متوسط وزن الثمرة بالجسم ونسبة السكريات الذائبة الكلية وفيتامين سي و عدد الثمار للنبات و المحصول الكلي للنبات بالكجم. وقد أوضحت النتائج الآتي :- وجود اختلافات بين الأباء والهجن لكل الصفات محل الدراسة ولكن معظم التراكيب الوراثية للجيل الأول تميزت عن الأباء الداخلة في تركيبها. - أظهرت النتائج وجود قيمة موجبة لقوة الهجين قياسية بمتوسط الأباء. وقد أظهر الهجين $P_5 \times P_6$ معنوية عالية وأعطى أعلى قيمة لقوة الهجين 98.51 % لصفة المحصول المبكر للنبات تلاها الهجين $P_1 \times P_4$ وقد أعطى قوة هجين 90.24 %. بينما عند حساب قوة الهجين عن طريق أحسن الأباء فقد وجد أن الهجين $P_1 \times P_6$ قد أعطى أعلى قيمة لقوة الهجين 78.95 % لصفة المحصول المبكر تلاه الهجين $P_5 \times P_6$ وقد أعطى قوة هجين 73.91 % لنفس الصفة. بينما اعطي الهجين $P_4 \times P_6$ أكبر القيم لقوة الهجين قياسية بمتوسط الأباء لصفة المحصول الكلي للنبات (75.0 %) ثم الهجينين $P_3 \times P_6$ (60.38%) و $P_4 \times P_5$ (59.65%) على التوالي. و بالنسبة لقوة الهجين على أساس الاب الأعلى فقد أظهر الهجين $P_4 \times P_6$ أعلى قوة هجين لصفة المحصول الكلي للنبات (61.77%) ثم كل من الهجين $P_4 \times P_5$ (46.77%) و الهجين $P_5 \times P_6$ (37.10%). - وجد أيضاً أن القيم المقدرة للقدرة العامة والخاصة على التآلف كانت عالية المعنوية لمعظم الصفات المدروسة وهذا يوضح أهمية كلا من التأثير الإضافي والسيادي في وراثية الصفات محل الدراسة وكانت القيم المقدرة للتباين الإضافي أكبر من القيم المقدرة للتباين السبدي لجميع الصفات تحت الدراسة مع اعدا صفة معامل شكل الثمرة والتي كان الفعل السبدي له الفعل الأكبر او الرئيسي في وراثتها. - أوضحت النتائج أيضاً أن الأب الأول والثاني والثالث قد أظهروا قدرة عالية على التآلف لصفات متوسط وزن الثمرة وفيتامين سي و عدد الثمار للنبات و المحصول الكلي للنبات وكذلك وجد أن الاب الخامس والسادس قد أظهروا قدرة عالية على التآلف لصفات ارتفاع النبات و عدد الأوراق للنبات و المساحة الورقية و رقم العقدة لأول زهرة مؤنثة. - أظهرت النتائج أن القيم المقدرة لمعامل التوريث في المدى الواسع كانت أكبر من القيم المقدرة لمعامل التوريث في مده الضيق وأظهرت النتائج أن القيمة المقدرة لمعامل التوريث في مده الواسع تراوحت بين 72.95 الى 99.46 % لكل من صفتي رقم العقدة لأول زهرة مؤنثة والمواد الذائبة الكلية بينما تراوحت القيمة المقدرة لمعامل التوريث في مده الضيق تراوحت بين 28.49 الى 81.94 % لصفتي عدد الأوراق للنبات ومعامل شكل الثمرة. وبناءً على مسبق فان على مربي الخضر عند تصميم برامجهم لإنتاج هجن متفوقة من الكوسة الانتخاب في الأجيال الأتزرعية المتقدمة وذلك لتحسين الصفات الاقتصادية الهامة.