Effect of Tuber Soaking Periods with some Activators on Growth and Productivity of Potato Abd El-Hady, M. A. M.¹ and Mona N. Shehata² ¹Veget. and Flori. Dept., Fac. Agric., Damietta Univ., Egypt. ²Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric. and Natural Res., Aswan Univ., Egypt.

ABSTRACT



Two field experiments were carried out during 2017 and 2018 summer seasons at private farm in Aga, El-Dakahlia governorate, to investigate the effect of soaking periods of some activators and their interaction on vegetative growth parameters, minerals composition and biochemical parameters in leaves, yield and tuber quality of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L., cv. Cara). The experiment was arranged in split plot design in complete blocks randomized. This research included 12 treatments consist of 3 soaking periods of activators (1 hours, 2 hours and 3 hours) and 4 activators *i.e.*, licorice root extract (LRE) at 5 g L⁻¹, zinc (Zn) and boron (B) (100+50) mg L⁻¹, yeast extract at 5 g L⁻¹ in addition control treatment (tap water). Obtained results revealed that soaking tubers pre planting 3 hours gave the highest values of vegetative growth parameters, minerals composition and biochemical parameters in leaves, yield and most tuber quality. All activators significantly enhanced measured parameters compared to the control treatment. LRE at 5 g L⁻¹ gave the maximum values of most vegetative growth parameters, minerals composition and biochemical parameters in leaves, yield and tuber quality. Thus, It can be recommended that soaking tuber pre planting 3 hours with LRE at 5 g L⁻¹ increased potato growth, yield and quality.

Keywords: Activators, soaking periods, licorice, zinc, boron, yeast, Potato.

INTRODUCTION

Potato is one of the most important plant of family Solanaceae and important vegetables crops. In Egypt, it considered one of the Egyptian national income resource. It is a cheap provenance of carbohydrates that plays important role in human diet. Total planted area in Egypt were 409372 fed. producing 4.61 million tons (FAO, 2017).

Activators used for potato before planting as soaking to minimize buds sprouting stage, that led to enhance growth and decrease risk of early vegetative growth (Kandil *et al.*, 2012).

licorice root extract (LRE) is considered high source of biological active componends such as flavonoids and phenolic, also have nutritive value and medicinal properties (Morsi *et al.*, 2008). It contains amino acid (Asparagin), monosacaraide, tannins, starch, vitamins, some minerals, *i.e.*, Ca, Mg, Zn, P, K and Si (Arytanova *et al.*, 2001). In this regard, soaking tubers of potato before planting with liquorice extract enhanced dry matter and starch in tubers (Hamadah *et al.* 2012).

Zinc (Zn) is involved in many physiological functions in plants as it intervene in most enzymes structure and crebs cycle (Alloway, 2004), used in the formation of chlorophyll and carbohydrates, conversion of starches to sugars. It is important in the formation of phenol, RNA and auxins by synthesis of tryptophan which is precursor of IAA, which improves growth regulation and stem elongation. Also, boron (B) has important role in physiological and biochemical process as root growth by cell division, sugar movement, formation of cell wall, carbohydrate metabolization, RNA, IAA and phenol (Camacho *et al.*, 2008). In this concern, soaking chickpea seeds with Zn plus B increased vegetative growth, yield and quality (Verma *et al.*, 2017).

Yeast extract is natural component has a high amounts of cytokines, nutrient elements, amino acids, carbohydrates and vitamins. It is considered a natural source of cytokines which stimulate cell division and enlargement as well as structure of protein. Soaking potato tubers in yeast extract before planting gave a significant increased of total yield, marketable yield, N, P, K and protein content (Dahshan *et al.*, 2017)

Thus, the aim of this study is investigated the effect of soaking tuber periods with some activators (LRE, Zn plus B and yeast extract) on potato growth, tuber yield, quality and their interaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Complete blocks randomized with in split plot design with three replicates was done in 2017 and 2018 seasons. This experiment consist of 12 treatments that were the combinations between three of soaking periods (one hour, two hours and three hours) and four of some activators (LRE, Zn plus B and yeast extract and control treatment). The soaking periods were assigned in main plots, while sub plots were the application of some activators.

Tubers were divided into pieces every piece (15 g), pieces were divided into 12 groups and soaked every 4 groups in aforementioned activators for 1, 2 and 3 hours at room conditions. Tuber pieces were planted at 25 cm interval on ridges at 12-15 cm depth on 8th and 10th Jan. during 2017 and 2018 seasons respectively. The plot area was 12.6 m² consist of three ridges each one was (0.7m width X 6m length). The experimental soil sample were randomly taken for some physical and chemical properties (Table1).

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of experimental soil during two seasons 2017 and 2018:

Seasons		Texture	OM	T. CaCO ₃ g kg ⁻¹	SP %	EC dS.m ⁻¹	pH (1:2.5)	Available (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)					
	Coarse Sand	Fine Sand	Silt	Clay	class	(70)	g kg	70	1:5	(1:2.5)	Ν	Р	K
2017	4.26	29.68	38.49	27.57	Clay loamy	1.46	4.11	58.3	0.98	8.04	52.1	5.3	178.3
2018	4.49	29.95	37.35	28.21	Clay loamy	1.63	4.12	57.2	1.07	8.02	51.3	5.7	169.5
2018	4.49	29.95	37.35	28.21	Clay loamy	1.63	4.12	57.2	1.07	8.02	51.3	5.7	_

SP: Saturation percentage OM: Organic matter EC: Electrical conductivity

Licorice root extract (LRE) applied at 5g L⁻¹ and prepared according to Almehemdi *et al.* (2011). It contains of 14.5% glycyrrhizin, 0.51% liquiritin, kaempherol 32.95ug/g, cinnamic acid 31.22 ug/g, apignin 29,97 ug/g, rutin 24.41 ug/g, p-coumaric 21.67 ug/g vanillin 20.43 ug/g, phenol 18.40 ug/g and benzoic acid 14.42 ug/g (Ezzat *et al.*, 2016).

Zn at 100 mg L^{-1} as zinc chelates from EDTA, B at 50 mg L^{-1} as boric acid form.

Yeast extract applied at 5 g L⁻¹ and prepared according to El-Ghamriny *et al.* (1999). It contains amino acids 28.6 mg/100g dry weights, vitamins 444.48 mg/100g dry weights, micro elements 1985.48 mg/100g dry weights and macro elements 93.3 g/100g dry weight (Khedr and Farid, 2000).

A recommended dose of all soil fertilizers were added at N fertilizer 150 kg N/fed., which it divided into three similar parts, the first was added before the first irrigation after planting as ammonim sulphate (20.6 N%), while 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} parts were added with second and third irrigation after planting as ammonium nitrate (33.5 N%). Calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) was added during soil preparation at rate of 75 kg P₂O₅/fed., potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) was added at rate of 95 kg K₂O/fed. and divided into two similar parts before second and third irrigation after planting. All recommendation of Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture were conducted.

Data recorded:

6 plants were randomly taken (two from each ridge) after 80 days from planting to determine the following measurements:

Vegetative growth characters:

represented as No of branches/ plant; No of leaves/ plant; plant height (cm); fresh weight (g/plant); dry matter (%) and leaves area (cm²) according to Koller (1972).

Mineral components in leaves:

- N, P and K %: were estimated in dry leaves by the method described in AOAC (2012).

- Zinc (ppm): was determined by the method described by Khazaei *et al.* (2017).
- Boron (ppm): was determined according to Chapman and Paratt (1961).

Biochemical parameters in leaves:

- Chlorophyll a, b and Carotenoids (mg/g Fw): were determined according to Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1985).

Yield and its components:

At harvesting time after 140 days from planting; plant yield (kg) marketable and total tuber yield (ton/fed.) were recorded.

Tuber quality:

- Starch% was determined according to Somogy (1952).

- -Vitamin C (mg/100 g Fw): It was determined by the method reported in AOAC (2012).
- Total Soluble Solids (TSS) % were determined by using Refract meter according to AOAC (2012).
- Total sugar % was estimated by the method reported by Malik and Srivastava (1979).

Statistical analysis:

ANOVA technique was used to analyzed data statistically according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The treatment means were compared using Duncan Multiple Rang Test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Vegetative growth parameters:

Obtained results in Table 2 demonstrated that all vegetative parameters *i.e.*, No. of branches, No. of leaves, plant height, fresh weight, dry matter and leaves area significantly affected by soaking periods. The maximum values of the vegetative growth parameters were obtained from soaking tubers pre planting at 3 hours followed by soaking tubers 2 hours.

 Table 2. Vegetative growth parameters of potato as affected by soaking periods of some activators during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Chamaatana		No. of b	ranches	No. of leaves		Plant	Plant height		Fresh weight		Dry matter		Leaves area	
Characte	ers	/pla	ant	/pl	ant	(ci	m) ັ	(9	g)	(%	6)	(cr	n ²)	
Treatine	nts	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	
A- Soakii	ng periods:													
1 Hours	• •	4.6 c	5.3 c	23.0 c	19.8 c	27.9 c	29.0 c	132.9 c	119.8 c	18.61 c	17.38 c	479.8 c	564.0 c	
2 Hours		5.8 b	6.8 b	26.7 b	27.9 b	35.4 b	36.2 b	136.4 b	126.7 b	18.83 b	18.09 b	767.4 b	768.3 b	
3 Hours		6.9 a	7.4 a	31.5 a	31.8 a	41.5 a	37.9 a	175.2 a	177.5 a	19.01 a	18.71 a	873.5 a	866.3 a	
B- Activa	ators:													
Control		4.4 d	4.7 d	23.3 d	23.5 d	31.7 d	30.9 d	126.3 d	119.5 d	17.48 d	16.42 d	670.0 d	685.6 d	
LRE at 5	g/l	7.1 a	7.7 a	30.6 a	29.2 a	36.0 b	35.6 b	171.7 a	162.7 a	19.88 a	19.13 a	743.4 a	777.4 a	
Zn+B (10	00+50) mg/l	5.4 c	6.5 c	26.0 c	25.7 c	34.3 c	33.9 c	138.4 c	133.1 c	18.65 c	18.00 c	697.3 c	724.1 c	
Yeast at 5 g/l		6.2 b	7.0 b	28.3 b	27.6 b	37.6 a	37.0 a	156.2 b	150.1 b	19.25 b	18.70 b	716.8 b	744.3 b	
$A \times B - N$	Means values	as affect	ed by soa	king per	iods and a	activators	5:							
L	Control	3.2 g	3.7 f	18.0 i	17.2 i	24.3 i	25.9 g	106.3 f	100.8 h	17.26 k	15.58 h	452.01	527.7 j	
no	Licorice	6.0 bcd	6.7 d	27.7 e	22.3 g	29.0 h	30.0 e	157.3 c	139.2 e	19.66 b	18.45 d	506.0 i	587.3 ĥ	
H	Zn+B	4.0 fg	5.0 e	21.7 h	19.8 ĥ	27.7 h	28.7 f	126.3 e	113.2 g	18.47 h	17.40 f	472.7 k	562.7 i	
-	Yeast	5.3 de	5.7 e	24.7 fg	20.0 h	30.7 g	31.3 d	141.7 d	126.6 f	19.03 e	18.09 e	488.3 j	578.3 h	
s	Control	4.3 ef	5.0 e	24.0 g	25.3 f	32.7 f	32.0 d	120.7 e	106.9 gh	17.49 j	16.46 g	727.3 h	734.0 g	
Inc	Licorice	7.0 b	7.7 bc	29.3 d	30.3 c	36.3 d	37.7 b	163.3 bc	150.3 d	19.93 a	19.05 b	803.3 e	803.7 d	
Ĥ	Zn+B	5.7 cd	7.0 cd	25.7 f	27.0 e	34.3 e	35.7 c	117.7 ef	111.7 g	18.63 g	17.99 e	758.3 g	758.0 f	
2	Yeast	6.0 bcd	7.3 bcd	27.7 e	29.0 d	38.3 c	39.3 a	144.0 d	138.0 e	19.25 d	18.88 bc	780.7 f	777.7 e	
ours	Control	5.7 cd	5.3 e	28.0 e	28.0 de	38.3 c	34.8 c	152.0 cd	150.7 d	17.68 i	17.21 f	830.7 d	795.0 d	
	Licorice	8.2 a	8.7 a	34.7 a	35.0 a	42.7 a	39.0 a	194.3 a	198.7 a	20.04 a	19.89 a	921.0 a	941.3 a	
Ĥ	Zn+B	6.7 bc	7.6 bc	30.7 c	30.3 c	41.0 b	37.5 b	171.3 b	174.3 c	18.86 f	18.62 cd	861.0 c	851.7 c	
3	Yeast	7.1 b	8.1 ab	32.7 b	33.7 b	44.0 a	40.2 a	183.0 a	186.3 b	19.46 c	19.14 b	881.3 b	877.0 b	

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05)

As regard to the effect of activators on vegetative growth parameters, data in the same Table show that all activators enhanced vegetative growth parameters compared to control treatment. LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave the highest values of No. of branches, No. of leaves, fresh weight, dry matter and leaves area, while yeast extract at 5 g L^{-1} treatment gave the highest plants in both seasons.

As for the interaction effect between soaking periods and activators, data illustrated in the same Table reveal that the integration soaking tubers 3 hours by using LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave the highest values of No. of branches, No. of leaves, fresh weight, dry matter and leaves area, while there were insignificant effect between soaking tubers 3 hours plus LRE or yeast extracts on fresh weight and between soaking tubers 3 hours plus LRE on dry matter in the first season. There were insignificant effect between soaking tubers 3 hours plus LRE or yeast extracts on plant height in both seasons.

significantly affected on N, P, K, Zn and B in potato leaves. The maximum values of the minerals component in leaves were obtained from soaking tubers 3 hours pre planting, followed by soaking 2 hours, while the lowest values were came from plants soaked 1 hour.

Data in the same Table exhibited that N, P, K, Zn and B in leaves significantly increased as concerning to application with activators compared to the control treatment. LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave a superiority of all activators in N, P and K content, while Zn+B at (100+50) ppm application gave the highest values of Zn and B content.

Data in the same Table clarify the interaction effect between soaking periods and activators revealed that the combination between soaking tubers 3 hours by using LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave the highest values of N, P and K, while combination between soaking tubers 3 hours by using Zn+B gave the highest values of Zn and B contents.

Minerals component in leaves:

With respect to the effect of soaking periods, data listed in Table 3 indicated that soaking periods

Table 3. Minerals composition contents of potato leaves as affected by soaking periods of some activators during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

	2017 and 20	10 scason	5.								
Characters		N ((%)	Р (%)	K (<u>%</u>)	Zn	ppm	B j	ppm
Trea	tments	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
A-S	oaking periods:										
1 Ho	ours	2.54 c	2.28 c	0.262 c	0.236 c	2.80 c	2.58 c	45.06 c	42.72 c	29.65 c	27.43 c
2 Ho	ours	2.62 b	2.50 b	0.270 b	0.258 b	2.91 b	2.75 b	45.88 b	43.63 b	30.38 b	28.66 b
3 Ho	ours	2.72 a	2.60 a	0.279 a	0.267 a	3.02 a	2.90 a	46.98 a	45.49 a	31.49 a	30.50 a
B- A	ctivators:										
Cont	rol	2.00 d	2.03 d	0.205 d	0.190 d	2.18 d	2.03 d	40.41 d	38.17 d	24.64 d	22.63 d
LRE	at 5 g/l	3.16 a	2.89 a	0.322 a	0.297 a	3.50 a	3.29 a	47.64 b	45.83 b	32.26 b	30.57 b
Zn+B (100+50) mg/l		2.53 c	2.33 c	0.263 c	0.250 c	2.82 c	2.65 c	50.53 a	48.39 a	35.40 a	33.76 a
Yeast at 5 g/l		2.82 b	2.59 b	0.292 b	0.276 b	3.16 b	3.00 b	45.30 c	43.40 c	29.71 c	28.50 c
A×	B – Means values as	s affected b	y soaking p	periods and	activators:						
	Control	1.95 k	1.93 i	0.196 k	0.174 h	2.071	1.88 j	39.67 j	36.73 g	24.17 i	21.73 i
ino	Licorice	3.05 c	2.64 d	0.313 c	0.279 d	3.39 c	3.12 c	46.87 ef	44.80 c	31.40 ef	29.13 ef
H	Zn+B	2.45 i	2.18 g	0.255 h	0.232 f	2.71 i	2.50 g	49.20 bc	47.00 b	34.17 bc	32.17 b
	Yeast	2.71f	2.38 f	0.283 f	0.258 e	3.04 f	2.81 e	44.50 h	42.33 d	28.87 h	26.70 g
s	Control	1.96 k	2.13 gh	0.205 j	0.193 g	2.18 k	2.05 i	40.37 ij	38.10 f	24.47 i	22.23 i
JUL	Licorice	3.18 b	2.93 b	0.322 b	0.301 b	3.48 b	3.29 b	47.67 de	45.57 c	32.17 de	30.63 cd
Η	Zn+B	2.53 h	2.33 f	0.264 g	0.256 e	2.82 h	2.64 f	50.13 b	48.07 b	35.17 b	33.13 b
2	Yeast	2.82 e	2.62 d	0.291 e	0.280 d	3.18 e	3.02 d	45.33 gh	42.80 d	29.73 gh	28.63 f
s	Control	2.11 j	2.04 h	0.213 i	0.202 g	2.28 j	2.14 h	41.20 i	39.67 e	25.30 i	23.93 h
JUL	Licorice	3.25 a	3.11 a	0.330 a	0.312 a	3.63 a	3.47 a	48.40 cd	47.13 b	33.27 cd	31.93 bc
H	Zn+B	2.62 g	2.49 e	0.270 g	0.263 e	2.92 g	2.82 e	52.27 a	50.10 a	36.87 a	35.97 a
a)	Yeast	2.92 d	2.76 c	0.303 d	0.290 c	3.26 d	3.17 c	46.07 fg	45.07 c	30.53 fg	30.17 de

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05)

Biochemical parameters in leaves:

It is clear from data listed in Table 4 that increasing soaking periods for potato tubers pre planting significantly increased biochemical parameters in potato leaves *i.e.* chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, chlorophyll a+b and carotenoids. In this concern, soaking tubers 3 hours pre planting gave the highest values of this parameters.

Data listed in the same Table showe that biochemical parameters in leaves significantly increased with using activators compared to control treatment. LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave the highest values of aforementioned parameters.

The interaction effect between soaking periods and activators showed that the combination between soaking tubers 3 hours by using LRE at5 g L^{-1} gave the highest values of biochemical parameter, followed by soaking tubers 2 hours by using LRE at 5 g L^{-1} .

Tuber yield and its components:

Data presented in Table 5 clarify that soaking periods significantly affected on potato yield and its components. The maximum values of plant yield, marketable and total tuber yield were obtained from soaking tubers 3 hours pre planting.

All activators significantly increased potato yield and its components compared to control. The highest values of plant yield, marketable and total tuber yield were

Abd El-Hady, M. A. M. and Mona. N. Shehata

obtained from LRE at 3 g L^{-1} . It enhanced marketable yield (22.12 and 24.84%) in 1st and 2nd seasons respectively.

As regard to the interaction effect between soaking periods and activators on plant yield, marketable and total tuber yield of potato, data in the same Table indicate that soaking tubers 3 hours pre planting with LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave the highest yield of potato without any significant with the yeast treatment in both seasons of study.

Table 4. Biochemical parameters	of potato	leaves	as affected	by	soaking	periods	of some	activators	during	2017
and 2018 seasons.	-				-	-			-	

Chanastan	Chloro	phyll a	Chloro	ophyll b	Chlorop	hyll a+b	Carotenoids		
Characters	(mg/g	gFW)	(mg/g	g FW)	(mg/g	; FW)	(mg/g	g FW)	
Treatments –	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	
A- Soaking periods:									
1 Hours	0.645 c	0.604 c	0.459 c	0.429 c	1.105 c	1.033 c	0.252 c	0.234 c	
2 Hours	0.653 b	0.622 b	0.468 b	0.447 b	1.121 b	1.069 b	0.271 b	0.236 b	
3 Hours	0.663 a	0.642 a	0.476 a	0.463 a	1.139 a	1.105 a	0.283 a	0.270 a	
B- Activators:									
Control	0.594 d	0.564 d	0.413 d	0.393 d	1.007 d	0.957 d	0.225 d	0.216 d	
LRE at 5 g/l	0.700 a	0.670 a	0.510 a	0.487 a	1.210 a	1.156 a	0.305 a	0.281 a	
Zn+B (100+50) mg/l	0.648 c	0.616 c	0.461 c	0.440 c	1.109 c	1.056 c	0.256 c	0.234 c	
Yeast at 5 g/l	0.674 b	0.641 b	0.487 b	0.465 b	1.161 b	1.106 b	0.288 b	0.252 b	
$A \times B$ – Means values as affe	ected by soa	king periods a	nd activators:						
L Control	0.585 k	0.547 h	0.405 j	0.377 h	0.9901	0.924 j	0.208 i	0.203 h	
E Licorice	0.691 c	0.651 c	0.503 b	0.469 c	1.194 c	1.120 d	0.287 c	0.269 c	
≖ Zn+B	0.639 h	0.594 f	0.452 g	0.423 f	1.091 i	1.017 g	0.238 g	0.222 f	
Yeast	0.666 e	0.624 de	0.477 d	0.448 de	1.143 f	1.071 f	0.275 d	0.244 d	
2 Control	0.594 j	0.565 g	0.413 i	0.392 g	1.007 k	0.956 i	0.220 h	0.212 g	
E Licorice	0.700 b	0.671 b	0.512 a	0.493 ab	1.212 b	1.164 b	0.305 b	0.280 b	
Ξ Zn+B	0.648 g	0.617 de	0.461 f	0.439 e	1.109 h	1.056 f	0.267 e	0.231 e	
→ Yeast	0.671 e	0.636 d	0.487 c	0.466 c	1.158 e	1.101 e	0.291 c	0.222 f	
s Control	0.603 i	0.581 f	0.422 h	0.411 f	1.025 j	0.992 h	0.248 f	0.231 e	
E Licorice	0.710 a	0.687 a	0.515 a	0.498 a	1.224 a	1.184 a	0.322 a	0.295 a	
Ξ Zn+B	0.657 f	0.637 d	0.470 e	0.459 cd	1.127 g	1.097 e	0.263 e	0.249 d	
Yeast	0.684 d	0.664 bc	0.496 b	0.482 b	1.180 d	1.146 c	0.299 b	0.292 a	

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05) Table 5. Detate yield as affected by soaking periods of some activators during 2017 and 2018 soasons

Table 5. I	otato yleiu as allet	teu by soaking	perious of sol	ne activators u	uring 2017 and	2010 seasons.	
Characters		Plant y	ield (kg)	Marketable y	rield (ton/fed.)	Total tuber y	ield (ton/fed.)
Treatments	5	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
A- Soaking	periods:						
1 Hours		0.641 c	0.635 c	14.64 c	14.51 c	15.83 c	15.48 c
2 Hours		0.731 b	0.709 b	16.70 b	16.20 b	17.51 b	17.12 b
3 Hours		0.800 a	0.767 a	18.29 a	17.53 a	19.36 a	18.63 a
B- Activator	rs:						
Control		0.643 d	0.613 d	14.69 d	14.01 d	15.77 d	15.02 d
LRE at 5 g/l		0.785 a	0.765 a	17.94 a	17.49 a	18.94 a	18.38 a
Zn+B (100+	-50) mg/l	0.719 c	0.693 c	16.43 c	15.84 c	17.52 c	16.89 c
Yeast at 5 g/	/1	0.748 b	0.743 b	17.10 b	16.97 b	18.03 b	18.02 b
$A \times B - Me$	ans values as affected	by soaking perio	ds and activators	:			
L	Control	0.589 h	0.577 h	13.47 h	13.18 h	14.46 g	14.13 g
no	Licorice	0.713 ef	0.689 e	16.29 ef	15.74 e	17.52 de	16.71 d
H	Zn+B	0.605 h	0.602 gh	13.84 h	13.77 gh	15.34 f	14.75 f
-	Yeast	0.654 g	0.671 ef	14.94 g	15.33 ef	15.99 f	16.33 de
s	Control	0.637 g	0.615 g	14.57 g	14.06 g	15.43 f	15.06 f
Inc	Licorice	0.790 c	0.782 c	18.05 c	17.88 c	18.88 c	18.50 bc
H	Zn+B	0.739 de	0.692 e	16.89 de	15.81 e	17.56 de	16.89 d
6	Yeast	0.758 d	0.746 d	17.31 d	17.06 d	18.15 d	18.02 c
s	Control	0.702 f	0.647 f	16.04 f	14.80 f	17.42 e	15.86 e
JUL	Licorice	0.853 a	0.824 a	19.49 a	18.84 a	20.41 a	19.92 a
Η	Zn+B	0.812 bc	0.785 bc	18.57 bc	17.95 bc	19.66 b	19.03 b
\mathfrak{c}	Yeast	0.834 ab	0.811 ab	19.05 ab	18.54 ab	19.94 ab	19.71 a

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05)Tubers quality:Concerning to the interaction

As shown in Table 6 increasing soaking tubers periods increased significantly tubers quality *i.e.* starch, TSS, and total sugar contents in both seasons, except of vitamin C.

It is quite clear in the same table that LRE, Zn+B and Yeast extract significantly increased tubers quality compared to control. The maximum values of starch, TSS, and total sugar were obtained from LRE at 5 g L^{-1} , while Zn+B at (100+50) mg/l gave superiority in vitamin C content.

Concerning to the interaction between soaking periods and activators, data in the same table illustrate that soaking tubers pre planting 3 hours with LRE at 5 g L^{-1} gave the highest values of starch, TSS, and total sugar, while soaking tubers pre planting 2 hours combined with Zn+B at (100+50) mg/l gave the highest content of vitamin C in both seasons.

	Star	ch %	Vitamin (C mg/100 g	TSS	5%	Total su	ıgar %
	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
riods:								
	23.14 c	21.98 c	22.08 c	19.94 c	7.36 c	6.95 c	6.28 c	5.76 c
	23.39 b	22.74 b	22.36 a	22.17 a	7.44 b	7.19 b	6.43 b	6.05 b
	23.63 a	23.13 a	22.21 b	21.12 b	7.54 a	7.34 a	6.58 a	6.31 a
	21.77 d	21.10 d	21.26 d	20.15 d	6.83 d	6.52 d	5.41 d	4.97 d
	24.66 a	23.57 a	22.54 b	21.42 b	7.92 a	7.65 a	7.21 a	6.77 a
)) mg/l	23.19 c	22.56 c	22.95 a	21.71 a	7.39 c	7.10 c	6.33 c	6.00 c
	23.92 b	23.24 b	22.12 c	21.03 c	7.66 b	7.38 b	6.77 b	6.41 b
s values as af	fected by soa	king periods a	nd activators:					
Control	21.531	20.32 g	21.131	19.11 j	6.751	6.32 h	5.26 h	4.69 i
Licorice	24.42 c	23.29 bc	22.40 f	20.31 gh	7.82 c	7.47 b	7.06 b	6.52 c
Zn+B	22.93 i	21.84 e	22.81 c	20.44 g	7.29 i	6.86 e	6.19 e	5.76 f
Yeast	23.68 f	22.47 d	21.97 i	19.90 i	7.58 f	7.16 d	6.62 d	6.08 e
Control	21.77 k	21.25 f	21.40 j	21.17 f	6.82 k	6.51 g	5.41 g	4.98 h
Licorice	24.66 b	23.55 ab	22.68 d	22.52 b	7.91 b	7.69 a	7.23 a	6.79 b
Zn+B	23.19 h	22.75 d	23.12 a	22.88 a	7.37 h	7.12 d	6.33 e	5.98 e
Yeast	23.92 e	23.44 b	22.26 g	22.10 c	7.66 e	7.46 b	6.76 c	6.45 c
Control	22.03 j	21.75 e	21.25 k	20.16 h	6.93 j	6.73 f	5.55 f	5.25 g
Licorice	24.91 a	23.87 a	22.54 e	21.42 c	8.02 a	7.80 a	7.36 a	7.01 a
Zn+B	23.44 g	23.10 c	22.94 b	21.81 d	7.50 g	7.32 c	6.48 d	6.28 d
Yeast	24.17 d	23.82 a	22.13 h	21.09 f	7.73 d	7.51 b	6.94 b	6.69 b
) mg/l s values as af Control Licorice Zn+B Yeast Control Licorice Zn+B Yeast Control Licorice Zn+B Yeast Control Licorice Zn+B Yeast	Stard 2017 iods: 23.14 c 23.39 b 23.63 a 21.77 d 24.66 a 23.92 b 30 mg/l 23.92 b 30 values as affected by soal Control 21.77 d 24.66 a 23.92 b 30 values as affected by soal Control 21.77 k Licorice 24.42 c Zn+B 22.93 i Yeast 23.68 f Control Zn+B 23.19 h Yeast 23.92 e Control Control 21.77 k Licorice 24.66 b Zn+B 23.92 e Control Control 22.03 j Licorice 24.91 a Zn+B 23.44 g Yeast 24.17 d <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Starch \% \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 23.39 & 22.74 & 23.39 & 22.74 & 23.39 & 23.13 & 23.19 & 22.56 & 23.92 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.19 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.19 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.23 & 23.24 & 23.23 & 23.24 & 23.24 & 23.23 & 23.24 & 23$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Starch \% & Vitamin (Content of Content of Co$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Starch \% & Vitamin C mg/100 g \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 & 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 & 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 23.39 b & 22.74 b & 22.36 a & 22.17 a \\ 23.63 a & 23.13 a & 22.21 b & 21.12 b \\ \hline 21.77 d & 21.10 d & 21.26 d & 20.15 d \\ 24.66 a & 23.57 a & 22.54 b & 21.42 b \\ \hline 23.19 c & 22.56 c & 22.95 a & 21.71 a \\ 23.92 b & 23.24 b & 22.12 c & 21.03 c \\ \hline 2000 mg/l & 21.53 l & 20.32 g & 21.13 l & 19.11 j \\ \hline Licorice & 24.42 c & 23.29 bc & 22.40 f & 20.31 gh \\ Zn+B & 22.93 i & 21.84 e & 22.81 c & 20.44 g \\ Yeast & 23.68 f & 22.47 d & 21.97 i & 19.90 i \\ \hline Control & 21.77 k & 21.25 f & 21.40 j & 21.17 f \\ \hline Licorice & 24.66 b & 23.55 ab & 22.68 d & 22.52 b \\ Zn+B & 23.19 h & 22.75 d & 23.12 a & 22.88 a \\ Yeast & 23.92 e & 23.44 b & 22.26 g & 22.10 c \\ \hline Control & 22.03 j & 21.75 e & 21.25 k & 20.16 h \\ \hline Licorice & 24.91 a & 23.87 a & 22.54 e & 21.42 c \\ Zn+B & 23.44 g & 23.10 c & 22.94 b & 21.81 d \\ Yeast & 24.17 d & 23.82 a & 22.13 h & 21.09 f \\ \hline \end{tabular}$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Starch \% & Vitamin C mg/100 g & TSS \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 23.39 b & 22.74 b & 22.36 a & 22.17 a & 7.44 b \\ \hline 23.63 a & 23.13 a & 22.21 b & 21.12 b & 7.54 a \\ \hline 21.77 d & 21.10 d & 21.26 d & 20.15 d & 6.83 d \\ 24.66 a & 23.57 a & 22.54 b & 21.42 b & 7.92 a \\ 23.19 c & 22.56 c & 22.95 a & 21.71 a & 7.39 c \\ 23.92 b & 23.24 b & 22.12 c & 21.03 c & 7.66 b \\ \hline 2010 mg/l & 21.53 l & 20.32 g & 21.13 l & 19.11 j & 6.75 l \\ \ Licorice & 24.42 c & 23.29 bc & 22.40 f & 20.31 gh & 7.82 c \\ \hline 2n+B & 22.93 i & 21.84 e & 22.81 c & 20.44 g & 7.29 i \\ \hline Yeast & 23.68 f & 22.47 d & 21.97 i & 19.90 i & 7.58 f \\ \hline Control & 21.77 k & 21.25 f & 21.40 j & 21.17 f & 6.82 k \\ \ Licorice & 24.66 b & 23.55 ab & 22.68 d & 22.52 b & 7.91 b \\ \hline Zn+B & 23.19 h & 22.75 d & 23.12 a & 22.88 a & 7.37 h \\ \hline Yeast & 23.92 e & 23.44 b & 22.26 g & 22.10 c & 7.66 e \\ \hline Control & 22.03 j & 21.75 e & 21.25 k & 20.16 h & 6.93 j \\ \ Licorice & 24.91 a & 23.87 a & 22.54 e & 21.42 c & 8.02 a \\ \hline Zn+B & 23.44 g & 23.10 c & 22.94 b & 21.81 d & 7.50 g \\ \hline Yeast & 24.17 d & 23.82 a & 22.13 h & 21.09 f & 7.73 d \\ \hline \end{tabular}$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td>	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Starch \% \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 23.39 & 22.74 & 23.39 & 22.74 & 23.39 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.13 & 23.19 & 22.56 & 23.92 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.19 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.19 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 53 & 23.29 & 53 & 23.24 & 23.23 & 23.24 & 23.23 & 23.24 & 23.24 & 23.23 & 23.24 & 23$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Starch \% & Vitamin (Content of Content of Co$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Starch \% & Vitamin C mg/100 g \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 & 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 & 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 \\ \hline 23.39 b & 22.74 b & 22.36 a & 22.17 a \\ 23.63 a & 23.13 a & 22.21 b & 21.12 b \\ \hline 21.77 d & 21.10 d & 21.26 d & 20.15 d \\ 24.66 a & 23.57 a & 22.54 b & 21.42 b \\ \hline 23.19 c & 22.56 c & 22.95 a & 21.71 a \\ 23.92 b & 23.24 b & 22.12 c & 21.03 c \\ \hline 2000 mg/l & 21.53 l & 20.32 g & 21.13 l & 19.11 j \\ \hline Licorice & 24.42 c & 23.29 bc & 22.40 f & 20.31 gh \\ Zn+B & 22.93 i & 21.84 e & 22.81 c & 20.44 g \\ Yeast & 23.68 f & 22.47 d & 21.97 i & 19.90 i \\ \hline Control & 21.77 k & 21.25 f & 21.40 j & 21.17 f \\ \hline Licorice & 24.66 b & 23.55 ab & 22.68 d & 22.52 b \\ Zn+B & 23.19 h & 22.75 d & 23.12 a & 22.88 a \\ Yeast & 23.92 e & 23.44 b & 22.26 g & 22.10 c \\ \hline Control & 22.03 j & 21.75 e & 21.25 k & 20.16 h \\ \hline Licorice & 24.91 a & 23.87 a & 22.54 e & 21.42 c \\ Zn+B & 23.44 g & 23.10 c & 22.94 b & 21.81 d \\ Yeast & 24.17 d & 23.82 a & 22.13 h & 21.09 f \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Starch \% & Vitamin C mg/100 g & TSS \\ \hline 2017 & 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 2018 & 2017 & 2018 & 2017 \\ \hline 23.39 b & 22.74 b & 22.36 a & 22.17 a & 7.44 b \\ \hline 23.63 a & 23.13 a & 22.21 b & 21.12 b & 7.54 a \\ \hline 21.77 d & 21.10 d & 21.26 d & 20.15 d & 6.83 d \\ 24.66 a & 23.57 a & 22.54 b & 21.42 b & 7.92 a \\ 23.19 c & 22.56 c & 22.95 a & 21.71 a & 7.39 c \\ 23.92 b & 23.24 b & 22.12 c & 21.03 c & 7.66 b \\ \hline 2010 mg/l & 21.53 l & 20.32 g & 21.13 l & 19.11 j & 6.75 l \\ \ Licorice & 24.42 c & 23.29 bc & 22.40 f & 20.31 gh & 7.82 c \\ \hline 2n+B & 22.93 i & 21.84 e & 22.81 c & 20.44 g & 7.29 i \\ \hline Yeast & 23.68 f & 22.47 d & 21.97 i & 19.90 i & 7.58 f \\ \hline Control & 21.77 k & 21.25 f & 21.40 j & 21.17 f & 6.82 k \\ \ Licorice & 24.66 b & 23.55 ab & 22.68 d & 22.52 b & 7.91 b \\ \hline Zn+B & 23.19 h & 22.75 d & 23.12 a & 22.88 a & 7.37 h \\ \hline Yeast & 23.92 e & 23.44 b & 22.26 g & 22.10 c & 7.66 e \\ \hline Control & 22.03 j & 21.75 e & 21.25 k & 20.16 h & 6.93 j \\ \ Licorice & 24.91 a & 23.87 a & 22.54 e & 21.42 c & 8.02 a \\ \hline Zn+B & 23.44 g & 23.10 c & 22.94 b & 21.81 d & 7.50 g \\ \hline Yeast & 24.17 d & 23.82 a & 22.13 h & 21.09 f & 7.73 d \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 6. Potato tuber quality as affected by soaking periods of some activators during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05)

Discussion

The results of this research clarify that increasing soaking periods of activators significantly increased potato growth, leaves minerals, biochemical contents and tuber yield. These results indices may be due that potato pieces planted in moderate moisture and prevent irrigation about one month even plant emergence to prevent tubers rotting thus tubers need optimal level of moisture to stimulate sprout for emergence and commence process of cell division that leading to enhance vegetative growth (Table 2) hence increasing chlorophyll contents (Table 4) thus increased photosynthesis and translocation from leaves to tubers therefore raising potato yield (Table 5). These results are in compatible with those obtained by Sabongari and Aliero (2004) on tomato, Kandil et al. (2012) on potato and Hassan and Hegazy (2017) on artichoke.

Using activators increased all aforementioned parameters compared to the control treatment. In this concern promotive effect of LRE may be due to its contents of some nutrients that play main role in biological process as cell division, synthesis amino and nucleic acid, structure of chloroplast membrane, mevalonic acid the initiator synthesis of GA3, glyceyrrhizin as acts like gibberellins roles that enhances cell division and elongation (Moses et al., 2002), phenolic compounds, saccharaides, vitamins, folic and pantothenic acids that stimulate plant growth (Fukai et al., 1998 and Arytanova et al., 2001), rooting efficiency (Eldengawy et al., 2017), chlorophyll content (Ramadan and Shalaby, 2016) and minerals content (Abd-Elhamied, 2017). These findings are in conformity with those reported by Hamadah et al. (2012), Ezzat et al. (2016) on potato, Faraj and Ghaloom (2012), Shafeek et al. (2015) on onion and Hassan and Hegazy (2017) on artichoke.

The positive effect on all parameters allied with Zn+B may be attributed to its role in building up IAA that promote cell division and cell elongation (El-Tohamy and

El-Greadly, 2007) and affect on meristematic growth which enhance plant growth with enhancing chlorophyll formation by effect on enzymatic role thus led to increase synthesis of carbohydrates and protein and their transport to storage tuber hence increase potato yield and quality. These findings are in agreement with Singh and Tiwari (2013) on tomato, Puzina (2004), Farouk (2015) on potato, Fouda and Abd-Elhamied (2017) on cowpea and Verma *et al.* (2017) on chickpea.

The promotive effect of yeast extract may be due to its contain of nutrients, vitamin b, cytokinins (Nagodawithana, 1991) that increase metabolic processes, photosynthesis process, assimilates supply and endogenous hormones which increase vegetative growth (Hamail *et al.*, 2014) and promote mineral translocation to storage parts thus reflected to increase yield and quality. These observation agrees with the reports of Dawa *et al.* (2014) on pea, El-Tohamy *et al.* (2015) on sweet potato, Ahmed *et al.* (2011) and Dahshan *et al.* (2017) on potato.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained in this research clear that soaking tubers pre planting 3 hours with LRE at 5 g L^{-1} increased potato growth, yield and it's quality.

REFERENCES

- Abd-Elhamied, A. S. (2017). The influence of the foliar application of urea, licorice and garlic extract on nutrients uptake and yield of wheat plants grown on sandy soils. A comparative study. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mans Univ., 8(4): 157-162.
- Ahmed, A. A.; M. H. Abd El-Baky; M. F. Zaki and F. S. Abd El-Aal (2011). Effect of foliar application of active yeast extract and zinc on growth, yield and quality of potato plant (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). J. Appl. Sci. Res., 7(12): 2479-2488.

- Alloway, B. J. (2004). Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. 1st ed., International Zinc Association (IZA), Brussels, Belgium, pp:128.
- Almehemdi, A. F.; A. Y. Nasralla and S. Anna (2011). Effect of licorice, fenugreek extracts and Ga₃ on yield of caraway (*Carum carvi* L.). Iraqi J. Desert Studies, 3(1): 27-42.
- AOAC (2012). Official methods of analysis. 19th edition. 2nd revision. Gaithersburg, MD, USA, Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Arytanova, T.; M. Irismetov and A. Sophekova (2001). Chromatographic determination of glycyrrhizinic acid in *Glycyrrhiza glabra* preparation. Chem. Nat. Com., 37: 89-91.
- Camacho, J. J.; J. Rexach and A. Gonzalez (2008). Boron in Plants: Deficiency and Toxicity. J. Integrative Plant Biol., 50: 1247-1255.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Paratt (1961). Methods of soil, plants and water analysis. Univ. California, Div. Agric. Sci., 314p.
- Dahshan, A. M.; H. E. Zaki; Y. M. Moustafa; Y. T. Abdel-Mageed and M. A. Hassan (2017). Effect of some growth regulators and natural extracts on yield and quality of potato. Minia J. Agric. Res. and Develop., 38(2): 271-295.
- Dawa, K. K.; S. M. Farid and A. E. El-Bauomy (2014). Effect of biofertilizers inoculation methods and some foliar application treatments on yield and quality of pea plants. J. Plant Prod., Mans. Univ., 5(11): 1759-1775.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F test .Biometrics.,1-42.
- El-Dengawy, E. F. A.; A. L. E. Wanas and M. H. M. Farrag (2017). Improvement of the rooting efficiency and vegetative growth in date palm offshoots by licorice root extract and auxins mixture applications. J. Plant Production, Mans. Univ., 8(7): 789-796.
- El-Ghamriny, E. A.; H. M. Arisha and K. A. Nour (1999). Studies on tomato flowering fruit set, yield and quality in summer season. 1- Spraying with thiamine, ascorbic acid and yeast. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26(5): 1345-1364.
- El-Tohamy, W. A. and N. H. El-Greadly (2007). Physiological responses, growth, yield and quality of snap beans in response to foliar application of yeast, vitamin e and zinc under sandy soil conditions. Aust. J. Basic and App. Sci., 1(3): 294-299.
- El-Tohamy, W. A.; H. M. El-Abagy; M. A. Badr; S. D. Abou-Hussein; Y. I. Helmy and M. R. Shafeek (2015). Effects of yeast extract and GA₃ on water status, growth, productivity and quality of sweet potato grown in sandy soils. Int. j. Environ., 4(4): 256-261.
- Ezzat, A. S.; A. A El-Awady and A. A. Tawfik (2016). Using some plant extracts to control of mechanical injured, pest management, increasing productivity and storability of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Plant Prod., Mans. Univ., 7(8): 801-811.
- FAO (2017). Food and agriculture organization. Faostat, FAO Statistics Division.

- Faraj, F. M. A. and A. A. A. Ghaloom (2012). Effect of liquorice extract on growth and yield in onion plants C.V. Texas Grano. Diyala Agric. Sci. J., 4(1): 140-147.
- Farouk, S. (2015). Improving growth and productivity of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) by some biostimulants and lithovit with or without boron. J. Plant Prod., Mans. Univ., 6(12): 2187-2206.
- Fouda, K. F. and A. S. Abd-Elhamied (2017). Integrated effect of foliar fertilization of Fe, Zn and rates of P fertilization on growth and yield of cowpea. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mans Univ., 8(12): 733-740.
- Fukai, T.; C. Baosheng; K. Maruno; Y. Migakawa; M. Konoshi; T. Nomura and B. Cai (1998). An isopernylated flavonone from *Glyrrhiza glabra* and rec-assay of liquorice phenols. Phtochem., 49(7): 2005-2013.
- Gomez, K. N. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statical Procedures For Agricultural Research. John Whily and Sons, New York, 2nd ed., 68p.
- Hamadah, M. M.; A. M. Saad and F. R. Ahmad (2012). Effect of the treatment by gibberelic acid and liquorice extract on growth and yield of potato. Diyala Agric. Sci. J., 4(1): 220-234.
- Hamail, A. F.; M. S. Hamada; E. A. Tartoura and M. A. Abd El-Hady (2014). Effect of N-forms and some bio-stimulants on productivity of cucumber:1-Vegetative growth and chemical constituents. J. Plant Prod., Mans. Univ., 5(2): 241 – 253.
- Hassan, S. K. and A. Z. Hegazy (2017). Using gibberellic acid, kinetin and liquorice extract as pre-planting soaking treatments for globe artichoke seed pieces. J. of Appl. Sci., 32 (4): 121-135.
- Kandil, A. A.; A. E. Sharief and A. Y. Abd El-Atif (2012). Encouragement germination of potato seed cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). J. of Basic and Applied Sci., 8: 223-230.
- Khazaei, H.; R. Podder; C. T. Caron; S. S. Kundu; M. Diapari; A. Vandenberg and K. E. Bett (2017). Marker-Trait Association Analysis of iron and zinc concentration in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik) seeds. The plant genome 10(2): 1-8.
- Khedr, Z. and S. Farid, (2000). Response of naturally virus infected tomato plants to yeast extract and phosphoric acid application. Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 38(2): 927-939.
- Koller, H. R. (1972). Leaf area-leaf weight relationship in Soybean conopy. Crop Sci., (12):180-183.
- Lichtenthaler, H. K. and A. R. Wellburn (1985). Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophylls A and B of leaf in different solvents Biol. Soc. Trans, 11: 591-592.
- Malik, C. P. and A. K. Srivastava (1979). Text book of plant physiology. New Delhi, India: Kalyani Puplishers.
- Morsi, M. K.; B. El-Magoli; N. T. Saleh; E. M. El-Hadidy and H. A. Barakat (2008). Study of antioxidants and anticancer activity licorice *Glycyrrhiza glabra* extracts. Egyptian J. Nutr. and Feeds, 2(33): 177-203.

- Moses, T. N. A.; W. Wheeb; Z. Al-Hadithy and A. N. Elewy (2002). Studying some components of the local licorice root powder (*Glyrrhiza glabra* L.). J. Agric. Sci. Iraqi. 34(4): 30-38.
- Nagodawithana, W. T. (1991). Yeast technology. Universal foods corporation Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Published by Van Nostrand Reinhold New Yourk. P. 237.
- Puzina, T. L. (2004). Effect of Zinc Sulfate and Boric Acid on the Hormonal Status of Potato Plants in Relation to Tuberization. Russian J. of Plant Physi., 51(2): 209–214.
- Ramadan, M. E. and O. A. Shalaby (2016). Response of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) to potassium and liquorice extract application under saline conditions. Acta Sci. Pol. Hortorum Cultus, 15(6): 279–290.
- Sabongari, S. and B. L. Aliero (2004). Effects of soaking duration on germination and seedling growth of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill). African J. of Biotechnology, 3(1): 47-51.

- Shafeek, M. R.; Y. I. Helmy and N. M. Omar (2015). Use of some bio-stimulants for improving the growth, yield and bulb quality of onion plants (*Allium cepa* L.) under sandy soil conditions. Middle East J. of Appl. Sci., 5(1): 68-75.
- Singh, H. M. and J. K. Tiwari (2013). Impact of micronutrient spray on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). HortFlora Res. Spectrum. 2(1): 87-89.
- Somogy, N. (1952). Notes on sugar determination. J. Biol. Chem., 145:19-23.
- Verma, C. B.; P. Ram; D. Singh; H. Sharma; J. Singh; D. Pal and D. Pal (2017). Effect of micronutrients with and without seed soaking and foliar spray on productivity profit ability and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Agriways, 5(2): 70-74.

تأثير فترات نقع البطاطس ببعض المنشطات على النمو والإنتاجية محمود أحمد محمد عبد الهادى و منى نمر شحاته فسم الخضر والزينة- كلية الزراعة – جامعة دمياط - مصر تقسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة والموارد الطبيعية – جامعة أسوان – مصر

تم إجراء تجربتان حقليتان خلال الموسمين الصيفيين ٢٠١٧ و ٢٠١٨ في مزرعة خاصة بمدينة أجا بمحافظة الدقهلية لدراسة تأثير فترات النقع بعض المنشطات و التداخل بينهم على صفات النمو الخضرى والتركيب الكيماوى والحيوى للأوراق ومحصول وجودة البطاطس صنف كارا. نفنت التجربة في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية منشقة مرة واحدة، وإشتملت التجربة على ١٢ معاملة عبارة عن ثلاث فترات نقع المنشطات (ساعة، ساعتان، ثلاث ساعات) وثلاث منشطات (مستخلص جذور العرقسوس بتركيز ٥ جرام/لتر، الزنك + البورون بتركيز مادف حارا. نفنت التجربة في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية منشقة مرة واحدة، وإشتملت التجربة على ١٢ معاملة عبارة عن ثلاث فترات نقع المنشطات (ساعة، ساعتان، ثلاث ساعات) وثلاث منشطات (مستخلص جذور العرقسوس بتركيز ٥ جرام/لتر، الزنك + البورون بتركيز مادف صفات النمو الخضرى والمحتوى الكيماوى والحيوى للأوراق بالإضافة المعاملة الكنترول (ماء الصنبور). أعطت النتائج التى تم الحصول عليها أفضل صفات النمو الخضرى والمحتوى الكيماوى والحيوى للأوراق بالإضافة المعاملة الكنترول (ماء الصنبور). أعطت النتائج التى تم الحصول عليها الدرنات بالمنشطات قبل الزراعة لمدة ثلاث ساعات. كل المنشطات أنت لتحسين الصفات المدروسة معنويا مقارنة بالكنترول، وأعطت المعاملة ومعظم صفات الجود ورالد و معرفي الزراعة لمعاملة الكنترول (ماء الصنبور). أعطت النتائج التى تم الحصول عليها وحبودة، لذلك يمكن الترراعة لمدة ثلاث ساعات. كل المنشطات أنت لتحسين الصفات المدروسة معنويا مقارنة بالكنترول، وأعطت المعاملة ومردته بلذلك يمكن التوصية بنقع الدرنات قبل الزراعة لمدة ثلاث ساعات بمستخلص جذور العرقسوس لزيادة صفات النمو الخضرى والمحصول وجودة درنات البطاطس.