# Effect of Pre-Harvest Treatments of Peach Trees on Fruits Quality Characters during Cold Storage

El-Dengawy, E. F. A. 1; M. A. El-Shobaky and T. A. A. Serag<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damietta University, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Fruit Trading Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.



## **ABSTRACT**

This research was carried out during two successive seasons of 2016 and 2017 to study the effect of pre-harvest treatments on peach trees on fruits quality at harvest time and during cold storage at 5±1°C and 80-85% RH. The selected trees for this study were chosen from locally Mit-Ghamer peach trees(cv. Medium Sultani), grown in a private orchard at Fesha-Bana village, Aga Center, Dakahllia governorate, Egypt. The selected trees of peach (cv. Medium Sultani) were sprayed Pre-harvest (1.5 month after full bloom) with one of the followings: tap water (control), 1.5% potassium sulphate (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), 2% calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) and the combination of them. The harvested mature fruits were cold stored at 5 ± 1°C and 80-85% relative humidity (RH) for a storage period of 24 days. Some physical and chemical quality characteristics were measured on the intact treated fruits at harvest date and at 6-day-intervals during cold storage up to 24 days. The obtained results showed that the peach fruits sprayed with 1.5% K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest values of firmness, Vitamin C content and TSS% after 6, 12 and 18 days during The cold storage in both seasons. While, peach trees sprayed with 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub> gave the highest values of fruit firmness at harvest time and after 24 days of cold storage, and the lowest value of fruits decay incidence%, TSS% and anthocyanin content in fruit skin in all storage periods of both seasons. Applying the combination treatment of 1.5 % K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub> showed the highest values of vitamin C, acidity and total sugars%, anthocyanin and pectic substances contents as well as the lowest value of TSS/acid ratio and weight loss% of fruit at 18 and 24 days of cold storage in both seasons. However, the opposite trends were true for the control treatment. Finally, it can be recommended applying the combination spray of 1.5 % K2SO4 and 2 % CaCl2 on peach trees at pre-harvest (1.5 month after full bloom) to improve maintaining physical and chemical qualities of peach fruits during cold storage up to 24 days.

Keywords: Peach, Prunus persica, pre-harvest treatments, potassium sulphate, calcium chloride, quality characters, cold storage.

## INTRODUCTION

The peach, *Prunus persica* L. (Bastch) is one of the most preferred fruits for consumers in the world wide because of its high nutrient level and pleasant flavor. The fruit may be consumed fresh or processed into jelly and jam. Peach is considered one of the most important deciduous fruit that greatly success and widespread in the newly reclaimed areas in Egypt. The total cultivated area of peach in 2017 season was about 58803 feddans with total production of 360723 tons fruits, according to Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2019).

Peach is a climacteric fruit, which undergoes rapid ripening. This is responsible for its short shelf-life and represents a serious constraint for its efficient handling and transportation (Hussain *et al.*, 2008). So, there is a great role of pre-harvest horticultural applications to improve of fruits quality.

Potassium (K) is thought to plays an important role in metabolic reactions related to the maturity and senescence of fruits. It was reported that the foliar applications of potassium (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) increased cherry fruit firmness (Matzner and Maurer, 1975) and improved fruit quality in "Royal Glory" peach include soluble solids content and fruit appearance (Ben et al., 2009). Applying three sprays with 1.5% potassium nitrate significantly improved the fruit size of pear as compared to control (Gill et al, 2012). Pre-harvest spraying peach trees with potassium nitrate at 2% by Kaur et al. (2012) increased fruit weight, TSS and TSS: acid ratio, but reduced firmness and acidity of fruits. Jumaa and Ali (2016) showed that foliar application pomegranate trees with potassium sulfate at the rate of 3000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> significantly decreased fruit cracking by18.7%, which led to increasing in fruit weight and fruit yield and some of the physical qualities of fruits. Kumar et al. (2017) revealed that the foliar spraying of potassium sulphate improved quality parameters over the control. Where, the highest fruit firmness, total soluble solids, total sugars, pectin content and ascorbic acid content and lowest acidity were recorded in guava plants sprayed with potassium sulphate at 3%.

Calcium sprays are applied universally during fruit development to maximize storage potential and minimize the risk of calcium-related storage disorders (Ernani et al., 2002). Many pre-harvest calcium treatments are effective in overcoming several physiological disorders and this fact is attributed to the ability and efficiency of calcium to maintain cell membrane and wall integrity and structure relating with pectin polymers and keeping cell cohesiveness (Glenn and Poovaiah, 1990). Pre-harvest Ca<sup>2+</sup> treatments used to increase Ca<sup>2+</sup> content of the cell wall were effective in delaying senescence, resulting in firmer fruits have a higher quality (Raese and Drake, 2006). The pear trees treated with calcium chloride at 2.0% concentration (Prasad et al., 2015) recorded the highest value of both titratable acidity (0.46 %) and ascorbic acid (6.42 mg/100 g) compared to other treatments. Gayed et al. (2017) showed that pre-harvest application with 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub> was most effective in minimizing decay% and weight loss% as well as in maintaining maximum firmness and lengthening shelf life. Singh et al. (2017) showed that peach fruits treated with CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.6%) reduced the physiological loss in weight, maintained fruit firmness and content of acidity, TSS and ascorbic acid under both ambient and refrigerated conditions. Sharma and Pratima (2018) stated that foliar application of calcium nitrate (1%) was found to be superior over calcium chloride (2%) for improving peach fruit quality (TSS, acidity and firmness) and reducing fruit deterioration during shelf life.

Peach ripen and deteriorate quickly at ambient temperature. Therefore, cold storage has always been used as the main method to slow these processes as well as decay development, but chilling injury limits peach storage life at low temperatures (Wang *et al.*, 2006). The weight loss, decay percentage and TSS/acid ratio of the peach

fruits were gradually increased by increasing cold storage period. While, fruit pulp firmness, TSS and acidity were decreased (Gad *et al.*, 2016 and Kaur and Kaur, 2019).

Therefore, the main goal of this research is to study the effect of pre-harvest treating peach trees by the spraying with potassium sulphate or calcium chloride alone or in combination on the physical and chemical characteristics of peach fruits quality during cold storage  $(5\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C})$  and 80-85% RH).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during two successive seasons of 2016 and 2017 on locally Mit-Ghamer peach trees (*Prunus persica* L.) Medium Sultani (Eliwa,2005) grown in aprivate orchard at Fesha-Bana vallage, Aga center, Dakahllia governorate, Egypt.The selected trees were about 35-years-old, almost uniform grown in clay soil, spaced at 5\*4meters apart, received irrigation and fertilization programs along with other cultural practices according to recommendation of the Agriculture Ministry to clay soil conditions.

Twenty four trees were selected and randomly divided into four equal groups, 6 trees each. Every one group was sprayed pre-harvest (1.5 months after full bloom) by one of the following treatments: Tap water (control =  $T_1$ ), Potassium sulphate at 1.5% ( $T_2$ ), Calcium chloride at 2% ( $T_3$ ) and a combination of the two salts ( $T_4$ ).

At harvest date, 120 mature fruits were collected from each treatment and immediately transported to the laboratory of Pomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damietta University, washed with tap water and soup, to remove impurities and microbial load on the fruit surfaces, and dried using electrical fan. These fruits were sorted for uniformity in size, shape, maturity and freedom from defects.

Fruits were refrigerated at low temperature (5±1°C) and 80-85% relative humidity (RH) for storage period of 24 days. The following physical and chemical characteristics of fruits quality at harvest date and during cold storage periods at 6-day-intervals were measured.

## **A- Physical characteristics**

The physical characteristics were measured on the intact treated fruits in 3 replicates (40 fruits each) per treatments as follows:

- **1. Fruit firmness (lb. /in²)** was determined by Magness and Taylor (1925) pressure tester using a 5/16 plunger.
- 2. Fruit weight loss (%): The initial weight of each replicate was recorded at the starting of cold storage. Changes in fruits weight were measured by reweighting at 6-day-intervals during cold storage period up to 24<sup>th</sup> day. The weight loss percentage was calculated in relation to its initial weight according the following equation:

Weight loss (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Initial weight - Sample weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100$$

3. Fruits decay incidence (%): Percentage of decay incidence was obtained from the number of fruits that showed signs of decay over the initial number of fruits.

#### **B-** Chemical characteristics

These characteristics were determined in 3 replicates per treatment. Four fruits per replicate were homogenized and the homogenate filtered through several

layers of cheese cloth to obtain the clear juice. The procedures of determinations were as follows:

- Vitamin C content (mg/100ml juice) was determined in fruit juice as described by Ranganna (1979).
- 2. Titratable acidity (%) was measured in 3.0 ml per replicate by titrating the fruit juice after diluting with distilled water, against 0.1N sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution in the presence of phenolphthalein as an indicator to the end point (Ranganna, 1979).
- **3. Total soluble solids (TSS %)** was measured in using an Abe hand refractometer.
- **4.Total soluble solids/acid ratio** was calculated from the results recorder for TSS% and titratable acidity % determinations
- **5. Total soluble sugars** were determined according to the method described by Sadasivam and Manickam (1996) and the obtained result was represented as a percentage.

Total anthocyanins (mg/100 g) =  $\frac{\text{Assorbance at 535 rm.} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 55.9} \times 100$ 

- **6. Anthocyanin content (mg/100g):** Half gram of fresh skin of peach fruits was extracted in 10 ml of acidified ethanol alcohol solution, for 24 hr under laboratory temperature. The extract was measured at 535 nm using Spectrophotometer according to the methods of Husia *et al.*, (1965). The content of total anthocyanin in peach skin was calculated using the following equation as described by Francis and Chiriboga (1973):
- Determination of pectic substances% was made according to Ranganna (1979).

## C. Statistical analysis

The experiment was laid out in randomized complete blocks design. The differences among treatment means were compared with Duncan Multiple Range tests at a significant level of *P*< 0.05 using the Statistical Analysis System of SAS Package(1996).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 1. Physical characters:

Fruit firmness, weight loss and decay incidence percentages of peach fruits as affected by pre-harvest spraying treatments at 6-days intervals under cold storage up to 24days during 2016 and 2017 seasons were presented in Table (1).

The results showed that pre-harvest spraying of CaCl<sub>2</sub> spraying achieved the highest firmness of fruits compared to spraying with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or control. Increasing the duration of cold storage gradually reduced fruit firmness. After 24 days of cold storage, the control fruit firmness was decreased to a minimum value of 2.35 lb/in<sup>2</sup> as an average of the two seasons of study, while the CaCl<sub>2</sub> treated fruits retained a higher firmness than the control by 40.4% followed by the K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-treated fruits, which increased by 28.1% over control fruits. Such are in results agreement with the previous study indicated that fruit firmness was increased significantly with different potassium treatments as compared to firmness of control (non-treated pear fruits) (Gill, et al., 2012). Applications of potassium increased osmoregulation of cell vacuoles and maintained the equilibria, resulting in firm fruits (Wani and Khajwall, 1997).

The improvement in the fruits firmness associated with pre - harvest spraying with CaCl<sub>2</sub> may be due to the role of calcium in maintaining cell wall structure in fruits by interacting with pectin in the cell wall to form calcium pectate which assists molecular bonding between constituent of the cell wall (Dong *et al.*, 2000). Calcium is known to strengthen the structure of cells by maintaining the fibrous packaging in the cell walls, thus reinforcing contact of the cell to cell, which is related to the formation of calcium pectate and counteracts the pectin methyl

esterase activity as observed in calcium treated pear fruits (Alandes *et al.*, 2009).

Fruits of control showed the highest weight loss during cold storage periods of 6 to 24 days. The percentage of weight loss in stored fruits increased with the progress of cold storage period. Most effective treatment in reducing weight loss was the treatment of CaCl<sub>2</sub> spraying (22.4%) followed by the combination of CaCl<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>SO4 (22.9%) compared to control (26.5%) as an average of the two seasons of study at the last day of storage (24days).

Table 1. Fruit firmness, weight loss and decay incidence percentages of peach fruits as affected by pre-harvest spraying potassium sulphate, calcium chloride and their combination at 6-days intervals of cold storage during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Treatments Seasons	Intervals of cold storage in days										
	0		6		12		18		24		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Fruit firmness (lb/in²)											
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	4.33c	4.47c	3.07c	3.12c	2.97c	3.08c	2.37d	2.47d	2.28c	2.42c	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	6.23b	7.07ab	5.17a	5.68a	4.36b	4.66b	3.47b	3.74b	2.97ab	3.05b	
T <sub>3.</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	7.97a	8.25a	5.64a	5.92a	5.03a	5.33a	4.20a	4.15a	3.25a	3.37a	
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	6.20b	6.40b	4.66b	4.76b	3.00c	3.10c	2.78c	3.02c	2.37c	2.43c	
Fruit weight loss (%)											
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	0.00	0.00	8.39a	6.99a	14.82a	12.22a	18.69a	19.00a	27.90a	25.17a	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	0.00	0.00	6.00b	4.26b	12.11b	8.36b	16.75bc	15.17b	25.93b	22.98b	
T <sub>3</sub> . CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	0.00	0.00	5.72b	5.44b	11.58bc	6.95c	17.52ab	17.59a	24.14c	20.64c	
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	0.00	0.00	3.76c	4.46b	11.00c	6.89c	15.91c	13.62b	25.14b	20.58c	
Fruits decay incidence (%)											
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	0.00	0.00	5.92a	0.00	21.71a	8.54a	37.17a	13.27b	63.29a	47.35a	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	0.00	0.00	0.00b	0.00	10.90b	8.47a	14.04b	17.02a	34.31b	32.53b	
T <sub>3</sub> . CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00b	0.00	0.00c	4.59b	4.78c	10.68b	16.64c	14.53c	
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00b	0.00	0.00c	0.00c	7.54c	5.00c	19.04c	18.62c	

Means followed by the same letter (s) in the same column don't significantly differ at 0.05 of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Pre-harvest spraying K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or CaCl<sub>2</sub> alone or together led to the prevention of any decay incidence after 6 days cold storage compared to control, and also showed a significant decrease in fruits decay incidence% compared to control in all periods of cold storage. Calcium chloride alone or in combination with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> caused the lowest percentage of fruit decay incidence%.

These results may be explained to the role of potassium on fruit quality by influencing size and firmness (Bhargava *et al.*, 1993). While, the lowest values of fruit firmness and the highest weight loss and decay incidence percentages of peach fruits after 12, 18 and 24 days of cold storage were resulted from control in both seasons. These results came in the similar point of view with those reported by Gill *et al.* (2012), Jumaa and Ali (2016), Gayed *et al.* (2017), Kumar *et al.* (2017) and Sharma and Pratima (2018).

## 2. Chemical characters:

Means of ascorbic acid concentration (Vitamin C), titratable acidity and TSS%, TSS/acid ratio, total soluble sugars%, anthocyanin and pectic substances contents in peach fruit juice as affected by pre-harvest spraying treatments at 6-days intervals of cold storage during 2016 and 2017 seasons are recorded in Tables (2 and 3). Vitamin C content, acidity and total sugars percentages and pectic content in peach fruits were turn down gradually, while TSS %, total soluble solids/acid ratio and anthocyanin content in peach fruits were gradually increased by increasing storage period and the rates of decline were significantly differed between the tested pre-harvest treatments in both seasons.

Data tabulated in Table (2) proved that pre-harvest

spraying of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or CaCl<sub>2</sub> alone or in combination produced significantly higher fruits of vitamin C compared with control. The treated fruits were also preserved with higher vitamin C content than the control during periods of cold storage. Increasing the period of cold storage led to vitamin C decrease in stored fruits. The results of acidity content in fruits showed a similar trend to their vitamin C content

The peach trees sprayed with potassium sulphate at the rate of 1.5 % produced the highest vitamin C content at harvest time and after 6 and 12 days of cold in both seasons. These findings may be attributed to potassium is considered to be of most importance and is known to have profound effect on fruit quality by influencing size, color, soluble solids, acidity and vitamin content (Bhargava *et al.*, 1993). Whilst, peach trees sprayed with calcium chloride at the rate of 2 % recorded the lowest percentages of TSS and anthocyanin content in peach fruit in all storage periods in both seasons. These results may be ascribed to pre-harvest calcium treatment increased Ca content of the cell wall, which more effective in delaying senescence, resulting in firmer fruits and have a higher chemical quality (Raese and Drake, 2006).

At harvest time (0 day of storage), TSS% was the highest in fruits treated with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the lowest in CaCl<sub>2</sub>-treated fruits (Table 2), which means that the fruit ripening was accelerated with the first one and delayed by the second one. Prolonging the cold storage period resulted in increasing the TSS content of the stored fruit. After 24 days of cold storage, the CaCl<sub>2</sub>- treated fruits contained

lower TSS%, while the fruits treated with  $K_2SO_4$  had the higher value. This is explained by the fact that calcium chloride delays the biochemical decomposition in the fruits, unlike the potassium sulfate. Pre-harvest spraying  $CaCl_2$  or  $K_2SO_4$  alone or in combination resulted in a significant decrease in TSS / acid ratio in the treated fruits

compared with control, at the harvest time and during cold storage periods up to 24 days (Table 2). The applying of  $CaCl_2$  and  $K_2SO_4$  interaction gave the lowest TSS / acid ratio, due to reducing acid content of treated fruits and increasing TSS content.

Table 2. Vitamin C, titratable acidity. TSS percentages and TSS/acid ratio in peach fruit juice as affected by preharvest spraying treatments potassium sulphate, calcium chloride and their combination at 6-days intervals of cold storage during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Treatments Seasons	Intervals of cold storage in days										
	0		6		12		18		24		
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Vitamin C (mg/100 ml juice)											
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	13.01b	13.54b	8.96c	9.14d	6.40b	6.70c	5.26b	5.29c	3.46b	3.56b	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	14.98a	15.22a	12.14a	12.35a	9.30a	9.47a	6.66ab	6.80b	4.02b	5.09a	
T <sub>3</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	13.43ab	14.40a	9.92bc	10.02c	7.07b	7.25b	5.60b	5.84c	4.80a	4.99a	
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	14.51ab	14.91a	10.77b	10.85b	7.04b	7.33b	7.54a	7.85a	5.01a	5.31a	
Titratable acidity (%)											
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	1.03c	1.07b	0.82c	0.87c	0.62c	0.67b	0.49c	0.49d	0.45d	0.32c	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	1.33b	1.41a	1.04b	1.12b	0.74b	0.83b	0.78b	0.63c	0.61c	0.42b	
T <sub>3</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	1.31b	1.34ab	1.06b	1.08b	0.77b	0.83b	0.72b	0.78b	0.63b	0.43b	
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	1.41a	1.45a	1.33a	1.36a	1.23a	1.27a	0.91a	0.91a	0.89a	0.56a	
			TS	S (%)							
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	8.13c	8.00c	8.77b	8.67a	9.67a	9.33a	10.27b	10.00c	11.13c	10.67b	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	8.33a	8.20a	9.67a	9.33a	10.27a	10.00a	11.07a	11.00a	12.83a	12.67a	
T <sub>3</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	8.00d	7.87d	8.43b	8.00a	8.87b	8.33b	10.20b	10.00c	10.50d	10.17b	
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	8.20b	8.07b	9.05ab	9.00a	9.97a	10.00a	10.93a	10.27b	12.07b	12.00a	
TSS/acid ratio											
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	7.95a	7.75a	10.30a	10.45a	15.53a	14.93a	24.48a	20.92a	42.99a	41.04a	
T <sub>2</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5%	6.25b	5.89b	9.35ab	8.58ab	13.92a	12.44ab	15.40b	14.10c	30.07b	28.43b	
T <sub>3</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	6.12b	5.87b	8.27b	7.41b	11.59b	10.13b	16.84b	16.00b	26.22bc	24.55bc	
T <sub>4</sub> . K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> at 1.5% + CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	5.76c	5.51b	6.79c	6.37b	8.09c	7.36c	11.55c	10.92d	21.15c	19.14c	

Means followed by the same letter (s) in the same column don't significantly differ at 0.05 of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

The fruits obtained from pre-harvest spraying with CaCl<sub>2</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or both were significantly higher in total sugar content at the harvest date and retained the higher total sugar content during periods of cold storage compared to control fruits (Table 3). The concentration of total sugars was increased significantly by foliar application of potassium at varying concentrations as compared to

control. Similar results were observed by Pandey *et al.* (1988) in guava fruits and Gill *et al.* (2012) in pear. These results came in the similar point of view with those reported by Ben *et al.* (2009); Gill *et al.* (2012); Kaur *et al.*, (2012); Prasad *et al.* (2015); Gayed *et al.* (2017); Kumar *et al.* (2017), Singh *et al.*, (2017) and Sharma and Pratima (2018).

Table 3. Total soluble sugars percentage, anthocyanin and pectic substances contents in peach fruit as affected by pre-harvest spraying treatments potassium sulphate, calcium chloride and their combination at 6-days intervals of cold storage during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

	Intervals of cold storage in days									
Treatments	0		6		12		18		24	
Seasons	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Total sugars (%)										
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	6.89b	7.10b	6.46b	6.68b	5.90a	6.23a	5.61b	5.90a	5.32b	5.56b
$T_2$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5%	7.44a	7.63a	7.00a	7.25ab	6.41a	6.74a	6.13ab	6.41a	5.84b	6.08a
T <sub>3.</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	7.34a	7.53ab	6.90ab	7.14ab	6.31a	6.65a	5.95ab	6.31a	5.59ab	5.97a
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	7.56a	7.75a	7.12a	7.37a	6.51a	6.86a	6.24a	6.51a	5.96a	6.16a
Anthocyanin (mg/100 g)										
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	3.02c	2.94c	4.65c	4.51c	5.42b	5.36c	5.50b	5.41c	6.27c	5.98c
$T_2$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5%	5.73b	5.55b	5.84d	5.71d	6.10ab	5.88b	6.52a	6.27b	6.96b	6.94b
T <sub>3.</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	2.07d	1.99d	2.82b	2.66b	3.53c	3.30d	4.57c	4.33d	4.75d	4.56d
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	6.44a	6.20a	6.57a	6.52a	6.70a	6.61a	6.94a	6.85a	9.34a	8.56a
Pectic substances content (%)										
T <sub>1</sub> . Control treatment	16.26d	16.56c	15.77d	16.08d	15.19a	15.43a	14.34b	14.60b	13.49b	13.76b
$T_2$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5%	17.22c	17.58a	16.95b	17.05b	16.09a	16.26a	15.73a	15.94a	15.36a	15.61a
T <sub>3.</sub> CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 2.0%	17.03c	17.36b	16.62c	16.84c	15.83a	16.08a	15.57a	15.76a	15.31a	15.44a
$T_4$ . $K_2SO_4$ at 1.5% + $CaCl_2$ at 2.0%	17.54a	17.74a	17.06a	17.26a	16.21a	16.43a	15.89a	16.11a	15.57a	15.79a
Means followed by the same letter (s) in the same column don't significantly differ at 0.05 of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range										

Means followed by the same letter (s) in the same column don't significantly differ at 0.05 of probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

The pre-harvest spraying of CaCl<sub>2</sub> caused a decrease in the concentration of anthocyanins in fruit skin compared to the control and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at harvest time and during the intervals periods of cold storage (Table 3). The combination treatment of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub> showed the highest content of anthocyanin in fruit skin at the harvest date and during cold storage periods. The concentration of anthocyanins in the skin of peach fruits was increased with an increase in cold storage period.

Pre-harvesting application of CaCl<sub>2</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> alone or in combination resulted in a significant increase in the pectin content of the fruits, at harvest date and during cold storage periods up to 24 days compared to control (Table 3). Calcium and pectin contents are very important for good fruit quality. Whearas, Inserting Ca<sup>2+</sup> into a polygalacturon chain supplies a strong structure (Grant *et al.*, 1973) in which Ca<sup>2+</sup> plays chemical and structural roles that effect on the enzmes action like pectinesterase and polygalacturonase on the pectin polymer and thus on texture and firmness of the vegetables and fruits that is very important for fruits storage and processing (Rigney and Wills, 1981). As well as, Ca<sup>2+</sup> treatment also raise cell turgor and this too could effect tissue firmness (Labavitch and Mignanim 1993).

## **CONCLUSION**

From obtained data in this study; it could be recommended spraying peach trees at pre-harvest with the combination treatment of potassium sulphate (1.5 %) and calcium chloride (2 %) to improve quality and chemical characters of peach fruits during cold storage.

## **REFERENCES**

- Alandes, L.; I. Perez; E. Llarca; A. Quiles and I. Hernondo (2009). Use of calcium lactate to improve structure of "Flor de Invierno" fresh cut Pears. Postharvest Biol. & Technol., 53:145-151.
- Ben, M.M.; M. Ghrab; M. Ghanem and O. Elloumi (2009). Effects of potassium foliar spray on olive, peach and plum. Acta Hort., 586: 507-513.
- Bhargava, B.S.; H.P. Singh and K.L. Chadha (1993). Role of potassium in development of fruit quality. In:
  Adv. in Hort., Vol. 2 Fruit Crops: Part 2. K.L. Chadha and O.P. Pareek (Eds.). Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi, pp: 947-960.
- Dong, X.; R.E. Wrolstad and D. Sugar (2000). Extending shelf life of fresh-cut pears. J. Food Sci., 65: 181-186.
- Eliwa, G.I.(2005). Approach to new Peach cultivars by aid of horticultural studies on Mit-Ghamer peach chosen strains. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 30(8):4649-4663.
- Ernani, P.R.; C.V.T. Amarante; J. Dias; and A.A. Bessegato (2002). Preharvest calcium sprays improve fruit quality of "Gala" apples in Southern Brazil. Acta Horticulturae 86, 481-594.
- FAO (2019). Food and Agriculture Organization. Faostat, FAO Statistics Division, February, 2019.
- Francis F.J. and C.D. Chiriboga (1973). Ion Exchange purified anthocyanin pigments as a colorant for cranberry juice Cocktail. Journal of Food Science, 38(3):464-467.

- Gad, M.M.; O.A. Zagzog and O.M. Hemeda (2016). Development of nano-chitosan edible coating for peach fruits cv. Desert Red. Intern. J. Environ., 5(4): 43-55.
- Gayed, A.A.A.; Samar, A.M.A. Shaarawi; M.A. Elkhishen; N.R.M. Elsherbini (2017). Pre-harvest application of calcium chloride and chitosan on fruit quality and storability of 'Early Swelling' peach during cold storage. Ciencia e Agrotecnologia, 41(2): 220-231.
- Gill, P.S.; M.Y. Ganaie; W.S. Dhillon and N.P. Singh (2012). Effect of foliar sprays of potassium on fruit size and quality of 'Patharnakh' pear. Indian J. Hort., 69(4): 512-516.
- Glenn, G. M. and B. W. Poovaiah (1990). Calciummediated postharvest changes in texture and cell wall structure and composition in "Golden Delicious" apples. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 115(6): 962-968.
- Grant, G. T.; E. R. Morris; D. A.Rees; P. J. C. Smith and D. Thom (1973). Biological interaction between polysaccharides and divalent cations: the egg box model. FEBS Lett. 32: 195-198.
- Husia, C. L.; B. S. Luh and C. D. Chichester .(1965).Anthocyanin in free stone peach. J. Food Science, 30: 5-12.
- Hussain, P.R.; R.S. Meena; M.A Dar and A.M. Wani (2008). Studies on enhancing the keeping quality of peach (*Prunus persica* Bausch) cv. Elberta by gamma-irradiation. Rad. Physiol. Chem., 77: 473-481.
- Jumaa, F.F. and A.A. Ali (2016). Effect of foliar application of potassium zinc and gibberelic acid on yield and some fruit quality of pomegranate cv. Salimy. The Iraqi J. Agric. Sci., 47(2): 524-532.
- Kaur, A.P.; H. Singh and S.K. Jawandha (2012). Effect of pre-harvest application on nutrients and growth regulator on fruit quality of sub-tropical peach. Asian J. Hort., 7(2): 565-568.
- Kaur, M. and A. Kaur (2019). Improvement in storability and quality of peach cv. Flordaprince with post-harvest application of various chemicals. J. Pharma. and Phytochem., 8(1): 460-464.
- Kumar, G.K.; V.S. Vani; A.D. Rao; P. Subbaramamma and R.V. Sujatha (2017). Effect of foliar sprays of urea, potassium sulphate and zinc sulphate on quality of guava cv. Taiwan pink. Intern. J. Chem. Studies, 5(5): 680-682.
- Labavitch, J. M. and I. Mignanim (1993). Studio degli effetti del calico e degli altri ioni sulla maturazione del frutto. Rivista di Frutticoltura, (3): 81-83.
- Magness, J.R. and C.F. Taylor (1925). An improved type of pressure tester for the determination of fruit maturity . U.S. Dept. Agric. Circ. No. 350, PP. 8.
- Matzner, F. and E. Maurer (1975). Cherry. In: Bose, T.K.; S.K. Mitra and M.K. Sandhu (Eds.). Mineral Nutrition of Fruit Crops. pp 602-616., Naya Prakash Calcutta, India.

- Pandey, D. K.; R. A. Pathak, and R. K Pathak. (1988). Studies on the foliar application of nutrients and plant growth regulators in Sardar guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) I. Effect on yield and fruit quality. Indian J. Hort., 45: 197-202.
- Prasad, B.; D.C. Dimri and L. Bora (2015). Effect of preharvest foliar spray of calcium and potassium on fruit quality of Pear cv. Pathernakh. Sci. Res. and Essays, 10(11): 376-380.
- Raese, J.T. and S.R. Drake (2006). Calcium foliar sprays for control of alfalfa greening, cork spot, and hard end in 'Anjou' Pears. J. Plant Nut., 29(3): 543-552.
- Ranganna, S. (1979). Manual of Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Products. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Tata Mcgraw. Hill, publishing company Limited, New Delhi, pp. 634.
- Rigney, C. J. and R. B. H. Wills (1981). Calcium movement, a regulating, factor in the initiation of tomato fruit ripening. HortSci., 16(4): 550-551.
- Sadasivam S. and A. Manickam (1996). Biochemical Methods, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., New Age Intern. India.

- SAS (1996). Analytical Software of Solutions: Statistics, version 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, NC, USA.
- Sharma, S.K. and P. Pratima (2018). Effect of pre-harvest gibberellic acid and calcium application on post-harvest behaviour of subtropical peaches. Current Agric. Res. J., 6(1): 78-84.
- Singh, M.; A. Jasrotia; P. Bakshi; V.K. Wali; R. Kumar and K. Kour (2017). Effect of various storage conditions and calcium treatments on physicochemical properties of peach (*Prunus persica*) cv. Shan-e-Punjab. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 87 (6):796-800
- Wang, L.; S. Chen; W. Kong; S. Li; and D.D. Archbold (2006). Salicylic acid pretreatment alleviates chilling injury and affects the antioxidant system and heat shock proteins of peaches during cold storage. Postharvest Biol. Technol., 41: 244-251.
- Wani, W. M. and M. H. Khajwall (1997). Effect of soil and foliar application of nutrients on physical and chemical characters of pear fruit cv. Bartlett.Adv. Plant Sci., 10: 111-114.

تأثير معاملات ما قبل الحصاد لأشجار الخوخ على خصائص الثمار أثناء التخزين البارد الرفاعي فؤاد أحمد الدنجاوي  $^1$  ، محمد عاطف الشوبكي  $^2$  وتيسير عبد الحميد عبد الغفار سراج  $^2$  قسم الفاكهة - كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة دمياط - مصر.  $^2$ قسم تداول الفاكهة - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر.

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي 2016 و 2017 على أشجار خوخ منزرعة في بستان تجاري لدراسة تأثير معاملات ما قبل الحصاد لأشجار الخوخ على صفات الجودة للثمار أثناء التخزين البارد. حيث كان عمر الأشجار 35 سنة مغروسة على مسافة 5 × 4 أمتار في تربة طينية في مزرعة تجارية تقع في مبت غمر - محافظة الدقهلية – مصر، وتلقت الأشجار برامج الري والتسميد والممارسات الزراعية الأخرى وققا لتوصيات وزارة الزراعة لظروف التربة الطينية. الأشجار المختارة (24 شجرة) تم تقسمها عشوائياً إلى أربع مجموعات متساوية ، 6 أشجار لكل منها. تم رش كل مجموعة قبل الحصاد باحدى المعاملات التالية: ماء الصنبور (معاملة المقارنة) ، كبريتات البوتاسيوم بمعدل 1.5 ٪ مكاوريد الكالسيوم بمعدل 2. ٪ أوخليط منهما تم تخزين الثمار الناضجة المتحصل عليها من المعاملات السابقة تخزينا باردا لمدة 24 يوم، مع أخذ القياسات الفيزيائية والكيميائية لجودة الثمار عند الحصاد وعلى فترات 6 أيام أثناء التخزين أوضحت النتائج الذي تم المحلول عليها: أن ثمار الخوخ الذي تم رشها بنسبة الأنائبة الكلية (158%) بعدل 6 و 12 و 18 ليوما خلال التخزين البارد في كلا الموسمين. في حين ، أعطت أشجار الخوخ التي تم رشها بنسبة «20 من كلوريد الكالسيوم 215 و 21 لمصلابة الثمار في وقت الحصاد وبعد 24 يوما من التخزين البارد ، وأدنى قيمة لكل من النسبة المؤية للثمار التالفة و \$750 على والنسبة المؤية ليقد الوزن في الثمار عند 18 و 24 يوما من التخزين البارد في كلا الموسمين. ومع ذلك ، كانت الاتجاهات المعاكسة حقيقية لفيته لي الحصاد (شهر ونصف بعد الإزهار الكامل) لتحسين الحفاظ على الصفات الفيزيائية والكيميائية لثمار الخوخ عند الحصاد أثناء التخزين البارد لمدة تصل إلى 24 يوما .