Journal of Plant Production

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg

Effect of Moringa and Yeast Extract on Growth and Yield of Strawberry (*Fragaria x Ananassa*) under Salinity Soil Stress

EL-Metwally, H. M. B.¹; I. M. Abouelgalage¹; M. M. Shaalan² and S. S. T. EL-Afify^{1*}

¹Potato and Vegetatively Propagated Vegetable. Research. Dept., Horticulture Research Institute., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt ²Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research Dept., Horticulture Research Institute., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT



The global productivity of strawberry cultivation is significantly impacted by soil salinity, a prominent abiotic stress factor. It is imperative to employ efficient approaches for the management of soil salinity enhance strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) productivity. So, a field experiment was carried out aiming to evaluate the response of strawberry grown under salinity stress to the exogenous applications of yeast extract Y.E (0, 100, 150 ml L⁻¹) and moringa extract M.E (0,15, 20 g L⁻¹) either in single addition or combination via split-plot experimental design during two successive seasons of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023. the different rates of yeast and moringa extracts significantly affected growth performance, quantitative and qualitative yield indicators. The values of most studied parameters increased as the rate of yeast or moringa extracts increased. Except for malondialdehyde (MDA), the maximum values were observed when yeast extract was sprayed at a rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ in combination with moringa extract at rate of 20 g L⁻¹. Additionally, the control treatment, which involved plants grown without yeast extract and moringa extract, exhibited the highest levels of MDA (as it is an indicator of oxidative stress). In contrast, the combined treatment of yeast extract (150 ml L⁻¹) and moringa extracts helped mitigate the oxidative stress and induced salinity tolerance of strawberries, thus improving the performance and yield.

Keywords: yeast extract, moringa extract, malondialdehyde

INTRODUCTION

Strawberries (*Fragaria x ananassa*) are important for their nutritional value (Abd-Elgawad, 2019), economic importance (Malhat *et al.*, 2020) and popularity among consumers (Abd-El-Kareem *et al.*, 2022). However, the presence of high salinity in the soil can negatively affect strawberry plants, resulting in decreased growth, yield, and fruit quality. Salinity stress can significantly reduce strawberry yields (Larson, 2018), as it can affect flower formation, fruit set, fruit development, leading to lower fruit quantity and quality (Shamsabad *et al.*, 2022). High levels of soil salinity can affect water uptake and disrupt nutrient balance in plants, leading to physiological and biochemical changes (El-Agrodi *et al.*, 2016; El-Hadidi *et al.*, 2020; and Ghazi *et al.*, 2021)

To overcome these challenges, it is crucial to implement effective management practices to mitigate salinity stress. One such approach involves the use of biostimulants, including yeast and moringa extracts. Yeast extracts contain valuable nutrients (Abdelaal *et al.*, 2019), amino acids, vitamins (Taha *et al.*, 2020), and growthpromoting substances (Abdelaal *et al.*, 2021). Yeast extracts have been used in agriculture to enhance plant growth (Rangel-Montoya *et al.*, 2022), stimulate root development, and improve stress tolerance (Babaousmail *et al.*, 2022). Yeast extracts can also promote microbial activity and nutrient cycling in the soil (Ebaid *et al.*, 2022). On the other hand, moringa is known for its nutritional value and medicinal properties. It is rich in vitamins, minerals (Awwad *et al.*, 2022), antioxidants, and bioactive compounds (Mashamaite *et al.*, 2022), which contribute to its potential health benefits and plant growth-promoting effects. Moringa extracts enhance plant growth (Ragab *et al.*, 2022), improve nutrient uptake, and mitigate abiotic stress conditions (Arif *et al.*, 2023).

Cross Mark

The effectiveness of yeast or moringa extracts can vary depending on the environmental conditions, application methods, concentrations used and other factors. So, this research aimed to assess the impact of foliar applications of yeast extract and moringa extract either in single addition or combination on the strawberries grown under salinity stress to ensure the continued profitability and success of the strawberry crop in the Egyptian market.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site and soil sampling

A field trial was conducted over two successive seasons, of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023, at a private farm located in Seen Elbaharya Village, Badr district, Buhaira Governorate, Egypt. The physical analysis of the initial soil sample was carried out following the method described by Dane and Topp (2020), while the chemical analysis was conducted according to the procedure outlined by Sparks *et al.* (2020). The analysis of the initial soil sample revealed that the experimental soil, at a depth of 0-30 cm, had a clayey texture. The soil consisted of 29.35% silt, 20.65% sand, and 50% clay, with an organic matter content of 1.39 g per 100 grams of soil. The available nitrogen content was 8.94 mg per kg of soil, and available potassium content was 210.3 mg per kg of soil. The pH value of the soil was 7.85,

and the soil electrical conductivity (EC) was recorded as 7.5 dSm⁻¹.Thus it can be said the soil of the experimental location is considered a salt affected soil. It is important to note that all the reported values of soil properties represent the average of the two seasons under study.

Studied substances

Yeast and moringa extracts were made as follows:

Yeast extract was prepared as mentioned by El-Ghamriny *et al.* (1999) as follows: Baker's yeast (soft yeast) and sugar were mixed in a 1:1 ratio. The mixture was then left at room temperature for 3 hours to undergo freezing, which caused the disruption of yeast tissue and the release of its content then the studied yeast extract rates were prepared. The yeast extract had the following composition: carbohydrates (32%), protein (47.1%), nucleic acids (9%), minerals (7.9%) and lipids (4%).

Moringa extract was prepared as mentioned by Awwad et al. (2022), as it was derived from the leaves of the Moringa oleifera tree when they were mature but still green, as they were thoroughly washed and then cleaned to remove any dirt or impurities. Then the cleaned leaves were dried and ground into a fine powder which was subjected to extraction using a suitable solvent (alcohol), as the solvent helped to dissolve and extract the bioactive compounds present in the Moringa oleifera leaves. The resulting mixture was filtered to remove any solid particles then the extract was exposed to evaporation to obtain a more concentrated form. The final moringa extract was packaged then the studied moringa extract rates were prepared. The moringa extract had the following composition: calcium (2.3%), potassium (2.2%), nitrogen (2.1%), super oxide dismutase (194, IU min⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein), peroxidase (22, IU min⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein) and catalase (8, IU min⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein).

Experimental setup

A field experiment was carried out aiming to evaluate the response of strawberry (Festival F1 hybrid) grown under salinity stress to the exogenous applications of yeast extract Y.E (0, 100, 150 ml L⁻¹) as main plot and moringa extract M.E (0,15, 20 g L⁻¹) as sub plot either in single addition or combination via split-plot experimental design with Three replicates., The foliar application treatments were done four times at 60, 75, 90 and 105 after transplanting. The soil was prepared for cultivation and all the agricultural practices recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture were implemented to produce strawberries, where fertilization and irrigation processes were done as fertigation via a drip irrigation system. Before transplantation, a careful selection process was conducted to choose the seedlings. The selection criteria included the crown diameter, with each seedling being required to have a crown diameter greater than 0.5 cm to ensure their suitability for transplantation. On the 15th of October, during both seasons, the strawberry plants were transplanted.

The experimental unit area was 14.4 m², consisting of three beds that were 1.6 m wide and 3.0 m long. Each bed contained four rows of transplants. before planting, the fresh strawberry transplants underwent a disinfection process by immersing them in a solution called Rhizolex solution. After the disinfection process, the transplants were promptly planted, ensuring a spacing of 25 cm between each strawberry plant on both sides of the dripper lines.

Measurements

The strawberries were picked at a size that was deemed appropriate for the market, and their weight and quantity were recorded to calculate the yield in tons per hectare (both marketable and unmarketable) as well as the average weight of each fruit in grams. The harvests that took place between December and March were considered as the early yield, while the total yield was determined by measuring the weights of all harvested fruits until June 1st. Additionally, measurements were taken for fruit firmness (g cm⁻²) and fruit dry matter (%). On the other hand, Table 1 indicates the measurements of growth performance and strawberry fruit quality.

Table 1. Parameters, methods and references of measurements									
Parameters	Methods	References							
Growth parameters a	nd photosynthetic pigments at a period of 125 days from trans	planting							
 Plant height (cm) Foliage fresh weight (g plant⁻¹) Secondary crown number plant⁻¹ Number of leaves plant⁻¹ Leaf area (cm² plant⁻¹) Leaf dry matter (%) 	Manually and visually								
Chlorophyll content, SPAD reading value	SPAD reading(SPAD-502, Soil-Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) Section, Minolta Camera, Osaka, Japan)	Castelli et al. (1996)							
Carotene content (mg g ⁻¹)	Spectrophotometrically	Picazo et al. (2013)							
	Quality traits of fruits in the first week of April								
Total dissolved solids,%	Hand refractometer	A.O.A.C (2000)							
Total sugars (%)	In dry matter	A.O.A.C (2000)							
Acidity (%)	As grams of citric acid per 100g of juice	A.O.A.C (2000)							
Vitamin C (VC, mg 100g ⁻¹)	Dichloro phenol dye solution	A.O.A.C (2000)							
Anthocyanin (mg 100g ⁻¹)		Crecente-Campo et al. (2012)							
Malondialdehyde (MDA, µmol.g ⁻¹ F.W)	Spectrophotometric method	Mendes et al. (2009)							
superoxide dismutase (SOD, unit.g ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)	Spectrophotometric method	Alici and Arabaci (2016)							

Statistical analysis

It was carried out via CoStat version 6.303 (1998 - 2004), as reported by Gomez and Gomez (1984) at a significance level of 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

- Growth performance and photosynthetic pigments

Table 2 illustrates the effects of foliar application of various rates of yeast and moringa extracts on vegetative,

reproductive growth criteria as well as photosynthetic pigments of strawberry plants at 125 days from transplanting. Regarding the individual effect of yeast extract, the rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ led to obtain the maximum values of plant height, foliage fresh weight, secondary crown No. plant⁻¹, No. of leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area, leaf dry matter, chlorophyll and carotene content followed by the rate of 150 ml L⁻¹, while the plants grown without yeast extract had the lowest values. Concerning the individual effect of moringa extract, the highest values for plant height, foliage fresh

weight, secondary crown No. plant⁻¹, No. of leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area, leaf dry matter, chlorophyll and carotene content were achieved with a rate of 20 g L⁻¹. The second-highest values were obtained with a rate of 15 g L⁻¹, while the plants grown without moringa extract had the lowest values for these traits. Notably, when yeast extract was sprayed at a rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ in combination with moringa extract at 20 g L⁻¹, the maximum values for all the mentioned traits were achieved, as shown in Table 2. This indicates that the combined application of yeast and moringa extracts resulted in the most favorable growth and photosynthetic pigment outcomes for strawberry plants.

The reasons behind the observed effects of yeast and moringa extracts on the growth, development, and photosynthetic pigments of strawberry plants under salinity stress conditions can be attributed to their bioactive compounds and their impact on various physiological processes. Yeast extract contains a range of bioactive compounds (Abdelaal *et al.*, 2019), such as amino acids, vitamins, enzymes (Taha *et al.*, 2020) and growth-promoting substances (Abdelaal *et al.*, 2021). These compounds can enhance nutrient uptake (Rangel-Montoya *et al.*, 2022), stimulate metabolic activities, and promote plant growth (Ebaid *et al.*, 2022). The application of yeast extract at a rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ resulted in the maximum values for plant height, foliage fresh weight, secondary crown number per plant, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf dry matter, chlorophyll content, and carotene content. This indicates that the bioactive compounds present in yeast extract positively influenced the vegetative and reproductive growth of strawberry plants. Similarly, moringa extract contains various bioactive compounds (Awwad et al., 2022), including phenolic compounds, flavonoids, and antioxidants. These compounds have been reported to have plant growthpromoting properties, enhance photosynthesis (Mashamaite et al., 2022), and improve plant tolerance to abiotic stresses (Ragab et al., 2022). The highest values for growth criteria and photosynthetic pigments were observed when moringa extract was applied at a rate of 20 g L-1, followed by a rate of 15 g L⁻¹. This suggests that the bioactive compounds in moringa extract contributed to improved growth and photosynthetic efficiency in strawberry plants. When yeast extract was combined with moringa extract at the specific rates mentioned, it led to the highest values for all the studied parameters. The combined application likely resulted in synergistic effects, where the bioactive compounds from both extracts complemented each other and acted together to enhance strawberry plant growth, development, and photosynthetic pigment synthesis.

Table 2. Effects of foliar application of various rates of yeast and moringa extracts on vegetative, reproductive growth criteria as well as photosynthetic pigments of strawberry plants at 125 days from transplanting.

Treatments		Plant height, cm		Foliage fresh weight g plant ⁻¹		Secondar y crown No plant ⁻¹		Leaves number plant ⁻¹		Leaves area cm ² plant ⁻¹		Leaves dry matter, %		Chloroph yll, SPAD reading		Carotene mg.g ^{.1}	
		1st season	2 nd season	1st season	2 nd season	1st season	2 nd season	1st season	2 nd season	1st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season
							Yea	st extra	ct (Y.F	E) levels							
	$100 \text{ ml } \text{L}^{-1}$ E(100 ml L^{-1})				54.72c 57.11b	5.78b 6.56a	7.44b 8.22ab	30.11b 32.78a			375.44c 426.33b	25.87b 26.41a	26.67b 27.18a	42.14b 43.05a	42.83b 43.75a	0.233b 0.250a	0.236b 0.255a
	$E(150 \mathrm{ml}\mathrm{L}^{-1})$					7.00a	8.67a	33.67a			448.22a	26.62a	27.47a	43.44a	44.10a	0.257a	0.260a
LSD	at 5%	0.61	0.63	0.12	0.99	0.62	0.98	2.46	1.51	15.49	2.79	0.31	0.32	0.53	0.54	0.007	0.007
~	- · · · - 1									E) leve							
	$ol(0gL^{-1})$				54.16c		7.11b				361.67c	25.76b	26.54b	41.94b	42.55c	0.229c	0.232c
	nga. E (15 g L ⁻¹)					6.67a	8.33a	32.56b		422.22b		26.41a	27.24a	43.06a	43.74b	0.250b	0.254b
	nga. E (20 g L ⁻¹)					7.22a	8.89a	34.56a			460.67a	26.72a	27.54a	43.63a	44.39a	0.260a	0.265a
LSD	at 5%	0.63	0.66	0.89	0.70	0.92	0.61	1.63	1.57	13.83	13.95	0.42	0.45	0.59	0.26	0.002	0.002
	~ .	1	10.50			- 00			raction						10.00		
Control	Control	17.82	18.52	51.65	52.84	5.00	6.33	28.00	29.00	324.00	327.67	25.40	26.09	41.37	42.00	0.216	0.220
juoj	M.E(15gL ⁻¹)		19.22	54.00	55.00	5.67	7.67	30.00	30.33	376.33	382.00	25.90	26.85	42.18	42.78	0.234	0.236
	M.E(20gL ⁻¹)		19.65	55.40	56.32	6.67	8.33	32.33	33.33	412.33	416.67	26.31	27.08	42.86	43.71	0.248	0.253
- 10 	Control	18.25	18.98	52.96	54.06	5.33	7.00	29.33	29.67	355.00	360.67	25.75	26.46	41.92	42.51	0.228	0.233
Y.E(100 ml1. ¹)	$M.E(15 g L^{-1})$		20.05	56.61	57.76	7.00	8.67	33.67	34.67	438.67	444.00	26.59	27.36	43.36	44.15	0.257	0.262
Y	' M.E(20gL ⁻¹)	19.58	20.44	58.40	59.50	7.33	9.00	35.33	37.33	468.00	474.33	26.88	27.71	43.86	44.60	0.265	0.270
\overline{S}	Control	18.70	19.56	54.57	55.58	6.00	8.00	31.00	31.67	393.00	396.67	26.13	27.07	42.53	43.13	0.242	0.244
YE(150 ml1. ¹)	$M.E(15 g L^{-1})$		20.24	57.42	58.63	7.33	8.67	34.00	36.00	451.67	457.00	26.74	27.50	43.65	44.30	0.260	0.264
	MLE(20gL)	19.78	20.59	59.28	60.53	7.67	9.33	36.00	38.00	483.67	491.00	26.98	27.82	44.15	44.86	0.269	0.274
LSD	at 5%	1.09	1.14	1.54	1.21	1.58	1.06	2.82	2.72	23.95	24.16	0.72	0.79	1.03	0.44	0.004	0.004

Fruit yield and quality

Table 3 presents the effects of foliar application of various rates of yeast and moringa extracts on the yield characteristics of strawberries grown on salt affected soil *i.e.*, average fruit weight, fruit firmness, fruit dry matter, early and total yield. While, Table 4 demonstrates the effects of the same treatments on quality traits *i.e.*, TDS, total sugars,

acidity, VC, anthocyanin, MDA and SOD during seasons of 2021/2022-2022/2023.

Regarding antioxidants which is represented by the SOD enzyme, it can be noticed that the different rates of yeast and moringa extracts significantly affected SOD values which increased as the rate of yeast or moringa extracts increased under salinity conditions. Additionally, it is

EL-Metwally, H. M. B. et al.

noteworthy that the combined application of yeast extract (150 ml L⁻¹) and moringa extract (20 g L⁻¹) led to increased antioxidant production in strawberry fruits, as evidenced by higher levels of SOD activity. This indicates that the combined treatment (Y.E + M.E at the high rate for each one) enhanced the antioxidant defense system of the Table 3. Effects of foliar application of various rates of yeast and moringa extracts on yield traits of strawberry plants

strawberry plants under salinity conditions, potentially reducing oxidative stress and promoting fruit quality. This trend can be attributed to the bioactive compounds present in both yeast and moringa extracts. These compounds likely stimulated the production of SOD, an antioxidant enzyme, in strawberry plants.

Table 3. Effects of		ge fruit		Fruit		Fruit			0	, ton ha ⁻¹	•		tal yiel	Ū.	-	
uts		ght, g		ness, g		matte		Marketable unmarketal							unmarketable	
Treatments	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season		2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	
	-	7	Η						2	-	2	1	7	-	7	
Control (0 ml L ⁻¹)	18.92c	19.68c	283.56	ic 2			act (Y.E 8.04a	·	11.70c	0.86b	1.15a	57.03a	51.39c	1469	1.52a	
Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1})		20.65b							13.52b	1.09a		53.06b	54.65b			
Yeast. E (150 ml L-1)		21.08a			06.44a	8.11a	8.25a	10.47c	14.29a	1.16a		49.06c	56.52a	1.44a	1.46a	
LSD at 5%	0.24	0.23	0.80		5.91	0.08	N.S	0.14	0.09	0.22	N.S	0.61	0.02	N.S	N.S	
$C_{ontrol}(0 \neq 1^{-1})$	10 67-	19.44c	280.22	n h 0				E) level: 13.22a		1.00a	1.24a	51 170	50.48c	1.41a	1.500	
Control ($0 \text{ g } \text{L}^{-1}$) Moringa. E ($15 \text{ g } \text{L}^{-1}$)	18.67c 19.87b	19.44C 20.69b	280.22						11.20c 13.51b	1.00a 1.01a		54.47a 52.98b	50.48C			
Moringa. $E(10 \text{ g } L^{-1})$	20.45a	20.090 21.29a	304.33						14.79a			51.69c	57.22a			
LSD at 5%	0.25	0.33	11.05		8.81	0.10	N.S	0.21	0.05	N.S	0.11	0.65	0.26	N.S	N.S	
							eraction									
Control $M. E (15 g L^{-1})$ $M F (20 g L^{-1})$	18.07	18.83	271.6		273.33		7.92a	15.17	10.26	0.87	1.23	58.25	48.58	1.43	1.39	
$M.E(15 g L^{-1})$	18.95	19.72	286.0		289.67		8.01a	14.65	11.73	0.86	1.14	56.99	51.64	1.61	1.31	
$= \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	19.74 18.61	20.48 19.37	293.0 279.3		296.67 282.67		8.18a 8.00	14.05 13.39	13.10 11.13	0.84 0.97	1.06 1.17	55.86 54.64	53.94 50.03	1.35 1.41	1.86 1.60	
$\stackrel{\text{III}}{\succ} \stackrel{\text{Te}}{\otimes} \stackrel{\text{Control}}{\text{M. E}} (15 \text{ gL}^{-1})$	20.24	21.06	302.0		306.33		8.23a	12.45	14.09	1.07	0.97	52.89	55.64	1.41	1.69	
$\sim = M.E(20 g L^{-1})$	20.70	21.54	308.6		313.67		8.29a	11.69	15.34	1.22	1.02	51.65	58.28	1.66	1.38	
- Control	19.35	20.11	289.6	7 2	92.67	7.98	8.15a	11.09	12.22	1.17	1.31	50.53	52.82	1.39	1.51	
$\sum \mathcal{O} M. E(15 g L^{-1})$	20.43	21.28	307.0		311.00		8.24a	10.58	14.70	1.10	0.98	49.07	57.28	1.50	1.17	
$\frac{\Box M. E(20 g L^{-1})}{L CD}$	20.91	21.85	311.3		815.67		8.34a	9.74	15.94	1.21	0.86	47.57	59.45	1.44	1.71	
LSD at 5% 0.43 0.56				5	15.25	0.17	N.S	0.36	0.09	N.S	0.19	1.12	0.45	N.S	N.S	
Table 4. Effects of foliar application of various rates of yeast and moringa extracts on fruit quality traits of strawberry plants																
Tuble 4. Effects of f													strawb			
	TI	DS	Total s	ugar	Aci	dity	Vita	min C	Anth	ocyanin		MDA		SC	DD	
	TĽ %	DS	Total s %	ugar	Aci	dity %	Vita mg.	min C 100g ⁻¹	Anthoms.	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹				SC unit.g ⁻¹)D ¹ .min ⁻¹)	
	TĽ %)S	Total s %	ugar	Aci	dity %	Vita mg.	min C 100g ⁻¹	Anthoms.	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹	(µm	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	. W) (1	SC unit.g ⁻¹)D ¹ .min ⁻¹)	
	TĽ %)S	Total s %	ugar	Aci	dity %	Vita mg. uoseas	min C 100g ⁻¹	Anthoms.	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹	mų) season	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	. W) (1	SC unit.g ⁻ scasou)D ¹ .min ⁻¹)	
Treatments	TI	DS	Total s	ugar	Aci	dity	Vita mg.	min C	Anth	ocyanin	(µm	MDA	. W) (1	SC unit.g ⁻¹	DD	
	TĽ %)S	Total s %	ugar	1 st season	dity 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	Vita mg. uoseas	min C 100g ⁻¹ uostaspuz	Anthoms.	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹	mų) season	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	. W) (1	SC unit.g ⁻ scasou)D ¹ .min ⁻¹)	
Leatments Control (0 ml L ⁻¹)	TT % uoseason 1 st season 56.64c	OS uostasspu 6.74c	Total s % uu seasou ^y 5.07c	ugar uostasspu 5.17c	Aci uoseas ³⁵ Ye 0.768a	dity % uostas put ast extra 0.782a	Vita mg. uoseas ¹⁵ I act (Y.E 50.13c	min C 100g ⁻¹ uostas puz) levels 51.02c	Anthe mg. uostass ¹⁵ 51.83c	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹ uosusy _{pu} 52.76b	(µm aseason 1 st season	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 1000000 7 03a 69	SC unit.g [*] season [*] S.29c	DD 1.min ⁻¹) 10050005 10050005 1005005 100	
Control (0 ml L ⁻¹) Yeast. E (100 ml L ⁻¹)	TI % uoseas ₅ 5 6.64c 7.20b	05 00 00	Total s % uoseas * 5.07c 5.54b	ugar uostass puc 5.17c 5.64b	Aci uoseas ⁵⁵ Ve 0.768a 0.731b	dity % uosta y ast extra 0.782a 0.743b	Vita mg. uoseos ³⁶ act (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b	min C 100g ⁻¹ uostas puc) levels 51.02c 52.31b	Anthe mg. uostas ¹⁶ 51.83c 53.06b	52.76b 53.97a	1 3 season 13	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 1001202 7 93a 69 9b 7(SC unit.g uoseas * 2.29c).44b	DD 1.min ⁻¹) U05235 12.11b 72.11b 73.25ab	
Control (0 ml L^{-1}) Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1}) Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})	TT •⁄~ 10058395 <u>₹</u> 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a	05 uostas puc 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a	Total s % 00000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar uosus put 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a	Aci 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	dity % 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Vita mg. search (Y.E 50.13cb 51.36b 52.05a	min C 100g ⁻¹ 51.02c 52.31b 53.08a	Anthe mg. uozeog *1 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a	52.76b 53.97a 54.26a	(µm) uosease * 9.65% 8.901 8.500	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g unit.g	DD 1.min ⁻¹) U05835 12.11b 73.25ab 73.91a	
Control (0 ml L ⁻¹) Yeast. E (100 ml L ⁻¹)	TI % uoseas ₅ 5 6.64c 7.20b	05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 0	Total s % uoseas * 5.07c 5.54b	ugar uostass puc 5.17c 5.64b	Aci uostass <u>*</u> I Ye 0.768a 0.731b 0.709b 0.022	dity % uostasy purc asst extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023	Vita mg. u ses z act (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57	min C 100g-1 Uog-1 UOG-1 UOG-1	Anthe mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05	52.76b 53.97a	1 3 season 13	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g uoseas * 2.29c).44b	DD 1.min ⁻¹) U05235 12.11b 72.11b 73.25ab	
Control (0 ml L^{-1}) Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1}) Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})	TT •⁄~ 10058395 <u>₹</u> 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08	Total s % 00000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar uosus put 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a	Aci uostass <u>*</u> Ve 0.768a 0.731b 0.709b 0.022 Mori	dity % uss sy ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga ext	Vita mg. u ses z act (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M.	min C 100g ⁻¹ 51.02c 52.31b 53.08a	Anthe mg. US 883 8 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05	52.76b 53.97a 54.26a	(µm uostays *I 9.65a 8.901 8.500 0.111	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g unit.g	DD 1.min ⁻¹) U05835 12.11b 73.25ab 73.91a	
Control (0 ml L ⁻¹) Yeast. E (100 ml L ⁻¹) Yeast. E (150 ml L ⁻¹) LSD at 5% Control (0 g L ⁻¹) Moringa. E (15 g L ⁻¹)	TI 9/ uostava * 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b	Total s % Uoseps <u>8</u> 5.07c 5.54b 5.72a 0.07 5.09c 5.53b	ugar uostas pu 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b	Aci 1000 1	dity % uss uss uss uss uss uss uss uss uss u	Vita mg. 	min C 100g ¹ Use set of the set	Anthh mg. US 823 8 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 8 51.88c 52.69b	ocyanin 100g ¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a	(µm uostass * 9.65% 8.900 8.50% 0.111 9.84% 8.960	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g ⁻ uostas 2.29c).44b 1.02a).49 3.93c).51b	D 1.min ⁻¹) USU USU 22.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{sumption}\\ \text{Control (0 ml L^{-1})}\\ \text{Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1})}\\ \text{Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})}\\ \text{LSD}_{at 5\%}\\ \hline\\ \text{Control (0 g L^{-1})}\\ \text{Moringa. E (15 g L^{-1})}\\ \text{Moringa. E (20 g L^{-1})}\\ \end{array}$	TI 9/ uostass <u>*</u> 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a	Total s % 10 5 507c 554b 5.72a 0.07 5.09c 5.53b 5.71a	ugar uostas puc 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a	Aci 1000 1	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 inga ext 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c	Vita mg. US vita mg. vita vita vita vita vita vita vita vita	min C 100g ¹ () levels 51.02c 52.31b 53.08a 0.67 E) levels 50.67c 52.38b 53.35a	Anthh mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 51.88c 52.69b 53.64a	ocyanin 100g ¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a	(µm 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g ⁻ uostess 2.29c 0.44b 1.02a 0.49 3.93c 0.51b 1.32a	D 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a	
Control (0 ml L ⁻¹) Yeast. E (100 ml L ⁻¹) Yeast. E (150 ml L ⁻¹) LSD at 5% Control (0 g L ⁻¹) Moringa. E (15 g L ⁻¹)	TI 9/ uostava * 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b	Total s % Uoseps <u>8</u> 5.07c 5.54b 5.72a 0.07 5.09c 5.53b	ugar uostas pu 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b	Aci 1000 1	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 inga ext 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007	Vita mg.	min C 100g ¹ Use set of the set	Anthh mg. US 823 8 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 8 51.88c 52.69b	ocyanin 100g ¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a	(µm uostass * 9.65% 8.900 8.50% 0.111 9.84% 8.960	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g ⁻ uostas 2.29c).44b 1.02a).49 3.93c).51b	D 1.min ⁻¹) USU USU 22.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b	
Supervised to the set of the set	TI 9/ uostass ž 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12	Total ss % 5.07c 5.54b 5.72a 0.07 5.09c 5.53b 5.71a 0.08	ugar 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09	Aci 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga ext 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Interest of the second secon	Vita mg. US vita vita vita vita vita vita vita vita	min C 100g ¹ () levels 51.02c 52.31b 53.08a 0.67 E) levels 50.67c 52.38b 53.35a 0.73	Antheng, 	ocyanin 100g ¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91	(µm 9.65z 8.90t 8.50c 0.11 9.84z 8.96t 8.25c 0.13	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 1000	SC unit.g ⁻ 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	D 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90	
Supervised to the set of the set	TI 9⁄ uoseas [∞] 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23	Total ss % 5.07c 5.54b 5.72a 0.07 5.09c 5.53b 5.71a 0.08 4.92	ugar Usess 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00	Aci 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga ext 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Inte 0.811	Vita mg. set (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.41a 0.81 eraction 48.99	min C 100g ¹ U U U U U U U U U U U U U	Antheng, 	ocyanin 100g ¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91 52.30	(µm 9,65z 8,90t 8,50x 0,111 9,84z 8,96t 8,25x 0,133 10,17	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 1000	SC unit.g 0.29c 0.44b 1.02a 0.49 3.93c 0.51b 1.32a 0.26 8.18	D 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24	
Supervised to the set of the set	TI 9/ uostass ž 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12	Total ss % 5.07c 5.54b 5.72a 0.07 5.09c 5.53b 5.71a 0.08	ugar 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09	Aci 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga ext 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Interest of the second secon	Vita mg. US vita vita vita vita vita vita vita vita	min C 100g ¹ () levels 51.02c 52.31b 53.08a 0.67 E) levels 50.67c 52.38b 53.35a 0.73	Antheng, 	ocyanin 100g ¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91	(µm 9.65z 8.90t 8.50c 0.11 9.84z 8.96t 8.25c 0.13	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 1000	SC unit.g ⁻ 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	D 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90	
$\begin{array}{c} summary for the second state of the s$	TI 9% uosess y 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14 6.68 7.09 6.45	0.5 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23 6.79 7.19 6.52	Total si % 100000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar 100 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00 5.26 5.24 5.11	Aci 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	dity % usses 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga ext 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Int 0.811 0.782 0.754 0.799	Vita mg.	min C 100g ¹	Antheng, 	ocyanin 100g-1 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91 52.30 52.59 53.39 53.04	(µm ussess * 9.65a 8.900 8.50a 0.11 9.84a 8.966 8.25a 0.13 10.17 9.71 9.08 9.92	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 1000	SC unit.g 0.29c 0.44b 1.02a 0.49 3.93c 0.51b 1.32a 0.26 8.18 9.39 0.31 8.78	D 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24 72.06 73.04 71.66	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sumuta}\\ \text{Sumuta}\\ \text{Control (0 ml L^{-1})}\\ \text{Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1})}\\ \text{Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})}\\ \text{LSD}_{at 5\%}\\ \text{Control (0 g L^{-1})}\\ \text{Moringa. E (15 g L^{-1})}\\ \text{Moringa. E (20 g L^{-1})}\\ \text{Moringa. E (20 g L^{-1})}\\ \text{LSD}_{at 5\%}\\ \hline\\ \hline\\$	TI 9/ uosess 5/ 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14 6.68 7.09 6.45 7.37	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23 6.79 7.19 6.52 7.52	Total si % 100000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar uses 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00 5.26 5.24 5.11 5.75	Aci 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	dity % usses 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.711c 0.007 Int 0.811 0.782 0.754 0.754 0.799 0.729	Vita mg. uses s act (Y.E 50.13cb 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.41a 0.81 eraction 48.99 50.10 51.31 49.62 51.74	min C 100g-1 US Sample () levels 51.02c 52.31b 53.08a 0.67 E) levels 53.08a 0.67 E) levels 53.08a 0.67 E) levels 53.35a 0.73 49.78 51.15 52.11 50.57 52.72	Anthh mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 51.88c 52.69b 53.64a 0.26 51.49 51.56 52.44 52.13 53.01	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91 52.30 52.30 52.59 53.39 53.04 53.04 54.04	(µm ussess * 9.65a 8.900 8.500 0.11 9.84a 8.965 8.250 0.13 10.17 9.71 9.08 9.92 8.73	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g U090295 3.929c 3.44b 1.02a 3.93c	DD 1.min ⁻¹) U05835 1.min ⁻¹) 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24 72.06 73.04 71.66 73.53	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{stuarting}\\ \textbf{Summer Schwarz L}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Control (0 ml L^{-1})\\ Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1})\\ Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})\\ LSD_{at 5\%}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Control (0 g L^{-1})\\ Moringa. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ Moringa. E (20 g L^{-1})\\ LSD_{at 5\%}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ \textbf{M}. E (20 g L^{-1})\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ \hline \\ \hline$	TI 9% uosess y 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14 6.68 7.09 6.45 7.37 7.77	05 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23 6.79 7.19 6.52 7.52 7.90	Total si % 100000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar uses 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00 5.26 5.24 5.11 5.75 6.04	Aci 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	dity % use star 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.720b 0.711c 0.0077 Inte 0.811 0.782 0.754 0.799 0.729 0.729 0.700	Vita mg. uses act (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.41a 0.81 eraction 48.99 50.10 51.31 49.62 51.74 52.72	min C 100g-1	Anthh mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 51.88c 52.69b 53.64a 0.26 51.49 51.56 52.44 52.13 53.01 54.05	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91 52.30 52.30 52.30 52.39 53.39 53.04 54.54	(µm usess * 9.65a 8.90b 8.50a 0.11 9.84a 8.96b 8.25a 0.13 10.17 9.71 9.08 9.92 8.73 8.06	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g U058235 5 2.29c).44b 1.02a).49 3.93c).51b 1.32a).26 8.18 9.39 0.31 8.78 0.90 1.65	D 1.min ⁻¹) U05253 72.11b 73.25ab 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24 72.06 73.04 71.66 73.53 74.56	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{stuarting}\\ \textbf{Summer Schwarz L}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Control (0 ml L^{-1})\\ Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1})\\ Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})\\ LSD_{at 5\%}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Control (0 g L^{-1})\\ Moringa. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ Moringa. E (20 g L^{-1})\\ LSD_{at 5\%}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ \textbf{M}. E (20 g L^{-1})\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ \hline \\ \hline$	TI 9% 10583 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14 6.68 7.09 6.45 7.37 7.77 6.87	0.5 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23 6.79 7.19 6.52 7.52 7.90 6.97	Total si % 100000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar USSES 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.06 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00 5.26 5.24 5.111 5.75 6.04 5.43	Aci 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga extr 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Inte 0.811 0.782 0.754 0.799 0.729 0.729 0.700 0.770	Vita mg. US Support act (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.05a 51.38b 52.010 51.31 49.62 51.74 52.72 50.65	min C 100g-1	Anthh mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 51.88c 52.69b 53.64a 0.26 51.49 51.56 52.44 52.13 53.01 54.05 52.02	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91 52.30 52.30 52.59 53.39 53.04 54.04 54.04 54.84 52.91	(µm usess * 9.65a 8.90b 8.50a 0.11 9.84a 8.96b 8.25a 0.13 10.17 9.71 9.08 9.922 8.73 8.06 9.944	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g 0.29c 0.44b 1.02a 0.49 3.93c 0.51b 1.32a 0.26 8.18 9.39 0.31 8.78 0.90 1.65 9.82	D 1.min ⁻¹) U05535 1.min ⁻¹) U05535 72.11b 73.25ab 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24 72.06 73.04 71.66 73.53 74.56 72.64	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{stuarting}\\ \textbf{Structure}\\ Structu$	TI 9% 105839 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14 6.68 7.09 6.45 7.37 7.77 6.87 7.62	0.5 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23 6.79 7.19 6.52 7.52 7.90 6.97 7.75	Total s % 100000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar U05835 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00 5.26 5.24 5.11 5.75 6.04 5.43 5.87	Aci U0980395 % Ye 0.768a 0.731b 0.709b 0.022 Mori 0.778a 0.732b 0.697c 0.007 0.795 0.769 0.739 0.739 0.784 0.722 0.687 0.755 0.706	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga extr 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Int 0.811 0.782 0.754 0.799 0.729 0.700 0.770 0.712	Vita mg. 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.41a 0.81 eraction 48.99 50.10 51.31 49.62 51.74 52.72 50.65 52.29	min C 100g-1	Anthh mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 51.88c 52.69b 53.64a 0.26 51.49 51.56 52.44 52.13 53.01 54.05 52.02 53.49	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.51 52.30 52.30 52.39 53.39 53.04 54.04 54.84 52.91 54.44	(µm 9.65a 8.90b 8.50c 0.11 9.844 8.96b 8.25c 0.13 10.17 9.71 9.08 9.922 8.73 8.066 9.44 8.455	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g 0.29c 0.44b 1.02a 0.49 3.93c 0.51b 1.32a 0.26 8.18 9.39 0.31 8.78 0.90 1.65 9.82 1.25	D 1.min ⁻¹) U05535 1.min ⁻¹) 72.11b 73.25ab 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24 72.06 73.04 71.66 73.53 74.56 72.64 74.08	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{stuarting}\\ \textbf{Summer Schwarz L}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Control (0 ml L^{-1})\\ Yeast. E (100 ml L^{-1})\\ Yeast. E (150 ml L^{-1})\\ LSD_{at 5\%}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Control (0 g L^{-1})\\ Moringa. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ Moringa. E (20 g L^{-1})\\ LSD_{at 5\%}\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ \textbf{M}. E (20 g L^{-1})\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}. E (15 g L^{-1})\\ \hline \\ \hline$	TI 9% 10583 1 6.64c 7.20b 7.48a 0.12 6.49c 7.22b 7.60a 0.09 6.14 6.68 7.09 6.45 7.37 7.77 6.87	0.5 6.74c 7.31b 7.59a 0.08 6.57c 7.35b 7.72a 0.12 6.23 6.79 7.19 6.52 7.52 7.90 6.97	Total si % 100000000000000000000000000000000000	ugar USSES 5.17c 5.64b 5.81a 0.06 5.18c 5.63b 5.81a 0.06 5.63b 5.81a 0.09 5.00 5.26 5.24 5.111 5.75 6.04 5.43	Aci 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	dity % ast extra 0.782a 0.743b 0.720b 0.023 nga extr 0.793a 0.741b 0.711c 0.007 Inte 0.811 0.782 0.754 0.799 0.729 0.729 0.700 0.770	Vita mg. US Support act (Y.E 50.13c 51.36b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.05a 0.57 ract (M. 49.75c 51.38b 52.05a 51.38b 52.010 51.31 49.62 51.74 52.72 50.65	min C 100g-1	Anthh mg. 51.83c 53.06b 53.32a 0.05 51.88c 52.69b 53.64a 0.26 51.49 51.56 52.44 52.13 53.01 54.05 52.02	ocyanin 100g ⁻¹ 52.76b 53.97a 54.26a 0.58 52.75b 53.69a 54.55a 0.91 52.30 52.30 52.59 53.39 53.04 54.04 54.04 54.84 52.91	(µm usess * 9.65a 8.90b 8.50a 0.11 9.84a 8.96b 8.25a 0.13 10.17 9.71 9.08 9.922 8.73 8.06 9.944	MDA ol.g ⁻¹ F.	W) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SC unit.g 0.29c 0.44b 1.02a 0.49 3.93c 0.51b 1.32a 0.26 8.18 9.39 0.31 8.78 0.90 1.65 9.82	D 1.min ⁻¹) U05535 1.min ⁻¹) U05535 72.11b 73.25ab 73.25ab 73.91a 1.25 71.85c 73.22b 74.20a 0.90 71.24 72.06 73.04 71.66 73.53 74.56 72.64	

In terms of acidity, the data show that the control treatment, where plants were grown without yeast extract and moringa extract, exhibited the highest values for acidity, which is an important quality parameter in strawberries. Conversely, the combined treatment of yeast extract (150 ml L^{-1}) and moringa extract (20 g L^{-1}) resulted in the lowest values for acidity. This implies that the addition of yeast and moringa extracts had a positive impact on reducing acidity levels in strawberry fruits. This can be attributed to the bioactive compounds present in the studied extracts, which may have influenced the enzymatic activity and metabolic processes related to acidity regulation in strawberries.

Similarly, as for malondialdehyde (MDA) values, the control treatment achieved the highest levels of MDA, which serves as an indicator of oxidative stress. On the other hand, the combined treatment of yeast extract (150 ml L^{-1}) and moringa extract (20 g L^{-1}) exhibited the lowest levels of MDA. This suggests that the spraying yeast and moringa extracts effectively mitigated oxidative stress in strawberries, resulting in reduced MDA levels. The bioactive compounds in both yeast and moringa extracts likely contributed to the enhancement of antioxidant mechanisms, resulting in lower oxidative stress levels in strawberry plants.

As for the other yield and quality traits, the data indicate that the values of average fruit weight, fruit firmness, fruit dry matter, early and total yield, TDS, total sugars, VC and anthocyanin significantly increased as the rate of yeas extract rate increased. Also, the values pronouncedly increased as the moringa extract rate increased. Generally, the highest values were recorded when plants were sprayed with yeast extract at a rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ and simultaneously with moringa extract at 20 g L⁻¹. The effects observed on the yield and quality traits can be explained by the physiological and biochemical responses of strawberry plants grown under salinity stress conditions to the foliar application of yeast and moringa extracts under salinity conditions. The bioactive compounds in both yeast and moringa extracts likely enhanced nutrient uptake, improved plant metabolism, and increased fruit quality. The highest values for these traits were observed with the combined treatment of yeast extract (150 ml L⁻¹) and moringa extract (20 g L⁻¹), indicating the synergistic effects of the two extracts. These results are in agreement with those of Neamah et al. (2022); and Arif et al. (2023).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the field experiment highlighted the significant impact of soil salinity on strawberry cultivation and the importance of managing this abiotic stress factor. The application of yeast extract (Y.E) and moringa extract (M.E) demonstrated positive effects on the growth performance, quantitative and qualitative yield indicators of strawberries under salinity stress. Increasing the rates of yeast and moringa extracts generally improved the studied parameters, except for malondialdehyde (MDA), an indicator of oxidative stress. The combination of yeast extract (150 ml L⁻¹) and moringa extract (20 g L⁻¹) showed the highest effectiveness in mitigating oxidative stress and enhancing salinity tolerance in strawberries. This combined treatment resulted in the lowest levels of MDA, indicating reduced oxidative damage. Consequently, the application of yeast and moringa extracts can be recommended as a strategy to alleviate the negative impact of soil salinity, improve strawberry performance, and enhance overall yield. Therefore, future strawberry cultivation practices should consider the use of yeast and moringa extracts as

management approaches to enhance productivity in salinitystressed environments. Further research could focus on optimizing the application rates and investigating the underlying mechanisms through which these extracts improve salinity tolerance in strawberries.

REFERENCES

- Abdelaal, K. A., EL-Maghraby, L. M., Elansary, H., Hafez, Y. M., Ibrahim, E. I., El-Banna, M., ... & Elkelish, A. (2019). Treatment of sweet pepper with stress tolerance-inducing compounds alleviates salinity stress oxidative damage by mediating the physio-biochemical activities and antioxidant systems. Agronomy, 10(1), 26.
- Abdelaal, K., Attia, K. A., Niedbała, G., Wojciechowski, T., Hafez, Y., Alamery, S., ... & Arafa, S. A. (2021). Mitigation of drought damages by exogenous chitosan and yeast extract with modulating the photosynthetic pigments, antioxidant defense system and improving the productivity of garlic plants. Horticulturae,7(11), 510.
- Abd-Elgawad, M. M. (2019). Plant-parasitic nematodes of strawberry in Egypt: a review. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 43, 1-13.
- Abd-El-Kareem, F., Elshahawy, I. E., & Abd-Elgawad, M. M. (2022). Native bacteria for field biocontrol of black root rot in Egyptian strawberry. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 46(1), 82.
- Alici, E. H., & Arabaci, G. (2016). Determination of SOD, POD, PPO and cat enzyme activities in *Rumex obtusifolius* L. Annual Research & Review in Biology, 1-7.
- AOAC, (2000)." Official Methods of Analysis". 18th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, Method 04.
- Arif, Y., Bajguz, A., & Hayat, S. (2023). *Moringa oleifera* extract as a natural plant biostimulant. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 42(3), 1291-1306.
- Awwad, E. A., Mohamed, I. R., El-Hameedb, A., Adel, M., & Zaghloul, E. A. (2022). The co-addition of soil organic amendments and natural bio-stimulants improves the production and defenses of the wheat plant grown under the dual stress of salinity and alkalinity. Egyptian Journal of Soil Science, 62(2), 137-153.
- Babaousmail, M., Nili, M. S., Brik, R., Saadouni, M., Yousif, S. K., Omer, R. M., ... & El-Taher, A. M. (2022). Improving the tolerance to salinity stress in lettuce plants (*Lactuca sativa* L.) using exogenous application of salicylic acid, yeast, and zeolite. Life, 12(10), 1538.
- Castelli, F., Contillo, R., & Miceli, F. (1996). Non-destructive Determination of Leaf Chlorophyll Content in Four Crop Species 1. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 177(4): 275-283.
- CoStat version 6.303 copyright (1998-2004). CoHort Software 798 Lighthouse Ave. PMB 320, Monterey, CA, 93940, USA.
- Crecente-Campo, J., Nunes-Damaceno, M., Romero-Rodríguez, M. A., & Vázquez-Odériz, M. L. (2012). Color, anthocyanin pigment, ascorbic acid and total phenolic compound determination in organic versus conventional strawberries (*Fragaria× ananassa* Duch, cv Selva). Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 28(1): 23-30.

- Dane, J. H., & Topp, C. G. (Eds.) (2020). "Methods of soil analysis", Part 4: Physical methods (Vol. 20). John Wiley & Sons.
- Ebaid, M., Abd El-Hady, M. A., El-Temsah, M. E., El-Gabry, Y. A., Abd-Elkrem, Y. M., Hussein, H., ... & Saad, A. M. (2022). Response of Canola productivity to integration between mineral nitrogen with yeast extract under poor fertility sandy soil condition. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 20216.
- El-Agrodi, M., EL-Shebiny, G., Mosa, A., & El-sherpiny, M. (2016). Maize tolerance to different levels of boron and salinity in irrigation water. Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering, 7(1), 35-44.
- El-Ghamriny, E. A., Arisha, H. M. E., & Nour, K. A. (1999). Studies in tomato flowering, fruit set, yield and quality in summer seasons. 1- Spraying with thiamine, ascorbic acid and yeast. Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research (Egypt), 26 (5), 1345-1367.
- El-Hadidi, E. M., El-Sherpiny, M. A., Ezzat, S., & Abo El-Ezz, S. F. (2020). Response of barley grown on different soils to soil amendments. Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering, 11(8), 347-353.
- Ghazi, D. A., El-Ghamry, A. M., El-Sherpiny, M. A., & Alla, A. N. (2021). Response of sugar beet plants to nitrogen and titanium under salinity conditions. Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, 82-94.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). "Statistical procedures for agricultural research". John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.pp:680.
- Larson, K. D. (2018). Strawberry. In Handbook of environmental physiology of fruit crops (pp. 271-297). CRC Press.
- Malhat, F., Saber, E. S., Amin, A. S., Anagnostopoulos, C., & Abdelsalam Shokr, S. (2020). Magnitude of picoxystrobin residues in strawberry under Egyptian conditions: dissipation pattern and consumer risk assessment. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 37(6), 973-982.
- Mashamaite, C. V., Ngcobo, B. L., Manyevere, A., Bertling, I., & Fawole, O. A. (2022). Assessing the usefulness of Moringa oleifera leaf extract as a biostimulant to supplement synthetic fertilizers: A Review. Plants, 11(17), 2214.

- Mendes, R., Cardoso, C., & Pestana, C. (2009). Measurement of malondialdehyde in fish: A comparison study between HPLC methods and the traditional spectrophotometric test. Food Chemistry, 112(4), 1038-1045.
- Neamah, S. S., Kareem, K. A., & Ali, A. H. (2022). Effect of applying yeast extract and soaked tea on vegetative growth, yield and quality of characteristics of strawberry. Biochemical & Cellular Archives, 22(1).
- Picazo, A., Rochera, C., Vicente, E., Miracle, M. R., & Camacho, A. (2013). Spectrophotometric methods for the determination of photosynthetic pigments in stratified lakes: a critical analysis based on comparisons with HPLC determinations in a model lake. Limnetica, 32(1), 139-158.
- Ragab, S. M., Turoop, L., Runo, S., & Nyanjom, S. (2022). The effect of foliar application of zinc oxide nanoparticles and *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract on growth, biochemical parameters and in promoting salt stress tolerance in faba bean. African Journal of Biotechnology, 21(6), 252-266.
- Rangel-Montoya, E. A., Delgado-Ramírez, C. S., Sepulveda, E., & Hernández-Martínez, R. (2022). Biocontrol of Macrophomina phaseolina Using Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strains in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.). Agronomy, 12(3), 676.
- Shamsabad, M. R. M., Esmaeilizadeh, M., Roosta, H. R., Dehghani, M. R., Dąbrowski, P., & Kalaji, H. M. (2022). The effect of supplementary light on the photosynthetic apparatus of strawberry plants under salinity and alkalinity stress. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 13257.
- Sparks, D. L., Page, A. L., Helmke, P. A., & Loeppert, R. H. (Eds.). (2020)."Methods of soil analysis", part 3: Chemical methods (Vol. 14). John Wiley & Sons.
- Taha, R. S., Seleiman, M. F., Alhammad, B. A., Alkahtani, J., Alwahibi, M. S., & Mahdi, A. H. (2020). Activated Yeast extract enhances growth, anatomical structure, and productivity of *Lupinus termis* L. plants under actual salinity conditions. Agronomy, 11(1), 74.

تأثير مستخلص المورينجا والخميرة علي نمو ومحصول الفراولة تحت إجهاد الملوحة التربة حماده ماهر بدير المتولى¹، ابراهيم محمد ابو جلاجل¹ ، محمود محمد ناجى شعلان² و سامر سمير طه العفيفى¹

اقسم بحوث البطاطس ومحاصيل الخضر خضرية التكاثر _ معهد بحوث البساتين _ مركز البحوث الزراعية ²قسم بحوث النباتات الطبية و العطرية _ معهد بحوث البساتين _ مركز البحوث الزراعية

الملخص

الإنتاج العالمي للفر اولة يتأثر بشكل كبير بملوحة الترية، فالملوحة أحد عوامل الإجهد الغير حيوي البارزة. فمن الضروري توظيف أساليب فعالة لإدارة ملوحة الترية من أجل زيادة ابتاجية الغر اولة. لذا تم إجراء تجربة حقلية بهدف تقييم استجابة الفراولة النامية تحت ظروف اجهد الملوحة للرش الورقي بمستخلص الخميرة بمعدلات (0، 100، 100 مل / لتر) ومستخلص المورينجا بمعدل(0، 15، 20 جم / لتر) وكنت الإضافات اما فردية او بشكل مدمج معا من خلال تصميم تجريبي القطع المنشقة خلال موسمين متعاقبين (2022/2021 و2022/2021). أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن المعدلات المختلفة من مستخلصات الخميرة والمورينجا أثرت بشكل كبير على النمو ومؤشر ات الإنتاج الكمي والنوعي. لذ زادت قيم معظم الصفات المدروسة مع زيادة المعدلات من مستخلصات الخميرة والمورينجا أثرت بشكل كبير على النمو ومؤشر ات الإنتاج الكمي والنوعي. لذ زادت قيم معظم الصفات المدروسة مع زيادة المعدلات من مستخلصات الخميرة والمورينجا أثرت بشكل كبير على النمو ومؤشر ات الإنتاج الكمي والنوعي. لذ زادت قيم معظم الصفات المدروسة مع زيادة المعدلات من مستخلصات الخميرة أو المورينجا أثرت بشكل كبير على النمو ومؤشر ات الإنتاج الكمي والنوعي. لذ زادت قيم معظم الصفات المدروسة مع زيادة المعدلات من مستخلصات الخميرة أو المورينجا بلمانية ويزت من معاقبة القيم وي عند رش مستخلص الخميرة ومستخلص المورينجا، أعلي مستويات المورينجا بمعدل 20 جم / لتر. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، أظهرت معاملة الكنترول، التي من من التبات التي نمت بنون مستخلص الخميرة ومستخلص المورينجا، أعلى مستويات المول (لموالير التأكمسوي). على النقوض، أسفرت المعاملة المنتركة لمستخلص الخميرة (10 مل / لتر) ومستخلص المورينجا ر لتر) عن أمنى مستويات، أعلى مستويات MDA (كمؤسر إلى أن رش مستخلصات الخميرة والمورينجا المشتركة لمستخلص الخميرة (100 مل / لتر) ومستخلص المورينجا ر لتر) عن أمنى مستويات مالولونيادهيد (MDA) وهذا يشير إلى أن رش مستخلصات الخميرة والمورينجا مع في التخفيف من الإجهاد اللائمادي معان المورينجا، أعلى مستويات من المالونديادهيد (MDA) وهذا يلمولي المعاملة المشتركة لمستخلص الخميرة (100 مل / لتر) ومستخلص المورينجا ر لتر) عن أمنى مستويات من المالونديادهيد (MDA) وهذا يشري مع مستخلصات الخميرة والمورينجا سامم في التخوف من المور

الكلمات الدالة: الفراولة ، مستخلص الخميرة، مستخلص المورينجا ، المالونديالدهيد