# EFFECTS OF TRANSPLANTING DATES ON VEGETATIVE GROWTH, YIELD AND HEAD QUALITY OF SOME BROCCOLI CULTIVARS IN EGYPT

El - Bassiouny, R. E. I. ; S. F. El - Sayed<sup>2</sup>; E. A. Abo El - Hassan<sup>2</sup> and A. S. Hasan<sup>1</sup>

Vegetable Handling Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center. Giza.

<sup>2</sup> Vegetable Crops Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. Giza

## **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were conducted to study the effect of three transplanting dates, i.e., 1<sup>st</sup> October, 1<sup>st</sup> November and 1<sup>st</sup> December in the 1997-1998 season, and 1<sup>st</sup> September, 1<sup>st</sup> October and 1<sup>st</sup> November in the 1998-1999 season, on vegetative growth and head quality and yield of three broccoli cultivars; namely, F<sub>1</sub>0175, Landmark and Sprouting Broccoli Create, under the conditions of Kalyoubeyia governorate. The results reveal that early planting increased number and weight of leaves per plant, stem diameter, average weight and diameter of the main head as well as head contents of ascorbic acid. The early transplanting prolonged the harvesting period, which led to an increase in the total yield of main and side heads. On the contrary, late transplanting on 1<sup>st</sup> November or 1<sup>st</sup> December increased the head contents of dry matter, total carbohydrates, total protein, nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus. The broccoli cultivars performed differently concerning the different physical and chemical characters under study.

#### INTRODUCTION

Broccoli (Brassica olerace L. group italica) is a very popular vegetable crop around the world, especially in USA and Europe. Besides its appreciable contents of thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, calcium, potassium, magnesum iron and protein, broccoli is fairly high in vitamin A and C and folic acid (Yamaguchi, 1983). It is a hardy, cool-season vegetable crop of the cruciferae family, which is closely related to cauliflower. Both crops are grown for clusters of unopened flower and their cultural requirements are similar. However, the temperature requirements for broccoli head formation are less stringent than those recommended for cauliflower curd formation (Wien and Wurr, 1997). Moreover, proceed is harvested over a long period since the side shoots develop small marketable heads after the removal of the central head (Splittstoesser, 1979). Furthermore, broccoli cultivars vary in their vegetative growth, number of leaves per plant (Diputado and Nichols, 1989), harvesting time (Heij, 1989), head diameter and weight (Heij, 1989; Albarracin et al., 1995; Guan et al., 1995), number and weight of branch heads (Guan et al., 1995), total yield (Albarracin et al., 1995; Esiyok, 1996). head chemical contents of ascorbic acid (Lisiewska, 1987; Schonhof and Krumbein, 1996), chlorophyll and carotenoids (Hidaka et al., 1992; Schonhof and Krumbein, 1996; Schreiner et al., 1998), total protein (Lisiewska, 1987). and total sugars (Schonhof and Krumbein, 1996).

Planting date has a remarkable effect on the vegetative growth, head characters and chemical contents of both broccoli and cauliflower heads. In

this respect, it is noticed that summer cauliflower cultivars produce 20-25 leaves at low temperature, whereas this number rises to 40 and 80 leaves at 10°C and 22°C, respectivly (Hasani *et al.*, 1987). The low temperature of late planting reduces the yield of broccoli, and may cause floral initiation at a younger physiological age. Plants, therefore, develop heads before reaching full size and the heads are small and take a long time to reach maturity (Chung and Strickland, 1986). In Egypt, transplanting broccoli on 15<sup>th</sup> September produced larger head, and higher primary and secondary total yield compared with its transplanting on 15<sup>th</sup> of October (Esmail, 1997). Nevertheless, very high temperatures increase foliage development, delay flower head formation and reduce head quality (Hasani *et al.*, 1987), average head weight (Moel, 1992) and lower both the primary and secondary total head yield (Esmail, 1997).

Whereas the time to head initiation appeares to be dependent on a heat unit summation above 1°C, the rate of head growth is related to a heat unit summation above 3°C (Diputado and Nichols, 1989).

Although broccoli has exceptional health benefits (ADA, 1992) it is not yet a popular crop in Egypt and is grown in a very limited area. Thus, because of the lack in preharvest information, this work was done to study the effects of transplanting dates of three broccoli cultivars on vegetative growth, yield, head quality and maturity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three broccoli cultivars, namely, F<sub>1</sub>0175 (Royal Sluis Co., Holland), Landmark (Takii Co., Japan), and Sprouting Broccoli Create (Sakata Co., Japan), were transplanted at Kaha experimental station- Kalyoubeyia, Horticulture Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, on the 1<sup>st</sup> of October, 1<sup>st</sup> of November and 1<sup>st</sup> of December 1997, and on the 1<sup>st</sup> of September, 1<sup>st</sup> of October and 1<sup>st</sup> of November 1998. The plants were grown in rows of 4 meters long and 70 cms width each. Within each row, the distances between the plants were 40 cms. The experiment was conducted using three replicates in a split-plot design. The three transplanting dates were represented in the main plots, whereas the three cultivars were randomly arranged in the sub-plots. The sub-plot consisted of 7 rows and its surface area was 20 m<sup>2</sup>. The following characters were investigated.

Vegetative growth characters. Weight and number of leaves / plant, leaf length and stem diameter, weight and length were measured on 15 plants / plot and data were recorded.

Central head formation and yield. Number of days from transplanting to 50% anthesis, from anthesis to formation of central head, from transplanting to harvesting of the central head and from transplanting to flowering as well as harvesting period and early yield (i.e., weight of first harvested heads) and total yield of central heads were registered on all plants in each mid 5 rows / plot.

Central head physical characters. Weight and diameter of the main central head were recorded on 15 plants / plot.

**Side head characters.** Plants of 3 rows / plot were left to produce side heads after removal of the central heads. Thereafter, number, average weight and diameter of side heads, as well as total yield of side heads / plant were recorded.

Total yield. Total yield of central and side heads / feddan was recorded.

Chemical contents of central head. Chemical contents of central head were determined at harvest on 3 heads / plot. These traits include total chlorophyll, (Ranganna, 1979), ascorbic acid (Ranganna, 1979), total carbohydrates (Dubois et al., 1956), total protein and nitrogen (Koch and McMeekin, 1924), phosphorus (Jacksan, 1958) and potassium (Rowe, 1973).

The maximum and minimum temperatures in the first season (1997-1998) were 29.5°C and 15.6°C in October, 25.8°C and 13.1°C in November, 20.0°C and 8.4°C in December, 18.7°C and 7.3°C in January, 20.2°C and 8.3°C in February and 21.4°C and 8.0°C in March, respectively. Whereas, in the second season (1998-1999), the temperatures were 37.1°C and 22.5°C in September, 32.0°C and 18.3°C in October, 26.6°C and 15.2°C in November, 21.5°C and 11.4°C in December, 20.9°C and 8.7°C in January and 20.6°C and 8.4°C in February, respectively.

**Statistical Analysis:** The experiment was a split-plot design with three replicates. The data were tabulated and analyzed for statistical significant differences using the LSD test at 0.05 level of significance, according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Vegetative growth.** The present study demonstrate that the transplanting date has a remarkable effect on the vegetative growth of broccoli plants (Table 1). Early planting, which induced increments in the number and weight of leaves / plant and increased stem weight, revealed the importance of relatively high temperature during the vegetative growth phase. In Albania, temperature during the first and second week after planting was critical for head development of cauliflower (Hasani et al., 1987).

Although the highest value of stem diameter was achieved at the October planting in both seasons, the longest stems were recorded at the November planting in the first season, and at the September planting in the second season. Meanwhile, for Landmark and  $F_10175$ , the longest leaves were recorded at the October planting in the first season, and at the September planting in the second season. On the other hand, for Sprouting Broccoli Create, the longest leaves were recorded at the November planting in the first season, and at the October planting in the second season.

Also, while the weight and length of leaves were higher for Landmark than F<sub>1</sub>0175, both cultivars produced comparable numbers of leaves per plant (Table 1). The diameter and length of stems of landmark and F<sub>1</sub>0175 were also similar in both seasons. On the other hand, the plants of Sprouting Broccoli Create were the shortest of the 3 studied cultivars, and produced the lowest weight and number of leaves (Table 1). A similar study demonstrated that broccoli cultivars varied in the number of leaves per plant (Diputado and Nichols, 1989).

. . .

Table 1: Effects of transplanting dates on vegetative

	growth	of brocc	oli cultiva	r <u>s.</u>			
	Transplanting	Leaves	Leaves	Leaf	\$tem	Stem	Stem
Cultivars	dates	weight	number/	length	weight	diameter	length
	unies	(g)/plant	plant	(cm)	_(g)	(cm)	(cm)
			Season 19				
F <sub>1</sub> 0175	1/10	953,47	19.00	49.03	310.89		25,67
F1 01/3	1/11	513.40	18.23	45.90	203.94	3.63	25.78
	1/12	570.94	15.60	46.63	209.64	2.40	23.47
	Mean _	679.27	17.61	<u>47</u> .19	241.49	3.27	24.97
	1/10	1130.53	20.83	54,20	368.53	3.78	26.45
Landmark	1/11	954,00	17.50	53.13	261.12	3.28	25.78
	1/12	806.83	16.33	49.21	17 <u>6.30</u>	2.40	23.47
	Mean	963,79	18.22	<u>52</u> .18	268.68	3.15	25.23
Sprouting	1/10	525.07	12.86	24.13	138.11	2.42	15.49
Broccoli	1/11	527.99	14.30	35.70	171.19		22.47
Create	1/12	166,52	12.93	16.40	81.13		20.33
	Mean	406.53	13.36	25 41	130.14		19.43
Average	1/10	869.69	17.56	42.45	272.54		22.54
_	1/11	665.13	16.68	44.91	212.08	3.21	24.68
	1/12	514.76	_ 14.95	37 <u>.41</u>	155.69	2.20	22.42
L.S.D.	Date (D)	60.33	0.71	3.10	26.32	0.45	1.28
at 0.05	Cvs. (C)	64.23	0.72	2.45	41.73	0.18	0.46
for	(DxC)	111.30	1.25	4.24	72.29	0.31	0.80
			Season 19	98 <del>-</del> 199			
	1/9	1369.73	22.13	55.90	560.20	4.50	32.00
5 047F	1/10	514.87	15.53	48.70	342.23	4.56	22,50
F <sub>1</sub> 0175	1/11	551.00	14.77	46.43	262.50	4.53	23.83
	Mean	811.87	17.48	50.34	388.31	4.53	25.67 25.78 23.47 24.97 26.45 25.78 23.47 25.23 15.49 22.47 20.33 19.43 22.54 24.68 22.42 1.28 0.46 0.80
	1/9	1271.23	20.00	57.63	373.66	4.50	27.00
Landmark	1/10	1234.80	16.53	55.53	359.03	4.73	26.43
	1/11	1054.63	19.40	58.17	353.35	4.33	
	Mean	1186.69	18.64	57.11	362.01	4.52	26.37
Sprouting	1/9	827.20	19.70	27.20	180.77	2.63	19.67
Broccoli	1/10	537.77	15.00	44.33	138.73	3.60	
Create	1/11	468.53	20.10	32.60	167.60	3.40 _	
	Mean	611.17	18.27	34.71	162.37	3.21	
Average	1/9	1156.05	20.61	46.91	371.54	3.88	
•	1/10	762.48	15.69	49.52	280.00	4.30	22.18
	1/11	691.39	1 <u>8.0</u> 9	45.73	261.1 <u>5</u>	4.09	23.18
L.S.D.	Date (D)	54.70	1.28	1.28	5.49	0.29	0.69
at 0.05	Cvs. (C)	61.45	1.32	1.30	ength (cm) weight (gm) diameter (cm) length (cm) length (cm) length (cm) length (cm)   7 - 1998 49.03 310.89 3.78 25.67   45.90 203.94 3.63 25.78   46.63 209.64 2.40 23.47   47.19 241.49 3.27 24.97   54.20 368.53 3.78 26.45   53.13 261.12 3.28 25.78   49.21 176.30 2.40 23.47   52.18 268.68 3.15 25.23   24.13 138.11 2.42 15.49   35.70 171.19 2.73 22.47   16.40 81.13 1.80 20.33   25.41 130.14 2.32 19.43   42.45 272.54 3.33 22.54   44.91 212.08 3.21 24.68   37.41 155.69 2.20 22.42   3.10 26.32 0.45 1.28   2.		
for	(DxĈ)	106.40	2.28	2.26	18. <u>23</u>	0.29	1.08

Central head formation, harvesting and flowering. The present study reveal that the October planting led to early appearance of the first bud of the main head (Table 2). The early appearance of the first bud in October, compared with other transplanting dates, may be atributed to the relationship between juvenility of broccoli plants and certain temperature and day length.

Table 2: Effects of transplanting dates on some characters of the central head of broccoli cultivars.

	of the	central near	d of brocco	ii cuitivar	5.	
Cultivars	Trans planting dates	Days from transplanting to 50% antithesis	Days from antithesis of first bud to full formation		Days from transplanting to flowering	harvesting periods (days)
			Season 19	97 - 1998		
	1/10	71.67	14.70	86.33	111.00	25.00
	1/11	77.67	13.30	91.00	100.33	10.00
F1 0175	1/12	66.33	9.50	75.89	86.67	11.00
	Mean	71.89	12.50	84.41	99.33	15.33
	1/10	66.67	24.00	90.67	103.00	12.00
	1/11	80.67	18.70	99.33	110.67	11.00
Landmark	1/12	61.67	23.30	85.00	95.33	10.00
	Меал	69.67	22.00	91.67	103.00	11.00
	1/10	44.33	7.30	51.67	59.67	9.00
Sprouting	1/11	62.00	11.70	73.67	82.33	10.00
Broccoli	1/12	61.00	8.00	69.00	77.33	9.00
Create	Mean	55.78	9.00	64.78	73.11	9.33
	1/10	60.89	15.33	76.22	91.22	15.33
Average	1/11	73.45	14.57	88.00	97.78	10,33
_	1/12	63.00	13.60	76.63	<u>86</u> .44	10.00
L.S.D. at	Date (D)	1.23	0.60	1.55	1.89	1.40
0.05 for	cvs. (C)	1.68	1.10	1.22	1.55	2.00
0.05 101	(DxC)	2.91	1.90	2.11	2 68	3.50
			Season 19			
	1/9	72.67	19.30	92.00	118.33	26.00
F1 0175	1/10		14.00	86.00	111.67	25.00
11 01/3	1/11		8.00_	84.33	98.67	14.00
	Mear		13.77	87.44	109.56	21.67
	1/9	71.67	24.30	96.00	107.00	11.00
Landmark	1/10		26.00	93.00	105.33	12.00
Lunding	1/11		21.70	99.67	113.00	13.00
	Mear		24.00	96.22	108.44	12.00
Sprouting	1/9	59.33	8.00	67.33	78.67	11.00
Broccoli	1/10		10.00	54.00	61.00	7.00
Create	1/11		9.80	71.33	80.33	9.00
	Mear		9.27	64.22	73.33	9.00
	1/9	67.89	17.20	85.11	101.33	16.00
Average	1/10		16.67	77.67	92.67	14.67
	1/11		13.17	85.11	97.33	12.00
L.S.D. at	Date (		2.10	3.20	1.19	1.80
0.05	Cvs. (		2.40	2.07	1.51	1.80
	(DxC	) 3.10	4.20	3.59_	2.62	3.10

Also, except for Sprouting Broccoli Create, the period from anthesis of the first bud to complete formation of the central head decreased with delaying planting date. Low temperature prevailing during head formation, speeded both formation and inflorescence initiation. According to (Wurr et al., 1981), the duration and number of days of each phase is more or less influenced by the environmental conditions.

. . . .

In contrast, with only few exceptions, the harvesting period was prolonged and head flowering was delayed with the early planting, due to prevalence of moderate temperature during head formation. Similar results indicated that the time of head formation is affected by various transplanting dates (Salter et al., 1984; Chung, 1985 and Esmail, 1997). The low temperature may cause floral initiation at a younger physiological age (Chung and Strickland, 1986).

The F<sub>1</sub>0175 was the latest cultivar in the anthesis of the first bud of the central head, where it needed 71.9 to 73.7 days. However, its heads have required a short time (12.5 to 13.8 days) to be harvested (Table 2). Its plants were harvested 84.4 to 87.4 days from transplanting. The number of days required for harvesting F<sub>1</sub>0175 was a bit less than that for Landmark, but more than that for Sprouting Broccoli Create. On the other hand, the Landmark and F<sub>1</sub>0175 heads flowered very late in the both seasons, compared with Sprouting Broccoli Create, which revealed the importance of the interaction between cultivars and the prevailing temperature on flowering of broccoli. Meanwhile, the harvesting period of the main head was significantly longer for F<sub>1</sub>0175 than that of Landmark. On the contrary, Sprouting Broccoli Create required significantly less days for anthesis, full formation, harvesting and flowering of the main head. However, this cultivar was characterized by its very short harvesting period (Table 2). The present results are in agreement with those reported by Heij (1989), who observed variation in the harvesting time of different broccoli cultivars.

Central head physical characters and yield. The present results indicate that early transplanting on 1st September, followed by 1st October increased average weight and diameter of main heads and consequently, increased the total yield of broccoli heads as compared with transplanting on 1st November or 1st December (Table 3). It is concluded from the present results that broccoli plants favorite average temperatures range between 22.6°C and 25.2°C at the vegetative growth and between 14.2°C and 20.9°C to produce early and high yield of heads. The present results are in agreement with those of Esmail (1997) who found that transplanting broccoli in Egypt on 15th September produced large heads and high primary total yield as compared with transplanting on 15th August and October 1st and 15th. Similarly, in Louisiana, Bracy and Constantine (1991) reported that transplanting in early autumn produced greater head weight than transplanting during late October and November. Also, in Netherlands, Moel (1992) found that early planting on 30th May produced heads of 375 g average weight that was associated with high temperatures during harvesting. On the other hand, late transplanting on 30th July yielded average head weight of 572 g, which was attributed to the relatively low temperature during head formation and development.

Regarding early yield of main head, the data show that 1<sup>st</sup> of November transplanting increased early yield as compared with 1<sup>st</sup> of October in both seasons. Moreover, transplanting on 1<sup>st</sup> of September improved early yield over that of October, but its early yield was still lower than that obtained from transplanting on 1<sup>st</sup> of November.

Table 3: Effects of transplanting dates on some physical characters

and	yield of the cen		of brocco	li cultivars.	
Cultivars	Transplanting dates	Fresh weight (g)	Diameter (cm)	Early yield (ton/fed)	yield (ton/fed)
		Seas	on 1997 —	1998	
	1/10	476.83	20.51	2.270	6.812
F1 0175	<b>1/11</b>	371.97	20.40	2.649	5.314
F1 01/5	1/12	251.11	13.97	1.789	3.587
	Mean	366.64	18.29	2.236	5.237
	1/10	589.08	22.55	4.209	8.415
Landmark	1/11	657.91	18.17	4.706	9.398
Lanumark	1/12	217.09	15.23	1.549	3.101
	Mean	488.03	18.65	3.488	6.971
Sprouting	1/10	98.07	9.56	0.699	1.401
Broccoli	1/11	43.99	8.68	0.309	0.628
Create	1/12	51.58	7.99	0.369	0.737
Oreate	Mean	64.55	8.74	0.459	0.922
	1/10	387.99	17.54	2.393	5.542
Average	1/11	357.96	15.75	2.555	5.113
	1/12	173.26	12.40	1.236	2.475
L.S.D. at	Date (D)	45.34	1.25	0.176	0.648
0.05 for	cvs. (C)	55.73	0.64	0.192	0.796
	(DxC)	96.52	1.10	0.333	1.379
				1999	7.007
	1/9	536.73	23.17	2.559	7.667
F1 0175	1/10	413.53	19.50	1.970	5.907
	1/11	337.27	16.83	2.409	4.818
	Mean	429.18	19.83	2.313	6.131
	1/9	588.83	22.60	4.209	8.411
Landmark	1/10	585.80	22.27	4.179	8.368
Langing	1/11	603.93	22.17	4.309	8.627
	Mean	592.85	22.35	4.232	8.469
Sprouting	1/9	45.53	8.50	0.330	0.650
Broccoli	1/10	91.43	9.00	0.650	1.306
Create	1/11	87.43	9.17	0.619	1.249
	Mean	74.80	8.89	0.533	1.068
	1/9	390.36	18.09	2 366	5.576
	1/10	363.59	16.92	2.266	5.194
	1/11	342.88	16.06	2.446	4.898
L.S.D. at	Date (D)	10.95	0.43	0.041	0.156
0.05 for	Cvs. (C)	38.14	0.70 1.22	0.113	0.545
	(DxC)	66.06	1.22	0.195	0.944

Concerning cultivars, Sprouting Broccoli Create produced the smallest heads, early and total yield of main heads. Meanwhile, the weight and diameter of the main head of  $F_10175$  were medium, but less than those of Landmark. Thus, it could be observed that Landmark was the superior cultivar concerning weight, diameter and yield of the main heads (Table 3). Similar results were reported by Heij (1989); Albarracin *et al.* (1995) and Guan *et al.* (1995), who recorded variations among broccoli cultivars in head diameter, weight and yield.

**Side head physical characters, yield and total head yield.** The results in Table 4 show that there was no constant effect for transplanting date on the size and number of side heads. In the first season, transplanting on 1<sup>st</sup> November led to producing the highest side heads yield, which was attributed to production of the largest size and highest number of side heads. Meanwhile, the yield of the F<sub>1</sub>0175 side heads was significantly larger for transplanting at December 1<sup>st</sup> than at October 1<sup>st</sup>, and vice versa for Landmark. In the second season, it was clear that the earlier the transplanting date, the higher the side heads yield, in terms of total number and side heads size. Hence, the highest values of side head characters were recorded at September transplanting, followed by October and November.

Likewise, this trend was also observed on the total yield of main and side heads per feddan, where the highest total yield was recorded at October planting, followed by November and December, for F<sub>1</sub>0175 and Sprouting Broccoli Create but not Landmark, in the first season, and at September planting, followed by 1<sup>st</sup> of October and 1<sup>st</sup> of November, for F<sub>1</sub>0175 and Landmark but not Sprouting Broccoli Create, in the second season. The results of Esmail (1997) in this respect revealed that transplanting broccoli in Egypt on 15<sup>th</sup> September produced larger heads and higher secondary head yield as compared with transplanting on October 1<sup>st</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>.

Concerning the effect of cultivars, the data show that Sprouting Broccoli Create did not produce any secondary heads after harvesting the main heads. These results may be attributed to the fact that it produced only a small central heads. On the other hand, average fresh weight of side heads of Landmark was significantly larger than that of F<sub>1</sub>0175, which led also to greater yield of side heads / plant. Likewise, Landmark exceeded F<sub>1</sub>0175 in the diameter and number of side heads / plant. However, the differences between the two cultivars regarding these two characters were mostly significant in the second season. Generally, the highest significant total head

yield per feddan, i.e., main and side heads, was achieved by Landmark, followed by F<sub>1</sub>0175 then, Sprouting Broccoli Create. The present results are in agreement with those reported by Guan et al. (1995) who recorded destinct variation among different broccoli cultivars in number of branch curd and average weight of branch heads.

Correlation among different characters. The results indicate that fresh weight, diameter of main head, and consequently the total yield of heads are correlated with all other morphological and physical characters, (Table 5). The present results are in harmony with those of Guan et al. (1995) who found a significant correlation between plant weight and head weight, and between leaf area / plant and head weight.

Chemical contents of central head.

The present results indicate that there was a significant effect for transplanting dates on the different contents of head. Late planting led to increment in head contents of dry matter, total carbohydrates, total protein, nitrogen, and phosphorus, in both seasons.

Table 4: Effects of transplanting dates on some physical characters of side heads and total yield of central and side heads of broccoli cultivars.

ומ	occoli cultivari					
	Transplanting	Fresh	Diameter	Number	Yield	Total Yield (central+side)
Cultivars	dates	weight	(cm)	/ plant	/ plant	ton/fed
		(g)	400	4000		tonned
			ason 1997		400.00	0.500
	1/10	19.20	5.80	6.30	120.83	8.538 7.455
F1 0175	1/11	18.90	7.60	7.90	149.93 153.66	5.782
	1/12	22.70	6.00	6.70	141.47	7.258
	Mean	20.27	6.47	6.97		
i	1/10	22.20	6.60	6.30	141.55	10.437
Landmark	1/11	40.00	6.50	9.90	397.54	15.077
	1/12	22.70	7.20	4.90	113.75	4.726
	Mean	<u>2</u> 8.30	6.77	7.03	217.61	10.080
Sprouting	1/10	•	-	-	-	1.401
Broccoli	1/11	-	-	-	-	0.628 0.737
Create	1/12					
	Mean		- 4.66		10115	0.922
	1/10	20.70	6.20	6.30	131.19	6.792
Average	1/11	29.45	7.05	8.90	273.74	7.720
	1/12	22.70	6.60	5.80	133.71	3.748
L.S.D. at	Date (D)	4.60	NS	0.72	30.53	0.928
0.05 for	Cvs. (C)	4.93	NS	NS	47.38	1.254
	(DxC)	12.19	NS ason 1998	1.42 3 - 1999	69.94	2.031
	1/9	38.50	6.70	9.30	297.90	1 11.923
	1/10	13,80	6.30	8.00 j	110.23	7.482
F1 0175	1/11	16.90	5.30	7.00	119.83	6.530
		23.07	6.10	8.10	175.99	8.645
	Mean	23.50	7.70	12.70	359.43	13.546
	1/10	23.50 29.70	7.70	8.90	266.42	12.174
Landmark	1/11	26.30	7.30 7.20	7.30	192.70	11.380
	Mean	26.50	7.40	9.63	272.85	12 367
<del></del>	1/9	20.00		9.00	412.00	0.650
Sprouting	1/10	•	-		•	1.306
Broccoli	1/11	•	_	-	-	1.249
Create	Mean		<del></del>	— <u> </u>	<del></del>	1.068
<del></del>	1/9	31.00	7.20	11.00	328.67	8,706
	1/10	21.75	6.80	8 45	188.33	6.987
Average	1/11	21.60	6.25	7.15	156.27	6.386
		0.41	0.86	1.23	28.94	0.502
L.S.D. at	Date (0)	1,87	0.86 0.36	1.23	28.94 49.52	0.502 1.068
0.05 for	Cvs. (C)	2.03	0.36 0.61	2.37	49.52 65.84	1.602
	(DxC)	4.03	0.01	4.31	00.64	1.002

These results may be due to prevailing of low temperature during head formation, which encouraged the accumulation of carbohydrates, protein and potassium in the main head. Similar results were reported by Rosa et al. (1996) who found that low temperature during head formation increased these characters. On the other hand, early transplanting, i.e. September and October planting, increased ascorbic acid content. In this regard, Gamuzov (1989) reported that early planting gave the best results with regard to the chemical composition of the heads.

Table 5: Correlation between some pnysical characters of proceon cultivars.	Detween	воше	onysic	al char	o suessi	T Drocce	COURT	vars.				
Characters	Leaves	Stem	Stem	Leaves	Leaf	Stem	Head	Head	Time of bud	Days for head		Plan
		Til Biom	ing in	Weight length number	III BILLI	namere	weigin	חשוויפובו	appearance	formation	. Bullawoll	Weig
Plant weight	96.0	0.93	0.75	0.65	0.87	0.69	0.93	0.92	0.65	0.83	0.83	٠.
Time of flowering	0.75	0.83	06.0	0.58	0.80	0.63	0.86	0.91	0 92	0.95		
Days for head formation	0.72	0.78	0.94	0.54	0.81	0.56	0.87	0.86	96.0	-		
Time of bud appearance	95.0	0.61	0.85	0.58	99:0	0.50	0.72	0.75				
Head diameter	0.82	0.88	0.84	0.53	0.85	0.65	0.94	,	[ ] ]			
Head weight	0.82	0.83	0.82	0.47	0.85	0.56	·					
Stem diameter	0.70	0.72	0.52	0.82	0.71	,						
Leaf length	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.55								
Leaves number	0.72	09:0	0.47	-								
Stem length	99.0	0.74	1									
Stem weight	06:0	•							ļ			
Leaves weight	· 								l			

According to the present results, there were significant differences among cultivars. Heads of Landmark had the highest contents of total carbohydrates, ascorbic acid, potassium and phosphorus, whereas those of Sprouting Broccoli Create contained the highest amount of dry matter, total protein and total nitrogen, in both seasons, in addition to total chlorophyll in the first season. Similar results were reported by Schreiner et al. (1998), who recorded differences in head contents of chlorophyll in different broccoli cultivars. Schonhof and Krumbein (1996) also found that the concentrations of ascorbic acid, sugars and chlorophyll differed significantly among broccoli types. Also, Kulikova (1981) found differences among cultivars in vitamins, dry matter, protein, potassium, magnesium and phosphorus contents.

Table 6: Effects of transplanting dates on some chemical characters of the central head of broccoli cultivars

	UI	Hie	Cei	iliai	Heau	Oi	•	31001	30 H	0011	ITAIS
Cultivars	Trans- planting dates	Total chloro- phyll (mg)	Ascorbic acid (mg)	Dry matter %	Total carbo- hydrates (g)	Total protein (g)	(mg)	Phos- phorus	Potass - ium %	Calcium %	Mag- nesium %
				Se	ason 199	7 - 1998	3				
1	1/10	30.67	111.65	15.39	12.20	32.56	5.20	0.18	1.66	0.50	0.41
i	1/11	27.41	111.49	18.63	13.62	29.02	4.64	0.12	1 77	0.48	0 44
F1 0175	1/12	29.61	106.62	16.08	16.31	31.63	5.06	0.10	1.86	0.53	0.40
	Mean	29.23	109.92	16.70	14.04	31.07	4.97	0.13	1.76	0.50	0.42
	1/10	24.15	115.69	16.53	13.69	34.08	5.45	0.14	1.72	0.51	0.44
l	1/11	31.13	112.52	18.83	13.81	31.46	5.03	0.18	1.86	0.52	0.39
Landmark	1/12	25.35	113.00	16.20	16.47	25.54	4.08	0.12	1.92	0.48	0.37
[	Mean	26.88	113.74	17,19	14.66	30.36	4.85	0.15	1.83	0.50	0.40
	1/10	24.28	108.17	18 74	12.12	37.21	5.95	0.09	2.02	0.48	0.39
Sprouting	1/11	39.81	110.82	15.13	13.16	46.79	7.47	0.14	1.92	0.50	0.43
Broccoli	1/12	34,51	106.47	23.80	15.41	26.51	4.24	0.16	1 45	0.48	0.36
Create	Mean	32.87	108.49	19.22	13.56	36.84	5.89	0.13	1.80	0.49	0.39
	1/10	26.37	111.84	16.89	12 67	34.62	5.53	0.14	1.80	0.50	0.41
Average	1/11	32.78	111 61	17.53	13.53	35 76	5.71	0.15	1 85	0.50	0.42
	1/12	29.82	108 70	18.69	16.06	27 89	4.46	0.13	1.74	0.50	0.38
L.S.O. at	Date (D)	0.11	1.13	0.79	1 02	0.23	0.04	N.S	0.03	N.S	NS
0.05 for	cvs. (C)	0.10	0.63	0.99	0.79	0 15	0.03	N.S	0.04	N.S	N.S
0.03 151	(DxC)	0.17	1 09	1.72	1.37	0.26	0.06	0.06	0.06	N.S	N.S
					ason 199						
	1/9	29.90	106.77	17.08	10.60	32.30	5.16	0.09	2.19	0.48	0.37
F1 0175	1/10	30.62	108.11	14.14	13.37	33.09	5.29	0 18	1.67	0.49	0 41
F1 0175	1/11	28.73	119.52	17.63	16.14	29.15	4.66	0.12	1.78	0.51	0.43
L	Mean	29.75	111 47	16.28	13.37	31.51	5.04	0.13	1 88	0.49	0.40
	1/9	25.16	118.20	13.83	13.72	33.53	5.36	0.13	2.22	0.50	0 38
Landmark	1/10	25.68	111.84	11.65	13.67	34.34	5.49	0.16	1.68	0.52	0.43
Lattuniaix	1/11	26.05	108.00	18 60	15 54	30 30	4.84	0.18	1 89	0.51	0.37
	Mean	25.63	112.68	14.69	14.31	32.72	5.23	0.16	1.93	0.51	0.39
Sprouting	1/9	17.70	115.68	19.81	13.62	33.24	5.32	0.08	1.58	0.51	0.38
Broccoll	1/10	18.33	110.13	19.06	13.19	36.14	5.78	0.10	2.03	0.53	0.38
Create	1/11	33.57	105.34	19.19	12 16	49.58	7.93	0 14	1.32	0.52	0.37
7,00,0	Mean	23.20	110.38	19.35	12.99	39 65	6.34	0.11	1.64	0.52	0.38
	1/9	24.25	113.55	16.91	12.65	33.02	5.28	0.10	2.00	0.50	0.38
Average	1/10	24 88	110.03	14.95	13.41	34.52	5.52	0.15	1.79	0.51	0.41
	1/11	29.45	110 95	18.47	14.61	36 34	5.81	0.15	1 66	0.51	0.39
L.S.D. at	Date (D)	0.06	1.56	0 32	1.11	0.22	0.04	0.04	0.03	N.S	N.S
0.05 for	cvs. (C)	0.06	1 27	0.45	1 16	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.04	N.S	N.S
	(DxC)	0.10	2.19	0.79	2 01	0.23	0.06	0.08	0.06	N.S	N.S

## REFRENCES

- American Dietetics Association (ADA) (1992). Handbook of Cilnical Dietetics Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Albarracin, M.; C. Berbin and W. Machado (1995). Agronomic evaluation of broccoli cultivars. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomia, Universidud Central de Venezuela, 21: 71-83.
- Bracy, R. P. and R. J. Constantin (1991). Extending the production season of broccoli in southeast Louisiana Agriculture, 34:17-19.
- Chung, B. (1985). The effect of sowing time and plant density on the onceover harvest yields of broccoli. Hort, Sci., 60: 57 – 64.
- Chung, B. and H. L. Strickland (1986). Effect of sowing times on the once over harvest yield of broccoli in northwest Tasmania. Austration Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 26: 497-500.
- Diputado, M. T. Jr. and M. A. Nichols (1989). The effect of sowing date and cultivar on the maturity characters of broccoli. Acta Horticulturae, 247:59-66.
- Dubois, M.; K. A. Gilles; J. K. Hailton; P. A. Rebers and F. Smith (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Analytical Chemistry, 28: 350-356.
- Esiyok, D. (1996). A study to determine suitable broccoli cultivars in Bornova region, Ege Universitesi Ziraat Fakultesi Dergisi 33: 55-62. Hort. Abst. 67: 372.
- Esmail, A. A. M. (1997). Studies on growth, production and storage of broccoli. "Brassica oleracea var. italica" Ph.D. Thesis Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture Al-Azhar University.
- Gamuzov, G. Zh. (1989). Effect of planting date on productivity and chemical composition in the humid, subtropical of cauliflowers conditions of western Georgia. Instituta-Rastenievodstva-Imeni-N.1.-Vavilova., 188: 56-57.
- Guan, P.; Y. Chen and X. Yang (1995). The observation of broccoli curd development characters with its breeding investigation. Acta Horticultura, 402: 194-199.
- Hasani, A.; X. Islami and P. Pasko (1987). Study of sowing dates for early midseason and late cauliflower in the field. Buletini, i., Shkencave Bujgesore, 26: 13-21.
- Heij, G. (1989). Broccoli. A shorter duration of culture and once-over harvesting do not make the crop profitable. Groenten en Fruit, 45: 42-43.
- Hidaka, T.; N. Fukuda and K. Taniguchi (1992). Contents of lipids, fatty acids, carotenoids and chlorophylls in broccoli (Brassica oleracea, L. var. Italica Pien). Journal of the Japanese Society for food Science and Technology, 39: 425-428.
- Jackson, M. L. (1958). "Soil chemical analysis" Contable, Co. LTD, London, England, pp. 498.
- Koch, F. C. and T. L. McMeekin (1924). The chemical analysis of food and food products. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 46: 2066.

- Kulikova, N. T. (1981). Chemical composition of the heads of cauliflower in the polar region. Trudy Pola Prikladoni, Botanike, Genetike, I, Selektsii, 70: 102-108.
- Lisiewska, Z. (1987). Characters of the chemical composition of the heads of 8 proccoli varieties. Acta Agraria et Silvestria, Agraria, 25, 209-220
- Moel, C. D. E. (1992). Research on broccoli. Stem less broccoli (a new comer full of promise). Groentent fruit, Vollegrand-Sgroenten, 2:12-13
- Ranganna, S. (1979). Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Central Food Technological Research Institute. Ph D. Published by Tata Mc Graw-Hil Publishing Company limited, 12/4 Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi 110002, and printed at Raj Bandhu Industrial Company, C-61, May a puri, phase 11, New Delhi 1100064.
- Rosa, E.; D. Almeida; J. S. Dias; I. Crute and A. A. Monteiro (1996). The influence of growing season on protein and mineral content of several brassica crops. Acta Horticulturae., 40:261-268
- Rowe, G. J. (1973). "Food analysis by atomic Absorption spectroscopy" Varian, Techtron. Australia, USA, Switzerland, pp.89.
- Satler, P. D.; D. J. Andrews and J. M. Akehurst (1984). The effects of plant density spatial arrangement and sowing date on yield and read characters of a new form of broccoli. J. Hort. Sci., 59:79–85.
- Schonhof, I. and A. Kiumbein (1996). Content of essential substances of different broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*, L. var. Italica Plenck). types. Gartenbauwissenschaft, 61: 281-288. Hort. Abstr. 67:4006.
- Schreiner, M.; I. Schonhof and A. Kiumbein (1998). New dimension in product quality bioactive substances in vegetables. Gemuse (Munchen) 34: 80-84.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1989). Statistical methods, 8th Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Splittstoesser, W. E. (1979). Vegetable Growing Handbook, AVI Publishing Company, INC. Westport, Connecticut, 166-168.
- Wien, H. C. and D. C. E. Wurr (1997). Cauliflower, Broccoli, Cabbage and Brussels Sprouts. In. H.C. Wien (Ed.) The Physiology of Vegetable Crops. CAB International, New York, NY, 511-525
- Wurr, D. C. E.; Y. Rosemar; E. J. Allen and J. E. Patel (1981). Studies of the growth and development of winter. Heading cauliflower, S. J. Agric. Sci. Camb., 97: 404 419.
- Yamaguchi, M. (1983). World vegetables, principles, production and nutritive values. Ellis Horwood limited-Publishers, pp 415.

تأثيرات مواعيد الشتل على النمو الخضري والإنتاج والجودة لبعض أصناف البروكلي في مصر

راوية البسيوني ابراهيم البسيوني '- سيد فتحى السيد'-الشربيني عبد الرحمن ابو الحسين (١٠- الم سيد حسن (١)

' قسم تداول الخصر - معهد بحوث البسائين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة ' قسم البسائين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة - الجيزة

اجريت تجريتان حقليتان تحت ظروف محافظة القليوبية ادراسة تــــأثير ثلاثـــة مواعيـــد للزراعة هي أول أكتوبر ، أول نوفمبر ، أول ديــــــمبر ، وذلــك فـــى موســـم ١٩٩٧–١٩٩٨ ، أول سبتمبر ، أول أكتوبر ، أول نوفمبر ، وذلك في موسم ١٩٩٨–١٩٩٩ ، على النمو الخضـــري والإنتاج والجودة لثلاثة أصناف عن البروكولي هي:

(F<sub>1</sub>0175, Landmark, Sprouting Broccoli Create).

وقد أظهرت النتائج ما يلي:

 أنت الزراعة المبكرة إلى زيادة وزن وعدد الأوراق للنبات وكذلك قطر الساق ومتوسط وزن وقطر القرص الرئيسي والمحتوى من حامض الاسكوربيك.

 أدت الزراعة المبكرة أيضا إلى إطالة فترة الحصاد مما أدى إلى زيادة إنتاج الرؤوس الرئيسية والجانبية ، وعلى العكس أدت الزراعة المتأخرة في أول نوقمبر وأول ديسسمبر إلسي زيسادة محتوى القرص من المادة الجافة والكربوهيدرات الكلية والبروتين والنيستروجين والبوتاسيوم والغوسفور.

•وجدت اختلافات بين أصناف البروكولي تحت الدراسة في الصفات الطبيعية والكيماوية.