PERFORMANCE OF GRAND NAINE BANANA PLANTS TO BIOFERTILIZATION

Gobara, A. A.

Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt

ABSTRACT

During 2001/ 2002 and 2002/ 2003 seasons, three biofertilizers namely Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene, each at 100 to 300 g / plant aside mineral N source at the fixed rate of N i.e. 500g / plant were tested for their effect on growth and fruiting of Grand Naine banana plants.

Results showed that biofertilization with Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene each at 100 to 300 g / plant , in descending order was effective in enhancing growth characters, leaf contents of N, P and K, bunch weight, weights of hand and finger , pulp/ peel ratio , total soluble solids % , total and reducing sugars % and in decreasing the total acidity compared to unbiofertilization. There was unfavourable effects on growth characters, yield and fruit quality with increasing the amount of biofertilizers from 200 to 300 g/ plant. Percentage of starch did not change with the present treatments.

It is necessary for biofertilizing Grande Naine banana plants with Biogen at 200g and 300 g N in any mineral source / plant to improve the yield and fruit quality.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, biofertilization is considered an important tool to enhance the yield of the prime and popular banana cv. Namely Grand Naine and it becomes a positive alternative to chemical fertilizers. Biofertilizers are safe for human, animal and environment and using them was accompanied with reducing the great pollution occurred in our environment as well as for producing organic foods for export. They are favourable in increasing N fixation, the availability and uptake of nutrients and stimulation of natural hormones (Subba - Rao , 1984 and Kannaiyan , 2002)

Previous studies emphasized the great benefits for using biofertilizers as a partial substitute for mineral N sources on growth, nutritional status of plants, yield, as well as physical and chemical characters of the fruits (Declerek *et al.*, 1994; Acosta *et al.*, 1995; Declerek *et al.*, 1995; Alonso-Reyes *et al.*, 1995; Dibut et al., 1996; Tiwary *et al.*, 1998; Smith, 1998; Soliman, 2001; Suresh and Hasan, 2001; Ahmed *et al.*, 2003; Ali, 2004; and Sayed-Shren, 2004).

This study was established to throw some light on the effect of three biofertilizers namely Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene on growth, yield and fruit quality of Grande Naine bananas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was performed during two successive seasons of 2001/2002 and 2002 / 2003 seasons on Grand Naine banana plants grown in a private orchard situated at Samalout district, El-Minia Governorate, where the soil is silty clay. The experiment was carried out on the third and fourth

ratoons. Planting distance was 3.5×3.5 meters and three suckers (offshoots) were selected per each stool for fruiting in the following seasons in addition to the other plants that will give the crop of the current seasons.

Analysis of the tested soil was carned out according to Wide et al., (1985) and the obtained data are shown in Table (1):

Table (1): Analysis of the tested soil:

```
Silt %
                     : 55.0
                                 O.M. %
                                                                    : 1.95
                                 CaCO<sub>3</sub>
Clay %
                     : 25.0
                                                                    : 1.25
Sand %
                                 Total N %
                     : 20.0
                                                                    : 0.1
Texture
                                Available P ( ppm, Olsen)
                                                                   : 10.0
                     : silty clay
E.C.( 1: 2.5 extract): 0.71
                                 Available K (ppm. Ammonium: 396.0
(mmhos/cm)
                                 acetate)
pH (1: 2.5 extract)
                    : 7.2
```

The experiment included the following ten treatments from biofertilizers and mineral source of nitrogen (at fixed rate of N namely 500 g N/ plant according to Sayed –Shren, 2004)

- 1-using mineral source of N only at 500 g N / plant.
- 2-Using 100 g Biogen + 400 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 3-Using 200 g Biogen + 300 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 4- Using 300 g Biogen + 200 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 5- Using 100 g Microbene + 400 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 6- Using 200 g Microbene + 300 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 7- Using 300 g Microbene + 200 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 8- Using 100 g Nitrobene + 400 g N / plant via mineral source. 9- Using 200 g Nitrobene + 300 g N / plant via mineral source.
- 10- Using 300 g Nitrobene + 200 g N / plant via mineral source.

Each treatment replicated three times two stools per each. Sixty stools (180 plants plus the same number of suckers) were selected for achieving this trial. The randomized complete block design was used.

Actual amount of N received by each plant was 500 g N / plant /year. Mineral N source was ammonium sulphate (20.6 %), Mineral N source was added at 14 equal batches and broadcasted on the soil surface at two weeks intervals from April till October. The three fresh and activated biofertilizers namely Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene at the prementioned amounts were added once (1st week of April) in 10 cm below soil surface and in a circle of 50 cm. diameter around the plants.

After the emergence of the inflorescences (1st week of August in both seasons, the following growth measurements were determined pesudostem height (cm) and girth (cm), as well as total leaf / plant (according to Jain and Misra, 1966)

For determining the leaf N, P and K, a leaf sample from each plant was taken at a 15 cm strip from each side of the midrib in the middle of the blade of the third leaf from the top of the plant at shooting stage as recommended by Garcia et al., (1977). Determinations were carried out on dry weight basis.

At harvesting tiem (1st week of December in both seasons) when the fingers reached the full mature stage, bunch weight per plant (in kg) was recorded. Also average hand weight (kg) registered. Six hands were taken from the base, middle and distallend of each bunch as a composite sample for determine physical and chemical properties of the fruits. Hands were arrested in closed wooden boxes with a glass surface to achieve artificial ripening and after the fingers ripened, the following determinations were carried out.

- 1- Average finger weight (g).
- 2- Average weight of pulp and peel to calculate pulp/peel ratio.
- 3- Percentage of total soluble solids.
- 4- Percentages of reducing and total sugars were determined according to Lane and Eynon volumetric method that outlined in A O A C (1985)
- 5- Percentage of total acidity (as g malic acid / 100 g pulp) (according to A O A C, 1985).
- 6- Percentage of starch (A O A C, 1985)

All the obtained data were tabulated and subjected to analysis of variance. Method of new L.S. D. was used to differentiate various treatment means at 5% of probility (Mead et al., 1993).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1-Growth characters:

It is clear form the data in Table 2 that varying biofertilizer treatments had a significant effect on growth characters. Application of Biogen , Microbene and Nitrobene at 100 to 300 g / plant in combined with mineral source of N at 200 to 400 g N / plant significantly stimulated the three growth characters namely height and girth of pseudostem and total leaf compared to unbiofertilization or using mineral N source only.

The maximum values were detected on plants received Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene, in descending order. Increasing levels of each biofertilizer from 100 to 200 g / plant was followed by a gradual promotion on such growth characters. However, these growth characters tended to reduce with increasing the rate of each biofertilizer from 200 to 300 g / plant. The maximum values were detected on the plants biofertilized with 200 g Biogen plus 300 g N in any mineral source / plant. Unbiofertilizing the plants gave the minimum values. These results were true in both seasons.

The beneficial effect of the biofertilizers on increasing the availability of nutrients consequently improving cell division could explain the present results. In addition the important role of these biofertilizers in fixation of N and the secretion of natural hormones and antibiotics could give another explanation.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Ahmed et al., (2003) and Ali (2004).

2-Percentages of N, P and K in the leaves.

It is evident from the data in Tables 2 and 3 that supplying Grand Naine banana plants with the three bioferetilizers along with N in mineral source significantly was responsible for enhancing percentages of N, P and K in the leaves compared to using N completely via mineral source. In ascending order , application of Nitrobene , Microbene and Biogen was accompanied with increasing these essential nutrients.

Increasing the level of each biofertilizer from 100 to 200 g / plant was followed by significant promotion on these nutrients. Significant reduction on these elements was detected due to raising levels of biofertilizer from 200 to 300 g/ plant. Amending the plants with 200 g Biogen plus 300 g N / plant via any mineral source gave the maximum values. The minimum values were detected on the plants did no receive any biofertilizers. The same trend was observed in both seasons.

The important role of biofertilizers in reducing soil pH and increasing organic matter content surely reflected on enhancing the availability of these nutrients.

Similar results were declared by Declerek et al., (1994), Acoista et al., (1995), Alono- Reyes et al., (1995) and Smith (1998).

3-Bunch weight as well as hand weight:

As shown in Table 3, weights of bunch and hand were positively affected by biofertilizing Grand Naine banana plants with the three biofertilizers compared to unbiofertilization. Unbiofertilization significantly reduced weights of bunch and hand compared to biofertilization. Using Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene at 100 to 300 g / plant, in descending order was very effective in improving weights of bunch and hand.

The best biofertizer in this connection was Biogen followed by Microbene. The promotion was associated with increasing the amounts of each biofertilizer from 100 to 200 g /plant. There was a significant reduction on weights of bunch and hand with increasing the amount of each biofertilizer from 200 to 300 g / plant or with reducing the amount of N applied via mineral source from 300 to 200 g/plant. Therefore, the maximum amount of biofertilizers must be used was 200 g / plant aside 300 g N / plant on mineral N source. The best results with regard to weights of bunch and hand were obtained due to carrying out biofertilization with Biogen at 200 g / plant plus 300 g N / plant in any mineral source. Under such promising treatment, yield / plant reached 29.9 and 30.0 kg in both seasons respectively. Unbiofertilization gave yields reached 24.1 and 24.2 kg in both seasons, respectively. The same trends was detected in 2001/ 2002 and 2002/ 2003 seasons.

The improving effect of biofertilization on growth and nutritional status of plants could give an explanation for their positive action on the yield.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Tiwary et al., (1998), Soliman (2001) and Suresh and Hasan (2001).

4-Fruits quality:

It is clear from the data in Tables 3 and 4 that biofertilization by using Biogen, Microbene or Nitrobene asid from mineral N source was significantly favourable for enhancing fruit quality of Grand Naine banana in terms of

Table (2): Effect of some biofertilizers on some growth characters and leaf content of N and P of Grand Naine banana plants during 2001/ 2002 and 2002/ 2003 seasons.

Pseud	ostem	Pseud	ostem	Total le	af area	 -	af	Le	af
heigh	t (cm)	girth	(cm)	L)		Z	%	٥.	%
2001/	2002/	2001/	2007/	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/
2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
288.1	291.2	81.0	82.3	33.1	35.0	2.41	2.50	0.16	0.18
311.0	321.0	94.0	98.0	39.0	41.0	2.82	2.44	0.35	0.35
318.5	326.0	96.3	99.3	40.5	42.3	2.95	2.99	0.39	0.40
316.0	222.3	94.0	97.4	39.0	41.0	2.88	2.95	0.36	0.36
301.0	309.0	88.0	91.0	37.0	39.0	2.69	2.81	0.28	0.29
305.0	315.0	91.0	94.3	39.0	40.5	2.89	2.91	0.31	0.33
301.2	311.0	88.6	93.0	37.5	39.4	2.80	2.86	0.27	0.30
292.3	296.0	82.2	85.5	35.0	36.8	2.50	2.62	0.20	0.22
296.0	301.0	85.0	88.0	36.3	38.0	2.67	2.74	0.25	0.26
293.1	297.1	83.5	86.3	35.0	37.0	2.60	2.68	0.22	0.23
2.1	3.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	9.0	0.5	0.2	0.3
	Pseud heigh heigh 2001 2002 2001 2002 288.1 311.0 3118.5 318.5 318.5 301.0 301.2 292.3 296.0 293.1 2.1	Pseudostem Pseudostem 2001/2002/ 2002/2003/ 2002/2003/ 2002/2003/ 316.0 222.3/ 316.0 222.3/ 301.0 309.0/ 301.2 311.0/ 292.3 296.0/ 292.3 296.0/ 293.1 297.1/ 2.1 3.0	Pseudostem height (cm) Pseudostem pairth 2001/2002/2001/2001/2002/2002 2002/2001/2001/2001/2002/2003/2002 288.1 291.2 81.0 311.0 321.0 94.0 318.5 326.0 96.3 316.0 222.3 94.0 301.0 309.0 88.0 305.0 315.0 91.0 301.2 311.0 88.6 292.3 296.0 82.2 296.0 301.0 85.0 293.1 297.1 83.5 2.1 3.0 1.1	10stem 2002/2003 2003/2003 291.2 321.0 326.0 326.0 311.0 311.0 296.0 301.0 301.0 301.0 301.0 301.0 301.0 301.0 300	Pseudostem height (cm) Pseudostem girth (cm) Total le (m) (m) 2001/2002/2001/2002/2003/2002/2003/2002/2003/2003	m Total lea (m ² 2002 3 2002 3 33.1 0 39.0 0 37.0 0 37.5 0 37.5 0 37.5 0 37.5 0 37.5 0 37.5 0 37.5	M Total leaf area (m²) N (m²) N (m²) N (m²) N (m²) S 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 200 200	M Total leaf area (m²) N (m²) N (m²) N (m²) N (m²) S 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 200 37.0 39.0 2.69 2.50 36.3 38.0 2.67 200 35.0 37.0 2.60 2.67 200 35.0 37.0 2.60 2.67 200 200 2.67 200 2.67 200 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.60	Intendical area Leaf Leaf

M.S. = Mineral Source of N

Table (3) : Effect of some biofertilizers on leaf content of k, bunch weight (kg) , hand weight (kg) finger eight (g) and pulp/ peel ratio of Grand Naine banana plants during 2001/ 2002 and 2002/ 2003 seasons

and pulpi peer ratio of Grand Name Dallans during 200 if 2002 and 2002, 2003, Seasons	Name	allalla	Sidills C	iuriii 9 z	00 1/ 20	77 สแน 70	102120	00 SE	dSOIIS	
	Leaf	af	Bunch	weight	Av han	Bunch weight Av hand weight	Av. Finger	inger	Pulp/ peel	peel
Biofertilization treatments	X %	%	(kg)	(G)	_	(kg)	weight (g)	nt (g)	ratio	
	2001/	2002	2001/	2002/	2001/	2007/	2001/2002/	2002/	2001/	2002/
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002 2003	2003	2002	2003
Using mineral source only (500 g N/ plant)	2.11	2.00	24.1	24.2	1.69	1.55	95.2	96.3	1.6	1.7
100 g Biogen + 400 g N via M.S.	0.55	2.28	28.9	29.1	2.65	2.29	112.0 112.0	112.0	2.6	2.8
200 g Biogen + 300 g N via M.S.	2.61	2.40	29.9	30.0	2.85	2.60	115.0	115.0 115.0	2.8	2.9
300 g Biogen + 200 g N via M.S.	2.55	2.32	29.2	29.1	2.60	2.45	113.0 112.0	112.0	2.5	2.6
100 g Microbene + 400 g N via M.S.	2.33	2.22	27.1	27.1	2.18	2.97	106.0 106.0	106.0	2.2	2.6
200 g Microbene + 300 g N via M.S.	2.41	2.32	28.0	28.0	2.41	2.22	109.0	109.0 110.0	2.5	2.8
300 g Microbene + 200 g N via M.S.	2.36	2.26	27.3	27.0	2.30	2.11	107.0	107.0 107.0	2.3	2.6
100 g Nitrobene + 400 g N via M.S.	2.21	2.10	25.0	25.2	1.89	1.70	98.3	99.0	1.8	2.0
200 g Nitrobene + 300 g N via M.S.	2.31	2.21	26.2	26.3	2.00	1.92	102.3 105.0	105.0	2.0	2.3
300 g Nitrobene + 200 g N via M.S.	2.25	2.11	25.0	25.5	1.88	1.80	100.0	100.0 102.0	1.8	2.0
New L.S.D. at 5 %	0.5	0.4	0.5	9.0	0.10	0.11	1.8	2.0	0.2	0.2

M.S. = Mineral Source

Table (4): Effect of some biofertilizsers on some fruit chemical characters of Grande Naine banana plants during 2001/ 2002 and 2002/ 2003 seasons.

G G F	, F		Take		Č	1	Takel	. 4:4:4.	040	465
Biofertilization treatments			i otal sugars %	sugars %	sugars %	sugars // %	Otal acidity 	acidity %	% %	
	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/
Using mineral source only (500 g N/ plant)		19.6	17.7	18.0	7.1	7.3	0.511	0.531	1.96	2.00
100 g Biogen + 400 g N via M.S.	22.2	22.0	20.7	20.9	9.0	8.5	0.360	0.391	1.90	1.91
200 g Biogen + 300 g N via M.S.	22.9	22.8	21.4	21.0	9.4	9.0	0.340	0.355	1.90	1.92
300 g Biogen + 200 g N via M.S.	22.8	22.4	21.1	20.6	9.0	8.8	0.345	0.360	1.92	1.93
100 g Microbene + 400 g N via M.S.	21.1	21.3	19.9	19.5	8.1	8.2	0.421	0.430	1.92	1.91
200 g Microbene + 300 g N via M.S.	22.0	22.0	20.5	20.0	8.5	8.0	0.391	0.392	1.90	1.91
300 g Microbene + 200 g N via M.S.	21.8	21.6	20.1	19.6	8.3	7.7	0.396	0.395	1.91	1.90
100 g Nitrobene + 400 g N via M.S.	20.5	20.0	18.2	18.5	7.6	7.8	.0.481	0.501	1.94	1.94
200 g Nitrobene + 300 g N via M.S.	20.9	20.6	19.3	19.1	6.7	8.3	0.450	0.466	1.91	1.92
300 g Nitrobene + 200 g N via M.S.	20.6	20.3	18.8	18.8	7.7	8.1	0.461	0.470	1.92	1.91
New L. S.D. at 5 %	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.025	0.031	NS	NS
M.S. = Mineral Source										

increasing finger weight, pulp/ peel, total soluble solids %, total and reducing sugars % and decreasing total acidity % compared to unbiofertilization. Using Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene had no significant effect on starch %. The promotion was associated with employing Biogen, Microbene and Nitrobene, in descending order. There was a remarkable promotion on fruit quality with increasing the role of each biofertilizer from 100 to 200 g / plant. Unfavourable effects on fruit quality were detected when the amount of each biofertilizer was increased from 200 to 300 g / plant. Using 200 g Biogen/ plant plus 300 g N / plant in mineral N source gave the best results with regard to fruit quality. Unacceptable effect on fruit quality was recorded on plants unbiofertilized on those plant received the recommended rate of N completely via mineral source. Similar findings ere obtained in both seasons.

The improving effect of biofertilizers on the biosynthesis of carbohydrates could explain the present results.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Declerek et al., (1995); Soliman (2001) and Ahmed et al., (2003).

As a conclusion, biofertilization of Grand Naine banana plants with 200 g Biogen plus 300 g N in any mineral source / plant suggested to be very beneficial for improving yield and fruit quality.

REFERENCES

- Acosta, S.M.; Hervesisla, L.; Alvaradoepo, Y. and Ditra, M.A. (1995). Use of biofertilizers and organic manure in the field adaptation phase of micropropagated plants of potatoes, banana and sugar cane, Central Africola 22(2): 654-671.
- Ahmed, F.F.; Abdalla, A.S. and Sabour- Asmaa, M.T. (2003). Growth and fruiting of Williams banana as affected by some antioxidant and biofertilizer treatments. Minia J. Agric. Res. & Develop. 23 (1): 51-68.
- Ali, M.M.(2004). Influence of varying number of rations as well as some antioxidants and biofertilization treatments on growth and fruiting of Grand Naine banana grown under middle Egypt conditions. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Alonso- Reyes, R. Gonzalez- Parra, M. and Exposito- Garcia, L. (1995). The influence of mycorrhizae and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on the growth and development of banana vitro plants. Informusa 4 (2): 9-10.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (A O A C) (1985). Official Methods of Analysis 12ed. Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, D C, USA, pp. 494- 510.
- Declerek, S.; Plenchette, C. and Strully, D.G. (1995). Mycorrhizal dependency of banana (*Musa accuminata*, PAN group) cultivars. Plant and Soil, 176(1): 183-187.
- Declerek, S., Devos, B.; Delvaux, B. and Blenchette, C. (1994). Growth response of micropropagated banana plants to VAM inoculation. Fruits 49 (2): 103-109.
- Dibut, A.B.; Rodriguer N.A.; Perez, A. and Martinez, B.R. (1996). The effect of Azotoryzas double function on banana (*Musa spp*) experimental conditions. Formusa, 5(1): 20-23.

- Garcia, V.; Caladas, E.F.; Diaz, A. and Bravo, J.J. (1977). Leaf analysis of banana at two stages of flowering. Fruits, 32 (9): 225-234.
- Jain, T.G. and Misra, D. (1966). Methods of estimating leaf area in crop plants. Indian J. Agric., Vol.11, (3).
- Kannaiyan, S. (2002). Biotechnology of Biofertilziers . Alpha Science. Inter. Ltd Pangbourne, England, p. 1-375.
- Mead, R. Gurnow, R.N. and Harted, A.M. (1993). Statistical Methods in Agriculture and Experimental Biology. 2nd Ed. Chapman and Hall. London, pp. 54-60.
- Sayed- Shren, M. (2004). Influence of plant density and some fertilizer treatments on growth, yield and quality of Williams and Grand Naine bananas. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia. Univ., Egypt.
- Smith, B.L. (1998): Microorganisms in soil benefit growth and yield of banana. Netropika Bull., 299: 22-25.
- Soliman, M.G.A (2001). Response of banana and guava plants to some biological and mineral fertilizers. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Alex. Univ., Egypt.
- Subba- Rao, N.S. (1984). Biofertilizers in Agriculture. Oxford, IBH Company, New Delhi p. 69.
- Suresh, C.P. and Hasan, M.A. (2001). Studies on the response of dwarf Cavendish banana (*Musa AAA*) to biofertilizer inoculation. Hort. J., 14(1): 135-141.
- Tiwary , D.K.; Hasan, M.A. and Chyttopadhyay, P.K. (1998). Studies on the effect of inoculation with Azotobacter and Azospirillum on growth, yield and quality of banana Indian J., 42 (4): 235-240.
- Wilde, A.A.; Corey, R.B.; Lyer, I.G. and Voigt, G.K. (1985). Soil and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford IBH Publ. Co. New Delhi. pp.1-270.

سلوك نباتات الموز الجراندنان للتسميد الحيوى على عبد العزيز جبارة قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا - مصر

تم خلال موسمى ٢٠٠١/ ٢٠٠٢ ، ٢٠٠٢/ ٢٠٠٣ اختبار تأثير ثلاثة أسمدة حيوية هـــى البيوجين والميكروبين والنيتروبين بمعدل ١٠٠٠ - ٣٠٠ جرام للنبات جنبا الى جنب مـــع مصـدر معدنى للتسميد النيتروجينى وذلك بمعدل ثابت هو ٥٠٠ جرام للنبات الواحد وذلـــك علــى النمــو والإثمار لنباتات الموز الجراندنان.

أشارت نتائج الدراسة الى أن التسميد الحيوى باستخدام البيوجين والميكروبين والنيستروبين بمعدل ١٠٠ الى ٣٠٠ جرام للنبات مرتبة ترتيبا نتازليا كان فعالا فى تحسين النمو الخصرى ومحتوى الورقة من عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوناسيوم، ووزن السوباطة ووزن الكسف والصباع والنسبة ما بين اللب الى القشرة، النسبة المنوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبسة الكايسة والنسسبة المنوية للسكريات الكاية والمختزلة وفى تقليل النسبة المنوية للحموضة وذلك بالمقارنة بعدم التسميد الحيوى وكانت هناك تأثيرات غير مرغوبة على صفات النمو الخضرى والمحصول وخصائص الثمرة عند زيادة الكمية المستخدمة من الاسمدة الحيوية من ٢٠٠ الى ٣٠٠ جرام للنبات ولم تتأثر النسبة المنوية للنشا بالمعاملات تحت الدراسة.

يكون من الضرورى التسميد الحيوى لنباتات الموز الجراندنان باستخدام البيوجين بمعدل ٢٠٠ جرام بالاضافة الى ٣٠٠ جرام نيتروجين معدني للنبات .