

CORRELATION, PATH COEFFICIENT AND REGRESSION ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE THE RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS OF SOME AGRONOMIC TRAITS WITH GRAIN YIELD IN TEN MAIZE (*Zea Mays*, L) GENOTYPES

Atia, A.A.M. and M.E.M. Abd El-Azeem

* Cent. Lab. for Design and Statistical Analysis. A. R. C., Giza, Egypt.

** Field Crops Res.Inst., Agric.Res.Cent., Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

This investigation was carried out at Mazora (West Elfashen) Agriculture Res.st. Beni-Swef Governorate during 2002 and 2003 growing seasons the aim of this investigation estimate the relative contributions of some agronomic traits ten maize single crosses; SC10, 120, 122, 123, 124, 129, Watania 4, Bashaier, SC155 and SC161. These hybrids were evaluated under five planting dates i.e. March 10th, March 30th, April 20th, May 10th and May 30th in two seasons. Randomized complete blocks design with four replications was used. The studied traits in both seasons were grain yield (ard/fad), days to 50% tasseling, days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, number of plants per plot at harvest, ear length and ear diameter.

Correlation, path coefficient and stepwise multiple regression analysis were calculated for yield and its attributes in each planting date in the two seasons. Results for grain yield showed significant positive correlation coefficient with plant height, ear height, ears number at harvest and ear length. Furthermore stepwise multiple regression and path coefficient with respect path analysis results indicated that ears number and ear length were contributed by 21.77% and 9.38% respectively in the first season and by 14.11% and 7.84% respectively in the second season as an overages planting date in direct effects. analysis showed that ears number at harvest and ear length had the highest direct and indirect influences on yield. which contributed by 19.16% and 7.34% respectively in the first season and by 34.62% and 9.10% respectively in the second season as an average of planting dates.

It could be concluded that ear number and ear length are the important characters contribution to grain yield.

INTRODUCTION

The relationships among maize Grain yield and yield components are complex. Yield attributes are influenced by genetical and environmental variations as well as, genotype X environment interaction. In their selection criteria for yield, breeders place more emphasis on some attributes than other, with the degree of importance varying among breeders. Meanwhile, to determine the relative importance of such attributes to the potential Grain yield, different statistical techniques such as correlation, path coefficient and regression analysis are successfully applied.

However, the problem arises when the purposes are to construct prediction equations. In some cases, several yield components have a high correlation coefficients with yield, but it may contribute a little to the accuracy of the prediction equation. With this point of view, the stepwise multiple regression analysis might be the appropriate technique due to its sequence in analyzing data of such breeding materials. Stepwise program computes a sequence of multiple linear regression in a stepwise manner. One variable is

added to the regression equation at each step. The variable added is the one, which makes the greatest reduction in the error sum of squares. It is also the variable which has the highest partial correlation with the dependent variable for fixed values of those variables already added and the variable which would have the highest F value (Snedecor and Cochran, 1982; Draper and Smith, 1996). Therefore, this study was taken up, utilizing stepwise multiple regression and path-coefficient analysis on a number of maize hybrids grown at every planting dates in two successive growing seasons. The aims of this study were to determine the order of importance of the yield attributes as well as to detect the influence of the environmental variation (5 planting dates) on the relationships between yield and its attributes. In addition a prediction model for maize Grain yield that includes accepted variables can be set up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five field experiments were conducted at Mazora (West Elfashen), Beni-Swef Governorate in 2002 and 2003 growing seasons to determine the relative contributions of some agronomic traits to yield of ten maize single crosses hybrids, SC10, 120, 122, 123, 124, 129, 155, 161, Watania 4, and Bashaier. These hybrids were evaluated under five planting dates, i.e. March 10th, March 30th, April 20th, May 10th and May 30th for the two seasons (2002 & 2003).

Randomized complete blocks design with four replications was used. Plot size was of four rows, 6 m long and 80 cm apart. Planting was done in hills spaced 25 cm along the row. Two kernels were planted per hill to provide a population of approximately 22,000 plants/faddan (faddan 4200 m²). All cultural practices were applied as recommended. Data were recorded on the two inner rows for number of days to 50% tasseling (X₁), number of days to 50% silking (X₂), Plant height in cm (X₃), ear height (X₄), ears number at harvest (X₅), ear length in cm (X₆), ear diameter in cm (X₇), and Y grain Yield ardeb/Faddan.

Path-coefficient analysis was performed to partition the correlation coefficient, r_{ij} into direct and indirect effects. The following simultaneous equations were solved to determine the path coefficient, P_{ij} (with subscripts indicating yield and characters):

$$-r_{iy} = P_{iy} + r_{ij}P_{jy} + \dots + r_{kk}P_{ky}, \text{ which } i \& j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

the residual effect is obtained by the relation

$$P_{ry} = \text{SQR}\{1 - (P_{1y}r_{1y} + \dots + P_{ky}r_{ky})\}$$

The above mentioned traits were computed according to Dewey and Lu (1959), Duarte and Adams (1972), Singh and Chaudhary (1979), Snedecor and Cochran (1982), Brame *et al* (1991), Chaudhry *et al* (1991) and Mitkees *et al* (1992).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The studied traits mean values of the evaluated maize hybrids and their standard deviation for each planting date in both seasons are recorded in Table (1). It is worthy to mention that genotypes of diversity in their growth nature and grain yield potentiality were used as plant materials to represent most of maize types (determinate, indeterminate, minor and major types).

Table 1 : Mean values(X) and standard deviation(S.D) maize characters measured in 2002 and 2003.

Characters	D1		D2		D3		D4		D5		
	X	S.D.	X	S.D.	X	S.D.	X	S.D.	X	S.D.	
	2002										
Days To 50% tasseling.	X ₁	76.90	02.15	66.60	01.74	63.90	02.37	61.40	02.72	61.00	01.75
Days To 50% silking.	X ₂	78.60	03.08	69.10	02.01	66.10	02.75	62.70	02.88	63.90	02.05
Plant height in cm.	X ₃	201.0	15.60	200.0	15.20	201.0	15.20	214.0	20.20	212.0	15.70
Ear height in cm.	X ₄	100.0	12.70	101.0	16.20	104.0	11.90	114.0	16.50	114.0	10.90
Ears number at harvest.	X ₅	30.30	03.10	31.10	05.05	31.30	04.00	33.60	03.84	32.00	03.79
Ear length in cm.	X ₆	19.20	01.93	20.80	01.44	20.60	01.76	20.60	02.07	22.40	02.10
Ear diameter in cm.	X ₇	05.04	00.51	05.24	0.28	04.77	00.23	04.74	00.19	04.84	00.18
Yield Ard./Fed.	Y	27.20	07.67	34.50	05.39	34.40	06.56	33.60	05.86	32.10	06.11
2003											
Days To 50% tasseling.	X ₁	78.60	01.97	68.60	03.05	64.20	01.55	60.30	02.92	62.90	03.37
Days To 50% silking.	X ₂	81.70	02.33	71.20	02.98	66.90	02.39	64.30	02.96	64.50	02.89
Plant height in cm.	X ₃	207.0	15.80	203.0	13.60	215.0	19.40	216.0	15.30	201.0	21.40
Ear height in cm.	X ₄	105.0	10.20	102.0	09.58	116.0	13.30	111.0	10.70	105.0	16.30
Ears number at harvest.	X ₅	31.10	03.73	29.60	03.32	30.40	03.69	29.40	04.07	30.30	05.80
Ear length in cm.	X ₆	20.80	01.39	20.40	01.90	20.90	01.83	19.30	01.86	19.60	02.08
Ear diameter in cm.	X ₇	05.04	00.21	04.84	00.25	04.86	00.19	04.50	00.22	04.50	00.21
Yield Ard./Fed.	Y	33.60	04.77	26.70	07.66	30.30	05.83	24.80	06.36	23.30	07.20

Because of the great differences between genotypes under investigation, great deal of variation was observed as high values of standard deviation for all characters relative to their mean values as shown in Table 1. Simple correlation coefficient between grain yield (Y) and some agronomic characters (X_1 - X_7) of maize for both every planting dates in two growing seasons are given in Table (2). Highly significant correlations were found between grain yield and most of the studied characters. Furthermore, in order to assess the relative contributions of these characters to yield, stepwise regression and path coefficient analysis were utilized.

Table 2 : Simple correlation coefficients between Grain yield and some agronomic characters

Characters	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5
2002					
Days To 50% tasseling. X_1	-0.759	0.141	0.027	-0.175	0.340
Days To 50% silking. X_2	-0.751	0.150	-0.075	-0.140	0.354
Plant height in cm. X_3	0.427	0.334	0.447	0.452	0.588
Ear height in cm. X_4	0.368	0.207	0.479	0.475	0.503
Ears number at harvest. X_5	0.565	0.655	0.532	0.657	0.630
Ear length in cm. X_6	0.685	0.368	0.592	0.448	0.332
Ear diameter in cm. X_7	0.530	0.183	-0.121	0.350	0.303
2003					
Days To 50% tasseling. X_1	-0.237	-0.322	0.054	-0.286	-0.001
Days To 50% silking. X_2	-0.220	-0.291	-0.069	-0.262	0.079
Plant height in cm. X_3	0.364	0.333	0.665	0.064	0.419
Ear height in cm. X_4	0.360	0.409	0.629	0.009	0.420
Ears number at harvest. X_5	0.636	0.483	0.390	0.595	0.092
Ear length in cm. X_6	0.327	0.430	0.460	0.320	0.545
Ear diameter in cm. X_7	-0.022	0.175	0.350	0.093	-0.131

* & ** Significance at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

The relative contribution (R^2 %) and the prediction equations for the full model and stepwise regression are shown in Tables (3 and 4). The accepted variable had the highest coefficient of multiple determination with the grain yield adjusted for variables already added.

Table 3 : The prediction equations for the case of all variables .

The	The prediction equation	S. E	R^2 %
2002			
D ₁	$\hat{Y}_{11} = 63.8 - 1.01X_1 - 0.46X_2 + 0.18X_3 - 0.16X_4 + 0.6X_5 + 1.97X_6 + 0.075X_7$	2.792	89.1%
D ₂	$\hat{Y}_{12} = -69.2 + 0.17X_1 + 0.16X_2 + 0.06X_3 + 0.01X_4 + 0.8X_5 + 0.7X_6 + 5.55X_7$	3.401	67.3%
D ₃	$\hat{Y}_{13} = 0.725 - 0.004X_1 - 0.56X_2 + 0.12X_3 - 0.10X_4 + 0.8X_5 + 2.5X_6 - 4.07X_7$	3.757	73.1%
D ₄	$\hat{Y}_{14} = -73.1 - 0.58X_1 + 0.71X_2 + 0.01X_3 + 0.07X_4 + 0.93X_5 + 0.66X_6 + 8.78X_7$	3.416	72.1%
D ₅	$\hat{Y}_{15} = -109.8 + 0.20X_1 + 0.35X_2 + 0.02X_3 + 0.18X_4 + 0.91X_5 - 0.004X_6 + 11X_7$	3.66	70.5%
2003			
D ₁	$\hat{Y}_{21} = -70.1 + 0.47X_1 - 0.13X_2 + 0.03X_3 + 0.12X_4 + 0.95X_5 + 0.96X_6 + 1.63X_7$	3.065	66.1%
D ₂	$\hat{Y}_{22} = -0.33 - 0.28X_1 - 0.29X_2 - 0.13X_3 + 0.50X_4 + 1.20X_5 + 1.33X_6 - 4.01X_7$	5.270	61.2%
D ₃	$\hat{Y}_{23} = -152.5 + 2.65X_1 - 1.18X_2 + 0.07X_3 + 0.08X_4 + 0.64X_5 + 0.8X_6 + 6.19X_7$	3.585	69.0%
D ₄	$\hat{Y}_{24} = -30.57 - 0.59X_1 + 0.29X_2 - 0.14X_3 + 0.26X_4 + 0.96X_5 + 0.97X_6 + 6.08X_7$	4.969	49.9%
D ₅	$\hat{Y}_{25} = 5.74 - 0.02X_1 - 0.18X_2 + 0.03X_3 + 0.05X_4 + 0.09X_5 + 1.61X_6 - 3.04X_7$	6.418	34.4%

Table 4 : The prediction equations for the case of all variables .

The	The prediction equation	S. E	R ² %
2002			
D ₁	$\hat{Y}_{11} = 85.15 - 1.65X_1 + 0.07X_3 + 0.59X_5 + 1.94X_6$	2.834	87.8%
D ₂	$\hat{Y}_{12} = -47.57 + 0.09X_3 + 0.75X_5 + 0.71X_6 + 5.07X_7$	3.389	63.5%
D ₃	$\hat{Y}_{13} = -10.1 - 0.52X_2 + 0.85X_5 + 2.53X_6$	3.781	69.4%
D ₄	$\hat{Y}_{14} = -61.3 + 0.08X_4 + 0.89X_5 + 0.76X_6 + 8.38X_7$	3.324	71.1%
D ₅	$\hat{Y}_{15} = -83.52 + 0.22X_4 + 0.93X_5 + 12.4X_7$	3.607	67.9%
2003			
D ₁	$\hat{Y}_{21} = -34.08 + 0.1X_3 + 0.85X_5 + 0.99X_6$	2.982	63.9%
D ₂	$\hat{Y}_{22} = -69.85 + 0.31X_4 + 1.22X_5 + 1.39X_6$	5.267	56.4%
D ₃	$\hat{Y}_{23} = -38.23 + 0.148X_3 + 0.46X_5 + 1.07X_6$	3.848	59.8%
D ₄	$\hat{Y}_{24} = -20.07 + 0.90X_5 + 0.95X_6$	4.922	43.2%
D ₅	$\hat{Y}_{25} = -13.518 + 1.88X_6$	6.098	29.7%

The results indicate that environmental conditions might change the magnitude of the relationships between grain yield and the other plant characters. The accepted and removed variables and the relative contribution R²% in yield variance among the different planting dates are illustrated in Table 5, (X₆, X₃, X₅ and X₁) for D₁ (87.8% accepted), (X₆, X₃, X₅ and X₇) for D₂ (63.5% accepted), (X₆, X₅ and X₂) for D₃ (69.4% accepted), (X₆, X₄, X₅ and X₇) for D₄ (71.1% accepted) and (X₄, X₅ and X₇) for D₅ (67.9% accepted) in the first season, (X₆, X₅ and X₃) for D₁ (63.9% accepted), (X₆, X₅ and X₄) for D₂ (56.4% accepted), (X₆, X₅ and X₃) for D₃ (59.8% accepted), (X₆, and X₅) for D₄ (43.2% accepted) and (X₆) for D₅ (29.7% accepted) in the second season, were accepted to their contributions of reduction the yield variance.

Table 5 : Accepted and removed variables according to stepwise and their relative contributions (R² %) in Grain yield over the tow seasons.

Variables	D1		D2		D3		D4		D5	
	Var.	R ² %	Var.	R ² %	Var.	R ² %	Var.	R ² %	Var.	R ² %
2002										
Accepted	X ₁	57.6%	X ₅	42.9%	X ₆	35.0%	X ₅	43.2%	X ₅	39.7%
	X ₆	24.1%	X ₆	13.6%	X ₅	30.2%	X ₇	13.3%	X ₄	14.6%
	X ₅	04.4%	X ₇	04.6%	X ₂	04.1%	X ₆	10%	X ₇	13.6%
	X ₃	01.6%	X ₃	05%			X ₄	04.5%		
TOTAL		87.8%		63.5%		69.4%		71.1%		67.9%
Removed	X ₁		X ₁		X ₁		X ₁		X ₁	
	X ₂		X ₂		X ₂		X ₂		X ₂	
	X ₄		X ₄		X ₄		X ₃		X ₃	
	X ₇				X ₇				X ₆	
Residual		02.7%		03.8%		03.7%		01.0%		02.6%
All variables		89.1%		67.3%		73.1%		72.1%		70.5%
2003										
Accepted	X ₅	40.5%	X ₅	23.3%	X ₃	44.2%	X ₅	35.4%	X ₆	29.7%
	X ₃	15.5%	X ₄	21.7%	X ₆	07.7%	X ₆	07.8%		
	X ₆	08.0%	X ₆	11.4%	X ₅	07.9%				
TOTAL		63.9%		56.4%		59.8%		43.2%		29.7%
Removed	X ₁		X ₁		X ₁		X ₁		X ₁	
	X ₂		X ₂		X ₂		X ₂		X ₂	
	X ₄		X ₃		X ₄		X ₃		X ₃	
	X ₇		X ₇		X ₇		X ₄		X ₄	
							X ₇		X ₅	
									X ₇	
Residual		02.2%		04.8%		09.2%		06.7%		04.7%
All variables		66.1%		61.2%		69.0%		49.9%		34.4%

However, the relative importance of this accepted variable that contributed to grain yield could be placed. the (X_6) ear length in cm. had ($R^2\%$) of (24.1, 13.6, 35 and 10) for D_1, D_2, D_3 and D_4 respectively, for the first season and (8, 11.4, 7.7, 7.8 and 29.7) for D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 and D_5 respectively in the second season. Meanwhile, the stepwise multiple regression analysis was undertaken to determine the best variables that mostly reduce the variance of yield. This is done by introducing the variables, into the regression analysis in order to their importance.

These results are in agreement with the path coefficient analysis data given in Tables (6&7). The data show that (X_5) ears number at harvest and (X_6) ear length in cm., had the highest direct effect (X_5) (42.44, 19.67, 29.36%) respectively for D_2, D_4 and $D_5, (X_6)$ (14.82, 26.23 %) respectively for D_1 and D_3 in the first season. (X_5) (30.45, 14.01, 19.40%) respectively for D_1, D_2 and $D_4, (X_6)$ (6.94, 20.8 %) respectively for D_1 and D_5 in the second season..

Table 6 : Components (direct and indirect effect) in percentages of Grain yield in 2002.

Source of variation	D ₁		D ₂		D ₃		D ₄		D ₅	
	C.D	%	C.D	%	C.D	%	C.D	%	C.D	%
Direct. X_1	0.080	4.913	0.004	0.358	0.000	0.001	0.069	3.691	0.004	0.341
X_2	0.034	2.069	0.003	0.214	0.054	3.133	0.118	6.260	0.013	1.249
X_3	0.129	7.934	0.029	2.374	0.075	4.354	0.002	0.110	0.002	0.235
X_4	0.065	3.966	0.001	0.117	0.031	1.804	0.041	2.210	0.099	9.189
X_5	0.058	3.573	0.514	42.44	0.239	13.84	0.370	19.67	0.318	29.36
X_6	0.242	14.82	0.036	2.944	0.454	26.23	0.055	2.925	0.000	0.000
X_7	0.000	0.005	0.083	6.871	0.021	1.192	0.083	4.439	0.108	10.01
TOTAL	0.608	37.28	0.670	55.32	0.874	50.55	0.738	39.30	0.544	50.38
Indirect. $X_1 * X_2$	0.094	5.739	0.006	0.532	0.002	0.095	0.176	9.355	0.012	1.075
* X_3	0.051	3.171	0.009	0.787	0.000	0.003	0.005	0.282	0.002	0.206
* X_4	0.028	1.730	0.001	0.120	0.000	0.004	0.025	1.313	0.015	1.387
* X_5	0.072	4.391	0.004	0.304	0.000	0.002	0.098	5.215	0.003	0.240
* X_6	0.078	4.813	0.003	0.264	0.001	0.091	0.043	2.307	0.000	0.001
* X_7	0.002	0.147	0.007	0.584	0.000	0.013	0.045	2.372	0.002	0.225
Indirect. $X_2 * X_3$	0.041	2.504	0.006	0.536	0.017	0.989	0.006	0.335	0.002	0.222
* X_4	0.023	1.415	0.001	0.073	0.016	0.918	0.031	1.629	0.013	1.179
* X_5	0.043	2.659	0.001	0.084	0.033	1.936	0.123	6.525	0.009	0.848
* X_6	0.052	3.212	0.002	0.195	0.101	5.838	0.057	3.030	0.000	0.001
* X_7	0.001	0.109	0.005	0.420	0.001	0.514	0.053	2.815	0.019	1.783
Indirect. $X_3 * X_4$	0.173	10.61	0.009	0.752	0.085	4.922	0.017	0.929	0.027	2.473
* X_5	0.029	1.821	0.005	0.381	0.084	4.828	0.009	0.479	0.021	1.958
* X_6	0.096	5.921	0.024	1.972	0.077	4.467	0.004	0.244	0.000	0.004
* X_7	0.002	0.146	0.002	0.170	0.002	0.105	0.007	0.367	0.002	0.150
Indirect. $X_4 * X_5$	0.019	1.152	0.001	0.107	0.063	3.628	0.045	2.386	0.073	6.767
* X_6	0.072	4.386	0.002	0.198	0.063	3.632	0.026	1.393	0.000	0.017
* X_7	0.002	0.102	0.000	0.016	0.005	0.281	0.028	1.485	0.009	0.863
Indirect. $X_5 * X_6$	0.029	1.805	0.001	0.045	0.020	1.181	0.038	2.048	0.001	0.047
* X_7	0.000	0.010	0.087	7.206	0.007	0.439	0.008	0.448	0.031	2.881
Indirect. $X_6 * X_7$	0.004	0.250	0.036	2.995	0.002	0.112	0.017	0.915	0.000	0.014

C.D. coefficient of determination, % percentage contributed.

The above results are in agreement with Katta (1976), Omar *et al* (1970), Nuttal *et al* (1992), Selim *et al* (1970), Ramsey and Callinan (1994), Mason and Brennan (1998).

Table 7 : Components (direct and indirect effect) in percentages of Grain yield in 2003.

Source of variation	D ₁		D ₂		D ₃		D ₄		D ₅	
	C.D	%	C.D	%	C.D	%	C.D	%	C.D	%
Direct. X ₁	0.021	1.518	0.009	0.483	0.644	16.12	0.063	2.872	0.000	0.005
X ₂	0.017	1.227	0.012	0.652	0.439	10.99	0.025	1.127	0.006	0.565
X ₃	0.069	4.911	0.051	2.681	0.001	0.023	0.061	2.818	0.008	0.746
X ₄	0.007	0.536	0.380	19.99	0.132	3.311	0.126	5.760	0.009	0.889
X ₅	0.429	30.45	0.266	14.01	0.251	6.299	0.424	19.40	0.004	0.431
X ₆	0.098	6.945	0.112	5.882	0.105	2.644	0.065	2.977	0.220	20.80
X ₇	0.001	0.072	0.018	0.958	0.016	0.392	0.039	1.797	0.008	0.732
TOTAL	0.642	45.66	0.848	44.66	1.588	39.78	0.803	36.75	0.255	24.17
Indirect.X ₁ *X ₂	0.034	2.454	0.014	0.736	0.986	24.7	0.065	2.990	0.001	0.084
*X ₃	0.004	0.278	0.002	0.141	0.007	0.176	0.030	1.371	0.000	0.023
*X ₄	0.004	0.279	0.001	0.056	0.092	2.294	0.028	1.318	0.000	0.029
*X ₅	0.067	4.773	0.024	1.286	0.294	7.358	0.111	5.077	0.000	0.009
*X ₆	0.002	0.136	0.014	0.721	0.076	1.894	0.008	0.398	0.000	0.039
*X ₇	0.000	0.034	0.010	0.529	0.029	0.739	0.024	1.117	0.000	0.011
Indirect.X ₂ *X ₃	0.006	0.452	0.004	0.209	0.008	0.197	0.007	0.317	0.003	0.295
*X ₄	0.003	0.211	0.014	0.751	0.064	1.616	0.003	0.137	0.004	0.414
*X ₅	0.036	2.591	0.014	0.713	0.244	6.123	0.074	3.386	0.001	0.077
*X ₆	0.011	0.764	0.009	0.505	0.012	0.291	0.001	0.070	0.017	1.652
*X ₇	0.001	0.085	0.001	0.521	0.023	0.589	0.001	0.034	0.001	0.055
Indirect.X ₃ *X ₄	0.041	2.909	0.247	13.00	0.020	0.503	0.168	7.680	0.016	1.512
*X ₅	.029	2.079	0.013	0.662	0.007	0.189	0.041	1.893	0.003	0.304
*X ₆	0.038	2.733	0.027	1.446	0.006	0.145	0.039	1.802	0.043	4.086
*X ₇	0.000	0.027	0.014	0.721	0.004	0.093	0.036	1.665	0.000	0.003
Indirect.X ₄ *X ₅	0.004	0.250	0.071	3.749	0.102	2.549	0.124	5.688	0.003	0.327
*X ₆	0.011	0.783	0.092	4.836	0.067	1.669	0.051	2.352	0.046	4.296
*X ₇	0.000	0.007	0.038	2.021	0.041	1.014	0.046	2.123	0.002	0.232
Indirect.X ₅ *X ₆	0.052	3.723	0.002	0.127	0.024	0.604	0.023	1.079	0.003	0.359
*X ₇	0.007	0.519	0.016	0.857	0.014	0.358	0.001	0.342	0.001	0.062
Indirect.X ₆ *X ₇	0.003	0.203	0.021	1.092	0.010	0.263	0.004	0.203	0.006	0.592

C.D. coefficient of determination, % percentage contributed.

REFERENCES

- Brame, N.C.D.; S.HK.han; M.A.K.; Mian and A.Islam.(1991). Path coefficient analysis of yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).*Bangladesh Journal of plant breeding and genetics*.4: 37-39.
- Chawdhry, M.A.; G.Idqi and N.H.Cheema.(1991). Correlation analysis and path coefficient for grain yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Journal of Agricultural Research (Pakistan)* 29:151-157.

- Dewey, D.R. and K.H.Lu, Y.(1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agric.J.,51:515-518.
- Draper, N.R. and Smith, M.W. H. (1966) Applied regression analysis. John Wiley & Sons Jne.Ny. 407pp.
- Duarte, R.A. and Adams, M.W. (1972) . A path-coefficient analysis of some yield components interrelation in field beans (*Phascolus vulgaris* L.). Crop Sci., 12(5): 579-582.
- Katta, Y.S.(1976) . A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of given yield components of maize.J.Agric.Res.Tanta Univ.2, 98.
- Mason, M.G. and R.F., Brennan. (1998). Comparison of growth response and nitrogen uptake by Maize and wheat following application of nitrogen fertilizer.J.of Plant Nutrition. 21(7): 1483-1499.
- Mitkees,R.A.;A.A.Gomaa;E.A.M.EL-ayed;M.E.Haggag and G.A. Morshedy (1992). Path coefficient of components of wheat grain yield as affected by nitrogen fertilization. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research 70:1243-1252.
- Nuttal, W.F.; A.P.,Moulin and Smith L.J.,Townley.(1992). Yield response of maize to nitrogen, phosphorus, precipitation and temperature. Agr. J. 84(5): 765-768.
- Omar, A.M., Selim, A.K.A., Hassanien, S.H. and Demerdash, R. (1970). Correlation studies between yield and some agronomic characters in maize. Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ. Res. Bull.,279.
- Ramsey, B.R. and A.P.L., Callinan. (1994). Effects of nitrogen fertiliser on maize production in north central Victoria. Australian J. of Experimental Agriculture. 34(6): 789-796.
- Selim, A.K.A., Omar, A.M., Hassanien, S.H. and Demerdash, R. (1970). Analysis of yield components in maize . Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ.Res.Bull., 280.
- Singh, R.K. and D.B. chaudhary(1979). Biometrical methods in quantitive genetic analysi. Kalyani publisher,Baharate Ram Road, Daryagani, New Delhi, India.
- Snedecor, G.W.and W.G., Cochran.(1982).Statistical method. 7th edtion, Aiwa state.Univ., press, Ames. , Aiwa, U. S. A. 325-330.

تحليل الارتباط و معامل المرور و الانحدار المتعدد التتابعي لتحديد الأهمية النسبية لمكونات المحصول في عشرة هجن فردية من الذرة الشامية أحمد عبد العزيز مرسى عطية* و محمد المهدي محمد عبد العظيم**

* لمعمل المركزي لبحوث التصميم و التحليل الإحصائي- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة.

** برنامج بحوث الذرة الشامية -معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية -مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة .

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٢، ٢٠٠٣ للمحصول و مكوناته عشرة هجن فردية من الذرة الشامية (هـ ف ١٠، هـ ف ١٢٠، هـ ف ١٢٢، هـ ف ١٢٣، هـ ف ١٢٤، هـ ف ١٢٩، هـ ف وطنية ٤ ، هـ ف بشير، هـ ف ١٥٥ و هـ ف ١٦١) وذلك من خلال خمس مواعيد زراعة و موسمين باستخدام تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية في أربع مكررات. حيث تمت لزراعة في خمس مواعيد هي ١٠ مارس، ٣٠ مارس، ٢٠ أبريل، ١٠ مايو و ٣٠ مايو) خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٢ و ٢٠٠٣ وذلك بمنطقة مزورة غرب الفشن محافظة بني سويف، و كان الهدف من هذا العمل هو تقدير الأهمية النسبية لمكونات المحصول على محصول الذرة باستخدام معاملات الارتباط و المرور و الانحدار المتعدد المرهلي لكل معاد من مواعيد لزراعة الخمس خلال العامين (٢٠٠٢، ٢٠٠٣)، و قد أوضحت النتائج وجود ارتباط موجب معنوي بين المحصول و مكوناته و خاصة ارتفاع التبلت و ارتفاع الكوز و عدد الكيزان عند الحصاد و طول الكوز و تفتت نتائج الانحدار المرهلي المتعدد و معمل مرور علي ان عدد الكيزان عند الحصاد و ارتفاع الكوز أهم مكونات المحصول تأثيرا في المحصول.