EFFECT OF HOUSE GREEN, GIBBERELLIC ACID (GA₃) AND ANTITRANSPIRANT ON GROWTH AND SOME CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS IN POMEGRANATE AND BETULAEFOLIA PEAR PLANTS GROWN UNDER SALINE CONDITIONS

Naiema, Malaka S.M*.; Eman E.K. Abd Ella* and Nagwa A.E. Abd-El-Meged**

* Hort. Res. Station, Sabahia, Alex., Hort. Res. Ins., A. R. C., Egypt. ** Hort. Res. Station, Nubaria, Alex. Hort. Res. Ins., A. R. C., Egypt.

ABSTRACT

This investigation was carried out during 2005 and 2006 seasons on pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants to study the effect of House Green, gibberellic acid and Paraffin oil as an antitranspirant in reducing salinity hazards on growth rate, total leaf area, trunk cross-sectional area and total dry weight. In addition, the effect of the different treatments on leaf and root mineral compositions (N, P, K, Na and Cl), total chlorophyll content, total leaf carbohydrate and leaf total free amino acids was determined.

The results could be summarized as follows:

1. Raising the salinity level of the irrigation solution from zero to either 1000 ppm or 2000 ppm NaCl caused a reduction in the plant growth rate, leaf area, total dry weight, trunk cross-sectional area, total leaf chlorophyll content and leaf total carbohydrates. While, increased total free amino acids in the leaf tissues of two fruits species. This influence was more pronounced in plants grown under the 2000 ppm NaCl salinity level

2. The growth rate,leaf area, trunk cross-sectional area,total dry weight, total leaf chlorophyll content and total leaf carbohydrates of the experimental plants were positively influenced by the application of House Green (soil applications) gibberellic acid or paraffin oil as an antitranspirant treatments yet, the inverse relationship was noticed with regards to the leaf total free amino acids content.

3. Significant positive interactions were generally noticed between either or both salinity levels and the other treatments except House Green (foliar sprays) on the growth rate, leaf area, trunk cross-sectional area, total dry weight, total leaf chlorophyll content and leaf total carbohydrates of the experimental plants.

 Negative interaction reactions were noticed between either or both salinity levels and the different experimental treatments on the total amino acids content.

5. The influence of salinity on the concentration of the different nutrients was negative, in most cases, except for leaf and root sodium and chloride content, as they markedly increased with increasing the salinity level of the irrigation water.

Most of the significant influences of the different treatments on the leaf and root mineral composition were positive in most cases.

7. The statistical interactions between salinity levels and the other treatments on the leaf and root mineral composition were positive in most cases.

8. Some of the House Green, gibberellic acid or antitranspirant treatments retarded the appearance symptoms of harmful of Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants. i.e. when the plants were irrigated with the highest saline concentration (2000 ppm NaCl), the symptoms of chlorosis appeared after 95 days in 2005 and 113 days in 2006.

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, water availability is considered the prime constraint that determines the addition of new cultivated areas. Agricultural expansion needs a huge amount of available irrigation water which is already not sufficient to meet all the expected demands. The usage of water of poor quality had been widely tried, but with varying degrees of success. The presence of undesirable harmful salts: in quantity and quality, greatly determines the use of such water. The different effects of salts on plants were classified by Bernstein (1964) into primary injury; which includes the toxic effect of ions. and secondary injury, which includes nutritional deficiency and osmotic effects, the primary salts stress takes two forms, a direct membrane strain causing permeability changes and hence ion efflux and indirect metabolic strain which causes metabolic disturbances. On the other hand, the secondary stress of salinity also has two forms: nutrient deficiency stress and osmotic stress with turaid loss (Levitt, 1980). The simultaneous presence of harmful salts in the root zone can influence ion uptake and translocation by plants .Synergistic and antagonistic effects can increase or decrease the intensity of these processes.

With the increasing use of saline water in agriculture, improving fertilization as a mean of alleviating growth inhibition had received great attention. The addition of House Green (20%N, 20%P $_2$ 0 $_5$, 20%k $_2$ 0 and chelated microelements) under high sodium and chloride levels was recommended by numerous investigators to improve yield, growth responses and water economy of many plants, (Ojala et.al .1983), Zidan and Malibari

(1993) and El-Siddig and Ludders (1994)

Moreover, the application of growth promoters was found to play an important role in modifying the growth and development of plants grown under salinity stress condition by conjunction with their physiological and biochemical activities (Little and Loach, 1975). Besides, the drastic changes in the hormonal make up in salt-stressed plants including abscisic acid accumulation, ethylene evolution and auxins, gibberellic acid and cytokinins reduction was also found to occur. Exogenously introduced growth promoters partially reversed the negative effect of stress condition on the different physiological aspects especially photosynthesis and translocation processes (Stark and Czajkowska, 1981). In addition, antitranspirants also seem to participate in this respect. Antitranspirants provide a physical barrier to the loss of water at transpiring surfaces .Hence; it acts through minimizing the excess of transpiration over that of uptake. This improvement in water economy would probably help salt–stressed plants in maintaining their physiological and biochemical processes, at least, at an acceptable base line

Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the effect of House Green, Gibberllic acid and antitranspirant in alleviating salt stress in two species widely planted in newly cultivated areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was carried out at the greenhouse of El-Sabahia experimental station in Alexandria during the seasons of 2005 and 2006. The

effect of House Green, Gibberllic acid (G A_3) and antitransprant in reducing salinity hazard was studied on one year old Wardi pomegranate (Punica granatum, L.) and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants. During the first week of February, in 2004 season, the plants of each fruit species were singly planted in black polyethylene bags filled with about two kilograms of clay loam soil and held in the greenhouse of the Pomology department until the spring of the next season; when they received the different experimental treatments.

Sixty four plants (3 salinity levels × 5 fertilization or spray treatments × 4 replicates = 60 plants) from each of the two experimental fruit species were chosen in March of 2005 seasons. The selected plants of each fruit species, as uniform as possible in size and length, were kept in the greenhouse for about three months before the commencement of the experimental treatments.

In mid –June of both years of study, the selected plants were divided into three groups and each group received one of the following sodium chloride solutions: 0.0 ppm (tap water), 1000 ppm and 2000 ppm NaCl .Salinity treatments were achieved by irrigating each plant twice a week with 500 ml from one of the three saline solutions. The application of the different saline solutions continued till the termination of each experimental season.

After one week from the commencement of the salinity treatments, the plants of each group i.e. receiving one of the three saline levels, were again sub-divided to 5 sub –groups and each sub-group received one of the following treatments:

- T₁:Untreated; control
- T₂ :Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P₂0₅, 20%k₂O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn, 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05% Mo) at the rate of 0.25% House Green.
- T₃: Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25% House Green. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1%.
- T_4 : Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex.
- T_5 : Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1 %.

All fertilization and foliar spray treatments were applied to the plants once every week till the termination of each experimental season. Fertilization treatments were achieved by adding 500ml/plant from any of the experimental nutrients, and the foliar spray treatments by applying 50ml/plant from any of the spray solutions.

The experiment was terminated when the plants irrigated with the highest saline concentration, 2000 ppm showed chlorosis on 50% of the leaf blades in pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants. Symptoms of chlorosis appeared after 95 days in 2005 and 113 days in 2006

The following parameters were determined in the two successive seasons.

- a. Vegetative characteristics:
- Growth measurements were taken at beginning of the salt treatments and at the end of each experimental season by measuring the initial and final

Naiema, Malaka S.M et al.

length of the plants. the growth rate of each plant was calculated using the following equation :

Growth rate = Final length – initial length
Initial length

- 2. Total leaf area determination: 10 leaves from each of the pomegranate and betulaefolia plants were taken at the termination of the experiment. The leaves were carefully drawn on graph paper and the leaf area was measured by counting the squares to the nearest cm.
- 3. Trunk cross-sectional area of each plant was calculated.
- 4. Total dry weight of individual plants was determined by the end of the experiment (October, 15)

b.Total leaf chlorophyll content :

The aforementioned leaf samples taken for estimating leaf area were used also for chlorophyll determination by using MINOLTA CHLOROPHYLL METER SPAD -502 (Minolta camera, LTD JAPAN)

c. Chemical analysis:

- Total carbohydrates: were determined as percent on dry weight basis according to Dubios et.al. (1956).
- 2. Free amino acids: A sample of 0.1 gm from the dry ground materials of the leaf tissues of each replicate was plunged into 70% ethanol, boiled for 30 minutes and the extract was decanted. The residue was re-extracted twice in fresh 70% ethanol for another 15 minutes and the resultant extracts were combined and made up to volume by 70% ethanol .In this alcoholic extract, total free alpha amino acids were determined according to Lee and Takahashi (1966). The free amino acids were colorimetrically determined using a glycine standard. Total free amino acids content was expressed as mg /100 gm dry weight.
- 3. Leaf and root mineral content: leaf samples were collected from each plant of each of the two fruit species in October 15 of both 2005 and 2006 seasons. In addition, root sample was also taken from each plant at the end of experiment; October 15; 2006. The samples were washed with water, rinsed three times in distilled water and oven dried at 70-80°Cto a constant weight. The dried leaf and root materials of each replicate were ground and digested with sulphuric acid and hydrogen peroxide, according to Evenhuis and Dewaard (1980) .In this digested solution, N and P were colorimetrically determined, according to Evenhuis (1976) and Murphy and Riley (1962), respectively . K and Na were determined by flame photometer. Chloride was determined in the ground dried material of each sample by silver nitrate method (Jackson and Brown, 1955).

The obtained data throughout the course of this study were statistically analyzed according to the Randomized Complete Block Design (Snedecor and Cochran, 1990). A Combined analysis of variance for both experimental seasons was also carried out according to Little and Hills (1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I- Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and Antitranspirant on the growth characteristics of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants grown under different salinity levels:

Generally, the data in (Tables 1 and 2) indicated that the growth rate, total leaf area, trunk cross-sectional area (2005 and 2006 seasons) and total plant dry weight (2006 seasons) of the experimental plants were noticeably influenced by salinity stress conditions . A significant reduction in the plants growth characteristics was quite evident with raising the salinity level of the irrigation solution from zero to either 1000 ppm or 2000 ppm NaCl (Tables 1 and 2). In both seasons, the growth rate of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants irrigated by 1000 ppm NaCl was reduced by as much as 88 % and 78%, respectively, in 2005 and 79 % and 81%, respectively, in 2006 season in comparison with those irrigated by zero ppm NaCl level. The corresponding values for the 2000 ppm salinity level were 74 % and 55% respectively, in 2005 and 69 % and 57 %, respectively, in 2006 season .Also, the total leaf area of pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants irrigated by 1000 ppm NaCl was reduced by as much as 82% and 84%, respectively, in 2005 and 90% and 89 %, respectively, in 2006 season in comparison with those irrigated by zero ppm NaCl level. The corresponding values for the 2000 ppm salinity level were 68% and 66%, respectively, in 2005 and 85% and 81%, respectively, in 2006 season. While, in both seasons, a significant reduction in trunk cross-sectional area of the plants of the two fruit species were marked with increasing the saline concentration of the irrigation water up to 2000 ppm NaCl. However, the differences in trunk cross-sectional area of the pomegranate plants grown under moderate and low salinity levels were not big enough to be statistically significant. In both seasons, the percent reduction in trunk cross-sectional area of pomegranate plants grown under the highest salinity, 2000 ppm NaCl, reached as much as 95%. Pyrus betulaefolia plants, on the contrary, seemed to be affected by salinization. A significant decline in trunk cross-sectional area of pear plants was observed under both the 1000 and 2000 ppm NaCl levels. While, the total dry weight of pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants irrigated by 1000 ppm NaCl was reduced by as much as 80% and 92% respectively in 2006 seasons in comparison with those irrigated by zero ppm NaCl. The corresponding values for the 2000 ppm salinity level were 69% and 19%, respectively in 2006 seasons.

The fact that salinity affected the growth characteristics of the experimental plants was also reported by El-Kobbia (1983), Nawar and Ibrahim (1984), Israeli et al. (1986), Ezz and Nawar (1993), Abd Ella (1997), Amer and Youssif (2004) and Naiema (2004); working on different fruit species.

Table (1): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on growth rate, total leaf area and trunk cross-sectional area of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants in 2005 season

						Pomeg	Pomegranates					
		Grow	Growth rate		Ĭ	Total leaf area (cm	rea (cm 2		Trun	Trunk cross-sectional area	sectional	area
Treatments		NaCl salinity levels	nity level	S	2	NaCl salinity levels	ity levels			NaCl salinity levels	ify levels	**
	0	1000	2000	71	0	1000	2000	///	0	1000	2000	///
	ppm		mdd		mdd	ppm	mdd		mdd	mdd	mdd	
T1	0.141	0.114	0.072	0.109	4.43	3.71	2.75	3.63	0.891	0.879	0.747	0.839
T2	0.209		0.162	0.184	5.43	4.44	3.93	4.60	0.954	0.946	0.929	0.943
T3	0.162		0.125	0.142	4.80	4.09	3.23	4.04	0.888	0.869	0.850	0.869
T4	0.181		0.149	0.165	5.39	4.37	3.68	4.48	0.900	0.892	0.875	0.889
T5	0.197	0.179	0.152	0.176	5.04	4.10	3.55	4.23	0.923	0.915	0.904	0.914
Average	0.178	0.156	0.132		5.07	4.14	3.43		0.911	0.901	0.861	
3000	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	
L. S. D. O. O.	0.024	0.045	0.070		0.26	0.49	0.76		0.030	0.048	0.125	
				4	yrus be	Pyrus betulaefolia	-			J		
T1	0.131		0.061	0.095	4.73	3.72	2.62	3.69	0.662	0.442	0.296	0.467
T2	0.210		0.126	0.172	6.58	5.99	4.68	5.75	0.821	0.618	0.529	0.656
T3	0.189		0.084	0.133	4.92	4.31	3.55	4.26	0.667	0.551	0.372	0.530
T4	0.185		0.118	0.155	6.57	5.38	4.29	5.41	0.747	0.599	0.499	0.615
T5	0.204	0.159	0.120	0.161	5.83	4.74	3.65	4.74	0.687	0.618	0.414	0.573
Average	0.184		0.102		5.73	4.83	3.76		0.717	0.566	0.422	
20000	Sal.		Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	
L. S. D. O. O.	0 0 18	0 035	0.055		032	0 62	960		0.034	O ORA	0 100	

T₁ :untreated ; control.

7;Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P₂05, 20%k₂O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn, 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05%Mo) at the rate of 0.25%House Green.

13: Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25% House Green. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1%. 14 :Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex.

Ts: Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1 %.

cross-sectional area and total dry weight of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants in Table (2): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on growth rate, total leaf area, trunk 2006 season.

								Pome	Pomegranates	S						
		Grow	rowth rate		Tot	al leaf	Total leaf area (cm 2	n 2)	Trunk	Trunk cross-sectional area	section	al area	Total	Total dry weight gm/plant	ght gm	/plant
Treatments		NaCl salin	salinity levels	sis	Na	CI salir	NaCl salinity levels	sis	Na	NaCl salinity levels	nity leve	sls	Na	NaCl salinity levels	nity lev	sle
	0 000	1000	2000	7/0	0	1000	2000	7/	0	1000	2000	///	0	1000	2000	110
	uidd o	mdd	mdd	:	ppm	ppm	mdd		bbm	ppm	ppm	. ^ .	bbm	ppm	mdd	A.
T1	0.152	0.135	0.094	0.127	5.71	5.59	5.20	5.50	0.899	0.863	0.833	0.865	19.51	16.96	13.96	16,48
T2	0.244	0.189	0.188	0.207	7.92	6.35	6.19	6.82	1.015	0.992	0.969	0.992	26.96	21.37	19.03	22.45
T3	0.192	0.161	0.131	0.161	6.42	5.93	5.32	5.89	0.943	0.913	0.892	0.916	21.59	16.76	13.21	17.19
T4	0.228	0.179	0.151	0.186	7.41	6.32	6.07	6.60	0.950	0.932	0.927	0.936	23.09	18.73	17.26	19.69
TS	0.242	0.170	0.164	0.192	6.20	6.15	5.98	6.11	0.987	0.953	0.931	0.957	26.90	20.10	18.36	21.79
Average	0.212	0.167	0.146		6.73	6.07	5.75		0.959	0.931	0.910		23.61	31 18.78	i	16.36
30000	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	
L. 3. D. 0. 03	0.018	0.035	0.055		0.26	0.49	0.77		0.031	0.059	0.091		1.07	2.04	3.19	
									-	Pyrus betulaefoiia	etulaefc	olia				
T1	0.139	0.112	0.073	0.108	6.42	5.63	5.23	5.76	0.702	0.518	0.343	0.521	16.52	14.93	12.40	14.52
T2	0.228	0.192	0.135	0.185	7.61	6.99	6.67	7.09	0.853	0.661	0.553	0.689	23.59	20.86	18.01	20.82
Т3	0.190	0.141	0.107	0.146	7.07	6.01	5.16	6.08	0.697	0.576	0.395	0.556	19.77	16.35	13.52	16.55
T4	0.202	0.163	0.118	0.161	7.55	6.98	6.14	6.83	0.788	0.651	0.520	0.653	19.95	19.90	17.45	19.10
T5	0.216	0.184	0.121	0.177	6.92	6.18	5.77	6.29	0.693	0.639	0.462	0.598	21.11	20.36	17.87	19.78
Average	0.195	0.158	0.111		7.11	6.36	5.79		0.747	0.609	0.455		20.19	18.48	15.85	
10008	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	
L. 3. D. 9. 03	0.013	0.023	0.040		0.17	0.33	0.52		0.039	0.075	0,117		1.24	2.37	4.93	

T1:untreated; control.

T2 :Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P205, 20%k2O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn., 1.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05%Mo) at the rate of 0.25%House Green.

73. Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25% House Green. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1%, T5: Antitra:spirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% parafiln oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent the rate of 0.1 %. T4:Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex.

The apparent reduction in the growth rate, total leaf area, trunk cross sectional area and total dry weight of the plants under salinity stress conditions might be attributed to a marked decrease in the water absorbing potential of the plants under such conditions (Hartmond et al., 1987). In the meantime, Levitt (1980) reported that water shortage is the most critical factor in plant growth process. Besides, Bernstein et al. (1972) pointed out that the accumulation of specific ions such as sodium and chloride, in the different plant tissues, would probably exert an inhibitory effect on plant growth and development.

Moreover, in both seasons, the growth rate, total leaf area, trunk crosssectional area and total dry weight of pomegranate and pear plants treated by House Green (soil application), gibberellic acid or paraffin oil antitranspirant

was significantly higher than that of the control plants.

The data of the present study also revealed that there were significantly positive interactions between the different salinity levels and House Green real application), gibberellic acid and paraffin oil antitranspirant treatments. For example, the application of House Green (soil application), gibberellic acid paraffin oil antitranspirant significantly increased the growth rate, total leaf area, trunk cross-sectional area and total dry weight of pomegranate plants grown under 1000 and 2000 ppm NaCl salinity levels and to pear plants grown under 2000 ppm NaCl.

These findings seem to be in agreement with those obtained by other investigators such as: Shaheen (1984), Zhao et al. (1986) and Radi et al. (1989), on NaCl- gibberallic acid interaction, Cerda and Mortinez (1988) and El-Siddig and Ludders (1993) on nitrogen, Rao (1986) Amer and Youssif (2004) on potassium, Morales et al. (1992) on phosphorus, El-Abd (1996), on antitranspirant and Naiema (2004) on nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus and antitranspirant. They also concluded that this effect could be attributed to

nutrient increase and salt uptake decrease.

II- Effect of House Green, Gibberallic acid (GA₃) and Antitranspirant on the leaf total chlorophyll content and leaf total carbohydrates of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants grown under different salinity levels:

Generally, the results in (Tables 3 and 4) indicated that increasing the salinity level of the media caused a noticeable decrease in total chlorophyll content and total carbohydrates. This behavior was evident in the two experimental fruit species grown under both 1000 and 2000 ppm NaCl salinity levels. These results are in line with those reported by Patil and Patil (1982) and Doring and Ludders (1986); on pomegranates; Saloma et.al. (1992); on grapevines, Amer and Youssif (2004); on guavas and Naiema (2004); on Nemaguard peach. They all concluded that salinity stress condition reduced the concentration of leaf chlorophyll. Strogonov (1964) clarified the depressive influence of salinity on leaf chlorophyll content. He pointed out that salinity affects the strength of forces holding out the pigment-protein lipid complex in the chloroplasts. In the meantime, Garg et.al. (1993), Ezz and Nawar (1993), Zidan and Malibari (1993) and Abd-Ella (1997) concluded that salinity stress conditions reduced total carbohydrates.

total carbohydrates and leaf total free amino acids of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock Table (3): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA3) and antitranspirant on leaf total chlorophyll content, leaf plants in 2005 season.

					The state of the s	BALLIO	romegranates					
Treatments	Leaf c	chlorophyll (mg/100 g fresh weight)	ohyll (mg/100 weight)	g fresh	Leaf tota	Leaf total carbohydrates percer tage	drates pe	rcer tage	Leal	total free	Leaf total free amino acids	cids
		NaCl sali	NaCl salinity levels	10		NaCl salir	NaCl salinity levels		- All	and on ar	Nog on ary weight basis	asis
	0	4000	2000		0	000	0000	1		vaci sam	Naci salinity levels	
	>	0001	2000		0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000	
	mdd	ppm	bbm	AV.	mdd	mdd	mdd	AI.	ppm	man	mud	ALL
T1	54.43	48.58	45.95	49.65	10.99	9.51	9 05	130	19.05	10 06	Ding.	AV.
T2	61.13	54.55	50.93	55.54	12.56	11.53	1103	77	10.00	19.00	22.34	20.42
T3	55.85	51.93	47.39	5172	11.06	9 75	92.0	10:02	10.04	19.53	21.26	19.84
14	56.38	52 39	48 68	52 78	11 23	10.05	40.20	70.07	10.07	17.13	18.01	17.3
15	57 45	52 93	40.55	53 34	10.00	7.00	0.03	10.12	16.71	18.03	18.42	18.14
V	17.50	20.00	10.00	02.00	12.30	06.11	11.13	11 €7	18.68	18.41	19.82	18 97
Average	20.75	52.08	48.50		11.64	10.63	10.17		18.27	18 55	20.04	0.0
LS D.0.05	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	-	Sal	Treat	Infor	
	1.64	3.12	4.89		0.19	0.37	0.58		0.30	0.57	000	
						Pyrus betulaefolia	ulaefolia	-			00.0	
T1	57.15	52.01	48.28	52.48	9.10	8.71	8.47	8 71	21 82	22 34	2000	100
T2	63.78	57.02	52.43	57.74	10.98	9.45	8 90	0 71	20 FB	24.04	4.00	77.5
Т3	00.09	54.43	50.10	54.84	10 14	8 92	8 52	0 0	47.40	20.10	27.75	21.72
T4	60 43	55 83	51 45	2500	10.61	20.0	0.05	0 0	64.71	18.19	19.21	18.30
7.2	04 DE	20.00	110	0000	10.01	9.20	0.71	9.53	18.07	18.51	20.35	18 98
2	07.10	20.00	52.75	20.75	10.32	9.10	8.66	9.36	19.02	20.29	20.61	19 97
Average	60.52	55.11	51		10.23	60.6	8.65		19.40	20.24	21.27	0.0
S D 0 05	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal	Treat	Infor	
	144	274	6 61		000	000	000		000			

T2 :Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P205, 20%k2O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05%Mo) at the rate of I :untreated; control. 0.25%House Green.

T3:Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25%House Green. Triton B was ad it d as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1%. T4:Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex.

T5: Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at | e rate of 0.1 %.

carbohydrates and leaf total free amino acids of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants Table (4): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on leaf total chlorophyll content, leaf total in 2006 season.

chlorophyll (mg/100 g fresh Leaf total carbohydrates percentage Leaf total free amino weight) NaCl salinity levels NaCl salinity levels NaCl salinity levels NaCl salinity levels O 1000 2000 O 2000 2000 O 1000 2000 O 2000 2000 O 2000 2000 O 2000 2000							Pomeg	Pomegranates					
weight) (on dry weight basis) o 1000 2000 0 1000 2000 0 2000 2000 0 1000 2000 0 62.73 48.69 46.31 49.84 10.93 9.59 9.18 £.90 21.92 62.70 54.39 51.30 56.13 13.77 11.38 10.83 11.99 20.89 56.70 52.95 48.44 52.70 11.36 10.16 9.75 17.03 57.20 54.13 49.12 53.55 11.80 10.90 10.39 11.03 17.63 57.20 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 57.2 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 57.2 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.11 19.25 53. 57.2 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23		Leaf	hlorophyl	I (mg/100	g fresh	Leaf tota	I carbohy	drates pe	rcentage	Leaf tota	free ami	no acids	(mg/100g
NaCl salinity levels NaCl salinity levels NaCl salinity levels O 4000 2000 0 ppm ppm ppm ppm ppm AV. ppm ppm AV. ppm 54.53 48.69 46.31 49.84 10.93 9.59 9.18 6.90 21.92 62.70 54.39 51.30 56.13 13.77 11.38 10.83 11.99 20.89 56.70 52.95 48.44 52.70 11.36 10.16 9.75 10.42 17.03 57.22 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 57.22 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 57.2 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 57.1 52.95 48.85 5.79 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 57.25 52.20 <th>Treatmente</th> <th></th> <th>wei</th> <th>ght)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>on dry we</th> <th>ight basis</th> <th>(\$</th> <th>0</th> <th>n dry wei</th> <th>ght basis</th> <th></th>	Treatmente		wei	ght)			on dry we	ight basis	(\$	0	n dry wei	ght basis	
ppm Ppm <th></th> <th></th> <th>NaCl sali</th> <th>nity level</th> <th>S</th> <th></th> <th>NaCl sali</th> <th>nity levels</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>NaCl salin</th> <th>nity levels</th> <th>10</th>			NaCl sali	nity level	S		NaCl sali	nity levels			NaCl salin	nity levels	10
ppm ppm AV. ppm ppm AV. ppm ppm <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>1000</th> <th>2000</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>1000</th> <th>2000</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>1000</th> <th>2000</th> <th></th>		0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000	
54.53 48.69 46.31 49.84 10.93 9.59 9.18 £:90 21.92 22.52 62.70 54.39 51.30 56.13 13.77 11.38 10.83 11.99 20.89 20.10 56.70 52.95 48.44 52.70 11.36 10.16 9.75 10.42 17.03 17.29 57.20 54.13 49.12 53.55 11.80 10.90 10.39 11.03 17.63 18.04 57.20 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 19.56 57.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 51.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 51.7 52.95 48.85 57.9 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.		mdd	udd	mdd	AV.	mdd	mad	bbm	NV.	mdd	mdd	mad	AV.
62.70 54.39 51.30 56.13 13.77 11.38 10.83 11.99 20.89 20.10 56.70 52.95 48.44 52.70 11.36 10.16 9.75 10.42 17.03 17.29 57.40 54.13 49.12 53.55 11.80 10.90 10.39 11.03 17.63 18.04 57.22 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 19.56 57.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 51.7 52.95 48.85 5.79 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 0.60 57.2 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.94 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 10.99 20.45 62.14 56.89 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.2	T1	54.53	48.69	46.31	49.84	10.93	9.59	9.18	6:30	21.92	22.52	23.34	20.59
56.70 52.95 48.44 52.70 11.36 10.16 9.75 10.42 17.03 17.29 57.40 54.13 49.12 53.55 11.80 10.90 10.39 11.03 17.63 18.04 57.22 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 19.56 57.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 0.31 0.60 1.92 3.66 5.79 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 0.60 57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.06 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.56 10.99 9.61 9.2	T2	62.70	54.39	51.30	56.13	13.77	11.38	10.83	11.99	20.89	20.10	21.26	20.72
57.40 54.13 49.12 53.55 11.80 10.90 10.39 11.03 17.63 18.04 57.22 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 19.56 57.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1.92 3.66 5.79 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 0.60 57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 22.96 64.83 57.30 57.63 59.92 11.20 9.95 9.39 10.18 20.99 22.04 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.26 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 10.0	Т3	56.70	52.95	48.44	52.70	11.36	10.16	9.75	10.42	17.03	17.29	17.75	17.35
57.22 54.41 49.30 53.64 13.23 11.10 10.41 11.91 18.78 19.56 57.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1.92 3.66 5.79 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 0.60 57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.06 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.59 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 10.45 5al. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat.	T4	57.40	54.13	49.12	53.55	11.80	10.90	10.39	11.03	17.63	18.04	19.68	18.45
57.7 52.95 48.85 12.22 10.63 11.11 19.25 19.48 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. O.31 0.60 O.31 0.60 57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.66 64.83 57.30 57.63 59.92 10.18 20.99 22.04 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.52 60.45 60.93 19.22 20.52 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45 60.45	T5	57.22	54.41	49.30	53.64	13.23	11.10	10.41	11.91	18.78	19.56	19.23	19.19
Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter.	Average	57.7	52.95	48.85		12.22	10.63	11.11		19.25	19.48	20.25	
1.92 3.66 5.79 0.17 0.33 0.51 0.31 0.60 57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.56 64.83 57.30 57.63 59.92 11.20 9.95 9.39 10.18 20.99 22.04 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 8al. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 11eat. 0.35 0.66	20002	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	
Pyrus betulaefolia 57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.56 64.83 57.30 57.63 59.92 11.20 9.95 9.39 10.18 20.99 22.04 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 8al. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1,44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	F. C. C. C. C. C.	1.92	3.66	5.79		0.17	0.33	0.51		0.31	09.0	0.94	
57.25 52.20 48.58 52.68 9.36 8.92 8.64 8.79 21.93 22.56 64.83 57.30 57.63 59.92 11.20 9.95 9.39 10.18 20.99 22.04 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 8al. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1,44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66							Pyrus be	tulaefolia					
64.83 57.30 57.63 59.92 11.20 9.95 9.39 10.18 20.99 22.04 60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1,44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	11	57.25	52.20	48.58	52.68	9.36	8.92	8.64	8.79	21.93	22.56	23.69	22.73
60.89 56.49 50.25 55.88 10.33 9.35 9.06 9.58 17.85 18.39 61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1.44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	T2	64.83	57.30	57.63	59.92	11.20	9.95	9.39	10.18	20.99	22.04	23.04	22.03
61.46 55.80 51.54 56.27 11.09 9.79 9.29 10.06 18.75 62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 144 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	Т3	60.89	56.49	50.25	55.88	10.33	9.35	90.6	9.58	17.85	18.39	19.49	18.58
62.14 56.15 52.59 56.96 10.99 9.61 9.20 9.93 19.22 20.52 61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1.44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	74	61.46	55.80	51.54	56.27	11.09	9.79	9.29	10.06	18.30	18.75	20.65	19.23
61.31 55.59 52.12 10.57 9.52 9.11 19.66 20.45 Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 1.44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	T5	62.14	56.15	52.59	56.96	10.99	9.61	9.20	9.93	19.22	20.52	20.79	20.18
Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. Inter. Sal. Treat. 144 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	Average	61.31	55.59	52.12		10.57	9.52	9.11		19.66	20.45	21.53	
1.44 2.75 4.29 0.18 0.34 0.53 0.66	20002	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	
	L. C. D. C. C.	1.44	2.75	4.29		0.18	0.34	0.53		0.35	99.0	1.04	

T1 :untreated; control.

f2 :Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P205, 20%k2O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn, 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05%Mo) at the rate of 0.25%House Green.

13: Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25% House Green. Triton E was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1%. 14:Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex.

15: Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agant at the rate of 0.1 %

Moreover, the House Green (soil application), gilberellic acid and antitranspirant caused an apparent significant increment in the leaf

chlorophyll content and carbohydrates of plants.

Regarding, the interaction influence between salinity and the other treatments on total leaf chlorophyll content and total leaf carbohydrates of the experimental plant, the results, generally, revealed that positive interaction reactions, in most cases, were observed between both salinity levels and the other treatments experimented herein. This behavior was evident in the two fruit species grown under salinity stress conditions. These results are in line with those reported by Abd El- Rahman and Hassanein (1988) and El- Abd (1996) on antitranspirants, Shaheen (1984) on gibberellic acid and Naiema (2004) on nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and antitranspirants. They reported that these treatments increased the leaf chlorophyll content of plants grown under stress conditions. Besides, Garg et.al (1993) on nitrogen, phosphorus and/or potassium, and Urmiler and Stutte (1988) as well as Hayashi (1961) on gibberellic acid. They all reported that those treatments increased leaf starch concentration due to increasing photosynthetic activity and the efficiency of utilizing photosynthetic products.

III-Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on the total free amine acids content of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus

betulaefolia plants grown under different salinity levels:

The results in (Tables 3 and 4), generally, indicated that salinity appreciably increased the free amino acids pool in the leaf tissues of the plants. The trend was, generally, noticed in the two fruit species experimented herein whether they were irrigated by the 1000 ppm or the 2000 ppm NaCl salinity levels. Yet, the leaf total free amino acids content in pomegranate plants irrigated by the 1000 ppm NaCl salinity did not differ significantly than the control plants; irrigated by tap water. These results are in agreement with those reported by Zidan and Malibari (1993), Garg et.al. (1993) and Abd-Ella (1997). They concluded that stress condition increased the free amino acids in plants. Shehata and Farrag (1983) reported that salinization impaired nitrogen incorporation into protein .This notion might help in explaining the high free amino acids level in plants subjected to the salinity stress conditions.

Moreover, in both seasons, the free amino acids content of the plants treated by House Green, gibberellic acid and paraffin oil antitranspirant was

significantly higher than that of the control plants.

Regarding the interaction influences between salinity and the other treatments on the free amino acids pool of the experimental plants, the data,in both seasons, revealed that negative interaction reactions were noticed between either both salinity levels and the House Green, gilberellic acid and antitranspirant treatments. These results partially agreed with those reported by Hussein et.al. (1984); working on growth retardant and Zidan and Malibari (1993), on potassium. They concluded that these treatments reduced the amino acids content of plants grown under salinity stress condition.

IV- Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on the leaf and root mineral composition of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants grown under different salinity levels:

The data presented in Tables 5, 6 and7 show the influence of salinity stress conditions on leaf and root mineral composition (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sodium and chloride) of pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia plants. In both seasons, the results of the present investigation, generally, indicated that increasing the salinity stress conditions significantly decreased the concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in either leaf or root tissues of the plants grown under both 1000 ppm or 2000 ppm NaCl salinity levels. While, increasing the salinity stress conditions significantly increased the concentration of sodium and chloride in either leaf or root tissues of the plants grown under both 1000 ppm or 2000 ppm NaCl salinity levels. Such results were found by Salama et.al., (1992), El-Siddig and Ludders (1994), Amer and Youssif (2004) and Naiema (2004). Noteworthy, Feigin (1985) reported that the concentration of chloride increased linearly as the level of chloride in the salt solution increased. He pointed out that the ability of cell membranes to control salt uptake was reduced by the presence of high chloride levels in the media.

Moreover, in both seasons, the nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sodium and chloride content in either the leaf or the root tissues of the plants treated by the House Green (foliar or soil applications)and gibberellic acid were significantly higher than those of the control plants. In the meantime, the addition of paraffin oil antitranspirant significantly increased the concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the leaf and root tissues of plants. On the other hand, the application of antitranspirant did not statistically change the sodium and chloride in the leaf or root tissues of plants.

The data of the present study also revealed that there were significant positive interactions between the different salinity levels and the other treatments on the leaf and root nitrogen, phosphorus or potassium contents of the plants. Similarly, pear plants irrigated by 1000 ppm and 2000ppm NaCl and treated by House Green, gillerallic acid and antitranspirant also had significantly higher leaf and root sodium and chloride content. Also, in pomegranate plants grown under 2000ppm NaCl and receiving House Green, gibberellic acid and antitranpirant treatments showed significantly higher root sodium and chloride content than that in the corresponding control plants. Such results were found by Cerda and Martinez (1988), Schreiner and Ludders (1992) and Naiema (2004).

Table (5): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on leaf mineral content percentage (on dry weight basis) of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants in 2006 season.

			-				Po	Pomegranates	nates					-				T
		Nitro	nono		Phos	Phosphorus		Potassium	sium			Sodium	nm			Chloride	lde	-
Trontmonte		NoClealin	nity lovels	pla	NaCl sal	NaCl salinity levels	Nac	Salin	NaCl salinity levels	SIS	NaC	salini	NaCl salinity levels	SIS	NaC	salini	NaCl salinity levels	els
Leallients			2000	2	1000	0000	0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000		0	1000 2000		
	0	1000	2000	110	and man	AN Mun	muu			AV. I		mdd	mdd	AV.	mdd	mdd	bpm /	A A
	mdd		Eldd	AV.	mdd mdd	Ppill Av.	7 7	100	1	_	1	1		62 0	0.64	0.74	0.79 0.72	0.72
I	2.85		2.32	2.56	0.388 0.33		1.4	70.	20.	_		000		_			0 85 0	0.81
T2	3 19	3 01	2.83	3.01	0.404 0.376	6 0.339 0.373	1.68	1.40	1.21			0.00	0.09	0.00	0.7		000	0 70
12	2 84		2 52	269	03880361	1 0320 0.356	1.60	1.38	1.08	1.35	0.78	0.85	0.92	_			50.0	000
2 1	10.0		7.00	000	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		161	140	1 13	1 38 (0.81	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.75		0.84	0.80
14	7.3/		7.0	4.13	0.300 0.30	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		000	42			080	0.91	0.81	0.67	0.74	0.83	0.75
15	3.03	2.89	2.81	2.91	0.414 0.385	5 0.350 0.383	1.40	.03	2		1	000	000	-	0 71	0 77	0.83	
Average	2 98	2.78	2.62		0.396 0.364 0.329	4 0.329	1.55	1.38	1.12	1	0.7	0.03	0.80			-	000	T
O D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	S C	1	Infer		Sal. Treat	Treat. Inter.	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal. 1	Treat. Inter-	Inter.		Sal.	real	Inter.	
L.S.D.0.05	5					70007	200	0 03	0.04		0.03	0.05	0.08		0.05	0.04	0.07	
	0.0	0.12	0.19		0.09 0.01	0.021	5	0.0	.leage.		4							
							Pyr	ned sh	Pyrus perulaerolla	1			-		100	000	074160	00
1-1	273	1	2.28	2 45	0 368 0 331	1 0,306 0,335	1.29	1.13	0.91	1.11	0.65	0.68	0.82	0.72	0.64	0.68	0.741.	000
	10		2000	200	0 391 0 373	3 0 346 0 370	144	1.31	1.27	1.34	0.76	0.81	0.92	0.83	0.72	0.76		0.10
7 -	0.00	20.0	200	20.07	0.2710.358		1 35	1 23	1.08	1.22	0.71	0.81	0.91	0.81	0.65			0.75
5	7.04		7.40	7.00	0.010000	2 0 242 0 350		1 29	1 29		0.72	0.82	0.91	0.82	0.68	0.78	0.84	0.77
14	2.99		7.00	7.70				1 22	1 12		0 63	0.76	0.90	0.76	0.64	0.77	0.81	0.74
TS	2.94		2.48	2.71	0.410 0.373				2 4	_	070	0 78	080		0.67	0.75	0.81	
Average	2.94	2.63	2.48		0.382 0.360	0 0.335	1.36	1.24	1. 14		0.0	0	00.0					
	-	Treat	Inter		Sal. Treat.	at. Inter.	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.		Inter.	
L.S.D.0.05		0.08			0.009 0.016	6 0.025	0.02	0.04	90.0		0.03	0.05	0.08		0.02	0.04	0.07	
	5																	

T2 :Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P205, 20%k2O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn, 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05%Mo) at the rate of

T3 :Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25%House Green. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1%. T4 :Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex. T5: Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1 %.

Table (6): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on leaf mineral content percentage (on dry weight basis) of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants in 2005 season.

						-		-	Pomeg	Pomegranates	100								
		Nitro	Nitrogen			Phos	Phosphorus		Pota	Potassium			Sod	Sodium			Chlorido	rido.	
Treatments		Salin	NaCl salinity levels	vels	Na	Cl sali	NaCl salinity levels	ž	aCl sall	NaCl salinity levels	Vels	Nac	Salir	NaCl salinity lovele	ole	Non	NoCleatinity Land	101	010
	0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000	0	4000	2000			000	2000	200	Mac	Salli	ווא ופא	SIA
2000	maa	maa	muu	AV			2000	_				0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000	
1	0 2 0	000	244		200	-	- 1	mdd ·	4		AV.	ppm	mdd	ppm	AV.	mdd	ppm	mdd	AV.
= 1	2.50	7.78	7.14		2.43 0.372			48 1.29	9 1.17	0.98	1.15	063	0.77	0.85	0 75	0.63	0 70	0 78	070
T2	3.17	2.87	2.54		0.412	0.376	0.355 0.381	81 1.48	8 1.29	1.16	1.31	0.73	0 82			0.00	0.76	000	000
T3	2.90	260	2.39	2.63	0.399	0.370	0.344 0.371		,		1 22	0 71	0 70		20.00	27.0	0.0	0.00	0.70
T4	2.81	2.68	2.40	2.61	0.403	0.382	0.352	79 1 45			10	0.75	000	0.0	0.00	0.12	0.70	0.0	0.70
75	2 98	271	250				7900				17.	0.70	0.00	0.81		0.75	0.73	0.83	0.77
		110	00.7			- 1	- 1		- 1		1.21	0.69	0.79	0.89	0.79	99.0	0.73	0.79	0.73
Average	4	7.11	7.41		0.400		0.341	1.40	0 1.23	1.07		0.71	0.80	0.89	0.80	070	0 73	0.81	
20002	Sal	Treat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat.	. Inter.	Sal.	. Treat.	. Inter.		Sal	Treat			1.		Info	1
9	0.09	0.17	0 27		0 012	0 033	9500	000										E.	
					1			5	0.00	0.00		0.02	0.05	0.0		0.05	0.05	0.07	
								Py	rus bet	Pyrus betulaefolia	a								T
	2.51	2.30	2.18		2.33 0.370	0.344	0.311 0.342 1.17	2 1 1	7 0 89	0.86	97	080	ORE	0 78	0.67	000	000	0 74	0
T2	3.01	2.78	2.61	2.80	0.422	0.389		1 38		7		0.00	20.00		100		0.00		0.0
		2 4R	220	2 18	0 303					- 0	27.1	0.0	0.70		0.77		0.73	0.80	0.74
	200	200	2 7 7	000	0.00			77.1			_	0.67	0.74		0.73	0.65	0.72	0.77	0.71
		7.01	7.40	2.03	0.40/	0.360	0.340 0.369 1.35	1.3	5 1.27	1.01	1.21	0.70	0.76		0.79	0.66	0 73	081	0 73
		2.51	2.37	2.52	0.416	0.385	0.347 0.383	1.21	1 1.02	0.95	1.06	0.63	0.71		0 70		0.70		200
Average	2.75	2.53	2.36		0.402	0.371	0.329	1.27	7 1.08	0.98			071	1			1	0.77	2
20002	Sal. Treat.	Freat.	Inter.		Sal.	Treat	Inter.	Sal	1			1.		Infor		1.	- 1	1.0	T
	0.05 0.09	0.09	0.14		0.011	0.021	0.033	0 03						0.08				iller.	
T1:untreated; control	1; cont	rol.								1		1	5	0.00		0.02	0.04	0.00	

T2 :Soil application with House Green (20%N,20%P205, 20%k2O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn, 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0.05%Mo) at the rate of 0.25%House Green.

T3 :Foliar spray of the same House Green fertilizer, at the rate of 0.25%House Green. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.11%. T4:Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 40 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex. T5: Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate 5% paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1 %.

Table (7): Effect of House Green, Gibberellic acid (GA₃) and antitranspirant on root mineral content percentage (on dry weight basis) of Wardi pomegranate and Pyrus betulaefolia rootstock plants in 2005 season.

Treatments Nac 0 0 ppm T1 1.89	NaCl sali	napo	-		-		-	c								-	-1-	
1 0 0					Phosphorus	norus	THE PERSON NAMED IN	7	Potassium	m.		Sodium	וחווו			Chloride	ride	
1 2 7		inity levels	els	NaC	Isalin	NaCl salinity levels		VaCI s	alinity	NaCl salinity levels	Nac	Salin	NaCl salinity levels	els	NaC	salin	NaCl salinity levels	els
	2001	2000		0	1000	2000		0 10	1000 20	2000	0	1000	2000		0	1000	2000	
	mdd 1	mdd	AV.	mdd	mdd	mdd	AV. pr	d udd	d mdd	ppm AV.	mdd .	ppm	mdd	AV.	mdd	mdd	mdd	AV.
		1.60	1.73	3.286	0.250	0.220 0	0.252 0.69		0.52 0.	0.38 0.53	3 0.48	0.58	0.68	0.57	0.39	0.45	0.48	0.44
		2.10	2.15 0307	0307	0.286	0.272	0.288 0.75		0.59 0.	0.45 0.60	0.51	0.60	0.82	0.64	0.50	0.53	0.65	0.56
		1.66	1.78			0.246	0.269 0.	0.69 0.	57 0	0.43 0.56	5 0.49	0.58	0.79	0.62	0.47	0.50	0.58	0.52
T4 191	-	1.72	1.81	0.292	0.280	0.247 0.273 0.68	.273 0.		0.60 0.	0.48 0.59	9 0.50	0.59		0.63	0.49	0.52	0.65	0.55
	_	1.86	1.95	1.95 0.293	0.280	0.256 0	0.276 0.	0.71 0.	0.57 0.	0.44 0.57	7 0.49	0.53	0.78	0.60	0.40	0.48	0.58	0.49
de	1.56	1.79		0.292	0.275	0.248	0.	0.71 0.	0.57 0.	0.42	0.49	0.58	0.77		0.45	0.50	0.59	1
	1.			Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	S	Sal. Tre	Treat. In	Inter.	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.		Sal. 1	Treat.	Inter.	No.
L.S.D.0.05 0.02	0.04			600.0	0.017	0.026	0	0.02 0.	0.03 0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07		0.03	90.0	0.1	3,0
	-						Р	yrus t	Pyrus betulaefolia	efolia					-			19
T1 17	1.47	1.35		1.51 0.255 0.230	0.230	0.216 0.238 0.65	.238 0.		0.50 0	0.39 0.52	2 0.33	0.35	0.45	0.38	0.33	0.43	0.45	0.42
72 1.87	-		1	.71 0.302	0.263	0.248 0	0.271 0.75		0.61 0	0.53 0.63	3 0.34	0.43		0.43	0.4.1	0.45		0.48
T3 1.70	1.53		1.56	.56 0.273	0.259	0.243 0	0.258 0.66		_	0.49 0.58	8 0.32	0.42			0.4(1)	0.44	0.52	0.45
	-	1.41	1.60	1.60 0.277	0.260	0.246	0.261 0.73		061 0	0.50 0.61	1 0.37	0.43	0.52	0.44	0.4(0.46	0.55	0.47
	-	1.51	1.66	1.66 0.294 0.263	0.263	0.247	0.268 0.76			0.49 0.58	8 0.32	0.41	- 1	0.41	and the same of	0.44	~	0.44
Average 1.78	3 1.58	1.46		0.280 0.255	0.255	0.240	0	0.71 0.	0.58 0	0.48	0.34	0.40	0.50		_	0.45	0.51	
Sal.	Treat	t. Inter.	No. of the	Sal.	Treat.	Inter.	S	Sal. Tr	Treat. In	Inter.	Sal.	•					Inter.	
L.S.D. 0.05 0.02	2 0.03	0.5		0.009	0.018	0.027	0	0.03 0.	0.06 0	0.10	0.02	0.04	0.06		0.02	0.04	0.07	

72 :Soil application with House Green (20 %N,20%P205, 20%k2O, 0.5%Zn, 0.4% Fe, 0.5% Mn, 0.5% Cu, 0.0% B and 0 3%Mo) at the rate of 0.25%House Green.

T3 :Foliar spray of the same House Green for ilizer, at the rate of 0.25%House Green. Triton B was added as a wetting agen it the rate of 0.1%. 3 ppm gibberellic acid, as Berlex.

paraffin oil. Triton B was added as a wetting agent at the rate of 0.1 %. T4:Gibberellic acid foliar sprays, at the rate 75: Antitranspirant foliar spray, at the rate

REFERENCES

Abd Ella, E.E.K.(1997). Effect of osmotic potentials and saline and non saline osmotica on growth, mineral composition, carbohydrates, nitrogenous compounds, phenolics and leaf relative water content of apricot, pomegranate and olive seedlings. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric, Alex

water content and antitranspirant (PMA) on some physological activities in maize plant .Acta Agronomica Hungarica, (Hungary),37(1-

2):19-29(Abst.on tropical Argic.,14(10):68388).

Amer, M.Aand A.M.A.Youssif (2004). Effect of potassium fertilization on growth and some chemical constituents of Balady guava seedlings (Psidium Guajava L.) irrigated with saline water . Alex. Sci. Exch., vol. 25No2, pp. 363-378.

Bernstein, L.C. (1964).Osmotic adjustment of plants to saline media

II.Dynamic phase. Amer .J.Bot .50:360-370

Bernstein, L.C.; L.E. Francois and R.A. Clark (1972). Salt tolerance of ornamental shrubs and ground covers. J. Amer, Soc. Hort. Sci., 97:550-556.

Cerda, A.and V.Martinez (1988). Nitrogen fertilization under saline conditions in tomato and cucumber plant .J. Hort . Science, 63(3):451-458. (Hort

.Abst., 58(11):7771).

Doring ,J.and P. Ludders(1986).Influence of different salts on chlorophyll content , photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism of Punica granatum , L.Gartenbauwiss —enschaft .,51(1):21-26.Hort.Abst.,56(7):5732).

Dubois, M.;K.A.Gilles , J.K.Hamilton ;P.A.Robers and F.Smith (1956). Colormetric methods for determination of sugars and related

substances Analyt.Chem., 28:350-356.

El-Abd,A.A.(1996). Studies on the effects of drainage water and /or antitranspirants on growth and some chemical constituents of some citrus rootstocks .M.Sc. Thesis, faculty of Agric ., Kafr El-sheik, Tanta Univ.

El-Kobbia, A.M. (1983). Effect of some salinity treatments on growth and mineral composition of leaves and roots of young Balady orange, Chemlali olive and Taxas almond trees, Ph.D Thesis, Faculty of Agric "Alex .Univ.

El-Siddig, K. and P. Ludders(1993). Interactive effects of salinity and nitrogen nutrition on biomass production and distribution in apple trees. Angewandte Botomik, 67(5-6):168-171. (Hort. Abst., 65(5):3715.

El-Siddig, K.and P. Ludders (1994).Interactive effects of salinity and nitrogen nutrition on vegetative growth of apple trees .Gartenbauwissenschaft, 59(2):58-61(Hort. Abst. 65(3):1830)

Evenhuis, B. (1976). Nitrogen determination Dept. Agric. Res. Royal Tropical Inst. Amsterdam

Evenhuis, B. and P.W. DeWaard (1980). Principles and practices in plant analysis. FAO soils Bull., 38(1):152-163.

- Ezz, Th .and A. Nawar (1993). Salinity and mycorrhyal infection on growth and mineral nutrition of sour orange seedlings .Alex. J. Agric .Res.,38(3):439-457.
- Feigin, A. (1985). Fertilization management of crops irrigated with saline water .Plant and soil, 89:285-299.
- Garg, B.K; S.P.Vyas; S.Kathju and A.N.Lahiri(1993).Salinity –fertility interaction on growth, mineral composition and nitrogen metabolism of in mustard. J. Plant Nutrition., (USA),16(9):1637-1650.(Abst.on Tropical Agric.,19(1)88646).
- Hartmond, U.; N.V.Schaesberg; J.H.Graham and J.P.Syverston (1987). Salinity and flooding trees effects on mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal citrus rootstock seedlings. Plant and Soil, 104:37-43.
- Hayashi, T. (1961).Plant growth regulation .Fourth international conference on plant growth regulation. The lowa State Univ.,U.S.A.
- Hussein, M.M.; M.A.Ashoub and M.A.Rasoul (1984). Organic and amino acids concentrations in broad bean seedlings as affected by salinity and cycocel . Annals of Agric . Science Ain Sahams univ., 29(1):239-256.
- Israeli,Y;E.Lahar and N.Mameri (1986). The effect of salinity and sodium absorption ratio in the irrigation water on growth and productivity of bananas under drip irrigation conditions. Fruits, 41(5):297-302.
- Jackson, R.K. and J.G. Brown (1955). A note on the potentiometric determination of chloride. Proc.Amer.Soc.Hort.Sci.65:187
- Lee, Y.P. and T. Takahashi (1966). An improved colorimetric determination of amino acids with the use on ninhydrin. Anal. Biochem. 14: 71
- Levitt, J. (1980). Responses of plants to environmental stress. Vol.II. Water, Radiation, Salt and other stresses .academic. Press., New York.
- Little, C.H.A.and K.Loach (1975). Effect of gibberellic acid on growth and photosynthesis in Abies balsamea. Can. J. of Botany, 53, 1805.
- Little, T.M.and F.J.Hills (1978). Agricultural experimentation, design and analysis. John Wiley and Sons., New York
- Morales, M.; A.; A. Maestrey; V.Galvez and E.I.Vazquez (1992). Fertilizer influence on onions growing in salt- affected soils. Agrotecnia decuha, 24 (2):73-78. (Abst.on Tropical Agric., (19 (3):89577.
- Murphy, J. and J.R. Riley (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphorus in natural water. Anal Cham. Acta. 27:31-36
- Naiema, M.S.M. (2004). Effect of mineral nutrition and antitranspirat on growth and some chemical constituents in Nemaguard peach plants grown under saline condition .J. Agric. Res.Alex.49 (2):75-86.
- Nawar, A. and A.M.F. Ibrahim (1984) Salinity of irrigation water in relation to growth and leaf composition of young Le conte pear plants budded on three different rootstocks. J. Agric. Res. Tanta. Univ.10 (4) 1380-1392.
- Ojala.C.;W.M.Jarrell;J.A.Menge and E.L.V.Johnson (1983) . Influence of mycorrhizal fungi on the mineral nutrition and yield of onion in saline soil. Agronomy J., 1, (March-April):255-259.

- Patil, P.K. and V.K. Patil (1982). Effect of soil ESP on the growth and chemical composition of pomegranate (Punica granatum, L.). Marathwada Agric. Univ. Parbhani , 431- 402, Maharashtra, India Progressive Hort., 14 (1):1-5.
- Radi, A.F.; M.M. Heikal; A.M. Abd El Rahman and B.A.A. El-Deep (1989). Salinity hormone interaction in relation to the chemical composition of maize and sunflower plants. Acta Agronomica Hungarica, 38 (3-4):283-297. (soil and fertilizers, 54 (1):695).
- Rao, N.R. (1986). Potassium nutrition of pearl millet subjected to moisture stress .J. Potassium Research (India), 2 (1):1-12. (Abst.on Tropical Agric., 13(6):63064
- Salama, M.I., A.A. El-Sammak and A.M Abou El-Khashab (1992).Leaf pigments and nutrient elements content of Roumi red grape nurslings as affected by salinity and some growth regulators .J. Agric. Res. , Tanta Univ.,18(2):382-391.
- Schreiner, M. and P. Ludders (1992). Effect of sodium salts on Na⁺, k⁺, Cl⁻ and SO₄ content in leaves of Golden Delicious apple trees with various levels of potassium nutrition. Angewandte Botanik, 66(1-2):1-6. (Hor.Abst., 64 (11):8413).
- Shaheen, A.M. (1984). Growth analysis and photosynthetic pigments of broad bean (Vicia faba L.) plants in relation to water stress and GA₃ application .Beitrage zur tropischen landwirthschaft und veterinarmedzin., 22(3):263-268. (Abst. On tropical Agric., 11(1):53583).
- Shehata, A.A and A.A. Farrag (1983). Effect of potassium under specific conditions on yield and composition of broad bean seeds. Egyptian J. Soil Science, 23 (3):293-303.
- Snedecor, G.W.and W.G.Cochran (1990). Statistical Methods. 7th edition, Iowa state Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.P.593.
- Stark, Z. and E. Czajkowska (1981). Function of roots in NaCl- stresses bean plants. Plant and soil, 63(1):107-113.
- Strogonov, B.P. (1964).Conference on physiology of plant resistance. IZD Akad Nawk sssR. Ser.Biol.4, 623.
- Urmiler, M.G.and C.A.Stutte (1988).Influence of GA₃ on soybean reproductive growth.Plant growth regulator Society America.67-68. (Soils and Fertilizers, 52 (10):11809
- Zhao, K.F.; M.L.Li and J.Y.Liu (1986). Reduction by GA₃ of NaCl- induced inhibition of growth and development in Suaeda ussuriensis. Australian J. Plant Physiology, 13(4):541-551.
- Zidan, M.A.and A.A.Malibari (1993). The role of K in alleviating stress affecting growth and some organic and mineral components of wheat. Arab Gulf J. Scientific Res. (Saudi Arabia). 11(2):201-208

تأثير الهاوس جرين وحمض الجبريليك ومضادات النتح عل كل من النمو و بعض المركبات الكيماوية في شتلات الرمان و أصل كمثرى البتشيفوليا النامية تحت ظروف ملحية.

ملكة صبحى محمد نعيمة *، ايمان السيد كامل عبد السلاه * و نجوى أبو المجد عبد المجيد **

• محطة بحوث البساتين الصبيحية بالاسكندرية - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

• • مُحْطةً بحوث البساتين بالنوبارية بالاسكندرية - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية -مصر

اجرى هذا البحث خلال عامى ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٥ على شتلات كل من الرمان واصل كمشرى البتشيفوليا وذلك لدراسة تأثير الهاوس جرين وحمض الجبريليك و أحد مضادات النتح وهو زيت البرافين على مدى تحمل هذه الشتلات لملوحة ماء الرى وأيضا لدراسة تأثير هذة المعاملات على معدل النصو الخضرى الشتلات ومساحة اوراقها ومساحة مقطع ساقها ووزنها الجاف الكلى بالاضافة الى محتوى اوراقها وجذورها من العناصر المعدنية (النيتروجين و الفوسفور و البوتاسيوم و الصوديوم و الكلوريد) ومحتوى اوراقها من الكلوروفيل والمواد الكربوهيدراتية والاحماض الامينية الحرة الكلية.

۱- انخفض معدل نمو الشتلات ومساحة اوراقها ووزنها الجاف الكلى ومساحة مقطع ساقها و محتوى الاوراق من الكلوروفيل الكلى ومحتوى الاوراق من الكريوهيدرات و ذلك بزيادة مستوى ملوحة ماء الرى. بينما زاد محتوى الاوراق من الاحماض الامينية الحرة الكلية وكان هذا التأثير أكثر وضوحا في الشتلات التي رويت بالمستوى المرتفع من الملوحة (٢٠٠٠ جزء في المليون كلوريد صوديوم).

٢- أدت المعاملة بالهاوس جرين (اضافة ارضية) وحمض الجبريليك ومضاد النتح الى زيادة معنوية فى معدل نمو الشتلات ومساحة اوراقها و مساحة مقطع ساقها ووزنها الجاف الكلى ومحتوى الاوراق من الكلوروفيل الكلى ومحتوى الاوراق من الكربوهيدرات بينما انخفض محتوى الاوراق من الاحماض الامينية الحرة الكلية.

٣- لوحظ تداخل معنوى موجب بين كل من تركيزى الملوحة او احدهما و المعاملات المختلفة فيما عدا الرش بالهاوس جرين على معدل نمو الشتلات ومساحة اوراقها ووزنها الجاف الكلى ومحتوى الاوراق من الكلوروفيل الكلى ومحتوى الاوراق من الكربوهيدرات.

٤- أدى التداخل بين ملوحة ماء الرى و معاملات التسميد والرش المختلفة الى انخفاض تركيز الاحماض
 الامينية الحرة الكلية باوراق شتلات الرمان و اصل كمثرى بتشيفوليا .

ادت زيادة ملوحة ماء الرى الى انخفاض تركيز العناصر المعدنية المختلفة في معظم الحالات فيما عدا محتوى الاوراق و الجذور من عنصر الصوديوم و الكلوريد حيث زادت نسبتها بزيادة ملوحة ماء الدى.

حانت معظم التأثيرات المعنوية موجبة للمعاملات المختلفة على محتوى اوراق وجذور الشتلات مـن
 العناصر المعنية .

ادى التداخل بين معاملات الملوحة ومعاملات الرش و التسميد الى زيادة معنوية فى محتوى الاوراق
 والجذور من معظم العناصر المعدنية .

۸- بعض معاملات الهاوس جرین وحمض الجبریلیك اواحد مضادات النتح ادی الی تأخیر ظهور أعراض اضر از الملوحة لبشتلات أصل كمثری البتشیفولیا ومثالا علی ذلك عندما رویت هذه الشتلات بالتركیز العالی من الملوحة (۲۰۰۰ جزء فی الملیون كلورید صودیوم) اظهرت أعراض الاصفرار بعد۹۰ یوم فی عام ۲۰۰۱ وبعد ۱۱۳ یوم فی عام ۲۰۰۱.

. .