EFFECT OF CHEMICAL FRUIT THINNING OF SAMANY DATE PALM CULTIVAR.

Bakr, E.I.¹; G.M. Haseab¹; S. El-Kosary¹ and T.Y. Saber²
1- Pomology Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.
2-Central Laboratory of Date Palm Research and Development, Agriculture Research Center.

ABSTRACT

The effect of fruit thinning using ethephon and cytophex at different concentrations and dates of application was studied on Samany date palm cultivar in two seasons (2003-2004). Fruit set percentage was much decreased when ethephon was sprayed at all tested concentrations within 18 days after pollination compared with cytophex, in the two seasons. Moreover Samany fruit physical characteristics i.e., fruit weight, flesh weight, fruit dimensions and fruit size were enhanced with ethephon and cytophex treatments. In addition, Samany fruit contents of TSS, total soluble sugars, reducing and non-reducing sugars were increased when ethephon at 300 ppm and cytophex at 60 ppm were sprayed at 18 days after pollination. Key words: Samany date palm cultivar—ethephon—cytophex—fruit charecters—fruit thinning.

ut thinning .

Date palm (*Pheonix dactylifera*. L.) has a great economical importance and agricultural uses throughout human's history. In Egypt, distribution of date palm trees, covers a large area extends from Aswan to north Delta, beside the oasis of Siwa, Bahriya, Farafra, Kharga and Dakhla. Fruit thinning of date palms is an important factor in improving fruit quality (physical and chemical fruit characteristics) consequently the grade of superior fruits for native markets and exportation. Also, it reduces the alternate bearing of some date palm cultivars.

INTRODUCTION

Ethephon treatments affected bunch weight, average yield and fruit quality of different date palm cultivars (EL-Makhtoum et al., 1995). Spraying ethephon at 500 ppm within 2 weeks after spathe creating was the best treatment that increased fruit weight and enhanced fruit quality. (El-Hamady et al., 1979; Moustafa & Seif, 1989; Kamal, 1995 and Bassal & Deeb, 2003). Also, ethephon in the high concentrations increased flesh weight (Moustafa & Seif, 1989 and Bassal & Deeb, 2003). Moreover, Ethephon treatment (500, 1000 and 1500 ppm) increased fruit dimensions (length and diameter) over control (Moustafa & Seif, 1989; El-Hamady et al., 1991; Kamal, 1995 and Bassal & Deeb, 2003). However, it was clearly noticed that fruit drop percentage increased as ethephon concentration increased (El-Hamady et al., 1991). On the other side, ethephon sprayed at 250, 500 and 1000 ppm increased fruit TSS of cvs Zaghloul and Samany (El-Azzouni et al., 1975; Moustafa& Seif 1989; Kamal, 1995 and Bassal & Deeb, 2003).

The cytokinins are plant growth regulators that enhance plant cell division and cell expansion. In a study, cppu was sprayed to Arumanis mango trees at 14 days after blooming and showed that cppu at 10 ppm gave the

best results in increasing fruit retention, number of fruit per cluster and per plant, leaf area, fruit weight and volume (Notodimedio, 1994).

Thus, the present investigation is planned to study the effect of ethephon and cytophex at different dates and concentrations of each on the fruit thinning of Samany date palm cultivar, aiming to improve fruit physical and chemical characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The presents study was carried out during two successive seasons (2003 and 2004), at the Experimental Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza Governorate. Nine female palms of Samany date palm cultivar, (15 years old) were chosen for this study. The palms were similar in growth and received normal agricultural practives. They were pruned at 8:1 leaf/bunch ratio (El-Shazly, 1999). They were pollinated by the same source of pollen grains just after fourth days of spathe cracking in both seasons. The individual bunches were covered before and after treatments by tissue paper. Each palm was sprayed with ethephon at 100, 200 and 300 ppm and cytophex at 20, 40 and 60 ppm. Eeach of the individual concentration of the studied chemicals was sprayed on one bunch of three palms (replicets) which were separated by a water sprayed bunches (control). The aforementioned treatments were applied at either the following three dates: 6 days, 12 days or at 18 days from pollination.

The following characteristics were studied:

1- Fruit set percentage:

Fruit set % =

This was calculated using the following equation:

Total number of setting fruits per bunch

Total scares number per bunch

2- Yield as average bunch weight (kg).

3- Fruit physical and chemical characteristics:

Samples of 30 date fruits were randomly picked from each bunch and evaluated the following parameters:

x 100.

3-1- Fruit, flesh and seed weights:

These were determined in 5 fruits of each replicate for each treatment and the mean of weight was calculated in grams. Also fruit weight/seed weight ratio was estimated.

3-2- Fruit dimensions:

Fruit length and diameter-were measured using individual fruits of each replicate (5 fruits) by using vernier caliper. Also, average size of the same fruits were determined by replacement of water in a graduated jar and calculated in cm3.

3-3- Fruit firmness:

It was estimated using pressure tester apparatus (Kg/cm2) (drill diameter, 0.3 cm) for the individual 5 fruits of each replicate per treatment.

3-4- Total soluble solids (TSS):

It was determined in fruit juice using carl zeiss refractmeter as described in A.O.A.C. (1980).

3-5 -Total soluble sugars:

It was dtermined in the methanolic extract using the phenol sulphuric acid method (Smith et al., 1956), which was calculated as g glucose/100 g fresh weight of fruits.

Statistical analysis:

The obtained data were subjected to analysis of variance and the mean values were compared using LSD method at 5% level. The data were tabulated and statistically factorial analyzed according to the split split plot design (Snedecor and Cochran, 1972). Also, the percentages were transformed to the arcsine to find the binomial percentage according to steel and Torrie (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4-1-1- Fruit set percentage:

Table (1) indicates that Samany fruit set percentages were significantly affected by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex at the experimented spraying dates during fruit development in both seasons. The results revealed that ethephon of all tested levels were most effective in decreasing fruit set percentages compared with cytophex and control.

Concerning the effect of spraying dates, spraying after 18 days of pollination recorded more decrease in Samany fruit set percentages followed by 12 and 6 days after pollination. The same trend was also found in the second season.

In addition, the interaction between spraying dates, growth regulators and concentrations, indicated that 300 ppm of ethephon sprayed at 18 days after pollination in the 1st and 2nd seasons gave the lowest Samany fruit set percentages comparing with all other ethephon treatments. Also, 60 ppm of cytophex sprayed after 18 days from pollination produced the lowest Samany fruit set percentages in the two seasons comparing with other cytophex concentrations. In this respect, the other cytophex treatments especially these treated after 6 or 12 days of pollination were significantly equal to control at all tested concentrations.

These results are in agreement with El-Hamady et al. (1991) who found that fruit drop percentage of Seleg and Menify date palm cvs increased as ethephon concentration increased. Meanwhile, cytophex increased fruit retention in Arumanis mango (Reynolds et al., 1992).

Bakr, E .l. et el.

Table (1): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit set percentage during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	a (ppas)				Cytophe	x (ppen)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	6.0	160	200	300	Mean	8.0	20	4	40	Mcan	General Mesa
è 4	113	\$8.04	49.07	47.55	45.96	50.15	58.04	50.29	48.91	48.36	51.40	50.77
6 days after	138	45.29	40.94	39.42	38.09	40.93	45.29	43.08	40.47	38.26	31.00	35.96
pollination	148	38.88	28.74	29.60	25.10	3Q.58	38.88	32.88	30.60	30.39	33.19	31.88
Pomirentant	Harvest	28.91	23.84	23.23	22.51	24.62	28.91	28.64	27.70	27.14	28.09	26.35
Mo	20	42.78	35.65	34.95	32.91	36.57	42.78	38.72	36.92	36.04	38.61	37.59
12 days	113	58.04	48.47	45.07	44.02	48.90	58.04	48.85	46.51	45.29	49.67	49.28
after	1.38	45.29	39.68	38.04	36.20	39.80	45.29	41.71	38.66	36.47	40.53	40.16
pollination	148	38.88	27.47	27.67	26.58	30.15	38.88	32.36	29,79	28.50	32.38	31.26
bountains	Harvest	28.91	23.40	22.75	21.64	24.17	28.91	28.05	26.63	26.02	27.40	25.78
Mo	:an	42.78	34.75	33.38	32.11	35.75	42.78	37.74	35.40	34.07	37.50	36.62
10.4	113	58.04	48.25	46.96	42.88	49.03	58.04	48.88	45.30	43.07	48.82	48.92
18 days	138	45.29	39.44	38.69	38.36	40.44	45.29	40.03	37.31	35.20	39.46	39.95
pollination	148	35.88	27.16	26.55	26.01	28.90	35.88	31.50	28.88	27.58	31.71	30.30
Popularion	Harvest	28.91	23.48	21.25	20.69	23.58	28.9l	27.53	26.06	25.24	26.93	25.25
56	an	42.78	34.58	33.36	31.98	35.49	42.78	36.98	34.39	32.77	36.73	36.11
Genera	il Mean	42.78	34.99	33.90	32.33	35.93	42.78	37.81	35.57	34.29	37.61	*****

L_S.D at	5%	Level	for	
Spraving	date	/Δ\		

Spraying date(A)	=0.637	(BxC)	=1.040	(AxBxD)	≠1.800
Substances(B)_	=0.520	(AxBxC)	=1.800	(CxD)	=1.380
(AxB)	=0.901	Date of Sample(D)	=0.736	(AxCxD)	=2.410
Concentration (C)	=0.736	(AxD)	±1.270	(BxCxD)	=2.041
(AxC)	=1.270	(BxD)	=1.040	(AxBxCxD)	=3.418

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	(ppm)				Cytophe:	x (ppm)			_
dute	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Menn	. 0.0	20	10	60	Мены	General Mean
4.	113	56.19	47 45	45.78	43.83	48.31	56.19	49.79	48.06	47 19	50.31	19.31
6 days	1,38	45.39	40.15	37.89	36.91	40.08	45.39	42.03	40.33	39.57	41.83	40.95
after	148	34.50	27.35	26.75	26.10	28.67	34 50	31.75	29.83	29.62	31 42	30.04
politration	Harvest	28.20	23.21	22.78	21.48	23.92	28.20	27.70	25.95	25.40	26.81	25.36
Me	an	41.07	34.54	33.30	32.08	35 25	41.07	37.82	36.04	35.44	37.59	36.42
13.1	113	56.19	46.74	45.78	42.08	47.70	56.19	49 11	46.55	46.27	49.53	48.61
12 days after	138	45.39	38.14	37 06	34 10	38.67	45.39	41.70	38.97	38.22	41.07	39.87
pollination	148	34.50	26.87	25.31	24.56	27 81	34.50	31.15	29 24	28.90	30.95	29.38
Pountation	Harvest	28.20	22.32	21.85	20.36	23.18	28.20	27.33	25.38	24.29	26.30	24.74
Mo	ean	41.07	33.52	32.50	30.27	34,34	41.07	37 32	35 03	34.42	36.96	35.65
10 days	113	56.19	46.93	44.68	40.43	47 06	\$6.19	48.60	46.17	45.30	49.06	48.06
18 days after	138	45.39	37.86	34.18	33.12	37.64	45.39	41 20	38.24	37 47	40.57	39.10
pollination	1.48	34.50	26.34	23.22	23.12	26.79	34.50	30.06	28.61	27.72	30.22	28.50
bodimiriou	Harvest	28.20	23.17	20.25	17.38	22.25	28.20	26 74	24 93	31 01	25 98	24.11
Mo	ean_	41.07	33.57	30.58	28.51	33.43	41.07	36.65	37 26	33.63	37.15	35 29
Genera	il Mean	41.07	33.88	32.13	30.29	34.34	41 07	37 26	36 11	34.49	37.23	*******

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Sprayingdate (A)	=0.617	(BxC)	=1.001	(AxBxD)	=1.732
Substances(B)_	=0.500	(AxBxC)	=1.752	(CxD)	=1.314
(AxB)	=0.869	Date of Sample(D)	=0.715	(AxCxD)	=2.389
Concentration (C)	=0.715	(AxD)	=1.189	(BxCxD)	=2.003
(AxC)	=1.189	(BxD)	1.001	(AxBxCxD)	=3.394

4-1-2- Bunch weight (kg):

Table (2) indicates that Samany bunch weight was significantly affected by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex sprayed at different dates after pollination in both seasons.

Concerning the effect of substances, cytophex gave the highest bunch weight than ethephon (10.41 and 10.17 kg) in the first and second seasons respectively, followed by ethephon which recorded 10.20 and 9.90 kg, respectively. However, control palm trees gave the highest Samany bunch weight in the both seasons (14.17 and 13.75 kg, respectively). The interaction between substances and concentrations also revealed that cytophex gave the highest Samany bunch weight at all concentrations used than ethephon.

These results are in agreement with Moustafa & seif (1989) who found that treatment with ethephon reduced the average bunch yield of sewy cv compared with the untreated ones. On the other side, Hussein *et al.* (1992) found that ethephon treatments had no significant effect on bunch weight.

4-1- Fruit weight (g):

Table (3) indicated that ethephon and cytophex treatments significantly affected fruit weight of Samany cv. by different spraying dates and concentrations in both seasons.

Respecting to the effect of substances, Samany fruit weights sprayed by cytophex and ethephon were differed significantly in the first season only. However, cytophex produced the highest Samany fruit weight than ethephon (30.75 and 28.49g, respectively) in the first season.

Regarding to substance concentration, it was clearly noticed that the highest concentration of both substances produced the highest Samany fruit weight in both season. 300 ppm of ethephon and cytophex at 60 ppm gave the highest Samany fruit weight in both seasons, comparing with other concentrations used.

In addition, the interaction between spraying dates, growth regulators, and their concentrations indicated that 300 ppm of ethephon sprayed at 6 days after pollination in the 1st and 2nd seasons gave the significant highest Samany fruit weight comparing with the other ethephon treatments. Also, 60 ppm of cytophex sprayed after 18 days from pollination produced the highest Samany fruit weight in the first and second seasons of study comparing with the other cytophex treatments.

These results were in agreement with Ei-Hamady et al. (1979), Moustaf &Seif (1993) and Kamal (1995) as they found that date palm fruit weight was increased with ethephon treatments than control. Also, cytophex increased berry weight of grapes (Reynolds et al., 1992) and mango fruit weight (Notodimedjo, 1998).

4-2- Flesh weight (g):

Samany flesh weight was significantly affected by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex at different dates during fruit development in both seasons (Table, 4).

Table (2): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany bunch weight during 2003- 2004 seasons.

Seasons	Spraying		Ethepl	one (p	mm)			Cytopl	ex (pr	un)		General
	date (days after - polination)	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	mean
2003	6	14.17	10.42	8.75	8.33	10.42	14.17	10.67	9.00	8.50	10.58	10.50
	12	14.17	10.17	8.50	8.8	10.23	14.17	10.42	8.75	8.42	10.44	10.33
	18	14,17	10.00	8.08	7.58	9.96	14.17	10.25	8.43	8.00	10.21	10.00
	Mean	14.17	10.19	8.44	8.00	10.20	14.17	10.44	8.73	8.31	10.41	10.30
2004	6	13.75	10.17	8.17	8.8	10.04	13.75	10.50	8.92	8.42	10.40	10.22
	12	13.75	10.00	8.33	7.67	9.94	13.75	10.00	8.75	3.25	10.19	10.06
	18	.13.75	9.92	7.83	7.33	9.71	13.75	9.92	8.25	7.57	9.92	9.81
1	Mean	13.75	10.03	8.11	7.69	9.90	13.75	10.14	8.64	8.14	10.17	10.03
	6	13.96	10.29	8.46	8.21	10.23	13.96	10.58	8.96	8.46	10.49	10.36
	12	13.96	10.08	8.42	7.87	10.08	13.96	10.21	8.75	8.33	10.31	10.19
	18	13.96	9.96	7.96	7.46	9.83	13.96	10.08	8.34	7.87	10.06	9.94
1	Mean	13.96	10.11	8.28	7.85	10.05	13.96	10.29	8.68	8.22	10.29	10.17

LSD at 5% level for:

Season 2003-2004			
Substances (A):	0.251	Date of sample : D	0.251
Concentration (B):	0.355	AxD	0.355
AxB:	0.503	BxD	0.503
Date of spraying (c):	0.308	AxBxD	0.711
AxC:	0.435	CxD	0.435
BxC:	0.616	AxCxD	0.616
AxBxC:	0.871	BxCxD	0.871
TADAC.		AxBxCxD	1.23

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (10), October, 2006

Table (3): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit weight (g) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

						7007011						
Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	n (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			
date .	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General Mean
6 days	113	19.29	23.78	25.20	26.07	23.58	19.29	22.93	25.01	26.53	23.44	23.51
alter	138	24.24	28.13	28.32	29.96	27.67	24.24	27.94	28.41	32.28	28.25	27.96
pollimation	148	28.94	30.78	31.76	32.28	30.94	28.94	31.05	33.20	35.55	32.18	31.56
poutaneou	Harvest	30.60	32.33	33.33	40.09	34.09	30.60	34.04	36.10	12.81	31.56	32.82
Me	au	25.77	28.75	29.65	32.10	29.07	25.77	28.99	30.68	34.43	29.97	29.52
12 days	113	19.29	23.48	24.33	27.68	23.69	19.29	24.45	25.70	26.48	23.98	23.83
after	138	24.24	28.12	28.63	29.78	27.69	24.24	31.17	31.97	33.48	30.21	28.95
pollication	148_	28.94	29.39	30.29	31.38	30.00	29.94	33.50	33.82	35.93	33.05	31.52
pomination	Harvest	30.60	32.03	33.64	35.31	32.89	30.60	36.61	36.52	42.65	36.59	34.74
Me	20	25.77	28.26	29.22	31.04	28.57	25.77	31.43	32.00	34.64	30.96	29.76
18 days	113	19.29	23.01	23.99	24.80	22.77	19.29	25.03	25.98	26.59	24.22	23.49
after	138	24.24	26.13	26.93	28.97	26.57	24.24	31.23	32.07	34.11	30.41	28.49
pollination	148	28.84	28.36	29.64	29.97	29.23	28.94	34.06	35 43	36.28	33.68	31.45
Posterior (1971)	Harvest	30.60	31.89	33.68	34.94	32.78	30.60	36.15	38.27	43.11	37.14	34.96
Mean		25.77	27.35	28.56	29.67	27.84	25.77	31.62	32.94	35.02	31.34	29.59
Genera	i Mean	25.77	28.12	29.14	30.94	28.49	25.77	30.68	31.87	34.69	30.75	

LS.D at 5%	Level	for	
neaving dated &			= 0.201

Spraying date(A)	= 0.294	(BxC)	=0.480	(AxBxD)	= 0.832
Substances(B)	=0.240	(AxBxC)	= 0.832	(CxD)	= 0.679
(AxB)	= 0.416	Date of Sample(D)	= 0.339	(AxCxD)	= 1.17
Concentration (C)	= 0.339	(AxD)	= 0.588	(BxCxD)	= 0.961
(AxC)	= 0.588	(BxB)	= 0.480	(AxBxCxD)	= 1.66

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	ı (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppml)		,	_
tine	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Megn	General
	113	19 27	23.60	25 11	27.03	23.75	19.27	20.37	21.49	24.09	21 30	22.53
6 days	138	23 55	26.57	28.04	29.06	26.80	23 55	24 03	26.54	27 51	25.41	26.10
after pollination	1-18	29 00	30.28	31.17	32.36	30.70	29.00	26 11	28.96	30.91	28.74	29.72
pomizion	Harvest	30.61	31 62	33.15	40.52	33.97	30.61	30.30	31 38	34 64	31 86	32.91
Me	38	25 61	28.02	29.36	32.24	18.85	25.61	25.33	27.09	29.28	26.83	27.82
12 doue	113	19.27	23.42	24.22	27.55	23.61	19 27	23 04	25.16	27.35	23 70	23.66
12 days after	138	23.55	27 61	28.53	29.59	27.32	23.55	25.98	28.23	30.43	27 05	27.18
pollination	148	29 00	29 34	30.15	31 30	29.95	29 00	31.29	32.09	32.62	31 25	30.60
Potenscion	Harvest	30.61	31.66	32.43	34 85	30.14	30.61	32 74	34 75	36.83	33 73	31.93
M:	an	25.61	28.01	28 83	30 82	28.32	25 61	28 26	30 06	31.81	28 93	28 63
t8 days	113	19 27	22.95	23.76	24.26	22.56	19.27	23 74	25.81	26.05	23.72	23.14
after	1.38	23.55	26.09	28.84	28.52	26 25	23.55	27.93	29 11	30.07	27 66	26.96
pollination	148	29 00	28 13	29.51	29 82	29 11	29.00	28 77	30.61	34.44	30 70	29 91
pottication	Harvest	- 30.61	31 83	33.28	34.55	32.57	30.61	30.56	34.11	37.76	33.26	32.91
Me	ลก	25.61	27 25	28.53	29.29	27 62	25.61	27 75	29 91	32.08	28.84	28.23
Genera	l Mean	25.61	27 76	28.85	30 78	28.25	25.61	27.11	29.02	31.06	28.20	******

LS	D at	50%	Level	for
----	------	-----	-------	-----

PRODUCTOR TENEL ION					
 Sprayingdate (A) 	= 0.213	(BxC)	=0.347	(AxBxD)	=0.602
Substances(B)	= 0.174	(AsBsC)	=0.602	(CxD)	= 0.492
(AxB)	= 0.301	Date of Sample(D)	=0.246	(AxCxD)	=0 352
Concentration (C)	=0.246	(AxD)	= 0.426	(BxCxD)	= 0 695
(AsC)	= 0.426	(BxD)	= 0.347	(AxBxCxD)	= 1.20

Bakr, E .l. et al.

Table (4): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit flesh weight (g) during 2003-2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	n (ppnı)				Cytophe	x (ppns)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Meun	0.0	. 20	40	60	Mean	Genera
4.4	113	17.42	21 88	23.39	24 19	21.72	17.42	21.02	23.23	21.70	21.59	21.65
6 days after	138	22.21	26.07	26.34	27.67	25.57	22.21	25.85	26.46	30.57	26.27	25.92
pollination	148	26.72	28.52	29.61	29.43	28.57	26.72	28.83	30.91	32.56	29.75	29.16
positization	Harvest	28.12	29.82	30.90	36.92	31.44	28.12	31.59	33.82	39.52	33.26	32.35
Mo	an	23.62	26.57	27.56	29.55	26.83	23.62	26.82	28.61	31.84	27.72	27.28
12 4	113	17.42	21.53	22.33	25.64	21.73	17.42	22.51	23.73	24.37	22.01	21.81
12 days after	138	22.21	25.95	26.55	27.52	25.56	22.21	29.09	29.86	31.14	28.07	26.82
pollination	148	26.72	26.92	28.05	28.69	27.59	26.72	31.11	31.55	33.21	30.64	29.12
Poniminon	Harvest	28.12	29.06	31.03	32.33	30 14	28.12	33.51	33.93	39.34	33.72	31.93
Mic	an	23.62	25.87	26.99	28.55	26.25	23.62	29.06	29.77	32.01	28.61	27.43
10 4	113	17.42	21.05	21.99	22.73	20.80	17.42	23.07	24.04	24.46	22.25	21.52
18 days after	138	22.21	23.91	24.77	26.67	24.39	22.21	29.13	29.80	31.78	29.23	26.86
pollination	148	26.72	25.69	27.14	27.40	26.74	26.72	31.61	32.76	33.72	31.20	28.97
hours arron	Harvest	28.12	28.69	30.77	31.98	29.89	28.12	33.19	35.20	40.24	34.19	32.04
N <u>le</u>	20	23.62	24.84	26.17	27.19	25.45	23.62	29,25	30.45	32.55	28.97	27.21
Genera	l Mean	23.62	25.76	26.91	28.43	26.18	23.62	28.38	29.61	32.13	28.43	

· ·	\mathbf{r}	 6.02	أمرده	£

Sprayingdate(A)	=0.299	(BxC)	≈ 0.498	(AxBxD)		=0.847
Substances(B)	=0.244	(AxBxC)	= 0.847	(CxD)		= 0.691
(AxB)	= 0.423	Date of Sample(D)	= 0.345	(AxCxD)		= 1.19
Concentration (C)	= 0.345	(AxD)	= 0.599	(BxCxD)		= 0.978
(AsC)	= 0.599	(BxD)	= 0.439	(AxBxCxD)	,	= 1 69

Spraying	Sampling	Ethephon (ppm) Cytophex (ppm)							[_		
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Menn	0.0	20	10	60	Мези	General
4.4	113	17 52	21.78	23 35	25 21	21 96	17.52	18 43	19 69	19 67	18 82	20 39
6 days after	1.38	21.68	24 63	26.09	26 86	24 82	21 68	21.98	24 57	25 23	23 36	24 09
pollination	148	26.55	28.23	29 00	29.42	28.33	26 55	23 94	26 77	27 72	26.24	27.29
pomination	Harvest	27.72	29.27	30.65	37 35	31 25	27.72	28 37	28 91	31 41	29 10	29 75
Mo	an	23.37	25.98	27.29	29.71	26.59	23.37	23.18	24.99	26.66	24.55	25 38
	113	17.52	21 54	22.30	21 72	22.49	17.52	21.12	23.21	25.29	21.78	22 14
12 days after	138	21.68	25.64	26.51	27.36	25 30	21.68	2: 94	26.16	28.09	24.97	25 13
pullination	148	26.55	27.07	27 99	28.60	27.55	26.55	28.96	29 85	29.87	28.81	28 15
punnation	Harvest	27.72	28 76	29 86	31 68	29 50	27.72	29 50	32 14	33.57	30 74	30 12
Mo	ะแถ	23.37	25.75	26.67	28 29	26.02	23 37	25.88	27.84	29 21	26 57	26 0
10.4	113	17 52	21.02	21 82	22.26	20.65	17.52	21 79	23.83	24.00	21.78	21.93
18 days	138	21.68	24.05	24.75	26 34	24.20	21 68	25 81	26.89	27.83	25 55	24 87
after pollination	148	26.55	25.60	27.02	27.27	26 61	26 35	26 11	28.03	31.97	28 16	27.39
Politication	Harvest	27 72	28.62	30.37	31.74	29 61	27.72	27.26	30.96	34.91	30 21	29 91
	20	23.37	24.82	25.99	26.90	25 27	23 37	25 24	27.43	29 68	26 43	25 85
Genera	l Mean	23.37	25.52	26.65	28 30	25.96	23.37	24.77	26 75	28 51	25 85	

LS Dat 5% Level for					
Spraving date (A)	=0.224	(BxC)	=1) +18	(AxBxD)	=0 634
Substances(B)	= 0.183	(AxBxC)	=0.455	(CxD)	= 0.517
(AxB)	= 0.317	Date of Sample(D)	≠ 0.258	(AxCxD)	=0.896
Concentration (C)	=0.258	(AxD)	=0 +48	(BxCxD)	= 0.732
(AxC)	= 0.148	(BxD)	=0.366	(AsBsCsD)	= 1.26
		6632			

Regarding to the effect of substances, cytophex produced the highest Samany flesh weight than ethephon. As for the concentration of substance, it was clearly noticed that the highest concentration of both substances raised Samany flesh weight. (28.43 and 28.30 g) for ethephon and (32.13 and 28.51 g) for cytophex.

The interaction of spraying date, substances used and concentrations revealed that 60 ppm of cytophex sprayed at 18 days after pollination produced the highest Samany flesh weight (40.24 g) in the first season comparing with other treatments. While, in the second season, 300 ppm of ethephon sprayed at 6 days after pollination gave the highest Samany flesh weight (29.55 g).

These results are in agreement with Moustafa & seif (1989) and Bassal & El-Deeb (2003) as they found that ethephon in the high concentration increased flesh weight of date palm fruits.

4-3- Seed weight:

Data presented in table (5) revealed that ethephon sprayed at 300 ppm or cytophex at 60 ppm after 6 days from pollination raised seed weight compared with other tested concentrations and control at different spraying dates. However, no significant differences were found between spraying dates of ethephon at 300 ppm or cytophex at 60 ppm. This trend was generally observed in both seasons.

5- Fruit dimensions:

5-1- Fruit length (cm):

Samany fruit length was significantly affected by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex at different dates development in both seasons (Table, 6).

Concerning the effect of spraying date, spraying after 12 days of pollination recorded increasing in Samany fruit length (5.48 cm) followed by 18 and 6 days after pollination (5.32 and 5.15 cm, respectively) in the first season. While, in the second, season spraying after 18days after pollination showed significant increment in Samany fruit length (5.62 cm).

Respecting to the effect of substances, Samany fruit length was significantly affected by spraying cytophex or ethephon regardless spraying dates as compared with control in both seasons. However, no significant differences could be detected between the means of both substances.

Regarding to the concentration, it was clearly noticed that the highest concentration of both substances produced the highest Samany fruit length in both seasons. Ethephon at 300 ppm or cytophex at 60 ppm gave the highest Samani fruit length in both seasons, comparing with other used concentrations.

The interaction between spraying date, substance, and their concentrations indicated that 300 ppm of ethephon sprayed at 12 or 18 days after pollination gave the higest Samany fruit length comparing with other interactions. Meanwhile, cytophex at 60 ppm at any tested spraying dates had the highest effect on increasing fruit length.

Bakr, E.I. et al.

Table (5): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany seed weight (g) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	ո (բրա)				Cytophe	х (ррнь)			_
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	,500	Mean	0,0	20	10	60	Мези	General
	113	1.87	1.90	1.80	1.87	1.86	1.87	1.92	1.77	1.83	1 85	1.85
6 days after	138	2.03	2.06	1.98	2.29	2.09	2.03	2.08	1.95	2.25	2.08	2.05
pollination	148	2.22	2.26	2.16	2.85	2.37	2.22	2.22	2 29	2.98	2.43	2.40
ponination	Harvest	2.48	2.51	2.43	3.17	2.65	2.48	2.45	2.27	3.29	2.62	2.64
Me	20	2.15	2.18	2.09	2.55	2.24	2.15	2.17	2.07	2.59	2.24	2.24
12 days	113	1.87	1.95	1.99	2.04	1.96	1.87	1.93	1.98	2.12	1.97	1.97
12 days after	138	2.03	2.17	2.09	2.26	2,14	2.03	2.08	2.11	2.34	2.14	2 14
pollination	148	2.22	2.47	2.24	2.69	2.40	2.22	2.38	2.26	2.72	2.39	2.40
ponnation	Harvest	2.48	2.97	2.60	2.98	2.76	2.48	3.10	2.59	3.31	2.87	2.81
Me	an	2.15	2.39	2.23	2,49	2.31	2.15	2.37	2.24	2.62	2.39	2.33
10 4	113	1.87	1.96	1.99	2.07	1.97	1.87	1.96	1.94	2.13	1.97	1.97
18 days after	138	2.03	2.22	2.15	2.30	2.17	2.03	2.10	2.27	2.33	2.18	2.18
pollination	148	2.22	2.67	2.50	2.57	2.49	2.22	2.46	2.68	2.56	2.48	2.48
pomización	Harvest	2.48	3.21	2.91	2.97	2.89	2.48	2.96	3.07	2.87	2.84	2.87
Mo	an	2.15	2.51	2.39	2.48	2.38	2.15	2.37	2.49	2.47	2.37	2.37
Genera	il Mean	2.15	2.36	2.24	2.51	2.31	2.15	2.30	2.27	2.56	2.32	*****

L.S.D at 5% Level for

Spraying date(A)	=0.046	(BxC)	= 0.076	(AxBxD)	=0.132
Substances(B)	=N.S	(AxBxC)	= 0132	(CxD)	= 0.108
(AxB)	= 0.066	Date of Sample(D)	⇒ 0.054	(AxCxD)	= 0.187
Concentration (C)	= 0.054	(AxD)	= 0.093	(BxCxD)	= 0.152
(AxC)	= 0.093	(BxD)	= 0.076	(AxBxCxD)	 = 0 264

2004 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	(ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			_
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Менн	0.0	20	10	60	Меан	General Mean
	113	1.76	1.82	1.76	1.82	1.79	1.76	193	1 80	182	183	181
6 days	138	1.87	1.93	1.94	2.20	1.99	1 87	2.05	1 97	2 28	2 04	2 01
after	148	2.45	2.05	2.08	2.94	2.38	2.45	217	2 18	3 18	250	5 11
pollination	Harvest	2.89	2.35	2.50	3.17	2.73	2.89	2.43	2 47	3 22	2.75	2 74
Me	an	2.24	2.04	2.07	2.53	2.22	2.24	2 15	2.11	2 63	2 28	2 25
	113	1.76	1.87	1.91	2.01	1.89	1.76	1.92	1 95	2 05	192	190
12 days	138	1.87	1 97	2.02	2.23	2.02	1.87	2.04	2 07	2.34	2 08	2 0 5
after pollination	148	2.45	2.27	2.16	2.71	2.40	2.45	2 32	2 24	2 75	3 44	2.42
pournation	Harvest	2.89	2.90	2 57	3 17	2.86	2.89	3 24	2 6 2	3 26	3.00	294
Мо	an	2.24	2.25	2.16	2.53	2.29	2.24	2.38	2 22	2 60	2.36	231
	113	1.76	1.93	1.94	2.00	191	1.76	1.95	1 97	2.05	193	1.92
18 days	1,38	1.87	2.04	2.09	2.19	2.05	1.87	212	2 22	2 23	211	2 08
after	148	2.45	2.53	2.49	2.55	2.50	2.45	2.65	2.58	2.47	2.54	2.52
pollimation	Harvest	2.89	3 21	2.91	2.81	2 95	2.89	3.29	3 15	2 85	3 04	3 00
Me	ean	2.24	2.43	2.36	2.39	2.35	2.24	2.50	2.48	2.40	2 40	2.38
Genera	il Mean	2.24	2.24	2.20	2.48	2.29	2 24	2.34	2.27	2.54	2 35	

L.S.D at 5% Level for

Spraying date (A)	≠0 06-4	(BxC)	=0.105	(AxBxD)	=0.181
Substances(B)	= 0.052	(AxBxC)	=0.131	(CxD)	= 0148
(AxB)	≈ 0.0400	Date of Sample(D)	=0 074	(AxCxD)	=0.257
Concentration (C)	=0.074	(AxD)	= 0.128	(BxCxD)	= 0.210
(AxC)	= 0.128	(BxD)	⇒ ¥0.105	(AxBxCxD)	= 0.363

6634

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (10), October, 2006

Table(6): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit length (cm) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	n (ppm)			Cytophex (ppm)					
date	Date (days) after pollination	u. 0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General Meas
	113	4.20	4.50	5.03	5.10	4,71	4.20	4.60	4.87	5.20	4.76	4.73
6 days	138	4.50	4.60	5.13	5.30	4.88	4.50	5.03	5.30	4.47	5.19	5.04
polination	148	4.87	4.97	5.37	5.50	5.17	4.87	5.33	5.60	5.70	5.45	5.31
bosneron	Harvest	5.10	5.30	5.57	5.67	5.41	5.10	5.63	5.77	5.83	5.65	5.53
Me	an	4.67	4.84	5.27	5.39	5.04	4.67	5.15	5.38	5.55	5.26	5.15
12 days	113	4.20	4.63	5.10	5.27	4.80	4.20	4.60	5.10	5.20	4.77	4.78
12 days after	138	4.50	4.90	5.40	5.53	5.08	4.50	5.13	5.33	5.63	5.15	5.11
pollination	148	4.87	5.27	5.67	5.77	5.39	4.87	5.33	5.60	5.80	5.40	5.39
pontination	Harvest	5.10	5.67	5.73	5.87	5.59	5.10	5.70	5.83	5.90	5.63	5.61
Me	20	4.67	5.12	5.47	5.61	5.47	4.67	5.19	5.47	5.63	5.49	5.48
19 -1	113	4.20	4.77	5.23	5.40	4.90	4.20	5.03	5.10	5.33	4.91	4.90
18 days	138	4.50	5.37	5.50	5.70	5.27	4.50	5.30	5.53	5.60	5.23	5.25
pollination	148	4.87	5,57	5.67	5.77	5.47	4.87	5.50	5.73	5.80	5.47	5.47
positization	Harvest	5.10	5.73	5.77	5.90	5.62	5.10	5.87	5.90	5.90	5.69	5.65
Me	an	4.67	5.36	5.54	5.69	5.31	4.67	5.42	5.57	5.66	5.33	5.32
Genera	il Mean	4.67	5.11	5.43	5.56	5.19	4.67	5.26	5.47	5.61	5.25	ļ

L.S.D at 5% Level for

Spraying date(A) Substances(B)_ (AxB) Concentration (C)	=0.22 =0.018 = 0.031 = 0.025 = 0.044	(BxC) (AxBxC) Date of Sample(D) (AxD) (BxD)	=0.036 = 0.062 = 0.025 = 0.044 = 0.036	(AxBxD) (CxD) (AxCxD) (BxCxD) (AxBxCxD)		=0.026 = 0.050 = 0.088 = 0.072 = 0.124
(AxC)	- 0.044	(BxD)	- 0.036	(AxBxCxD)		- 0.124

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	(ppm)				Cytophe	(ppm)			-
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	160	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General
	113	4.73	4.83	5.00	5.13	4.92	4.73	4.77	5.20	\$.47	5.07	4.99
6 dayı	138	5.17	5.27	5.47	5.63	5.38	5,17	5.33	5.57	5.70	5.47	5.43
after pollination	148	5.43	5.50	5.73	5.80	5.62	5.43	5.70	5.80	5.83	5.72	5.67
pontnation	Harvest	5.60	5.80	6.00	6.13	5.88	5.60	5.97	6.10	6.10	5.98	5.93
Me	Mean		\$.35	\$.55	5.67	5.45	5.23	5.44	5.67	5.77	5.56	5.51
	113	4.73	5.17	5.17	5.33	5.10	4.73	5.17	5.30	5.33	5.13	5.11
12 days	138	5.17	5.57	5.67	5.87	5.57	5.17	5.43	5.70	5.77	5.52	5.54
after	148	5.43	5.87	5.90	6.10	5.82	5.43	5.53	5.90	5.97	5.71	5.76
polination	Harvest	5.60	6.07	6.17	6.40	6.06	5.60	5.70	6.20	6.30	5.95	6.00
Me	211	5.23	5.67	5.72	5.92	5.63	5.23	5.46	5.77	5.84	5.57	5.60
40.	113	4.73	5.13	5.20	5.23	5.07	4.73	5.27	5.43	5.47	5.22	5.14
18 days	138	5.17	5.47	5.63	5.67	5.48	5.17	5.70	5.77	5.80	5.61	5.54
after	148	5.43	5.67	5.80	6.00	5.72	5.43	5.90	5,90	6.00	5.81	5.76
pollination	Harvest	5.60	5.93	6.20	6.33	6.01	5.60	6.10	6.27	6.33	6.07	6.04
Me	Mean		5.55	5.71	5.81	5.57	5.23	5.74	5.84	5.90	5.68	5.62
Genera	l Mean	5.23	5 52	5.66	5.80	5.55	5.23	5.55	5.76	5.84	5.59	

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Spraying date (A)	=0.034	(BxC)	=0.056	(AxBxD)	- 0.098
Substances(B)	- 0.028	(AxBxC)	=0.098	(CxD)	- 0.080
(AxB)	- 0.049	Date of Sample(D)	= 0.040	(AxCxD)	=0.139
Concentration (C)	=0.040	(AxD)	0.069	(BxCxD)	= 0.113
(AxC)	- 0. 0 69	(BxD)	- 0.056	(AxBxCxD)	- 0.197
• /		6635			

These results were in agreement with Moustafa & Seif (1989) who found that date palm fruit length was increased with ethephon 300 ppm than other treatments.

5-2- Fruit diameter (cm):

Data in table (7) Indicated that Samany fruit diameter was affected by ethephon and cytophex at different concentration and dates of application in both seasons. However, spraying with cytophex at the first season was more effective than ethephon in this respect, meanwhile; they were significantly equal at the second season.

Concerning the effect of spraying date, there was an increase in fruit diameter by late spray (18 days after pollination) of cytophex in both seasons.

Concentration of substance produced the greatest effect on Samany fruit diameter in both seasons. 300 ppm of ethephon and 60 ppm of cytophex gave the highest significantly Samany fruit diameter comparing with other concentrations used in both seasons.

Moreover, the interactions between spraying date, tested substances and their concentrations revealed that the greatest diameter at harvest was obtained with 300ppm ethephon sprayed after 12 and 6 days from pollination in the first and second seasons, respectively. Meanwhile, 60 ppm cytophex produced the greatest fruit diameter in both seasons.

The above mentioned results are in the same line with El-Hamady et al. (1979) and Bassal & El –Deeb (2003) as they found that ethephon treatments increased fruit diameter of date palm than control.

6- Fruit size (cm3):

Data presented in table (8) indicated that the tested substances at different concentrations and dates of spray significantly affected Samany fruit size in both seasons. However, cytophex was more effective than ethephon in the second season but they were equal in the first season.

Concerning the effect of spraying date, spraying after 12 days of pollination recorded much increase in fruit size in the first season, but spraying after 6 days was batter in the second season. Meanwhile, late spray (18 days after pollination) was the best for cytophex in both seasons.

Regarding to the substance concentration, it was clearly noticed that the highest concentration of both substances raised Samany fruit size in both seasons, respectively comparing with other concentrations used.

Moreover the interactions between spraying date, substances, and their concentrations, indicated that the highest Samany fruit size at harvest was produced by 300 ppm ethephon sprayed after 12 or 6 days from pollination in the first and second seasons respectively, and with 60 ppm cytophex sprayed after 12 or 18 days from pollination in both seasons.

The above mentioned results are parallel with El-Hamady (1993), Kamal (1995) and Bassal and El Deeb (2003) with date palm and Notodimedjo (1998) with mango as they found increase in fruit size by spraying these substances.

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (10), October, 2006

Table (7): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit diameter (cm) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	ı (ppm)			Cytophex (ppm)					
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	,300	Mean	0.0	. 20	40	60	Мезн	Cenera Mean
	113	2.43	2.57	2.70	2.93	2.66	2.43	2.77	293	3 13	2.81	2 73
6 days after	138	2.77	2.87	3.07	3.10	2 95	2.77	3 13	3 23	3 50	3.16	3 05
pollination	148	2.90	3.07	3.27	3.37	3.15	2.90	3.33	3.43	3.60	3.31	3.23
pountation -	Harvest	3.20	3.27	3.47	3.50	3.36	3.20	3.53	3.63	3.80	3.54	3.45
Mean		2.82	2.94	3.12	3.22	3.03	2.82	3.19	3.31	3.51	3.21	3.12
	113	2 43	2 70	2.83	3 10	276	243	583	3.00	3.20	2.86	2.81
12 days after	1.38	2.77	2.90	3.23	3.30	3.05	2 77	3.20	3.40	3.57	3.23	3.14
pollination	148	2.90	3.27	3.43	3.50	3.27	2.90	3.30	3.50	3.73	3.36	3.31
positisation	Harvest	3.20	3.43	3.60	3.70	3.48	3.20	3.50	3.67	3.80	3.54	3.51
Me	20	2.82	3.07	3.27	3.40	3.14	2.82	3.21	3.39	3.57	3.25	3.19
10 4	113	2.43	2.73	2.83	3.20	2.80	2.43	2.83	3.07	3.17	2.87	2.83
18 days after	138	2.77	3.13	3.23	3.33	3.11	2.77	3.07	3.47	3.57	3.22	3.16
pollination -	148	2.90	3.33	3.43	3.53	3.30	2.90	3.27	3.60	3.77	3.38	3.34
	Harvest	3.20	3.57	3.63	3.63	3.51	3.20	3.47	3.73	3.90	3.57	3.54
Me	30	3.82	3.19	3.28	3.42	3.43	3.82	3.16	3.47	3.60	3.51	3.47
Genera	l Mean	3.82	3.07	3.23	3.35	3.37	3.82	3.19	3.39	3.56	3.49	*****

L.S.D at 5% Level for						
Spraying date(A)	=0.020	(BxC)	=0.032	(AxBxD)		=0.056
Substances(B)	=0.016	(AxBxC)	=0.056	(CxD)		=0.046
(AxB)	=0.028	Date of Sample(D)	=0.023	(AxCxD)		=0.080
Concentration (C)	=0.023	(AxD)	=0.040	(BxCxD)		=0.080
(AxC)	=0.040	(BxD)	=0.032	(AxBxCxD)	, ,	=0 065

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	ı (ppm)				Cytophe:	x (ppm)			l _
date (days	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	,300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Meza	Cicneral Mean
	113	2.80	2.83	2 97	3.00	2.90	2.80	2.83	2.93	3 00	2.89	2 89
6 days after pollination	1,38	3.20	3.07	3.20	3.37	3 21	3.20	3.03	3 20	3.27	3 17	3 19
	148	3.27	3.23	3.37	3 50	3.34	3.27	3 13	3.30	3.37	3 26	3 30
	Harvest	3.40	3.53	3.57	3.70	3 55	3.40	3 27	3.40	3.53	3 40	3.47
Mean		3.17	3.17	3.27	3.39	3.25	3 17	3.07	3.21	3.29	3 18	3 22
12 dawa	113	2 80	3.00	3.07	3.13	3.00	2.80	2.83	2.97	3.00	2 90	2.95
12 days after	138	3.20	3.27	3.30	3.37	3.28	3.20	3.30	3.20	3.40	3.27	3.28
pollination	148	3 27	3.37	3.47	3 53	3.41	3 27	3.40	3.30	3.50	3 37	3 39
pountation	Harvest	3.40	3.47	3.57	3.67	3 53	3.40	3.47	3.40	3.67	3.48	3.51
Me	an	3.17	3.27	3.35	3.42	3.30	3.17	3.25	3.22	3.39	3 26	3 28
18 days	113	2 80	2.87	2.97	3.03	2 92	2.80	3.00	3.07	3.07	2.98	2.95
after	1.38	3.20	3.17	3.23	3.27	3.22	3.20	3.30	3.37	3.27	3.28	3 25
pollination	148	3.27	3.27	3.37	3.40	3.33	3.27	3.43	3.50	3.47	3.42	3 37
	Harvest	3,40	3.40	3.50	3.57	3 47	3.40	3.47	3.60	3.67	3.53	3.30
Me	ean	3 17	3.17	3.27	3.32	3 23	3.17	3.30	3.38	3.37	3.30	3 27
Genera	d Mean	3.17	3.21	3.30	3 38	3.26	3.17	3.21	3 27	3.35	3.25	

		6637			
(AsC)	=0.050	(BsD)	=0.041	(AxBxCxD)	=0.144
Concentration (C)	-0.029	(AxD)	-0.050	(BsCsD)	≖0 0だ3
(AxB)	=0.036	Date of Sample(D)	=0.029	(AsCxD)	=0 101
Substances(B)	=0.020	(AxBxC)	=0.072	(CxD)	≠ 0 058
Spraying date (A)	=0.025	(BxC)	=0 041	(AxBxD)	=0 072
L.8 Dat 5% Level for				•	

Bakr, E .i. et al.

Table (8): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit size (cm³) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying			Ethepho	n (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			T
dute	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	LOO	200	300	Mran	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General Mesa
£ dana	113	13.33	18.00	18.83	21.17	17.83	13.33	14.33	17.00	18.00	15.66	16.73
6 days after	138	18.93	23.97	26.00	28.53	24.36	18.93	22.67	25.67	28.83	24.02	24.19
politication	148	23.17	27.83	30.67	31.33	28.25	23.17	26.33	28.00	33.17	27.67	27.96
politization	Ilarvest	29.17	31.67	33.00	34.50	32.08	29.17	31.50	32.17	36.67	32.38	32.23
Me	an	21.15	25.37	27.13	28.88	25.63	21.15	23.71	25.71	29.17	24.93	25.28
12 days	113	13.33	19.83	21.00	23.17	19.33	13.33	17.67	20.17	21.17	80.81	18.71
after	1,38	18.93	28.00	29.67	30.67	26.82	18.93	24.50	28.47	30.33	25.56	26.19
pollination	148	23.17	31.50	32.67	34.33	30.42	23.17	29.00	31.00	34.00	29.29	29.86
pouniation	Harvest	29.17	34.67	35.67	38.00	34.38	29.17	33.13	34.00	37.00	33 32	33.85
Me	an	21.15	28.50	29.75	31.54	27.73	21.15	26.08	28.41	30.63	26.57	27.15
18 days	113	13.33	16.00	16.00	17.33	15.66	13.33	17.17	18.33	19 33	17.04	16.35
after	1.38	18.93	24.67	24.00	27.67	23.82	18.93	27.00	27.83	28.83	25.65	24 73
politination	148	23.17	29.00	29.33	33.67	28.79	23.17	29.80	30.67	31.83	28.87	28.83
poznaton	Harvest	29.17	33.00	33.83	37.00	33.25	29.17	33.00	34.33	36.67	33.29	33.27
Me	Mean		25.67	25.79	28.92	25.38	21.15	26.74	27,79	29.17	26.21	25.80
Genera	General Mean		26.51	27.56	29.78	26.25	21.15	25.51	27.30	29.65	25.90	*****

L.S.Dat 5% Level for					
Spraying date(A)	=0.415	(BxC)	=0.678	(AxBxD)	=1 17
Substances(B)_	=0.339	(AxBxC)	=1.17	(CxD)	=0.959
(AxB)	=0.587	Date of Sample(D)	=0.479	(AxCxD)	=0.166
Concentration (C)	=0.479	(AxD)	=0.831	(BxCxD)	=1.35
(AxC)	=0.83 t	(BxD)	=0.678	(AxBxCxD)	=2.35

2004 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	ı (ppnı)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			_
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0 .0	20	40	60	Mean	General
4 4	113	20.00	24.00	24 00	26.00	23.50	20.00	22 00	22.67	23 67	22 08	22.79
6 days — after — pollination —	138	27.00	27.00	30.00	28.67	28.17	27.00	26.00	27.67	27 83	27.12	27 65
	148	29.00	30.00	33.67	34.00	31.67	29.00	29 00	30 00	31 00	29 75	30 71
	Harvest	31.67	32.50	31.00	39.67	33.71	31.67	31.33	32.33	34.33	32.41	33.06
Mean		26.92	28.38	29.67	32.08	29.26	26.92	27.08	28.17	29.21	27 84	28.56
12 done	113	20.00	25.00	26.00	24.33	23.83	20.00	22 00	23.67	24.83	22 62	23 23
12 days after	138	27.00	30.00	32.00	30.67	29.92	27.00	28.00	29.33	30 83	28 79	29.35
pollination	148	29.00	30.83	34.00	35 00	32.21	29.00	32.00	32.00	33.00	31 50	31 85
pottituation	Harvest	31 67	32.33	36.67	37.33	34.50	31 67	33.67	34.67	36 00	34 00	34 25
Мо	an	26.92	29.54	32.17	32.33	30.24	36.92	28.92	29.92	31.17	29.23	29 74
19 4	113	20.00	20.67	23.00	26.33	22.50	20.00	22.00	24.00	26.00	23.00	22 75
18 days	138	27.00	27.00	28.00	30.00	28.00	27.00	29.17	29.67	32.00	29 46	28.73
after pollination	148	29.00	28.00	29.50	31.33	29.46	29.00	30.00	33.67	35.17	31 96	30.71
Pouramillon	Harvest	31.67	30.00	~ 31.00	34.00	31.67	31.67	34.00	35.33	37.00	34.50	33 08
Me	Mean		26.42	27.88	30.42	27.91	26.92	28 79	30.67	32 54	29 73	28.82
Genera	General Mean		28.11	29.90	31.61	29.13	26.92	28.26	29.58	30 97	28 93	

=0 276	(BxC)	=0.450	(AxBxD)	=0 780
=0.225	(AxBxC)	= 0.780	(CxD)	= 0.637
= 0.390	Date of Sample(D)	= 0.318	(AxCxD)	= 1.10
= 0.318	(AxD)	= 0.552	(BxCxD)	= 0.901
= 0.552	(BxD)	= 0.450	(AxBxCxD)	= 1.56
	=0.225 = 0.390 = 0.318	=0.225 (AxBxC) = 0.390 Date of Sample(D) = 0.318 (AxD)	=0.225 (Ax8xC) = 0.780 = 0.390 Date of Sample(D) = 0.318 = 0.318 (AxD) = 0.552	=0.225 (AxBxC) = 0.780 (CxD) = 0.390 Date of Sample(D) = 0.318 (AxCxD) = 0.318 (AxD) = 0.552 (BxCxD)

6638

7- Fruit firmness (kg/cm³):

Data in table (9) indicated that Samany fruit firmness was significantly not affected by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex at different concentration and dates of application in the first season. Meanwhile in the second season there were significant reduction due to spraying of both chemicals specially at high concentration (200 and 300 ppm of ethephon or 40 and 60 ppm of cytophex) at early spraying date (6 days after pollination) and all tested concentrations when sprayed at 12 or 18 days after pollination.

Regarding to the substances under study, ethephon resulted in lower Samany fruit firmness in both seasons compared with cytophex, but differences between them were insignificant.

Concerning the interaction between spraying dates, substance, and their concentrations; 300 ppm of ethephon and 60 ppm cytophex sprayed at 18 days after pollination in the 1st and 2nd seasons gave the lowest Samany fruit firmness, respectively; comparing with other interactions.

These results are in agreement with El-Azzouni et al. (1975) who found that spraying ethephon on date palm fruits at 250 ppm reduced the fruit firmness of Samany and Zaghloul cvs.

8- Fruit content of TSS %:

Samany fruit content of TSS was significantly affected by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex at different dates of spraying in both seasons (Table, 10). However, ethephon was significantly more effective in this concern than cytophex in the two seasons.

Concerning the effect of spraying dates the two substances under study spraying after 18 days of pollination recorded increasing in Samany fruit content of TSS % than other spraying dates in the two seasons.

Regarding the tested concentrations, it was clearly noticed that the highest concentration of both substances produced the highest Samany fruit content of TSS in both seasons comparing with other concentrations used.

In addition, the interaction between spraying date, substance and their concentrations indicated that 300 ppm of ethephon sprayed at 18 days after pollination in both seasons gave the highest TSS of Samany fruits (28.03 and 29.37%) comparing with other interactions. Also, 60 ppm of cytophex sprayed after 12 and 18 days from pollination in the first and second seasons produced the highest Samany fruit content of TSS (26.74and 29.63%, respectively) comparing with other interactions.

These results are in agreement with El-Azzouni (1975), Kamal (1995) and Bassl and El Deeb (2003) as they found that date palm fruit TSS were increased with ethephon treatments than control. Also in mango Notodimedjo (1998) found that cytophex application increased fruit TSS%.

9- Fruit content of total soluble sugars (%):

Table (11) cleared that Samany fruit content of total soluble sugars was significantly increased by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex at different dates in both seasons. Ethephon produced the highest Samany fruit content of total soluble sugars than cytophex in the first seasons, while; the opposite was true in the second season.

Bakr, E .i. et al.

Table (9): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit firmness (kg/cm²) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	n (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppn:)			T
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	46	60	Mean	General
6.40	113	7.33	7.20	7.03	6.73	7.07	7.33	7.13	7.10	7.03	7.15	7.11
6 days after pollination	138	7.00	6.63	6.53	6.40	6.64	7.00	6.50	6.53	6.40	6.61	6.62
	148	6.47	6.40	6.23	6.20	6.32	6.47	6.53	6.43	6.33	6.44	6.38
	Harvest	6.27	6.23	6.20	6.10	6.20	6.27	6.30	6.23	6.20	6.25	6.22
Me	an_	6.77	6.62	6.50	6.36	6.56	6.77	6.62	6.57	6.49	6.61	6.59
12 days	113	7.33	7.10	6.90	6.73	7.01	7.33	7.20	7.10	7.07	7.20	7.10
after	138	7.00	6.57	6.73	6.63	6.73	7.00	6.53	6.40	6.37	6.57	6.65
pollination	148	6.47	6.40	6.27	6.13	6.32	6.47	6.33	6.23	6.10	6.28	6.30
Politication	Harvest	6.27	6.20	6.10	6.07	6.16	6.27	6.37	6.30	6.20	6.28	6.22
Me	an	6.77	6.57	6.50	6.39	6.55	6.77	6.61	6.51	6.43	6.58	6.57
10 dama	113	7.33	7.17	6.83	6.70	7.00	7.33	7.07	7.07	7.03	7.12	7.06
18 days after	138	7.00	6.53	6.50	6.40	6.61	7.00	6.53	6.33	6.23	6.52	6.57
pollination	148	6.47	6.30	6.17	6.03	6.24	6.47	6.27	6.10	6.13	6.24	6.24
positization	Harvest	6.27	6.17	6.07	5.97	6.12	6.27	6.07	6.20	6.13	6.17	6.14
Mo	Mean		6.54	6.39	6.27	6.49	6.77	6.48	6.42	6.38	6.51	6.50
Genera	General Mean		6.57	6.46	6.34	6.5∓	6 77	6.57	6.50	6.44	6.57	

LS.D	at	5%	Level	for

L3.Dat 370 Level lut						
Spraying date(A)	= 0.037	(BxC)	=0.600	(AxBxD)		= 0.105
Substances(B)	=0.030	(AxBxC)	=0.105	(CxD)		=0.085
(AxB)	=0.048	Date of Sample(D)	=0.042	(AxCxD)		=0.148
Concentration (C)	=0.042	(AxD)	=0.074	(BxCxD)		=0.121
(AxC)	=0.074	(BxD)	=0.060	(AxBxCxD)		=0.210

2004 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	ı (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	,300	Mean	0.0	20	10	69	Mean	General
6 days	113	7.07	6.90	6.63	6.57	6.79	7 07	7 00	6.90	6.77	697	6.88
after	138	6.83	6.50	6.40	6.30	6.51	6.83	6.63	6.50	6.37	6.58	6.55
pollination	148	6.40	6.40	6.30	6.07	6.29	6.40	6.40	6.30	6.03	6.32	6.30
pomination	Harvest	6.33	6.27	6.10	6.00	6.17	6.33	6 23	6.07	5.83	612	6.15
Me	ะอถ	6.66	6.52	6.36	6.23	6.44	6.66	6.57	6 44	6.25	6.50	6.47
12 days	113	7.07	6 73	6.57	6.50	672	7.07	6.93	6.87	6.67	6.88	6.80
after	138	6.83	6.37	6.30	6.20	6.42	6 83	6.63	6.60	6 43	6 59	6.50
pollination	148	6 40	6.20	6.00	5 93	6.13	6.40	6.47	6 40	6.27	662	6.37
pointation	Harvest	6.33	6.00	5 77	5 70	5.95	6.33	6.20	617	5 97	617	6.06
Mo	20	6.66	6.32	6.16	6.08	6.30	6.66	6.56	6.51	6.33	6.56	6.43
18 days	113	7.07	6.93	6.63	6.40	6.76	7.07	6.83	6.80	6.53	6.81	6.78
after	138	6.83	6.45	6.37	617	6.45	6.83	6.33	6.33	5.97	6.36	6.40
pollination	148	6.40	6.07	6.00	5.70	6.04	6.40	5.97	5.83	5.53	5.93	5.98
	Harvest	6.33	— 5.97 ~-	- 5.87	5.63	5.95	6.33	5 90	5.83	5.43	5 87	5.9 l
Me	an	6.66	6.36	6.22	5.97	6.30	6.66	6.26	6.17	5.87	6 24	6.27
Genera	l Mean	6.66	6.40	6.2+	6.10	6.35	6.66	6.46	6.37	615	6.43	6.39

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Spraying date (A)	=0 027	(BxC)	=0.044	(AxBxD)	= 0 0 76
Substances(8)	=0.022	(AxBxC)	=0.076	(CxD)	=0.062
(AxB)	≖0.038	Date of Sample(D)	=0.031	(AxCxD)	=0.103
Concentration (C)	=0.03L	(AxD)	=0.054	(BxCxD)	=0.088
(AxC)	=0.054	(BvD)	=0.044	(AxBxCxD)	= 0.152

6640

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (10), October, 2006

Table (10): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit TSS content(%) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	ı (ppnı)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			_
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Мемя	General
6 days	113	12.13	13.00	14.00	14.93	13.52	12.13	12.73	14.07	15.27	13.55	13.58
6 days after	1.38	17 07	18.67	20.27	24.33	20.08	17.07	18.07	20.13	22.37	19.41	19.74
pollination	148	22.60	25.87	27.33	28.97	26.19	22.60	22.17	26.00	26.47	24.31	25.25
Podrimoni	Harvest	26.87	33.20	36.67	36.97	33.42	26.87	31.33	33.00	35.13	31.58_	32.50
Me	an	19.67	22.68	24.57	26.30	23.30	19.67	21.08	23.30	24.8i	22.21	20.94
13 4	113	12.13	14.00	15.07	18.33	14.88	12 13	13.47	15.10	15.87	14.14	14.51
12 days after	138	17.07	19.20	20.00	24.47	20.18	17.07	18.73	20.00	22.90	19 67	19.92
pollination	148	22.60	24.07	24.30	28.00	24.74	22.60	23.00	24.67	28.87	24.78	24 76
Potentian	Harvest	26.87	33.47	34.93	37.93	33.30	26.87	31.13	31.87	39.33	32.30	32.80
Me	20	19.67	22.68	23.58	27.18	23.28	19.67	21.58	22.91	26.74	22.72	23 00
10 days	113	12.13	15.20	16.33	18.67	15.58	12.13	13.73	15 00	16.23	14.27	14.92
18 days after	138	17.07	20.33	21.60	22.87	20.47	17.07	19.80	21.33	24.33	20.63	20.55
pollination	148	22.60	25.53	27.27	30.07	26.37	22.60	24.33	26.67	29.00	25.65	26.Öl
Positionia .	Harvest	26.87	35.53	36.80	40.53	34.93	26.87	31.33	35.00	35.40	32.15	33.54
Me	20	19.67	24.15	25.50	28.03	24.34	19.67	22.30	24.50	26.24	23.18	23 76
Genera	l Mean	19.67	23.17	24.55	27.17	23.64	19.67	21.65	23.57	25.93	22.70	•

=0.251	(BxC)	=0.410	(AxBxD)			=0.710
=0.205	(AxBxC)	=0.710	(CxD)			=0 580
=0.355	Date of Sample(D)	=0.290	$\{AxCxD\}$		•	=1 00
=0.290	(AxD)	=0.502	(BxCxD)			=0.820
=0.502	(BxD)	=0.410	(AxBxCxD)			±1.42
	=0.205 =0.355 =0.290	=0.205 (AxBxC) =0.355 Date of Sample(D) =0.290 (AxD)	=0.205 (AxBxC) =0.710 =0.355 Date of Sample(D) =0.290 =0.290 (AxD) =0.502	=0.205 (AxBxC) =0.710 (CxD) =0.355 Date of Sample(D) =0.290 (AxCxD) =0.290 (AxD) =0.502 (BxCxD)	=0.205 (AxBxC) =0.710 (CxD) =0.355 Date of Sample(D) =0.290 (AxCxD) =0.290 (AxD) =0.502 (BxCxD)	=0.205 (AxBxC) =0.710 (CxD) =0.355 Date of Sample(D) =0.290 (AxCxD) =0.290 (AxD) =0.502 (BxCxD)

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	ı (ppın)				Cytophe	(ppm)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	0,0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Afcan	General Mean
	113	12.27	13.63	14.33	17 60	14.46	12.27	14.13	14 97	16.40	14.44	14 45
6 days	138	17.47	18.97	20.70	24.53	20.42	17.47	20 57	22.40	23.27	20 93	20 67
after pollination	148	21.00	25.47	26.40	31.27	26.03	21 00	25 80	27.73	29 00	25.88	25 95
politication	Harvest	25.00	34.63	36.00	39.30	33.73	25.00	32.83	33.97	38.40	32.55	33.14
Mo	:20	18 93	23.17	24.36	28.18	23.66	18.93	23.33	24.77	26 77	23 45	23 55
C2 daws	113	12.27	14.13	15.37	18.47	16.31	12 27	14.20	16.00	17.40	14 97	15 64
12 days after	1.38	17.47	21.87	23.60	27.13	22.52	17.47	20.47	23 13	25 93	21 75	22.13
pollination	148	21.00	26.90	29 97	32.00	27 47	21 00	26 47	28.27	29 87	26 40	26 94
poninacion	Harvest	25.00	35.27	38.17	40.07	34.63	25.00	33 47	36.73	37.93	33.28	33.96
Mo	an	18.93	24.54	26.77	29.42	24.91	18.93	23 65	26.03	27.78	24.10	24.50
18 days	113	12.27	13.53	16.47	18.90	15.29	12 27	15.73	17 27	18.43	15 92	15 61
after	8/.1	17.47	22.87	23.27	27 17	22.69	17.47	23.33	26.40	28.40	23 90	23.29
pollination	148	21.00	28.87	28 80	31.07	27.43	21 00	28.40	29 67	32.23	27.82	27 63
pouliation	Harvest	25.00	36.50	37.13	40.33	34.74	25 00	34 13	36 53	39.43	33.77	34 26
Mo	nu	18.93	25.44	26.42	29.37	25 04	18 93	25 40	27 47	29.63	25.36	25 20
Genera	l Meau	18.93	24 39	25 85	28 99	24.54	18 93	24 13	26.09	28 06	24 30	

 LSDat5% Level for 					
Sprayingdate (A)	=0.300	(BxC)	=0.490	(AsBsD)	=0 849
Substances(B)	=0.245	(AxBxC)	=0.849	(CxD)	=0 693
(AxB)	=0.424	Date of Sample(D)	=0.346	(AxCxD)	=1.20
Concentration (C)	=0.346	(AsD)	=0.600	(BxCxD)	=0.981
(AxC)	=0.600	(BxD) CCAA	=0.490	(AxBxCxD)	-1.69

Bakr, E.l. et al.

Table (11): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit total soluble sugars content (%) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	n (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	F00	200	300	Meun	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General Mean
£ dama	113	14.50	16.24	17.65	22.40	17.70	14.50	15.34	17.32	24.99	18.04	17.87
6 days after	138	17.49	19.24	22.60	26.59	21.48	17.49	18.74	22.26	28.05	21.64	21.56
pollination	148	24.18	27.49	30.75	31.29	28.43	24.18	27.07	31.02	33.02	28.82	28.63
Posting Carti	Harvest	31.21	38.02	39.27	40.21	37.17	31.21	34.39	38.64	40.82	36.26	36.72
Mo	2n	21.84	25.25	27.57	30 12	26.20	21.84	23.89	27.31	31.72	26 19	26 19
12 days	113	14.50	16.44	21.65	22.64	18.81	14.50	15.78	20.64	23.15	18.52	18.66
after	138	17.49	20.32	25.03	26.06	22.22	17.49	20.38	23.60	27.22	22.17	22.20
polination	148	24.18	28.53	32.48	32.27	29.36	24.18	27.43	26.78	34.59	28.24	28.80
рошински	Harvest	31.21	38.90	39.63	43.32	38.26	31.21	36.41	40.75	42.45	37.70	37.98
Me	an	21.84	26.05	29.70	31.07	27.16	21.34	25.00	27.94	31.86	26.66	27.13
19 4	113	14.50	19.09	22.05	25.91	20.39	14.50	18.90	22.42	26.75	20.64	20.52
18 days after	138	17.49	22.25	24.11	26.40	22.56	17.49	21.22	25.33	30.79	23.71	23.13
pollination	148	24.18	26.58	34.75	33.72	29.81	24.18	24.26	29.44	33.73	27.90	28.86
promatage	Harvest	31.21	40.5\$	42.50	44.28	39.63	31.21	40.05	41.98	45.00	39.56	39.59
Me	20	21.84	27.12	30.85	32.58	28.10	21.84	26.11	29.79	34.07	27.95	28.03
Genera	l Mean	21.84	26.14	29.37	31.26	27.15	21.84	25.00	28.35	32.55	26.93	******

LS.D at 3% Level for					
Sprayingdate(A)	=0.432	(BxC)	=0.706	(AxBxD)	=1.22
Substances(B)	-0.353	(AxBxC)	=1.22	(CxD)	≈ 0.998
(AxB)	=0.611	Date of Sample(D)	=0.499	(AxCxD)	=1.73
Concentration (C)	=0.499	(AxD)	=0.865	(BxCxD)	=1.41
(A)(C)	=0.86 5	(BxD)	=0.706	(ArBrCrD)	=7.11

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephor	n (ppm)				Cytophe	x (ppm)			_
date	Date (days) after polimation	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General Menn
£ 4	113	15.08	16.63	18.67	23.14	18.38	15.08	16 94	19.31	25 15	19 12	18.75
6 days after	138	18.33	19 43	24.29	27.22	22.32	18 33	19 58	24 57	28 15	22 65	22.49
pollination	148	25 51	29 26	31.15	32.53	29.61	25 51	29 96	28.88	33 15	29 37	29.49
Posttration	Harvest	33 17	38.06	39.03	40.06	37.58	33.17	39.67	38.64	40 94	38 10	37 84
Me	an	23.02	25.85	28.29	30.74	26 97	23.02	26.53	27 85	31.85	27.31	27 14
12 days	113	15.08	16.82	21.40	21 90	18.80	15.08	10.72	22.38	23.68	20.7	1951
after	138	18.33	20.73	25.53	25.51	22.52	18.33	23 70	24.31	77 89	23 56	23 04
pollination	148	25.51	28.21	33.88	33.28	30.22	25.51	28.80	28.21	34 93	29.36	29 79
Power	Harvest	33.17	40.24	39 11	43 19	38 93	33 17	10 14	41.73	43 78	40 07	39.50
Nic	ายก	23.02	26.50	29 98	30.97	27 62	23.02	28.46	29.16	32 57	28.30	27 96
18 days	113	15 08	19.39	22.39	26.30	20.79	15.03	21.41	22.81	27.23	21 63	21.21
after	138	18.33	23.15	26.00	27.36	23.71	18.33	23.88	26.50	30.13	24.71	24.21
pollication	148	25 51	28.88	35.10	34.66	31.03	25 51	28.85	30.22	34.45	29.76	30.39
pomattiba	Harvest	33.17	41.24	41.55	45.00	40.24	33 17	42.14	43.20	45 50	41 00	40.62
Me	an	23.02	28.17	31.26	33.33	28.94	23 02	29.07	30.68	34.33 "	29 27	293.11
Genera	l Mean	23.02	26.84	29.84	31.68	27.84	23.02	28.02	29.23	32.92	28 30	*****

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Spraying date (A)	=0.427	(BxC)	=0.697	(AxBxD)	≠1 20
Substances(B)	=0.348	(AxBxC)	=1.20	(CxD)	≈0.9 36
(AxB)	=0.604	Date of Sample(D)	=0.493	(AxCxD)	=1 70
Concentration (C)	=0.493	(AxD)	=0.354	(BxCxD)	=1.39
(AxC)	=0.854	(BxD)	≃0.697	(AxBxCxD)	=2.41

Concerning the effect of spraying date, the results idicated that spraying at 18 days of pollination recorded the significant highest increas in Samany fruit content of total soluble sugars (28.03%) followed by spraying at 12 and 6 days after pollination (27.13 and 26.19, respectively) in the first season. This trend was also found in the second season with the two chemicals under study.

Regarding to substance concentration, it was clearly noticed that the highest concentration of both substances raised Samany fruit content of total soluble sugars in both seasons. 300 ppm of ethephon and 60 ppm of cytophex gave the highest Samany fruit content of total soluble sugars in both seasons (31.26 & 31.68% and 32.55 & 32.92%, respectively).

The interaction between spraying date, substance and their concentrations revealed that 300 ppm of ethephon or 60 ppm of cytophex sprayed at 18 days after pollination in the both seasons gave the highest Samany fruit content of total sugars comparing with other interactions used.

10- Fruit content of reducing sugars (%):

Samany fruit content of reducing sugars was significantly increased due to different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex spraying at different dates in both seasons (Table, 12).

Ethephon treatments produced Samany fruit with the highest content of reducing sugars than cytophex treatments.

Concerning the effect of spraying dates, application of both chemicals after 18 days of pollination recorded significant increase in Samany fruit content of reducing sugars (2.89%) followed by 12 then 6 days after pollination in the first season. This trend was found in the second season.

Regarding to substance concentrations, it was clearly noticed that the highest level of both substances (300 ppm ethephon and 60 ppm cytophex) raised Samany fruit content of reducing sugars in both seasons, comparing with other concentrations used.

Moreover, the interactions between spraying dates, substances and their concentrations revealed that the highest Samany fruit contents of reducing sugars at harvest were produced by 300ppm ethephon or 60 ppm cytophex sprayed after 18 days from pollination in the first and second seasons.

These results are in agreement with El-Hamady et al. (1979), Mohammed et al. (1986); Moustafa and seif (1989); Kamal (1995) and Bassal and El-Deeb (2003) as they found that date palm fruit content of total and reducing sugars were increased than control.

11- Fruit content of πon-reducing sugars %:

Non-reducing sugars of Samany fruits was significantly increased by different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex spraying at different dates during development in both season (Table, 13).

Respecting to the effect of substances, ethephon gave the highest content of non reducing sugars than cytophex (24.69% and 24.31%, respectively) with significant effect between them in the two seasons.

Bakr, E .l. et al.

Table (12): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit reducing sugars content (%) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying	Sampling		Ethepho	n (ppm)		<u> </u>		Cytophe	ex (pom)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Менн	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	General
6 days	113	0.94	1.27	1.56	1.78	1.39	0.94	1.21	1.52	1.72	1.35	1.37
after	138	1.28	1.46	1.99	2.27	1.75	1.28	1.39	1.96	2.17	1.70	1.72
pollination	148	2.09	2.42	2.98	3.22	2.68	2.09	2.32	2.84	2.98	2.56	2.62
	Harvest	3.94	4.67	4.79	\$.18	4.64	3.94	4.37	4.73	4.83	4.46	4.55
Me		2.06	2.45	2.83	3.11	2.61	2.06	2.32	2.76	2.93	2.52	2.56
12 days	113	0.94	1.35	1.85	1.93	1.52	0.94	1.28	1.81	1.94	1.49	1.50
after	138	1.28	1.57	2.58	2.75	2.05	1.28	1.49	2.52	2.71	2.00	2.02
pollination	148	2.09	2.69	3 39	3.62	. 2.95	- 209	2.61	3.35	3 82	2.96	2 95
	Harvest	3.94	4.75	4.96	5.43	4.77	3.94	4.33	4.40	5.11	4.44	4.60
Me		2.06	2.59	3.20	3.43	2.82	2.06	2.43	3.02	3.40	2.73	_
18 days	113	0.94	1.47	1.82	2.09	1.58	0.94	1.49	1.73	2.13	1.57	2.77
after	138	1.28	1.63	2.64	2.90	2.11	1.28	1.67	2.58	2.89	2.11	1.57
pollination	148	2.09	2.73	3.61	3.68	3.03	2.09	3.25	3.57	3.82	3.18	2.11
	Harvest	3.94	4.92	5.29	5.63	4.94	3.94	4.38	4.85	3.41	4.65	3.10
Me		2.06	2.70	3.34	3.57	2.91	2.06	2.70	3.18	3.56		4.79
General	Mean	2.06	2.58	3.12	3.37	2.78	2.06	2.48	2.99	3.29	2.88	2.89

LS.D at 5% Level for Spraying date(A) Substances(B)_ (AxBxD) (CxD) (AxCxD) (BxCxD) =0.039 (BxC) =0.064 **=**0.111 =0.090 =0.157 =0.032 (AxBxC) **≈**0.111 (AxB) =0.055 Date of Sample(D) =0.045 Concentration (C) (AxC) =0.128 **=**0.045 (AxD) =0.078 (AxBxCxD) =0.0.078 (BxD) **=**0.064 =0.222

Spraying	Sampling	Ethephon (ppm)					Cytophex (ppnt)					_
	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Menn	General
6.4	113	1.06	1 40	2.30	2.41	1.79	1 06	1.28	2.23	2.49	1.76	1.77
6 days after pollination	138	1.39	1.71	2.74	2.88	2.18	1.39	1 52	2.64	2.92	212	2 15
	148	2.64	3.11	3.74	4.17	3.42	2.64	3.02	3.67	4.23	3.39	3.40
	Harvest	5.36	5.85	6.81	7.05	6.27	5.36	5.79	6.352	6.95	6.16	6.21
Mean		2.61	3.02	3.90	4.13	3.41	2.61	2 90	3.76	4.15	3.36	3.38
12 days	113	1.06	1.48	2.35	2.48	1.84	1 06	1.34	2.24	2.69	1.83	1.83
after	1.38	1.39	1.80	2.94	3.20	2.33	1.39	1.62	2.78	2.95	2.18	2.25
pollination	148	2.64	3.22	4.19	4.68	3.68	2.64	3.44	3.88	4.25	3 55	3.61
Posttiactor	Harvest	5.36	6.02	7 40	7.68	6.61	5.36	5.82	6.89	7.11	6.29	6.45
Me	:30	2.61	3.13	4.22	4.51	3.62	2.61	3.05	3.95	4.25	3.47	3.54
18 days	113	1.06	1.84	2.74	2.77	2.10	1.06	1.66	2.78	2.94	2.tl	2.10
after pollination	138	1.39	2.36	2.94	3.37	2.52	1.39	1.85	3.36	3.52	2.53	2.52
	148-	-2.64	3.36	4.42	4.84	3.82	2.64	3.96	4.63	4.7∔	3.99	3.90
	Harvest	5.36	6.45	7.51	8.14	6.87	5.36	6.38	7.08	7.25	6.52	6.69
Mean		2.61	3.50	4.41	4.78	3.83	2.61	3.46	4.46	4.61	3.79	3.81
General Mean		2.61	3.22	4.17	4.47	3.62	2.61	3.14	4.06	4.34	3.54	******

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Spraying date (A)	≈ 0.043	(BxC)	≈0. 07 0	(AxBxD)	=0 122
Substances(B)	=0.035	(AxBxC)	=0.122	(CxD)	=0.099
(AxB)	≈0.061	Date of Sample(D)	=0.049	(AxCxD)	=0.017
Concentration (C)	=0.049	(AxD)	=0.086	(BxCxD)	=0.141
(AxC)	=0.086	(BxD)	=0.07 0	(AxBxCxD)	=0.244

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (10), October, 2006

Table (13): Effect of spraying date and different concentrations of ethephon and cytophex on Samany fruit non-reducing sugar content (%) during 2003 - 2004 seasons.

2003 Season

Spraying Sampling			Ethepho	t (ppni)			Cytophex (ppm)					
date	Date (days) after politination	9.0	190	200	300	Mean	9.0	20	10	60	Мези	General Mean
6 days	113	13.55	14.06	15.76	23.21	16.65	13.55	15.03	16.14	20.68	16.35	16.50
after	138	16.21	17.35	20.26	25.19	19.75	16.21	17.85	20.64	24.42	19.78	19.76
pollination	148	22.09	24.66	28.04	29.79	26.15	22.09	25.17	27.91	28.31	25.87	26.01
pondiation	Harvest	27.27	29.72	33.85	35.64	31.62	27.27	33.65	34.54	35.38	32.7t	32.16
Me	Mean		21.45	24.48	28.46	23.54	19.78	22.93	24.81	27.20	23.68	23.61
12 days	113	13.55	14.42	18.79	21.22	17.00	13.55	15.17	19.84	20.70	17.32	17.16
after	138	16.21	18.81	21.01	24.47	20.13	16.21	18.82	22.51	23.37	20.23	20.18
pollination	148	22.09	24.75	23.39	30.97	25.30	22.09	25.93	29.13	28.46	26.40	25.85
podtabli	l l arvest	27.27	31.66	35.79	37.02	32.94	27.27	34.47	35.24	38.20	33.82	33.38
Me	an	19.78	22.41	24.75	28.42	23.84	19.78	23.62	26.68	27.68	24.44	24.14
18 days	113	13.55	17.43	20.60	24.67	19.06	13.55	17.60	20.31	23.78	18.81	18.93
after pollination	138	16.21	19.59	22.69	27.89	21.60	16.21	20.58	21.53	23.51	20.46	21.03
	148	22.09	21.53	25.83	30.05	24.87	22.09	23.34	31.18	29.90	26.63	25.75
	Harvest	27.27	35 13	36.70	39.37	34.62	27.27	32.10	37.65	38.87	33.97	34.29
Mean		19.78	23.42	26.45	30.49	25.04	19.78	23.41	27.67	29.01	24.97	25.00
General Mean		19 78	22 43	25.23	29.12	24 14	19 78	23.32	26.39	27.96	24.36	

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Spraying date(A)	=0.487	(BxC)	=0.796	(AxBxD)	=1.37
Substances(B)_	=N.S	(AxBxC)	=1.37	(CxD)	=1.12
(AxB)	=0.689	Date of Sample(D)	=0.563	(AxCxD)	=1.95
Concentration (C)	=0.563	(AxD)	=0.975	(BxCxD) ,	=1.59
(AxC)	=0.975	(BxD)	= 0.796	(AxBxCxD)	±2.76

Spraying	Sampling		Ethephon (ppm)					Cytophe	k (ppm)			
date	Date (days) after pollination	0.0	100	200	,300	Mean	0.0	20	40	60	Mean	Generat Menn
4.	113	14.02	15.54	17 00	22.74	17.33	14.02	15.35	16.44	20.65	16 62	16.97
6 days	1.38	16 94	17 86	21.82	25.27	20.47	16 94	17 91	21.66	24.30	20 20	20.33
after pollination	148	22 87	26.85	25.14	28 97	25.96	22.87	26 23	27.48	28.30	26.22	26.09
ponnation	Harvest	27 81	33.82	31 83	33.89	31.84	27.81	32.27	32.51	33 11	31.43	31 63
Me	an	20 41	23 52	23.95	27 72	23 90	20.41	22.94	24.52	26.59	23.62	23 76
13.4	113	14 02	18 24	20 04	21 20	18 38	14 02	15 48	1916	19.21	16 97	1767
12 days	138	16.94	21 89	21 37	24 70	21 22	1694	19.12	22.75	22.55	20.34	20.28
after pollination	148	22 87	25 63	24.01	30 25	25.69	22.87	24 77	30.00	29.03	26.67	26 18
pomaznon	Harvest	27.81	36.12	34.34	36 21	33.62	27 81	34.41	32.22	36.08	32.63	33 12
VIC.	siti	20.41	25.4*	51 91	28 09	24 73	20.41	33 11	26 03	26 72	24 15	34 44
10.1	113	14 02	19 57	20.06	24 46	19.53	14.02	17.73	19.61	23.45	18 70	28.88
18 days after pollination	138	16.94	21.52	23.56	26 76	22 19	[6,94]	21.31	22.63	23.94	21.20	21.69
	1-48	22 87	25 49	23.80	29 61	25.94	22 87	24.93	30.57	29 92	27.07	26.50
	Harvest	27 81	35.69	35.68	37.36	34 13	27.81	34.86	34.48	37.75	33 72	33.92
Mean		20 41	25 57	26.28	29.55	25.45	20.41	24.70	26.82	28.76	25.17	25.31
Genera	l Mean	14.02	24.85	25.05	28.45	24.69	20.41	23.70	25 79	27 36	24.31	

L.S.D at 5% Level for					
Spraying date (A)	=0.425	(BAC)	=0 694	(AxBxD)	=1 20
Substances(B)	=0.347	(AxBxC)	=1 20	(CxD)	=0.982
(AxB)	=0.601	Date of Sample(D)	=0.490	(AxCxD)	=1 70
Concentration (C)	=0.490	(AxD)	=0.850	(BxCxD)	=1.38
(AsC)	=0.850	(BxD)	=0.694	(AxBxCxD)	=2.40