EFFECT OF SOWING METHODS AND IRRIGATION INTERVALS ON SOME WHEAT VARIETIES GROWN UNDER SALINE CONDITIONS AT SOUTH SINAI.

El- Afandy, K H. T.

Plant Production Dept., Desert Research Center Mataria, Cairo, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted during 2003 / 2004 and 2004 / 2005 seasons, under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr Research Station, South Sinai, D.R.C., Egypt, to study the effect of irrigation intervals (7, 12 and 17 days), sowing methods (Broadcasting, rows and furrows) on yield and yield components of wheat varieties (Sakha, 93 and Sakha 69). The results could be summarized as follows:

- Significant differences were detected between irrigation treatments. Irrigation every 7 days significantly increased wheat yield and its components.
- Sowing methods showed a significant effect on all studied characters. Also, the salt tolerance of wheat increased by sowing grains on double sloping beds of furrows
- Wheat varieties markedly differed in their salt tolerance. Sakha 93 variety significantly surpassed Sakha 69 for all studied characters in both seasons except for plant height under saline irrigation.
- 4. Pseudo, No. of tillers / plant, spike length, no. of spike lets/ spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, 1000-grain weight, No. of spike / m² and grain yield / fed, were significantly affected by the interaction between irrigation intervals and sowing methods in the first season. While No. of grains/ spike, No. of spikes / m², biological yield / fed, and protein % were significantly affected by this interaction in the second season.
- 5. The effect of interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties significantly affected No. of tillers / plant, No. of spikelets / spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight/ plant, 1000 grain weight, grain yield / fed , straw yield / fed , biological yield / fed. and protein % in the first season. Also, that interaction had a significant effect on plant height, grain weight / plant, grain yield / fed straw yield / fed , biological yield / fed. and protein % in the second season.
- 6. The effect of interaction between sowing methods and wheat varieties had significant effect on No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike, 1000-grain weight and protein % in the first season. Similarly, plant height, grain weight / plant, straw yield / fed. and protein % were significantly affected in the second season.
- 7. The second order interaction of irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties was significant on No of spikelets / spike grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000 grain weight, straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % in the first season. Plant height, straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % were significantly affected by this kind of interaction in the second season. Moreover sowing wheat cultivars on furrows became more adapted to saline irrigation water.

Keywords: Wheat, Wadi Suder — South Sainai — Egypt, Saline conditions, Irrigation intervals, Sowing methods, Varieties, Yield and yield components —

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is a staple feed in Egypt. Raising wheat production through increasing unit land area and increasing the cultivated area are the most important national targets to minimize the gap between the Egyptian

production and consumption. Increasing wheat yield per unit area can be achieved by breeding high yielding varieties. Salinity is considered of the major obstacles in Wadi Sudr to increase wheat production. However, there are ways to salinity control, in other words we can not erase salinity but we can live with it i.e. cultural practices, land smoothing or grading, grading seed bed improvement, irrigation intervals, sowing methods and cultivars selection. Most of these areas are desert and have limited quantity and quality of irrigation water. Many researchers have proved the importance of irrigation treatment to maximize wheat productivity. In Egypt, sowing wheat crop by broadcasting or drill in rows are common practices. Whereas, sowing wheat grains on sloping of furrows is not acquainted for Egyptian farmers to belief a busy word load. However, it may be needed under saline irrigation water to salinity control by salt immigration to the top or leaching of salts in the bottom of furrows which were discussed by World Farming (1971), Bernstein et al., (1975) and Ayers and Westcot (1981). On the other hand, Sadek (2001) obtained the heighest grain yield from the shortest irrigation interval of 10 days which increased by 30% than that obtained by irrigation every 14 days during the growth season.

Considerable research has been conducted on the salt tolerance of various wheat cultivars, which differed in yield and its components [Francois et al., (1986), Weimberg, (1987) Hassan (1989) and Hassan and Hassan (1994)]. The capability of crops to grow in saline soils varies among species and depends on the concentration of salts present in the root zone and on various environmental and cultural conditions (Shannon et al., 1994). Excessive soil salinity (salt) reduces the yield of many crops. This may range from a slight loss to complete crop failure, depending on the crop and the severity of the salinity problem. Several treatments and management practices can reduce the salt level in the soil. The aim of this investigation is to study the response of some wheat varieties, yield and yield components, to sowing methods and irrigation intervals under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr, South Sinai.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at Wadi Sudr Research Stations, Desert Research Center, South Sinai, Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2003 / 2004 and 2004/ 2005. The aim of the study was investigate the irrigation intervals,(7, 12 and 17 days) sowing methods, (broadcasting, drilled in rows and on double row sloping bed of furrow) on yield and yield components of some wheat varieties, (*Triticum aestivum vulgar*) namely: Sakha 93 and Sakha 69 under saline conditions. Before sowing physical and chemical analysis of the soil were determined (Black 1965 and Jackson (1958), and the results are presented in (Table,1). Water analysis was performed to determine the used for irrigation (Table 2). Wheat grain were sown on 21 of November, 2003 and 24 of November, 2004 at seeding rate of 80 Kg/fed. for the two successive growth seasons, respectively. Grain of two wheat varieties were soaked with tap water for 12 hours before planting.

Table (1): Physical and chemical properties of the soils before and after sowing of the Experimental soil at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

	WO SEASO			al prope					
soil treatments	Med	hanical	compo	sition (%)	Ec	рН	CaCO ₃	O.M.
	Depth soil (cm)	coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	clay	ds/m	,	%	(%)
Before	0-30	41.24	40.60	9.68	13.11	12.26	7.81	53.31	0.23
sowing	30-60	38.31	44.31	12.83	8.17	11.40	7.93	52.24	0.21
After sowing	0-30	41.00	40.38	9.31	14.84	12.47	7.75	51.00	0.24
B.castings	30-60	38.11	43.75	12.74	9.75	11.83	8.21	49.34	0.20
After sowing	0-30	39.83	40.52	10.80	13.63	13.91	7.90	50.24	0.23
Rows	30-60	38.00	42.88	12.65	9.12	12.42	8.10	49.11	0 18
After sowing	0-30	41.73	40.35	9.45	13.00	10.35	7.88	51.35	0.24
Furrows	30-60	38.54	44.52	12 81	9.17	12.92	8.00	49.22	0.17
<u></u>		B. (Chemic	al prope	erties.				
Soil treatments	Depth		ble cati	ons (me	eq/L.)	Solub	le ani	ons (me	eq/L.)
	-soil (cm)	Ca++	Mg++	Na+	K+	Co3	HCo ₃	CI.	SO4"
Before	0-30	61.78	51.17	79.54	3.56		15 38	92.81	69 41
sowing	30-60	19.34	12.78	41.70	1.53	-	2.33	30.42	48.30
After sowing	0-30	62.58	52.11	78.52	3.41		15.54	95.52	73.54
B.castings	30-60	18.73	11.24	42.74	1.61	-	2.33	31.84	48.21
After sowing	0-30	63.41	53.30	80.83	4.84	-	14.87	97 32	71.82
Rows	30-60	19.80	15.11	44.62	1.75	-	2.48	33.74	52.75
After sowing	0-30	58.20	46.73	61.79	3.32	-	15,19	78.27	61.88

Table (2): Chemical properties of irrigation water at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

20,34 | 15.00 | 42.80 | 1.75

Furrows

30-60

2.53 35 11 49.45

Seasons	Ec	Нq	SAR	T.D.S.		ions (r)	Aı	nione	(meq/	L.) _
	ds/m	value	SAR	(ppm)	Ca2+	Mg ²⁺	Na	K [*]	CO ₃	Cl	HCo ₃	SO ₄ -2
2003/2004	5.72	7.93	8.33	3661	14.11	15.58	32.11	0.72	-	60.17	1.85	5.82
2004/ 2005	6.25	8.00	7.10	4000	17.20	19.11	30.27	0.30	-	62.10	1.73	3.62

Organic matter as farmyard manure (FYM) at the rate of $20m^3$ / fed. was mixed with the upper layer (30 cm depth) of the soil before cultivation, (Table 3). Calcium super phosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) was added at the rate of 150 kg/fed. during soil preparation. Nitrogen fertilization as ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was applied at the rate of 100 kg N/fed.in three equal doses (at sowing, 30 and 45 days after sowing date), while potassium fertilization was applied at the rate of 24 kg K_2O / fed. in two equal doses (after 30 and 45 days form planting date). Split split plot design with four replicates was used in such experiments in both seasons. The main plots represented irrigation intervals, sub-plots represented treatments of sowing methods, while wheat varieties were allocated in sub-sub plots. The sub-sub plot area was $9m^2$ (3x 3 m) containing 10 rows (3m long and 30 cm apart) in the two former sowing methods.

Table (3): Chemical content of organic manure at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

рΗ	Organic carbon %	Total nitrogen %	C/N Ratio	Total phosphorus %	Total potassium %	Total sulphate %
7.42	19.88	1.40	14.2	0.25	1.35	1.31

At harvest, after 165 days, plant height (cm), No. of tillers/ plant, spike length (cm). No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike (g), grain weight / plant (g), 1000 – grain weight (g) No. of spikes / m^2 , grain yield, ton / fed., straw yield, ton / fed., biological yield, ton / fed., harvest index as well were recorded (fed. = $4200m^2$). The micro-kjeldahl method was used to determine grain nitrogen content which was multiplied by factor 5.75 to obtain the percentage of crude protein according to A.O.A.C.(1980).

Data were statistically analyzed according to the methods of the analysis of variance. Least significant difference (LSD) was calculated as described by (Steel and Torrie, 1980) to detect the differences among treatment means.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Effect of irrigation intervals:

Data in Table (4) revealed that studied characters i.e. plant height, No. of tillers / plant , spike length, No. of spikelets/ spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000 - grain weight, No. of spike / m², grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed. biological yield / fed. and harvest index were significantly affected by irrigation intervals under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr in the two seasons. The decrease in grain yield / fed. due to imigation every 12 and 17 days compared with 7 days, were 23.5% and 39.7% in 2003 / 2004 season being 21.6% and 36.5% in 2004/ 2005 season, respectively. Increasing irrigation intervals to 17 days reduced the different growth characters and grain yield. This may by reduce the capacity of plant in building up metabolites and this might account in turn to depression of photosynthetic efficiency of the leaves with consequent reduction in yield of wheat and its components. So, irrigation must take place at 7 days intervals to remove salt ions from the upper layer of the soil. Similar results were reported by Abd El-Rahim et al., (1989), Fardad and Passarakli (1995) and Sadek (2001).

2. Effect of sowing methods:

Data in Table (5) indicated that, the effect of sowing methods on yield and yield components. All the studied parameter were positively and significantly. Sowing wheat grain on sloping of furrows or rows significantly increased spike length, No. of spikelets / spike, No., of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, 1000-grain weight, No. of spikes / m², grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed., harvest index and protein %. On the other hand, sowing wheat grains on sloping of furrow or broadcasting significantly increased plant height, No. of tillers, / plant and grain weight / plant for both seasons. The highest biological yield (grain yield and straw yield) was obtained with sowing wheat grains on sloping of furrows.

Table (4): Yield and yield components of wheat as influenced by irrigation intervals under saline conditions at

		rotein%			13.48	12.42	10.88	0.124		12.84	11.71	10.30	0.029	
	Horvoort	Index P	*		0.381	0.364	0.350	600.0		0.388	0.369	0.355	0.003	
	BiologicalHarvest	yield ton/ Index Protein%	fed.		3.961	3.243	2.689	0.262		3.820	3.079	2.521	0.190	
		yield			2.453	2.061	1.747	0.179		2.337	1.944	1.627	0.178	
		yield +05/)	1.508	1.182	0.942	0.082		1.483	1.135	0.894	0.083	
/ 2005)	No	spikęs/	Ē	4)	39.60 474.4 1.508	37.22 435.6	36.72 385.3	0.174 36.880	02)	39.03 422.0 1.483 2.337	384.8	35.38 334.2 0.894	38.420	
nd 2004	Grain Grain 1000 - No of	grain	11(B) (B)	First Seasons (2003/ 2004)	39.60			0.174	Second Seasons (2004/ 2005)	39.03	36.31		1.100	
2004 ar	Grain	weight	(g)	sons (2	3.54	2.78	2.35	0.228	asons (4.15	3.12	2.66	0.340	
(2003/	Grain	weight	(g)	irst Sea	1.56	1.35	1.25	0.645 0.029	cond Se	1.42	1.15	1.07	0.072	
easons	No of	grains	/spike	u.	41.5	37.4	35.4	0.645	Š	36.8	32.5	30.4	2.143	
ii Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005)	No	ight fillers / length spikelets grains / spike / reight weight grain spikes/	/ spike		16.3	14.3	12.8	0.108		15.9	14.2	12.3	0.812 2.143 0.072 0.340 1.100 38.420 0.083	
uring th	Spike	length	(cm)		9.1	8.6	2.7	0.402		8.2	9.7	6.5	37 0.319 0.741	
Sudr d	NO	tillers /	plant		3.45	3.32	3.10	21 0.153 0.402		2 2.91	2.69	2.46	0.319	
Wadi	σ	height	(cm)		72.5	0.79	64.5	2.721		66.2	62.0	59.0	2.667	
	Treatments	Irrigation	intervals		7 days	12 days	17 days	L.S.D.		7 days	12 days	17 days	L.S.D.	L.S.D.at 0.05 level

Table (5): Yield and yield components of wheat as influenced by sowing methods under saline conditions at Wadi

S)	indr du	ring th	e two s	easons (2003/ 7	2004 an	d 2004/	2005).						
Treatments	Plant	No of	Spike	NO ON	No	Grain	Grain	1000 -	NO	Grain	Straw	Rintonical	Hanvoet	
Couring	height	tillere /	ביים היים	snikelete	2010	weight	weight	grain	enikee/	yield	yield	vield for/	Index	Orotein
methods (cm) plant (cm) / spike / spike / spike / g) (g) (g)	(cm)	plant	(cm)	spiners / spike	/spike	/ spike (g)	/ plant (g)	weight	ž E	fed.	fed.	ton/ fed. %	5 %	
						First Seasons (2003/ 2004)	sons (20	003/200	€					
Furrows	63.5	3.40	8.7	15.4	41.2	1.54		39.70	3.40 39.70 458.8 1.395 2.377	1.395	2.377	3.772	0.370	12.75
Rows	68.0	2.94	9.8	14.6	38.0	1.37	2.40	2.40 37.50 430.4	430.4	1.209 2.079	2.079	3.288	0.368	12.25
B. casting	72.6	3.53	8.2	13.8	35.2	1.25	2.87	2.87 36.34	406.1	1.028	1.028 1.804	2.833	0.361	11.77
L.S.D.	1.826	0.109	0.109 0.122	0.0851	0.469	0.007	0.156	0.211	0.469 0.007 0.156 0.211 11.209 0.051 0.097	0.051	0.097	0.144	0.007	0.060
					Sec	Second Seasons (2004/ 2005)	asons (2004/20	(50)] 				
Furrows	58.4	58.4 2.61	7.6	15.1	36.5			38.26	3.66 38.26 407.6	1.345 2.260	2.260	3.605	0.373	12.08
Rows	62.1	2.46	7.5	14.1	33.1	1.21	2.95	36.76	379.3	1.164	1.963	3,127	0.372	11.61
B. casting	2.99	3.00	7.1	13.3	30.2	1.05	3.31	35.71	354.8 0 984	0 984	1.684	2.668	0.369	11.16
L.S.D.	1.322	0.164	1.322 0.164 0.122	0.380 0.785 0.040 0.529 0.460 11.400 0.056 0.097	0.785	0.040	0.529	0.460	11.400	0.056	0.097	0.151	0.002	0.002
14.14.1 20 0 4- 7 0 1	10000													

L.S.D.at 0.05 level.
B. casting = Broad casting

Which was increased by 10.80%, 35.70 % and 14.33%, 31.76 in first season as well as15.55%, 36.69% and 10.13%, 34.20% in second season for grain yield and straw yield than of rows and broadcasting treatments respectively. While, No. of plants m² was decreased significantly with planting on rows and broadcasting. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Zeidan et al.(1990) and Maheshwari and Sharma(2002). It can be noticed that the most spikes, in case of furrows methods were produced from the main stem, whereas, in case of broadcasting they were produced from tillers. This indicate that the salt tolerance of wheat was increased with sowed grains on double sloping beds of furrows. Hassan and Hassan (1994) found that the salt tolerance of wheat increased with sowed grains on the double sloping beds of furrows.

3. Effect of wheat varieties:

Data in Table (6) show that studied characters were significantly affected by different varieties (Sakha 93 and Sakha 69) in both seasons. The highest values for No. of tillers / plant, spike length, No of spikelets/ spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000-grain weight, No. of spikes / m², grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed., biological yield I fed., harvest index and protein % were produced by sakha 93 variety. It could be noticed that grain and straw yield / fed, of Sakha 93 were increased by 14.4% and 11.4% respectively over sakha 69 in first season, being 14.1% and 10.7% in second season respectively. These results may be due to the differences among studied cultivars in growth habit i.e., plant elongation and tillering which reflects on plant height, No. of tillers / plant and No. of spikes/ m2. The present findings may explain the genetic variability among studied cultivars and response of each one to environmental conditions during growing season. These results are in a full agreement with Duwayrie (1984) who Studied the yield of wheat CVs, "Hourant, wascana, and stroks" and spring wheat CV. "Pvan 76"under salinity and found that C.V. "Strok" produced the highest grain yield while C.V. "Hourant" produced the lowest grain yield. Francois et al., (1986), found that grain yield of one semidwarf wheat variety (Northrup king probred) and two durum cultivars (Westbred 1000-D and Northrup king Aldura) were not affected by soil salinity up to 8.6 and 5.9 ds/m, while Hassan and Hassan (1994) working on four wheat varieties, Sakha 8, Sakha 92, Sohaq1 and Sohaq2, reported that Sohaq 1 and Sohag 2 were more salt tolerant than those of Sakha 8 and Sakha 92 as indicated from their vegetative growth characters, yield and yield attributes. Generally, in the two seasons, Sakha 93 were more salt tolerant than Sakha 69 as indicated from yield and yield components. Sakha 93 gave significantly higher grain yield than Sakha 69. Differences in yield among the varieties were due to plant height, No. of tillers/plant, 1000- grain weight and No. of spikes /m².

4.Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and sowing methods:

The results in Table (7) indicate that, the interaction between irrigation intervals (7, 12 and 17 days) and the different sowing methods (Furrows, rows and Broadcasting) increased significantly.

b	
Ë	
큥	
늉	
S	
adi	
≋	
at	
25	
ij	
þ	
ဗ	
ne	
Sal	
er si	
Ž	
S	
Ë	
ī,	
. Va	
ea	
whea	
~	
q pa	
ž	
fluen	ú
Ē	ξ
25	3
at	ç
ž	7
×	7
S	S
en	2
5	Ċ
Ę	?
d co	
皇	į
ķ	the third court
pu	į
D	ç
/iel	:
able (6): Yield	
9	
able (
a	

		No. of	Spike	No. of	No. of	Grain	Grain	1000	No. of	Grain	Straw	Biological	Language .	
Wheat	height ti	llers /	length	spikelets	grains	weight	weight /	grain	spikes/	yield	yield	yield fon/	index %	Protein?
rarieties (c	_	plant	(Cilia)	/ spike	/spike	spike (g)	plant (g)	weight (g)	Ĕ	ton/ fed.	ton/ fed.	fed.	e vaniu	
			1			First Sea	sons (20	03/2004)				First Seasons (2003/ 2004)		
Sakha 69 6	689	3.21	8.3	14.2 37.1	37.1	1.33	1.33 2.63	37.20	420.4	420.4 1.131	1.982	3,113 0,363 12,11	0.363	12.11
Sakha 93 6	7.1	3.37		15.0	39.2		3.15	38.49	443.1	1.293	2.192	3.482	0.538	12.45
.S.D.	405	0.078	960 0	0.082	0.237	9000	0.040	0.151	5.050	0.025	0.025	0.047	0.006	0.014
						Second Seasons (2004/ 2005)	asons (2	004/2005)						
3akha 69 6	3.7	262	7.3	13.7 32.2	32.2	1.15	3.07	36.22	369.2	1.087	1.863	2.950	0.368	11.44
sakha 93 6	0.1	2.76	7.5	14.6	34.3	1.27	3.54	37.60	391.9	124	391.9 1.244 2.075	3,319	0.375	11.79
.S.D.	0.387	0.082	0 106	0.161 0.286	0 286	0.018	0.044	0 344	5.036 0.025	0.025	0.031	0.069	9000	0.002
S.D.at 0.05 level	e.													

200	1001 - (1). EIICH	5			of the mediants between higher med tale and soming memoda	2		3				,	or have and here components or the		
	under s	saline conditions	conditi	ons at	at Wadi Surd	during		the two seasons	ns (2003/	3/2004	and 20	and 2004/ 2005))5).		
Treatments	s)	Diant	S C	Snike	No of	No	Grain	Grain	1000 -	No of	=	Straw	Richarical		
Irrigation intervals	Sowing methods		tillers / plant		spikefets / spike	grains /spike	weight / spike (a)	weight / plant (g)	grain weight (a)	spikes/ m²	yield ton/	ton/ fed.	yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
						First	Ň		2004)						
7 days	Furrows	69.40	3.49	6	17.0	45.3	1.74	4.12	40.80	498.82	1726	2.776	4.502	0.383	13.94
	Rows	71.68	3.02	95	16.3	41.5	1.55	2.91	39.57	466.42	1.537	2.494	4.021	0.380	13.44
	3.casting	76.35	3.83	8.8	156	37.8	1.40	3.60	38.43	457.97	1.271	2 088	3.359	0.380	13.04
12 days	Furrows	61.35	3.43	83	15.7	40.38	1.51	3,31	39.68	475.13	1.381	2.392	3.773	0.366	12.92
	1 Rows	68.28	2.94	86	14.6	37.3	1.32	2.28	36.42	431.88	1.162	2.012	3.175	0.366	12.42
	B.casting	71.47	3.59	3.2	13.9	34.7	1.22	2.75	35.57	399 68	1 002	1.777	2.779	0360	11.91
17 days	Furrows	59.65	3.27	8.0	13.6	38.0	1.37	2.78	38.62	402.60	1.077	1.962	3.040	0.355	11.40
	12 Rows	1	2.85	1	12.9	35.0	1.25	2.01	36.52	392.80	0.937	1.731	2.668	0.353	10.88
	B.casting	69.92	3.17	7.5	12.0	33.0	112	2.25	35.02	360.50	0.812	548	2.360	0 345	10.36
نَا	[.S.1).	N.S.	10.287	0.208	0.652	1.355	0.068	N.S	0.789	19.609	0.097	S	S.S.	N.S.	SN
						Secon	Ю	ons (2007	(1, 2005)						
7 days	Furrows	63.1	12.57	85	16.7	39.0	153	411	39.98	447.0	1 682	2.660	4.342	0.387	13.30
	Rows	65.5	2.56	8	15.8	36.7	1.42	2.91	38 96	414.9	1.483	2.378	3.861	0.384	12.84
	13.casting	70.0	2.83	6	15.2	32.7	1.22	3 60	38.16	406.0	1.227	1.972	3.199	0 383	12.37
12 days	Furrows	58.4	2.48	6.7	15.3	357	133	3.31	37.81	424.4	1.330	2.275	3.605	0.369	12.20
	Rows	62.1	1.94	16	14.1	32.0	1.13	2.28	36 18	381.0	1118	1.896	3.014	0.371	11.71
	13 casting	65.7	2.59	7.7	13.3	29.9	1.01	275	34.95	349.0	0.957	1.661	2.618	0.365	11.24
17 days	Furrows	53.7	227	6.7	13.2	32.7	119	278	36.98	351.4	1.033	1846	2.879	0.359	10.74
	Rows	58.8	1.85	6.5	12.3	30.5	1.05	2.01	35,13	341.8	0.891	1.614	2.505	0.356	10.28
	1).casting	64.5	2.34	6.2	115	28.0	0.950		34.03	309.3	0.767	1.420	2.187	0.351	986
	\$.D.	N.S	S	S	S.N	1.368	NS.	N.S.	NS.	19.810	S.N	S N	0.261	SN	0.008
L.S.D.at 0.05 level	05 level				B. castin	org = Bro	casting = Broad casting	Ę.							
						,									

Pseudo, No. of tillers/ plant, spike length, No. of spikelets/ spike, No. of spikes/ m², and grain yield / fed. in the first season. Also, the same results were found concerning No. of grain / spike, No. of spike/m², biological yield / fed. and protein % in the second season. The greatest grain yield / fed. was obtained by irrigation intervals 7 days and sowing method of furrows. Favorable soil moisture (7day irrigation interval) increased the number of functioning green leaves and the amounts of metabolites synthesized by plants as well as the potentiality of plants in shifting metabolites to the growing areas which mostly accompanied with an increase in the number and width of the translocated vascular elements. Moreover sowing wheat on furrows become more adapted to saline irrigation water. These results may be attributed to improvement of seed bed and / or more tolerate to saline irrigation water.

5. Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties.

Data represented in Table (8) indicate that the effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties on yield and yield component. It can be noticed that, in the first season, it's clear that significantly in all of the studied traits except plant height, spike length, No. of grains / spike, No. of spikes/ m², harvest index and protein %. While, the second season, plant height, grain weight / plant, grain yield/fed., straw yield/ fed., biological yield / fed, and protein % were significantly increased in wheat varieties Sakha 93 and sakha 69. On the other hand, No. of tillers / plant, spike length, No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike ,1000-grain weight, No. of spikes / m² and harvest index don't affected significantly by this interaction. Therefore, the highest values of such parameters were attained at 7 days irrigation intervals and Sakha 93 in the first season, 71.18 tillers/plant, 16.48 spikelets/ spike, 1.63 (g) grain spike, 3.88 (g) grain plant, 40.08 (g) 1000 - grain weight 1.62 ton, grain yield/fed., 2.60 ton, straw yield /fed. and 4.22 ton, biological yield /fed. As well as characters,65.0 (cm) plant height, 4.44 (g) grain plant, 1.57 ton grain/fed. 2.49 ton, straw yield /fed. and 4.06 ton, biological yield /fed. In the second season, it could be concluded that in sandy soils under salinity conditions of Wadi Sudr increasing irrigation intervals 7, 12 and 17 days reduced the vegetative growth and grain yield, so, irrigation must take place at 7 days intervals to remove salt ions from the upper layer of the soil. While, these differences between varieties under different irrigation intervals treatments may be due to the differences in their genotypes, Sakha 93 cultivar to salt tolerance more than Sakha 69. These results are in harmony with those recorded by Blum et al., (1989) and Bakheit

6. Effect of interaction between sowing methods and Wheat varieties:

Data recorded in Table (9) represent the effect of the interaction between sowing methods and wheat varieties. Wheat grains on sloping of furrows significantly increased No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike and 1000-grain weight and protein % were increased in the first seasons, while, plant height, grain weight / plant, straw yield / fed. and protein % were increased in the second season.

	_	K % Protein%		78 13.35	H	├	ŀ	ŀ	Н	5. 1 0.076		H	Ļ	Н	Н	Н	Ļ	0.003	under saline	-	est Protein%		┝	┝	11.99	4	╁	0.076	┧	┝	Н	11.35	Н	Н	Н	2000
l	_	Index %		0.378	0.384	0.358	8	0.3	0.3	Z.S.		֓֞֜֜֞֓֓֓֡֓֜֜֜֜֜֡	0.38)	0.346	0.37	0.3	0.35	NS	heat	L	Harvest Index %		0.36	0.37	0.36	0.37	9 6	SZ		0.36	0.37	0.368	0.37	0.36	0.370	2
	Pholonical	yield forv fed.		3.702	4.220	3.075	3.409	2.563	2.816	0.120		3.542	4.06	2.915	3.244	2.394	2.654	0.121	wheat varieties on yield and yield components of wheat under 04 and 2004/2005).	Ole in class	yeld tory		3.612	3.932	3,105	3.471	2,023	NS		3.444	3.766	2.945	3.309	2.455	2.881	ų Z
	Strans	5		2.303	2.603	1.971	2.150	1.673	1.822	0.054		2.187	2.487	1.855	2.034	1.548	1.705	0.054	compor		35.		2.292	2.462	1.978	2.180	0/0.	NS		2.175	2.345	1.862	2.064	1.553	1.816	2000
	Grain	2	}	1.399	1.617	1.104	1.259	0.890	0.994	0.043		1.355	1.573	1.06	1,210	0.849	0.949	0,044	and yield	17.0	>		1.320	1.470	1.127	1.291	300	NS	1	1.269	1.421	1.083	1.245	0.902	1.065	9
{	No. of	spikęs/		461.3	487.5				ιι	N.S.		376.3	402.4	_339.2_	363.7	290.1	309.6	N.S.	n yield	100	Spikes.]	ı	П	421.0	- 1	410.0	SNS		359.9	386.7	336.6	355.6	309.1	333.8	2
/ 2005).	1000	grain weight	2004)	39.12	40.08	36.66	37.79	35.82	37.61	0.596	2005)	38.28	39.80	35.27	36.87	34.62	36.15	ν. Σ	varieties o 2004/2005)	1000-	grain weight	2007	39.20	40.20	36.72	38.28	20.00	9650			38.83	36.07	37.46	34.91	36.52	4
and 2004/ 2005	Grain	weight / ptant (g)	15 (2003/ ?	3.21	ì	2,51	1			0.076	ins (2004)	3.86	4.44	2.87	3.36	2.48	2.83	0.077	wheat va	١	-	(2003/	313		l	2.63		202	sons (2004)	3.13	3.67	2.17	2.63	2.58	3.15	4
003/ 2004	Grain	weight / spike (g)	First Seasons (2003/	1.50	1.63	1.30	1.40	1.20	1.30	0.031	econd Seasons (200	1.35	1.48	1.11	121	1.01	1.12	Z.S.	5	1	78	First Seasons	1.49	1.59	1.32	1.43	8 6	SN	ond Seas	1.35	1.46	1.17	1.28	.03	1.16	
asons (Z	O CN	grains			42.5	36.3	38.5	34.3	36.4	N.S.	S	ŀ	37.9	31.4	33.6	29.5	31.4	N.S.	g metho	30 01	2 E S		J	42.0	37.0	39.0	200	0.496	Sec	35.5	37.4	32.1	34.1	29.1	31.4	
during the two seasons (2003/	No of	spikelets / spike		16.1	16.5	14.2	15.3	12.3	13.4	0.279		15.5	163	13.7	14.8	11.8	12.9	SN	the interaction between sowing methods and ns at Wadi Surd during the two seasons (2003/2)	20.01	spikelets / spike		15.1	15.7	14.2	15.1	2 5	0.279	1	14.7	15.5	13.6	14.6	12.8	13.9	4
	Spike	length (cm)		9.0	9.3	8.4	7.83	7.5	6.7	N.S.		8.1	8.3	7.4	7.7	6.3	2.9	N.S.	s interaction between sowin at Wadi Surd during the two	- iky	ength (cm)	1	8.5	8.8	8.5	8.7	2 6	SZ		7.6	7.8	7.3	7.5	2	7.3	
s at Wadi Surd	No. of	tillers / plant		3.33	3.56	3.20	3.44	3.10	3.10	0.142		2.33	2.56	2.20	2.44	2.10	2.21	N.S.	nteractic Wadi Su	Also no			3.31	3.49	2.84	33	200	SZ		231	2.47	1.84	2.03	2.49	2.69	0 2
	Plant	reight (cm)		73.8	ļ	١.	_	66.1	63.0	N.S.		67.4	65.0	63.1	61,1	609	57.1	879.0			fetght (cm)		63.7	63.3	69.1	699		SZ		9.65	57.2	63.1	61.0	68.5	649	7240
condition	50,0	Wheat varieties	 	Sakha69	kiakha93	Sethlass	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93			t:akha69	takha93	takha69	Kakha93	takha69	Kiakha93		Effect of condition	Ì	V/heat varieties		Sakha69	Eakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Saknags Sakhaga	Comman		Sakha69	Sekha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	
	Treatments	Irrigation intervals		7 days		12 days		17 days		L.S.D.		7 days		12 days	ſ	17 days		[SD:	resultations rever lable (9): Effect of condition	Treatments	Sowing methods		Furrows		Rows	Į	Droad	ı		Furrows		Rows				-

	г	×2	7	Г	τ	[Т	T	_	П	Г	Г	Γ	Γ	Γ	_		Г	1
		Protein%		12.59	12.92	±	12.51	11.62	11.92	9/0.0		11.92	12.24	1.38	11.87	2.2	11.27	0000	
		Harvest Index %		0.365	0.374	0.363	0.372	0.361	0.365	SZ		0.368	0.377	0.368	0.376	0.367	0.370	SZ	
	Blotonical	yteld torv		3.612	3.932	3,105	3.471	2.623	3.042	N.S.		3.444	3.766	2.945	3.309	2.455	2.881	SZ	
	Straw	/ yield ton/ y	1	2.292	2.462	1.978	2.180	1.676	1.932	N.S.		2.175	2.345	1.862	2.064	1.553	1,816	0.054	
	Grado	yield ton/	}	1.320	1.470	1.127	1.291	0.947	1.110	SN		1.269	1.421	1.063	1.245	0.902	1.065	N.S.	
یـ	-	spikes/		446.4	471.3	421.0	439.7	393.8	418.3	N.S.		359.9	386.7	336.6	355.6	309.1	333.8	N.S.	
conditions at Wadi Surd during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005)	1000	weight	2002	39.20	40.20	36.72	38.28	35.68	37.00	0.596	04/ 2005)	37.69	38.83	36.07	37.46	34,91	36.52	N.S.)
04 and 20	e S	weight / plant (g)	ns (2003/	3.13	3.67	2.17	2.63	2.58	3.16	N.S.	ons (2004	3.13	3.67	2.17	2.63	2.58	3.15	0.077	2
(2003/20	Grain	weight / spike (g)	First Seasons (2003/	1.49	1.59	1.32	1.43	1.89	1.30	N.S.	Second Seasons (200	1.35	1.46	1.17	1.28	1.03	1.16	N.S.	ad castir
seasons	1	grains /spike		40.4	42.0	37.0	39.0	33.9	36.5	0.496	Š	35.5	37.4	32.1	34.1	29.1	31.4	N.S.	B. casting = Broad casting
the two	No of	spikelets / spike		15.1	15.7	14.2	15.1	13.3	14.3	0.279		14.7	15.5	13.6	14.6	12.8	13.9	N.S.	B. casi
ird durin		length (cm)		8.5	88	8.5	8.7	0.8	8.3	NS.		97	7.8	7.3	7.5	7.0	7.3	N.S.	
Wadi St	No. of	tillers / plant		3.31	3.49	2.84	3.03	3.49	3.57	N.S.		2.31	2.47	1.84	2.03	2.49	2.69	N.S.	
itions at	Plant	hetght (cm)		63.7	63.3	69.1	6.99	74.1	71.1	S		9.65	57.2	63.1	61.0	68.5	64.9	0.677	
cond	9	Wheat		Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93			Sakha69	Setha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93		Dat 0.05 level
	eatments	wing		rows		SW.)ad	sting	0.0		TOWIS		SW.		bad	sting	ا و	D. 21 0

Sakha 93 were more salt tolerant than Sakha 69 as indicated from yield and yield components. Moreover sowing wheat cultivars on furrows become more adapted to saline irrigation water under saline conditions Whereas, No. of spikes / m² decreased significantly with broadcasting. On the other hand, sowing Sakha 93 in furrows produced the highest values of grain and straw yields/fed. under saline conditions. These findings may be due to the competition between plants under furrows sowing method and / or the short period of plant development of Sakha 93, especially under saline conditions. This result is similar with that obtained by Francois et al., (1986), Weimberg, (1987) and Hassan and Hassan (1994).

7.Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties:

Results in Table (10) indicate that the studied traits were significantly affected by the interaction between irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties. In the first season, characters of No. of spikelets/ spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000-grain weight / straw yield /fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % . were significantly affected by this interaction. While the characters of plant height, straw yield/ fed., biological yield /fed, and protein % were significantly affected in the second season. The greatest grain and straw yields / fed. were obtained by drilling Sakha 93 grains at irrigation intervals every 7 days and planting on furrows. It could be concluded that, in sandy soils under saline conditions in Wadi Sudr, increasing irrigation intervals from 7 days and slopping of furrows reduced vegetative growth and grain yield of wheat, so, irrigation should take place at 7days intervals to remove salt ions from the upper layer of the soil. Generally, higher mean values for all characters were detected in first season. It could be concluded that the increase in grain yield /fed in the first season may be due to the increase of No. of tillers /plant, 1000 grain weight and No. of spikes /m². This result may be due to increase of with drawer of underground water and perception in the first year than the second.

Recommendation

From all previous results, it appears clearly that Sakha 93 wheat cultivars with irrigation intervals 7 days and sowing methods, furrows could be recommended as the best treatment for raising wheat production under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr, South Sinai.

Table (10): Effect of interaction among irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties on yield and yield components of wheat under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/2004 and 2004/ 2005).

		est	Index Protein%		13.92	13.97	13.11	38 13.78	13.01	13.07	24 12.89	22 12.95	77 12.01	73 12.84	25 11.88	33 11.94	10.97	71 11.83	35 10.85	10.92	9.96	10 76
		alHarv			4.302	4.703	3.805	4.238	3.001	3.718	3.624	3.922	2.977	3.373	2.625	2.933	2.909	3.171	2.535	2.802	2.244	2.476
		BiologicalHarvest	yield ton/ fed.		0.378	0.388	0.376	0.383	0.379	0.377	0.360	0 371	0.360	0.372	0.357	0.372	0.353	0 356	0.347	0.355	0.340	777
	045	vield	ton/ fed.		2.674	510.3 1.8252.878	2.373	2.615	1.862	1.403 2.315	2.318	2.466	1.906	2.119	1.688	1.866	1 026 1.883	2.042	1.655	1.807	1.480	11 616
	4	vield	ton/ fed.		1.628	1.825	1.432	1.623	1.139	1.403	1.306	1.456	1.071	1.254	0.937	1.067		1.129	0.880	0.995	0.764	ก ผลก
		No. of Stain Straw	spikes m²		487 4 1.628 2.674	510.3	452.5 1.432 2.373	480 4 1.623 2.615	444.2 1.139 1.862	471.8	456 8 1.306 2.318	40.30 493 5 1.456 2.466	424 4 1.071 1.906	439.5 1.2542.119	388 5 0.937 1.688	36.13 410 9 1.067 1.866	394.9	410 3 1.129 2.042	35.17 386 2 0.880 1.655	399.4 0.995 1.807	348.6 0 764 1.480	372 4 0 860 1 616
	1000	darain	spike/ plantweight spikes/ ton/ ton/ y (g) (g) (g) m² fed. fed.	(4)	3.77 40.30	41.30	39.10	40.03	37.97	38.90	39.07		35.90	36.93	35.00	36,13	38.23	39.00		37.87	34.07	35 97
	ric.	Weight	/ plant (g)	First Seasons (2003/ 2004)		4 46	2.66	317	3.20	4.00	3.00	3.62	2.07	2.49	2.49	3 05	2.63	2.94	1 80	2.22	2.10	241
	richio.	Weight	/ spike (g)	ns (20	17.00 1.70	1.78	1.49	1.61	131	1.49	1.45	1.57	1 28	1.35	1.17	1.27	1.32	1.43	1.19	1.31	1.08	115
		No. of	grains	Seaso	17.00	16.93	16.10	16 50	15.20	16.00	15.13	16.23	14.03	15.13	13.40	14.47	13.17	14.07	12 33	13.53	11.43	12 57
		No. of No. of Claim Claim 1000	spikeletsgrains / spike /spike	First	17.0	16.9	16.1	16.5	15.2	16.0	15.1	16.2	14.0	15.1	13.4	14.5	13.2	14.1	123	13.5	11.4	126
		of Spike	(cm)	i i	9.0	9.2	94	96	8.7	9.0	8.8	9.0	8.5	8.7	8.0	8.4	7.8	82	9.7	7.9	7.2	77
	No.	ō	tillers / plant		3.36	3.62	2.93	3.11	3.71	3.95	3.32	3.54	2.84	3.04	3.44	3.74	3.24	3.31	2.76	2.95	331	\
3		Plant	neignt (cm)		70.8	68.0	72.8	9.07	77.8	749	59.2	63.5	69 5	67.1	72.4	70.5	61.3	583	65 1	62.9	72.0	898
2004/ 200J).		Wheat	intervals methodsvarieties neigntillers lengthspikeletsgranns / spike / spike / plant weight (cm) / spike /spike / (g) (g) (g)		Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakha93	Sakha69	Sakhaga
וי	ıts	Sowing	methods		Furrows		Rows		B casting		Furrows		Rows		B.casting		Furrows		Rows		B.casting	
	Treatments	IrrigationSowing	intervals		7 days						12 days						17 days					

Treatments	ents			ģ				200	rie c			, circ	1			
Irrigatio	wing	Wheat	Plant height	of tillers	Spike lengths	Plant of Spike No of No of Grain Grain room heightstillerslengthspikeletsgrains, weightweight grain	No. of grains	weight	weight	grain	No. of spikes/	yield	yield	No. of Grannstraw Biological Harvest spikes/ yield yield yield yield ton/ Index		Protein%
interval	smethods	intervals methodsvarieties (cm)	(cm)	/ plant	(cm)	i spike / spike / spike / (g) / (g) / (g)	spike	(g)	/ plant (g)	weignt (g)	E.	fed to	fed.	fed.	%	
						Secon	d Seas	Second Seasons (2004/ 2005)	004/20	(50)						
7 days	Furrows	Sakha69	64.3	2.36	8.4	16.4	40.3	1.57	3.77	39.27	402.6 1.583 2.558	1.583	2.558	0.382	4.141	13.27
		Sakha93	61.9	2.88	9.8	17.0	41.6	1.68	4.46	40.70	424.9 1.781 2.762	1.781	2.762	0.392	4.542	13.34
	Rows	Sakha69	66.7	1.93	8.0	15.5	35.6	1.34	2.66	38.43	367.5	1.387.2.257	2.257	0.381	3.644	12.48
		Sakha93	64.3	2.11	8.2	16.2	37.8	1.47	3 17	39.50	39.50 395.7 1.5792.499	1.579	2.499	0.387	4.078	13.21
	B.castingSa	Sakha69	71.3	2.71	7.8	14.7	31.3	1.15	3.20	37.13	37.13 358.8 1.095 1.745	1.095	1.745	0.385	2.840	12.33
		Sakha93	68.7	2.95	8.0	15.6	34.2	1 33	4.00	39.20	386.6	1.358 2.199	2.199	0.382	3.557	12.41
12 days	Furrows	Sakha69	59.3	2.32	7.8	14.8	34.4	1.27	3.00	37.40	37.40 372.8 1.262 2.202	1.262	2.202	0.364	3.464	12.16
	 	Sakha 93	57.5	2.54	7.9	15.8	36.9	1.39	3.62	38.23	33.23 409.3 1.398 2.349	1.398	2.349	0.373	3.747	12.23
	Rows	Sakha69	63.2	1.84	7.5	13.5	31.0	1.08	2 0 7	35.60	340.2 1.026 1.790	1.026	1.790	0.364	2.816	11.31
		Sakha93	61.1	2.04	7.7	14.7	33.0	1.20	2 49	36.77	355.2 1.2102.003	1.210	2.003	0.376	3.213	12.10
	B.castingSa	Sakha69	2.99	2.44	7.0	12.8	28.9	0.990	2.45	34.30	34.30 304.6 0.892 1.572	0.892	1.572	0.362	2.464	11.23
		Sakha 93	64.7	2.74	7.4	13.9	30.9	1.08	3.05	35.60	35.60 326.7 1.0231.750	1.023	1.750	0.369	2.773	11.24
17 days	Furrows Sa	Sakha69	55.3	2.24	6.5	12.8	31.9	1.14	2.63	36.40	36.40 304.4 0.982 1.766	0.982	1.766	0.357	2.748	10.34
		Sakha 93	52.2	2.31	6 .9	13.6	33.6	1.25	2.94	37.57	325.8	1.085 1.925	1.925	0.360	3.010	11.15
_	Rows	Sakha69	59.8	1.76	6.3	11.7	29.7	1.01	1.80	34.17	302.0 0.8361.538	0.836	1.538	0.352	2.374	10.26
		Sakha 93	57.7	1.95	9.9	13.0	31.4	1.12	2.22	36.10	36.10 315.0 0.947 1.690	0.947	1.690	0.359	2.637	10.30
	B.castingSa	Sakha69	67.6	2.31	6.0	10.9	26.9	0.88	2.10	33.27	264.0 0.7191.341	0.719	1.341	0.349	2.060	9.58
		Sakha 93	614	2 36	6.5	12.1	29.1	1.00	2.40	34.8	288.0	0.815 1.499	1.499	0.352	2.314	10.15
L.S.D.			1.174	SN	SN	S S	N.S.	SN	N.S.	N.S.	SZ	N.S. 0.094	0.094	0.210	N.S.	0.005
1						į										

L.S.D.at 0.05 level B. casting = Broad casting

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Rahim, H. M.; M.G. Mosad, E. M. Shalaby and M.M. Mosound (1989). Effect of watering regime on yield and its components of wheat. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 20 (1): 177- 187.
- A.O.A.C., Association of Official Analysis Chemists (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. Published by the Association of Official Analysis Chemists, 13th Ed., Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- Ayers, R. S. and D. W. Westcot (1981). Water quality for Agriculture, FAO, Rome, pp. 41 56.
- Bakheit, B.R.; M.M.; Saadalla, F.H. Abdalla and T. A. Ahmed (1994). Genotype by environment interactions in grain sorghum across water deficit environments Assuit J. Agric. Sci., 25 (2): 25-37.
- Bernstein, L.; M. Fireman and R.C. Roeve (1975). Control of salinity in the imperial valley, California, U.S. Dept. Agr. ARS., 41: 4-16.
- Black, C. A. (1983). Methodes of soil analysis part (1and2). Soil sci. Soc. and Am. jnc., Madison, Wisconsing USA..
- Blum, A.; J. Mayer and G. Golan (1989). Agronomic and physiological assessments of genotypic variation for drought resistance in sorghum. Australian. J. Agric. Res., 40: 49-61.
- Duwayrie, M. (1984). Comparison of wheat cultivars grown in the field under different levels of moisture. Creal Research Communication. 12 (1/2) 27-34. (C.F. Soils & Fert.Abst. 1984, 47 (12); 13068). dwarf wheat. Indian J. Agron. 33(1): 106-107.
- Fardad. H. and M.Pessarakli (1995). Biomass production and water use efficiency of barley and wheat plant with different irrigation intervals at various water levels. J. Pl. Nutrition. (18: 2643- 2654)
- Francois, L.E.; D.V. Mass; T.J. Donovan and V.L. Youngs (1986). Effect of salinity on grain yield of semi-dwarf and durum wheat. Agron. J. 78: 1053-1058.
- Hassan, H. Kh. and F. Hassan (1994). Response of some wheat cultivars to sowing methods under saline irrigation water. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain SHams Univ., Cairo, 39 (1): 167-176.
- Hassan, H.Kh. (1989). Physiological studies for improving salt tolerance of wheat plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, PP. 81-84.
- Jackson, M. I. (1958): Soil chemical analysis, Co. London 38; 325.
- Maheshwari, S. K. and R. K. Sharma(2002). Differential response of seed rate and method of sowing on seed yield and quality of isabgo! (*Plantago ovata*) in shallow black soil. Indian J. Agro. 47(1): 147-150.
- Sadek, J. M. (2001). Evaluation of two newly released wheat cultivars under three irrigation intervals and five nitrogen levels in sandy soil. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 26 (1): 23 – 31.
- Shannon, M. C.; C. M.Grieve, and L.E. Francois (1994). Whole-plant response to salinity P. 199-244. In: Plant Environment Interactions (R. E. Wilkinson, ed.) New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Sharma, B. D.; S. Kar and S. S. Cheema (1990). Yield, water use and nitrogen uptake for different water and N levels in winter wheat Fertilizers, Res. 22: 2, 119 ~ 127.

Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics 2nd ed. Mc. Grow – Hill Book Co., Inc., New York 633. pp.

Weimberg, R. (1987). Solute adjustments in two species of wheat at two different stage of growth in response of salinity. Physiol Plantarum, 70: 381-388.

World Farming, (1971). Salinity, you can't erase it, but you can live with it World Farming, Texas Univ., PP. 10- 13.

Zeidan, E. M.; E. M. El-Naggar, S. A. I. Ghanem and M. I. I. Makhloof (1990). The influence of planting methods, plant densities and weed control treatments on seed yield and its quality of faba been. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 17(4):1079-1092.

تأثير طرق الزراعة وفترات الرى على بعض أصناف القمح تحت ظروف الملوحة بجنوب سيناء

خالد طه الأفندي

قسم الإنتاج النباتي- مركز بحوث الصحراء- القاهرة.

أقيمت تجربتان حقايتان في محطة بحوث راس سدر بمحافظة جنوب سيناء والتابعة لمركز بحوث الصحراء خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٢/ ٢٠٠٤ /٢٠٠٤ لدراسة تأثير طرق الزراعة (بدار – تسطير – خطوط) وفترات الرى (٧- ١٢- ١٧ يوم) على صنفي القمح سخا ٩٣، سخا ٦٩ تحت ظمروف السرى بالمياه المالحة الناتجة من الأبار الموجودة بالمحطة البحثية.

وكانت أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلي:

 أظيرت النّتائج تفوق الري المتقارب كل ٧ أيام وقد أدى إلى زيادة معلوية في صدفات المحصدول ومكوناته في كلا الموسمين.

٢- أوضحت النّذائج أز لطرق الزراعة تأثيرا معنويا في كل الصفات تعت الدراسة في كلا الموسمين وقد تفوقت طريقة الزراعة على خطوط في تحمل نباتات القمع للملوحة عن باقي المعاملات.

 - أشارت النتائج إلى تفوق الصنف سخا ٩٢ عن الصنف سخا ٩٦ في كل الصفات تحت الدراسة في كلا موسمي الزراعة وأظهر تأثيرا معنويا.

٤- سجل التفاعل بين قترات الري وطرق الزراعة تاثيرا معنويا في عدد الفروع/ النبات، طول السببلة،
 عند السنيبلات/ السنبلة وعدد الحبوب/ السنبلة، وزن السنبلة، وزن الألف حبـه، عـدد السـنابل/ م٢،
 محصول الحيوب/ فدن في الموسم الأول ، بينما كان عدد الحبوب / السـنابل، عـدد السـنابل /م٢،

المحصول البيولوجي/ فدان ونسبة البروتين % لها تأثيرا معنوياً في الموسم الثاني . د- كان التفاعل بين فترات الرى وصنفي القمح سخا ٩٣ سخا ٩٦ تأثيرا معنويا في عند الفسروع/ النبات. عند السنيبلات/ السنبلة، وزن السنبلة، وزن حبوب النبات، وزن الالف حبه، محصول الحبوب/ فدان، محصول القش/ فدان، محصول البيولوجي/ فدان، نسبة البروتين % في الموسم الأول. بينما كان في طول النبات، وزن حبوب النبات، محصول الحبوب/فدان، محصول القسش/ فدان، المحصول البيولوجي/ فدان، نسبة البروتين% لهما تأثيرا معنويا في الموسم الثاني.

٦- أظهر التفاعل بين طرق الزراعة وصنفي القمح سخا ٩٣، سخا ٩٩ تأثيراً معنوياً في عدد السنيبلات/ السنبلة، عدد الحبوب/ السنبلة، وزن الألف حبة، نسبة البروتين % في الموسم الأول، بينما كان لهما تأثيرا معنويا في طول النبات، وزن حبوب/ النبات، محصول القش/ فدان ونسبة البروتين % فــى الموسم الثاني.

٧- أظهر التفاعل بين فترات الرى وطرق الزراعة وصنفي القمح سخا ٩٦ ، سخا ٩٦ تأثيرا معنويا فسى عدد السنيبلات/ السنبلة وزن السنبلة، وزن حبوب/ النبات، وزن الألف حبة، محصول القسش / فسان والمحصول البيولوجي/ قدان ونسبة البروتين % في الموسم الأول. بينما كان له تأثيرا معنويسا فسى طول اننبات، محصول القش/ فدان، المحصول البيولوجي/ فدان، نسبة البروتين % في الموسم الثاني. من خلال الدراسة يمكن التوصية بزراعة أصناف قمح مقاومة للملوحة خاصة الصنف سخا ٩٣ في منطقة راس سدر والمناطق المشابهة بجنوب سيناء والتي يتم الرى فيها من خلال الأبار على أن تكون الزراعة في خطوط مع مراعاة أن يكون الرى كل ٧ أيام مع الزراعة مع الاهتمام بالمعاملات الزراعيسة الاخرى للحصول على أنافي إنتاجية ممكنة.