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#### Abstract

Two field experiments were conducted at Mansoura district (EL-Baqulia village) Dakahlia governorate, during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005. seasons, to study the impact of nitrogen levels i.e 40,60 and $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. on growth and yield of sugar beet cv. "Sultan" intercropped with faba bean cv. "Giza 2" and wheat cv. "Sakha 93". A split plot design with four replications was used.

\section*{The important results could be summarized as follows:}

\section*{1- Sugar beet:}

It was evident that intercropping systems with sugar beet significantly reduced all studied characters of sugar beet except sucrose and purity percentages. The highest values of root fresh weight/plant, root length and diameter, TSS\% and top, root and sugar yields/fad were obtained from sugar beet in pure stand, meanwhile maximum values of sucrose and purity percentages resulted from cropping 3 rows of faba bean with sugar beet in both seasons.

Increasing nitrogen level up to $80 \mathrm{kgN} /$ fad significantly increased all sugar beet characters except sucrose and purity percentages which recorded the highest values with $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ in the two seasons

The interaction between the two studied factors had a significant affect on top yield/fad in both seasons, root length in the first season as well as root fresh weight/plant and TSS\% in the second season only.

\section*{2- Faba bean:}

From the obtained data, all faba bean studied characters were significantly affected by intercropping systems in both seasons. 100 -seed weight, seeds weight/plant and Seed and straw yields/fad gave the highest values from pure stand, whereas number of branches and pods/plant, attained maximum values under cropping 2 rows of faba bean with sugar beet. On the other hand, plant height recorded the tallest plants under cropping 3 rows of faba bean system in both seasons.

All studied characters were significantly affected by nitrogen levels in both seasons. All studied characters recorded the highest values with 40kg N/fad except plant height and straw yield/fad which resulted from $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$.

Number of pods/plant and 100- seed weight were significantly affected only in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ season by the interaction between the two studied factors.


## 3- wheat:

Intercropping systems had a significant effect on all wheat studied characters in both seasons. Which number of tillers and spikes $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, grains weight/spike,1000grain weight and grain and straw yields/fad recorded the highest values with planting wheat in pure stand, while number of grains/spike gave the maximum values with cropping 2 rows of wheat with sugar beet, whereas the tallest plants resulted from cropping 3 rows of wheat with sugar beet system.

Concerning nitrogen levels the results revealed that increasing nitrogen levels from 40 up to $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. significantly increased all studied characters in both seasons.
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Interaction between the two studied factors had a significant effect on grain yield/fad in both seasons and number of tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in the first season as well as 1000grain weight and straw yield in the second season.

The highest values of LER and gross return were observed when cropping 3 rows of wheat with sugar beet and fertilized with $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. This study showed that cropping 3 rows of wheat with sugar beet gave the highest economic return for the farmers.

## INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris,L.) is an important crop not only in Egypt but also all over the world as a source of sugar industry, it is the second crop after sugar cane in Egypt for sugar production. Egyptian government imports large amount of sugar about 1.1 million tons every year to face the rapid increase of population.

As an attempt to narrow the gab in sugar commodity. Increasing sugar yield per unit area had national interest and can be achieved by adopting suitable cultural practices such as intercropping and fertilization. Agricultural intensification could be successfully achieved by growing most important winter crops with sugar beet simultaneously without any decrease in optimum density of sugar beet per unit area.

Intercropping sugar beet with faba bean and wheat is one the most important practice as a way to maximizing productivity per unit area from through the role of legume crops in fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in soil, maximize the utilization of available resources and allow full utilization of the environmental resource with minimum competition especially for light. Farghaly et al. (2003) and EL-Shaikh and Bekheet (2004) recorded that different intercropping systems of faba bean with sugar beet resulted in gross return per unit area compared with growing all crops in pure stand.

Nitrogen fertilization is among the vital factors affecting growth, yield and quality of crops. Nitrogen is referred as balance wheel of plant nutrition. It has an active role to raise the efficiency of other nutrition. Saleh (2004) found that fertilizing sugar beet plants with $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad significantly increased leaf area index, root weight/plant, root length and diameter and top, root and sugar yields/fad and decreased sucrose, TSS and purity\%. Vice versa Khan et al. (1998) revealed that increasing nitrogen level up to $180 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ increased sucrose and purity\%.

EL-Murshedy et al. (2002) recorded that Giza 2 at plant density 33/m² fertilized with $45 \mathrm{kgN} / \mathrm{fad}$ gave the highest yield/fad EL-Gandour et al (2001) found that $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad significantly increased yield of faba bean and its components. Srivastava and Srivastava (2000) recorded the highest yield of faba bean when fertilized by $40 \mathrm{kgN} / \mathrm{ha}$. Hammam (1995) revealed that application nitrogen fertilizer at $45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ plus $35 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ gave the highest seed yield of faba bean.

Singh et al. (1984) reported that intercropping 3 row of wheat with sugar beet gave highest net return compared with 1 and 2 rows. Gadallah et al. (2006) recorded that different intercropping systems of wheat with sugar beet
resulted in gross return per unit area compared with growing all crops in pure stand.
Abd EL-Razik (2002) showed that increasing nitrogen levels from 20-80 $\mathrm{kg} /$ fad increased plant height ,number of spikes $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, spike length grain and straw yields/fad of wheat in both season. Toaima et al. (2000) found that yield and yield components of wheat were improved with higher rate of N fertilizer up to 80 kg N/fad. Said et al. (1999), Bassal et al. (2001) and Tammam and Tawfike (2004) recorded the maximum yield and its components of wheat when fertilized by $75 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$.

This study was aimed to study the impact of nitrogen levels on growth and yield of sugar beet intercropped with faba bean and wheat.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Mansoura district (ELBaqulia village), Dakahlia governorate, during the two seasons of 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 to study the impact of nitrogen levels (40,60 and 80kg N/fad) on growth and yield of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris, L.) cv. Sultan (multigerm) intercropped with faba been (Vicia faba, L.) cv. Giza 2 and wheat (Triticum asetivum vulgare, L.) cv. Sakha 93 seeds of sugar beet and faba bean as well as wheat grains were obtained from Agric. Res. Center (ARC ),Giza ,Egypt. The experiment was laid-out a split plot design with four replications.

The main plots were occupied at random with seven intercropping systems as follow:
1- Pure stand of sugar beet was planted in beds 120 cm width, spaced 20 cm between hills on both sides of beds to give 35000 plants/fad.
2- Pure stand of faba bean was planted in four rows on the back of beds, 120 cm width, 20 cm between rows and 10 cm between hills ( 2 plants/hill) to give 140000 plants/fad.
3- Pure stand of wheat was planted in four rows on the back of beds, 120 cm . width, 20 cm between rows and 10 cm between hills ( $7-10 \mathrm{seed} / \mathrm{hill}$ ).
4- Intercropping faba bean with sugar beet by planting sugar beet as in pure stand and planting two rows only of faba bean on the top of beds as in pure stand, this provides $150 \%$ total population i.e. $100 \%$ component of sugar beet plus $50 \%$ component of faba bean .
5- Intercropping faba bean with sugar beet by planting sugar beet as in pure stand and planting three rows only of faba bean, this provides $175 \%$ total population .i.e. $100 \%$ of sugar beet plus $75 \%$ of faba bean.
6- Intercropping wheat with sugar beet by planting sugar beet as in pure stand planting two rows only of wheat on the top of beds as in pure stand, this provides $150 \%$ total population. i.e. $100 \%$ sugar beet plus $50 \%$ of wheat.
7- Intercropping wheat with sugar beet by planting sugar beet as in pure stand and planting three rows only of wheat on the top of beds, this provides $175 \%$ total population i.e. $100 \%$ sugar beet plus $75 \%$ of wheat.
The sub-plots were devoted at random with the following nitrogen levels:
1-40kg N/fad.
2-60kg N/fad.
$3-80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$.
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Nitrogen in forms of ammonium nitrate (33.5\%) at the previously mentioned rates were added in two equal doses, the first was applied after thinning sugar beet plants (30 days after sowing) and the second dose before the second irrigation ( 25 day after the previous irrigation). Each experimental unit included five beds, each 120 cm apart and 3.5 m length ,resulted in an area of $21 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (1/200 fad). The preceding summer crop was rice ( Oryza sativa, L.) in both seasons.

## Agricultural practices:

Calcium super phosphate ( $15.5 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) was applied during soil preparation at the rate of $150 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fad}$, Potassium sulphate ( $48 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) at the rate of $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fad}$ was applied before the second watering ( 55 day from planting sugar beet).

Sugar beet balls were hand sown 3-5 balls/hill using dry sowing method as previously mentioned on the first and $5^{\text {th }}$ of October in the first and second seasons, respectively. faba bean and wheat were sown on the first and $5^{\text {th }}$ of November in first and second seasons, respectively. The plots were irrigated immediately after sowing. Sugar beet plants were thinned at the age of 30 days from planting to obtain one plant/hill, plants were kept free from weeds which were manually controlled by hand hoeing at two times. Other cultural practices were performed as recommended. Harvesting took place after 170 days for faba bean and wheat, while 190 days for sugar beet. The recorded data could be divided into the following parts:

## I-SUGAR BEET:

At maturity (after approximately 190 days from planting) five plants were chosen at random, from the pure stand and from intercropped plots of sugar beet to determine yield components, quality and yield characters as follows:

## A-Yield components:

1- Root fresh weight (g/plant).
2- Root length (cm) it was measured from the end of tipped root to the discoidal stem.
3- Root diameter (cm) it was measured at the neck region of the root.

## B-Quality:

4-Total soluble solids (TSS\%) in roots was measured in juice of fresh roots by using Hand Refract meter.
5-Sucrose percentage (\%) was determined polarimetrically on lead acetate extract of fresh macerated roots according to the method of Le-Docte (1927).
6-Purity percentage (\%) was determined as a ratio between sucrose\% and TSS\% of roots.

## C-Yield:

At harvest, plants that were produced from the two inner beds $\left(8.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ of each sub-plot were collected and cleaned. Roots and tops were separated and weighted in Kilograms, then converted to estimate:
7-Root yield (t/fad).
8- Top yield (t/fad).
9- Sugar yield (t/fad) was calculated by multiplying root yield by sucrose percentage.

## II-FABA BEAN :

At maturity, a samples of 10 plants was chosen at random, from the pure stand or from intercropped plots of faba bean, then the following characters were calculated.
1-Plant height (cm). 2-Number of branches/plant.
3-Number of pods/plant. 4-Seeds weight/plant(g).
5-100-seed weight(g).
At harvest plants in two beds of each experimental unit were harvested, collected together, labeled, thrashed and the grains were separated. The grain and straw yields were recorded in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, then it converted to grain yield in ardab/fad and straw yield in t/fad, then the two following characters were calculated.
6 -Seed yield (ardab/fad) (ardab $=155 \mathrm{~kg}) . \quad 7$ - Straw yield (t/fad).

## III-WHEAT :

At maturity, a sample was chosen randomly from the pure stand and from intercropped plots of wheat, to determine the following characters:
1- Plant height (cm). The average height of ten plants and measured from the soil surface to the tip spike of main stem.
2- Number of tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$. by taking one squire meter from the inner of each plot.
3- Numbers of spikes $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$. by taking one squire meter from the inner of each plot.
4- Number of grains/spike. It was estimated from ten randomly chosen main spikes from each plot.
5- Grains weight/spike (g). It was estimated from ten randomly chosen main spikes from each plot.
$6-1000-$ grain weight ( g ).
The plants in two beds $\left(8.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ of each experimental unit were harvested, collected together, labeled, thrashed and the grains were separated. The grain and straw yields were recorded in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ converted into grain yield in ardab/fad and straw yield in t/fad, then the two following characters were calculated:
7-Grain yield (ardab/fad) (ardab=150kg)
8-Straw yield (t/fad).

## IV-Competitive relationships and yield advantages:

1- Land equivalent ratio (LER): as mentioned by Willey and Osiru (1972).
2- Relative crowding coefficient (K ): as mentioned by Dewit (1960).
3- Aggressivity (A ): determined according to Mc. Gillchrist (1965).
4- Economic evaluation:

## Gross return (LE/fad):

Gross return from each treatment was calculated in Egyptian pounds (LE) at market prices of 125 and 163 LE for ton of sugar beet roots, 320 and 325 LE for ardab of faba bean seeds, 145 and 165 LE for ardab of wheat seeds, 112 and 140 LE for ton of faba bean straw and 184 and 280 LE for ton of wheat straw for 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons, respectevely.

Prices of the yields were considered according to the Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Economic Affairs sector, Agricultural
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Statistics, (Study of main indicators of Agriculture Prices Bulletin), volume 1, October 2004 and October 2005.

## Statistical analysis:

The collected data on sugar beet, faba bean and wheat were statistically analyzed according to the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the split-plot design by means of "MSTAT-C Computer software package and least significant difference (LSD) method was used to test the differences between treatment means at $5 \%$ level of probability, as published by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

* and symbol used in tables indicate the significance at 5\% probability, while N.S. means non significant.


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## I- Sugar beet :

Data in table (1) show that, root fresh weight/plant, root length and diameter, TSS\% as well as root, top and gross sugar yields (t/fad) were significantly reduced by intercropping system compared with pure stand. The lowest values of these characters were recorded when cropping 3 rows of faba bean with sugar beet. On the other hand, sucrose and purity\% significantly increased by intercropping system compared with pure stand. The highest values were recorded under cropping 3 rows of faba bean with sugar beet. these results may be due to high plant population under cropping 3 rows of faba bean with sugar beat, which leades to high intra and inter row competition.

Concerning sugar beet yield/fad, the data showed the same trend as shown by growth characters in both season. The highest values was recorded with the pure stand of sugar beat. While the lowest values was obtained under cropping 3 rows of faba bean with sugar beet in both season .Root yields under cropping 2 rows of faba bean and 2,3 rows of wheat with sugar beet were $22.066,24.254$ as well as $22.687,24.889$ and 21.513 \& 23.693 ton/fad in the first and second seasons, respectively. The present result is mainly due to increasing inter and intra specific competition due to increased plant population per unit area. Similar results were reported by Singh et al (1984), Farghly et al. (2003) and Gadallh et al. (2006).

The results in table (1) stated that all sugar beet characters were significantly affected by nitrogen fertilization levels in both seasons. Increasing nitrogen levels from 40 to80 kg N/fad significantly increased root fresh weight/plant, root length and diameter, Tss\%, root and top as well as sugar yields(ton/fad) in both seasons. On other hand, nitrogen level of 40 kg $\mathrm{N} /$ fad significantly increased sucrose and purity \%.Application of nitrogen at the levels 40,60 and 80kgN/fad gave averages of 16.719, 23.766 and 26. 674 in the first season and 17.982, 26.251 and 29.742 of root yield in the second season, respectively. The increase in fresh root yield/fad may be attributed to the role of nitrogen in activating the growth through stimulating cell elongation and division which increased root length and diameter and consequently root yield/fad. The previous results are in good agreement with those obtained by Saleh (2004).
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The interaction between intercropping systems and nitrogen levels had a significant effect on top yield/fad in both seasons, root length in the first season and root fresh weight/plant in the second season, the highest values in all cases were obtained with sugar beet grown in pure stand and fertilized by 80kg N/fad Table (2).

## II- Faba bean.

Results in table (3) clear that intercropping systems had significant effects on plant height, number of branches and pods/plant,100-seed weight, seeds weight/plant as well as seed and straw yields/fad. The highest mean values of plant height was obtained under intercropping 3 rows of faba bean with sugar beat, while number of branches and pods/plant resulted from intercropping 2 rows of faba bean with sugar beet .On the other hand, 100seed weight, seeds weight/plant as well as seed and straw yields/fad were the highest values when grown faba bean in pure stand, these results may be due to the high and low of intra and inter competition under different sowing systems. Similar results were reported by Farghaly et al. (2003) and Gadallah et al. (2006).

The data collected in table (3) indicted that nitrogen leves had a significant effect on all studied characters. The highest values of plant height and straw yield were recorded with $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. Whereas number of branches and pods/plant,100-seed weight, seeds weight/plant and seed yield/fad attained the maximum values with $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$.these results are in agreement with results of EL-Gandour et al. (2001), EL-Murshedy et al. (2002) and Srivastava and Srivastava (2000).

The data presented in table (4) clear that the interaction between intercropping systems and nitrogen levels had a significant effects on number of pods/plant in the second season which resulted from cropping 2 rows of faba bean with sugar beet and fertilized by $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ with an average of values 19.5 and 100 -seed weight in the second season which resulted from pure stand and fertilized by $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ with an average of values $66.0(\mathrm{~g})$.

Table 4: Means of pods/plants and 100 -seed weight ( g ) as affected by the interaction between intercropping systems and nitrogen fertilizer levels.

| Characters | Number of pods/plant | 100-seed weight(g) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons | 2004/2005 |  | 2004/2005 |  |  |  |
| N- levels Treatments | 40 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 80 |
| Solid faba bean | 16.8 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 66.0 | 64.1 | 61.8 |
| 2 rows faba bean + S.beet | 19.5 | 17.3 | 15.8 | 63.7 | 62.1 | 60.0 |
| 3 rows faba bean + S.beet | 13.0 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 63.0 | 61.0 | 56.5 |
| F test. | $*$ |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |
| LSD 5\% | 0.8 |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |

## III- Wheat :

Data in Table (5) show that yield and yield components of wheat were significantly affected by intercropping systems in both seasons.
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Number of tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, number of spikes $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, grains weight/spike, 1000- grain weight and grain and straw yields/fad gave the highest values from pure stand, while number of grains/spike was highest with cropping 2 rows of wheat with sugar beet. On the other hand, plant height recorded the tallest plants under cropping 3 rows of wheat with sugar beet, this increase in plant height may be due to the inter and intra plants competition under dense planting. Similar results were obtained by Singh et al. (1984) .

The results in Table (5) show that all wheat characters were significantly affected by nitrogen fertilization levels in both seasons. Increasing nitrogen levels from 40 to $80 \mathrm{kgN} /$ fad. significantly increased all studied characters and this due to the important role of nitrogen in enhanced and activation in vegetative growth which led to increase in plant height, no. of tillers and spikes/ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, number of grains/spike, grains weight/spike, 1000grain weight as well as grain and straw yields/fad.Similar results were obtained by Toaima et al. (2000) and Abed EL-Razik (2002)

The interaction between the two studied factors had a significant affect on number of tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in the first season, 1000-grain weight and straw yield/fad in the second season, grain yield/fad in both seasons, The highest seed yield/fad (21.9 and 22.8 ardab) were recorded from pure stand and fertilized by $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad Table (6).

## Competitive relationships and yield advantage of intercropping . Land Equivalent Ratio (LER):

Data presented in Table (7) indicated clearly that LER showed considerable yields advantage with intercropping faba bean and wheat with sugar beet in the two successive seasons. The highest values of LER 1.30 and 1.33 with cropping 3 rows of wheat with sugar beet followed by 1.20 and 1.31 with cropping 2 rows of faba bean with sugar beet in the first and second season respectively.

## Relative crowding coefficient (RCC).

The best values of (K) 4.43 and 4.97 were achieved by cropping 3 rows of wheat with sugar beet in the first and second seasons, respectively Table(7).

## Aggressively (Agg):

Data in table (7) show that aggressively of faba bean and wheat (intercropped crops) were negative while values of sugar beet were positive. This mean that sugar beet was the dominant intercrop where as faba bean and wheat were the dominated ones in both seasons.

## Economic evaluation:

The data in table (7) show the advantage of intercropping faba bean and wheat with sugar beet as economic evaluation . the highest values of total income (LE/fad) 5073.5 and 7161.7 followed by 4661.4 and 6524.0 LE could be achieved when intercropping 3 rows of wheat and 2 rows of faba bean with sugar beet fertilized by $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ in the first and second seasons respectively.

It can be concluded that intercropping 3 rows of wheat and 2 rows of faba bean with sugar beet systems fertilized by $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. are
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recommended treatments for maximizing productively of faba bean and wheat intercropped with sugar beet under the same conditions of this study.

Table 7: Land Equivalent Ratio(LER), Relative crowding coefficient (Rcc), Aggressively (Agg) and total income (2003/2004 and 2004/2005) seasons.

## REFERENCES

| Seasons | 2003-2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters | Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) |  |  | Relative Crowding coefficient (K) |  |  | Aggressively <br> (A) |  |  |
| Treatments | Lf/w | Ls | LER | Kf/w | Ks | K | Aw | As |  |
| 2 rows faba bean S. beet | 0.40 | 0.80 | 1.20 | 0.69 | 4.47 | 2.92 | -0.79 | +0.79 | 4661.4 |
| 3 rows faba bean S.beet | 0.48 | 0.71 | 1.19 | 0.93 | 2.14 | 2.00 | -0.47 | +0.47 | 4244.0 |
| Solid faba bean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3497.6 |
| 2 rows wheat S. beet | 0.32 | 0.83 | 1.15 | 0.49 | 5.58 | 2.88 | -1.01 | +1.01 | 4628.3 |
| 3 rows wheat S. beet | 0.51 | 0.79 | 1.30 | 1.09 | 3.91 | 4.43 | -0.55 | +0.55 | 5073.5 |
| Solid wheat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3577.2 |
| Solid sugar beet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4022.5 |
| Seasons |  |  |  |  | 2004- | 2005 |  |  |  |
| 2rows faba bean+S beet | 0.49 | 0.82 | 1.31 | 0.99 | 4.70 | 4.69 | -0.66 | +0.66 | 6524.0 |
| 3 rows faba bean +S beet | 0.51 | 0.70 | 1.21 | 1.06 | 2.46 | 2.38 | -0.41 | +0.41 | 6042.8 |
| Solid faba bean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3982.5 |
| 2 rows wheat +S. beet | 0.33 | 0.84 | 1.17 | 0.49 | 5.33 | 2.64 | -1.02 | +1.02 | 6496.6 |
| 3 rows Wheat S. beet | 0.53 | 0.80 | 1.33 | 1.17 | 4.13 | 4.97 | -0.53 | +0.53 | 7161.7 |
| Solid wheat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4496.3 |
| Solid sugar beet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5723.1 |
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## تـأثير مسـتويات النيتروجين علـى نمـو ومحصـول بنجـر اللـكر المحمـل مـع الفـول البلاى والقمح. <br> احمـــد نـــادر اللــــيا عطيــة ، الـعربـــي مســـعد ســـعيد ، محمــــ حســين غنيمـــة و <br> محمد الغغريب محمد إبراهيم <br> قسم المحاصيلّ- كلية الزّراعةّـ جامعة المنصورة

أجريت تجربتـان حقليتان بقريـة البقليـة مركز المنصـورة محافظـة الاقهليـة خـلال الموسمين


 (9) على ظهر المصطبة حبث صمت التجربـة بنظام القطع المنشقة فى أربع مكررات وتتلخص النتائج المتحصل عليها قيما يلي: 1. اظهر نظام الزر اعة المنفردة للبنجر زيادة معنوية فى كل الصفات المدروسـة عدا صفتي نسبة السكر ونسبة النقاوة فى المائـة فقد أعطت اعلـى قيم لهـا تحت نظـام تحميل ثـلاث خطوط مـن الفول البلدى على بنجر السكر وذلك خلال موسمى الزر اعة.
2. أعطت نباتات القمح أطول النباتات تحت نظام تحمبل ثلاث خطوط من القمح على بنجر السكر

بينما عدد الحبوب السنبلة اعطت اعلى قيم لها تحت نظـام تحميل ب سطر مـن القــح مـع بنجر السكر فى حين أن زر اعة القمح بنظام الز راعة المنفردة اعطت اعلى قيم معنوية لكل مـن عدد

الحبوب و القش للفدان خلال موسمى الزر اعة.
3. اظهر نظام تحمبل ثلاث خطوطمن الفول البلدى على بنجر السكر زيادة معنوية فى صفة طول نباتات الفول البلاى بينما أظهرت الزر اعة تحت نظام خطين من الفول البلدى مع بنجر السكر الى زيادة فى صفات عدد الاشطاء و القرون للنبات بينما سجلت صفات وزن و . . ا حبة ووزن الحبوب لللنبات ومحصـول الحبوب والقش للفدان اعلـى قيم مـع زر اعـة الفـول البلدى بنظـام الزر اعة المنفردة.
4. أدت زيادة التنسيد النيتروجينى حتى •^ وحدة أزوت للفدان إلى زيادة فى جميع صفات القمـح وبنجر السكر تحت الدر اسة عدا صفتي نسبة السكر والنقاوة فى المائة فى محصول بنجر السكر فقّ اعطت اعلى قيم لها عند مستوى تسميد • ع وحدة أزوت للفدان خلال موسمى الزر اعة بينما أدت اللنسمبد بمستوى •^ وحدة ازوت إلى زيادة معنوية فى صفة طول النبات ومحصول القش
 الفول تحت الدراسة بالمقارنة بمستويات التسميد الأخرى وذلك خلا ولى موسمى الزر اعة.
 بنجر اللسكر الغضة سجل اقصـاة عند الزر اعة بصورة منفردة والتسميد بمعدل •^كم نيترو جين

للفدان خلال موسمى الزر اعة.
6. أشارت الننائج إلى زيادة كلا من معدل استغلال الأرض ومعامل الحشد النسبي والدخل الكلى وذللك تحت نظـام زراعـة ثـلاث خطوط مـن القمـح على ظهـر مصطبة بنجر اللسكر والتسميد بمعدل •^ كم نيتروجين للفدان يليه نظام زر اعة خطين من الفول البلدى علىى ظهر مصطبة بنجر السكر والتسميد بمعدل •^كم نيتروجين للفدان خـلال موسمى الزر اعـة وكـان محصول بنجر السكر هو السائد بينما محصول الفول البلدى و القمح هما المسود.

Table 1: Root fresh weight(g/plant), root length (cm), root diameter (cm), total soluble solids\%, sucrose\%, purity \%,root yield (ton/fad),top yield (ton/fad) and gross sugar yield(ton/fad) as affected by the intercropping systems and nitrogen levels in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

| Characters | Root fresh weight (g/plant) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Root } \\ \text { length }(\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Total soluble solids\% |  | Sucrose\% |  | Purity \% |  | Root yield (ton/fad) |  | Top yield (ton/fad) |  | Gross sugar yield(ton/fad) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons  <br> Treatments  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2004 \\ 2005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2004 \\ 2005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2003 \\ 2004 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2004 \\ 2005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | 2003 2004 | 2004 | 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| A- Intercropping systems: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solid sugar beet. | 763 | 842 | 28.3 | 29.4 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 17.6 | 17.7 | 75.0 | 76.1 | 27.270 | 29.573 | 16.120 | 16.808 | 4.706 | 5.189 |
| 2 rows faba bean + S. beet. | 613 | 710 | 22.9 | 26.1 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 82.2 | 84.8 | 22.066 | 24.254 | 13.745 | 15.107 | 3.980 | 4.448 |
| 3 rows aba bean + S.beet. | 516 | 615 | 19.0 | 22.7 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 18.7 | 19.7 | 87.9 | 91.6 | 18.396 | 20.883 | 12.892 | 14.280 | 3.409 | 3.990 |
| 2 rows wheat+ S. beet. | 649 | 727 | 24.5 | 27.9 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 18.1 | 18.6 | 79.7 | 83.8 | 22.687 | 24.889 | 14.016 | 15.180 | 4.036 | 4.539 |
| 3 rows of wheat + S.beet. | 598 | 687 | 20.8 | 24.8 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 18.5 | 19.2 | 81.3 | 84.6 | 21.513 | 23.693 | 13.732 | 14.975 | 3.908 | 4.459 |
| LSD 5\% | 68 | 36 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | N.S | 0.4 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 0.992 | 1.062 | 0.630 | 0.875 | 0.200 | 0.180 |
| B- Nitrogen levels: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 459 | 531 | 20.5 | 21.7 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 20.3 | 20.9 | 92.0 | 95.0 | 16.719 | 17.982 | 9.797 | 10.940 | 3.399 | 3.739 |
| $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{N/fad}$. | 658 | 736 | 22.7 | 26.4 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 80.5 | 84.5 | 23.766 | 26.251 | 14.617 | 15.620 | 4.291 | 4.910 |
| $80 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 767 | 882 | 26.1 | 30.4 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 23.0 | 22.7 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 71.1 | 73.0 | 26.674 | 29.742 | 17.889 | 19.250 | 4.334 | 4.926 |
| LSD 5\% | 46 | 35 | 0.4 | 05 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.490 | 0.677 | 0.350 | 0.377 | 0.130 | 0.180 |
| C-interaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AXB | NS | * | * | NS | NS | NS | NS | * | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  | * | NS | NS |

Table 2: Means of top yield (ton/fad),root length (cm) and root fresh weight(g/plant) as affected by the interaction between intercropping systems and nitrogen leves.

| Characters | Top yield (ton/fad) |  |  |  | Root length (cm) |  |  | Root fresh weight(g/plant) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 |  |  | 2003/2004 |  |  | 2004/2005 |  |  |
| N-levels Treatments | $40 \quad 60 \quad 80$ | 40 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 80 |
| Solid sugar beet | 11.18418 .32318 .852 | 12.100 | 18.660 | 19.662 | 24.3 | 28.0 | 32.8 | 578 | 846 | 1101 |
| Cro. 2 rows faba bean+S. beet | 10.18813 .63517 .412 | 11.398 | 14.935 | 18.988 | 21.0 | 22.4 | 25.3 | 540 | 740 | 860 |
| Cro. 3 rows faba bean+S .beet | 9.20012 .82116 .658 | 10.600 | 13.677 | 18.563 | 16.8 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 467 | 633 | 745 |
| Cro. 2 rows wheat +S. beet | 10.57513 .18518 .287 | 11.362 | 14.275 | 19.287 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 27.8 | 538 | 757 | 885 |
| Cro3 rows wheat +S. beet | 7.83715 .12218 .235 | 9.240 | 16.550 | 19.450 | 18.5 | 20.4 | 23.4 | 534 | 705 | 821 |
| LSD 5\% | 1.837 |  | 0.843 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 76 |  |

Table 3: Plant height (cm),number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, 100 -seed weight(g),Seeds eight/plant(g), Seed yield (ardab/fad) and Straw yield (ton/fad) as affected by the intercropping systems and nitrogen levels in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

| Characters | Plant height (cm) |  | Number of branches/ plant |  | Number of pods/ plant |  | 100-seed weight (g), |  | Seeds weight/plant (g) |  | Seed yield (ardab/ fad) |  | Straw yield (ton/fad) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Treatments | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 |
| A- Intercropping systems: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solid faba bean | 83.5 | 86.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 13.4 | 14.7 | 61.7 | 63.9 | 21.3 | 23.1 | 10.27 | 11.25 | 0.990 | 1.178 |
| 2 rows faba bean + S. beet | 78.1 | 81.6 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 60.8 | 61.9 | 17.3 | 21.4 | 4.12 | 5.53 | 0.533 | 0.659 |
| 3 rows faba bean + S. beet | 90.3 | 93.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 60.3 | 60.2 | 13.3 | 16.4 | 6.42 | 7.51 | 0.823 | 0.951 |
| LSD 5\% | 4.0 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.051 | 0.095 |
| B- Nitrogen levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{KgN} / \mathrm{fad}$ | 81.7 | 84.9 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 14.8 | 16.4 | 63.3 | 64.2 | 20.4 | 23.7 | 6.83 | 7.96 | 0.607 | 0.751 |
| $60 \mathrm{KgN} / \mathrm{fad}$ | 84.0 | 87.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 61.2 | 62.4 | 17.3 | 20.3 | 6.38 | 7.53 | 0.786 | 0.924 |
| $80 \mathrm{KgN} / \mathrm{fad}$ | 86.0 | 88.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 58.3 | 59.4 | 14.2 | 16.9 | 6.05 | 7.03 | 0.953 | 1.112 |
| LSD 5\% | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.075 | 0.079 |
| C- Interaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ax B | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | * | NS | * | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Table 5: Plant height (cm),number of tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, number of spikes $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, number of grains/spike, grains weight/spike (g), 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield (ardab/ fad) and Straw yield (ton/fad) of wheat as affected by the intercropping systems and nitrogen levels in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

| Characters | Plant height (cm) |  | Number of tillers/ $\mathbf{m}^{2}$ |  | Number of spikes $/ \mathbf{m}^{2}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Number of } \\ \text { grains/ } \\ \text { spike } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | grains weight/spike <br> (g) |  | 1000-grain weight (g) |  | grain yield (ardab/fad) |  | Straw yield (ton/fad) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Treatments | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 |
| A- Intercropping systems: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solid wheat | 84.7 | 89.1 | 318.3 | 328.7 | 279.0 | 307.6 | 51.8 | 52.8 | 2.49 | 2.57 | 47.4 | 48.7 | 20.04 | 21.11 | 1.940 | 2.150 |
| 2 rows wheat + S. beet | 83.9 | 86.9 | 149.5 | 159.2 | 137.4 | 141.9 | 53.2 | 55.5 | 2.31 | 2.39 | 46.7 | 47.1 | 6.45 | 6.95 | 0.810 | 1.042 |
| 3 rows wheat + S. beet | 87.3 | 92.0 | 199.3 | 201.3 | 175.4 | 186.0 | 47.8 | 50.2 | 2.02 | 2.22 | 42.6 | 44.4 | 10.33 | 11.31 | 1.147 | 1.367 |
| LSD 5\% | 1.4 | 1.0 | 14.6 | 20.8 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.45 | 1.57 | 0.580 | 0.310 |
| B- Nitrogen levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{KgN} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 82.6 | 85.6 | 211.9 | 221.0 | 193.5 | 202.4 | 47.8 | 50.1 | 2.13 | 2.26 | 41.6 | 42.7 | 10.88 | 11.89 | 1.031 | 1.342 |
| $60 \mathrm{KgN} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 84.5 | 89.4 | 221.7 | 228.5 | 201.3 | 211.1 | 50.4 | 52.6 | 2.24 | 2.37 | 45.9 | 46.7 | 12.55 | 13.25 | 1.342 | 1.542 |
| $80 \mathrm{KgN} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 88.9 | 93.1 | 234.1 | 239.6 | 214.8 | 223.0 | 54.6 | 55.8 | 2.44 | 2.55 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 13.39 | 14.24 | 1.519 | 1.675 |
| LSD 5\% | 1.4 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.118 | 0.151 |
| C- Interaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ax B | N.S | N.S | * | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | * | * | * | N.S | * |

Table 6 : Means of Number of tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}, 1000$-grain weight(g), grain yield (ardab/fad) and Straw yield (ton/fad) and as affected by the interaction between intercropping systems and nitrogen levels.

| Characters | Number of tillers $/ \mathbf{m}^{2}$ | 100 | in | ( g ) | Grain yield (ardab/fad) |  |  |  |  |  | Straw yield (ton/fad) <br> 2004/2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 |  |  | 2003/20004 |  |  | 2004/2005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| N - levelsTreatments | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 60 & 80\end{array}$ | 40 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 80 |
| Solid wheat | 306.5317 .5331 .5 | 42.8 | 46.8 | 53.6 | 17.9 | 20.3 | 21.9 | 19.3 | 21.2 | 22.8 | 1.825 | 2.175 | 2.450 |
| 2 rows wheat + S. beet | 144.8152 .0151 .8 | 43.6 | 49.0 | 51.4 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 0.975 | 1.000 | 1.150 |
| 3 rows wheat + S. beet | 184.5196 .0219 .0 | 41.3 | 44.5 | 47.3 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 1.225 | 1.450 | 1.425 |
| F. test. | * |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| LSD 5\% | 8.3 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.3 |  |

