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#### Abstract

This study was conducted with the objective of estimating combining ability and gene action for yield and its components in kenaf. This was achieved via evaluating 15 progenies of the line $x$ tester analysis consisting of five females ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}=$ H.119, $\mathrm{P}_{2}=$ Coba, $\mathrm{P}_{3}=\mathrm{S} .96 / 20, \mathrm{P}_{4}=\mathrm{S} .38$ and $\mathrm{P}_{5}=$ New Indian) and three males ( $\mathrm{P}_{6}=$ Giza3, $\mathrm{P}_{7}=\mathrm{S} .108 / 9$, and $\mathrm{P}_{8=\text { Tianing }}$. In 2005, the eight parents and their $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ 's progenies were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with four replications at Ismailia Agric. Res. Station Farm, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt.

The collected data indicated that the predominant role of additive gene action involved in the expression of all studied characters except for both of stem diameter and seed weight / plant Therefore, selection should be possible in the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and subsequent generations for all studied characters, except for both of stem diameter and seed weight / plant. $P_{3}$ and $P_{7}$ exhibited significant and positive GCA effects for green weight and most of its components as well as $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ for three important components (plant height, technical stem length and fiber length), indicating that the use of these parents in kenaf breeding programs could increase green weight and consequently increasing fiber yield. Concerning, seed weight / plant results indicated that the $P_{5}$ followed by $P_{6}$ showed significant positive $\hat{g}_{i}$ values. Therefore, it could be concluded that these two parents ( $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ ) in addition to $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ appeared to be best combiners for seed weight. Correlation coefficients between GCA values and parental means for all studied characters indicated that selection of parental crosses in kenaf breeding program could be depended on their higher mean performance for these traits. Two crosses ( $\mathrm{P}_{3} \times \mathrm{P}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{5} \times \mathrm{P}_{7}$ ) exhibited significant and positive SCA effects for two important components viz., fiber weight, fiber percentage in addition to seed weight per plant. These crosses involved high x low general combiners for these traits exception $\mathrm{P}_{5} \times \mathrm{P}_{7}$ involved high x high for only seed weight per plant.

Phenotypic ( $r_{p}$ ) and genotypic ( $r_{g}$ ) correlation coefficients concluded that green weight, plant height, technical stem length, fiber percentage and fiber length are the major components contributing to fiber weight / plant in kenaf. Therefore, selection for these traits will improve the fiber yield in kenaf. On the other hand, fruiting zone length as selection indices to improve seed yield in kenaf.
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## INTRODUCTION

Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L) in Egypt cultivated to produce bast fiber, which used alone or mixed with jute fiber to manufacture bags, twine, ropes and other products. Moreover, kenaf seeds contain similar oil which extracted from cotton seeds but free from gossiboll (poison material) as edible for human.

One of the most important objectives of kenaf breeding in Egypt is to improve, simultaneously, fiber yield, green stalk yield and high technical stem length. To select high-yielding genotypes in kenaf, an understanding of the combining ability and the type of gene action for yield and its components of the entries of the reference population is of great importance. If additive gene
action is predominant, then the breeder can effectively succeed in getting progress by selection at various levels of inbreeding, since additive effects are readily transmissible from one generation to another.

The concept of line $x$ tester analysis was developed by Kempthorne in 1957. at is a modified from of top cross scheme. Singh and Narayanan (1993) concluded that the line $x$ tester mating design provides almost the same genetic information as the diallel analysis. As well as, this technique like diallel and partial diallel, and also help in the identification of good general combiners and specific cross combinations as well as in the choice of breeding procedure for genetic improvement of various polygenic characters. A knowledge of relative magnitude of additive and non-additive gene effects would be very useful in designing efficient breeding program. Such information in kenaf is limited. Diallel analysis of yield and its components in kenaf was studied by Adamson (1980) and Mourad et al.,(1989), who found that the additive type gene action of relatively greater importance for fiber yield/plant, technical stem length, stem diameter and fruiting zone length. On the other hand, many investigators studied the differences between kenaf genotypes e.g.,Osman and Momtaz,1982; Xiao et al.,1993; Webber, 1993 and El-Kady and El-sweify,1995. Many correlation studies indicated that basal stem diameter, green plant weight, fiber length and plant height were the major components contributing to fiber weight in kenaf (Chaudhury et al.,1981; Mourad et al.,1987; Padmaja,1989; El-Shimy et al.,1990; Subramanyam et al.,1995, El-Farouk and El-Sweify, 1998 and Mostafa, 2003).

Owing to the small kenaf cultivating area annually in Egypt by reason of the great competition with the other summer crops in the ancient valley land. Therefore, the biggest challenge in breeding new varieties has been to produce a variety that is adapted to the sandy soil conditions. For this reason, this study aimed to estimate the combining ability of eight parents and to estimate the type of gene action for yield and yield components under sandy soil conditions, in addition to estimate the phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between fiber yield and related characters.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used for the present study consisted of 23 kenaf genotypes (8 parents, $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}$ ). Genotype characteristics of the material used according to their pedigree, origin, generation and year released are presented in Table 1. The parents from 1 to 5 were used as female (line) and from 6 to 8 as male (tester) parents in a line $x$ tester mating design. These eight parents represent a wide genetic variability for yield, yield component and other related characters of kenaf.

In 2004 season, each of the 3 male parents was crossed to the 5 female parents to obtain $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ crosses at Giza Res. Station Farm. In 2005 season, the eight parents and their $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ 's were planted in a randomized complete block design with four replications at Ismailia Agric. Res. Station Farm, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt. The soil type was sandy soil with coarse sand $62.87 \%$, fine sand $26.75 \%$, silt $1.22 \%$, clay $0.50 \%$, organic matter 0.05
\%, available nitrogen 6.61 ppm and pH value of 7.24 . Seeds of each parent and $F_{1}$ were sown in single rows. The rows were 3 m long and 50 cm apart. The distance between hill was 25 cm and planting date was the second week of May.2005. The seedling were thinned after four weeks from sowing to leave two plants per hill. The recommended cultural practices for kenaf were applied. Five random guarded plants were chosen from each row, by means that five plants for each parent and for each $F_{1}$ from each replication were used for measuring data. The following traits were recorded:
(1) green weight $(\mathrm{g}) /$ plant, as weight in grams of kenaf stalk plant during and at most 48 hours from harvesting, (2) plant height (cm), (3) technical stem length in cm , (4) fiber length (cm), (5) fiber weight (g) / plant, as the weight in grams of the air-dried fibers extracted from retted green stalk weight of kenaf plant, (6) fiber percentage $=($ fiber weight/plant $\div$ green weight/plant) $\times 100$, (7) fruiting zone length in cm , (8) stem diameter in mm and (9) seed yield per plant (g).

Table 1. Identification of eight kenaf genotypes used, pedigree, origin, generation and year released.

| Genotypes | Pedigree | Origin | Generation | Year <br> released |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-H. 119 | Selected from H.119 (G.4×16/63-2) | Advanced strain | $\mathrm{F}_{9}$ | 2000 |
| 2-Coba | Selected from I. 4/29-26 | Coba | introduction | 1959 |
| 3-S.96/20 | Giza 3 $\times 17 / 64-2$ | Advanced strain | $\mathrm{F}_{7}$ | 2002 |
| 4- S.38 | Giza 3 $\times 4 / 59-27$ | Advanced strain | $\mathrm{F}_{9}$ | 1976 |
| 5-New Indian | Selected from I. New Indian | I. India | introduction | 1996 |
| 6- Giza 3 | Selected from farmer fields | Local cultivar | landraces | 1961 |
| 7- S.108/9 | Giza 3 x S.27/127 | Advanced strain | $\mathrm{F}_{9}$ | 1996 |
| 8-I. Tianning | Introduction from Nigeria | I. Nigeria | introduction | 1995 |

* Year released, selected or introduced.


## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Analysis of variance of the data was performed on plot means bases. Line $x$ tester design is employed for studying genetic variation in a fifteen-family population for $F_{1}$ generations. The variation among families within generation is further divided into genetic variation components attributable to general (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) following the method suggested by Singh and Chaudhary (1985). Variances due to general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability and due to combining ability variances and effects were estimated according to line $x$ tester analysis as per Kempthorne (1957).

Phenotypic ( $r_{p}$ ) and genotypic ( $r_{g}$ ) correlation coefficients were calculated according to the formula suggested by Al-Jibouri et al., (1958).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 1- Analysis of variances:

Analysis of variance showed that mean squares due to entries (parents and $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}$ ) are highly significant for green weight / plant and its related characters (Table2). This indicates that those parental genotypes and their crosses showed a reasonable degree of variability for these traits. Also,
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mean squares due to parents and crosses were highly significant for all traits. Such variability among different kenaf genotypes in green stalk weight and its components was also reported by Osman and Momtaz,1982; Xiao et al.,1993; Webber,1993 and El-Kady and El-Sweify,1995. Mean squares of parents vs. crosses as an indication to average heterosis over all hybrids was significant, revealing that heterotic effect was pronounced for these characters, while parents vs. crosses for fruiting zone length was nonsignificant. Mean squares due to males and females were significant for all characters under study except for stem diameter for female. These results indicated that most of the variability expressed in crosses for every trait was due to the effect of both male and female parents. Mean squares due to males $x$ females interaction also were significant for all studied characters except for technical stem length.

The partitioning of genetic variance into general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability variances is shown in Table (3). GCA variances were significant for all studied characters except for stem diameter. On the other hand, SCA variances were not significant for all studied characters except for both of stem diameter and seed weight / plant. Also, GCA variances were larger than the corresponding SCA variances as well as the values of additive and dominance as well as, the ratio of GCA/SCA variances for all studied characters were exhibited in the same direction, except for both of stem diameter and seed weight / plant. These results indicating the predominant role of additive gene action involved in the expression of these characters. Therefore, selection should be possible in the $F_{2}$ and subsequent generations for all studied characters, except for both of stem diameter and seed weight / plant. Mourad et al.,(1989) found that the additive type gene action of relatively greater importance for fiber yield/plant, technical stem length, stem diameter and fruiting zone length. On the other hand, the major part of genetic variance for seed yield/plant was due to non-additive effects.
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Table 3. The partitioning of the genetic variance into general and specific combining ability variances for green weight and its related characters for eight kenaf parents and their 15 F1 crosses.

| Characters | S.O.V. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GCA | SCA | Additive | Dominance | Error | GCA/SCA <br> ratio |
| Green weight / plant (g) | $619.95^{* *}$ | 3.34 | 1239.90 | 3.34 | 11.30 | 185.45 |
| lant height/plant (cm) | $25.39^{* *}$ | 1.84 | 50.78 | 1.84 | 3.70 | 13.84 |
| echnical stem length (cm) | $15.69^{* *}$ | 0.33 | 31.38 | 0.33 | 2.98 | 48.13 |
| iber length (cm) | $15.52^{* *}$ | 1.05 | 31.04 | 1.05 | 3.12 | 14.80 |
| iber weight / plant (g) | $12.56^{* *}$ | 1.02 | 25.11 | 1.02 | 0.81 | 12.28 |
| iber percentage (\%) | $0.19^{* *}$ | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 1.70 |
| ruiting zone length (cm) | $5.20^{*}$ | 2.24 | 10.40 | 2.24 | 2.46 | 2.32 |
| Stem diameter (mm) | 0.17 | $0.39^{* *}$ | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.44 |
| Seed weight / plant (g) | $0.18^{* *}$ | $0.40^{* *}$ | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.45 |

*,** Indicate significant and highly significant, respectively.

## 2- GCA effects:

The estimates of general combining ability effects of female and male parents are shown in Table (4). $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ (S.96/20) and $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ (S.108/9)showed highly significant and positive general combining ability effects for all studied characters except for fruiting zone length due to only $P_{3}$. The parents, $P_{2}$ (Coba)and $P_{5}$ (New Indian) exhibited significant and positive GCA effect for technical stem length and fiber length and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ (Giza 3) revealed significant and positive GCA effects for stem diameter and seed weight/plant. Also, $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (H.119)and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (S.38) exhibited significant and positive GCA effect for fruiting zone length and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ for stem diameter as well as $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for seed weight/plant.

Table 4. Estimates of general combining ability effects ( $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) for studied green weight / plant and its related traits in 8 kenaf parents ( 5 females and 3 males).

| Parents | Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Green weight / plant (g) | Plant height/ plant (cm) | Technic al stem length (cm) | Fiber length (cm) | Fiber weight plant (g) | Fiber percent age (\%) |  | Stem diamete r (mm) | Seed weight plant (g) |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-H. 119 | -12.757 | -6.757 | -9.548 | -9.442 | -2.453 | -0.425 | 2.792 ** | -0.768 | -0.995 |
| 2-Coba | -3.207 | 1.685* | 2.927 ** | 2.442 ** | -1.962 | -0.433 | -1.242 | 0.798** | -0.028 |
| 3-S.96/20 | 15.818 ** | 8.843 ** | 9.793 ** | 0.033 ** | 5.330 ** | 1.125 ** | -0.942 | 0.232* | 0.963 ** |
| - S. 38 | -4.873 | -4.623 | -5.798 | -5.583 | -1.112 | -0.183 | 1.167 * | -0.085 | -0.412 |
| 5-New India | 5.018** | 0.852 | 2.627 ** | 2.550 ** | 0.197 | -0.083 | -1.775 | -0.177 | 0.472 ** |
| S.E. (gi-gi) | 1.372 | 0.785 | 0.705 | 0.722 | 0.367 | 0.111 | 0.640 | 0.145 | 0.105 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-Giza3 | -30.758** | 5.090 | 1.452 ** | $1.115^{* *}$ | 3.243 ** | 0.132 ** | 3.643 ** | 0.098** | 0.437 ** |
| 7-108/9 | $72.337{ }^{* *}$ | 12.800 ** | 6.328 ** | 6.265 ** | 9.612 ** | 0.958 ** | 6.477 ** | 0.928** | 0.572 ** |
| 8-Tinning | -41.578** | 7.710 ** | -4.877 ** | 5.150 ** | 6.368 ** | 0.827 ** | 2.833 ** | 1.027** | 1.008 ** |
| S.E. (gi-gi) | 1.063 | 0.608 | 0.546 | 0.559 | 0.284 | 0.086 | 0.496 | 0.112 | 0.082 |
| $r$ | 0.859** | 0.828** | 0.890** | 0.891** | 0.862** | 0.883** | 0.828** | 0.720* | 0.756* |

*,** Indicate significant and high significant, respectively
$r=$ Simple correlation coefficient between GCA values and parental means .

In general, $P_{3}(S .96 / 20)$ and $P_{7}(S .108 / 9)$ exhibited significant and positive GCA effects for green weight and most of its components as well as $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (Coba) for three important components (plant height, technical stem length and fiber length), indicating that the use of these parents in kenaf breeding programs could increase green weight and consequent increasing fiber yield. Concerning, seed weight / plant results indicated that the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ (New Indian ) followed by $P_{6}$ (Giza 3) showed significant positive $\hat{g}_{i}$ values. Therefore, it could be concluded that these two parents ( $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ ) in addition to $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $P_{7}$ appeared to be best combiners for seed weight.

The simple correlation between GCA values and parental means for all studied characters were significantly positive (Table 4). These results indicated that, the parents showing higher mean performance (Table 6) proved to be the highest general combiners for these traits. Therefore, high mean performance of the parents could be transferred to hybrids in such cases.

## 3- SCA effects:

Table (5) shows specific combining ability effects for green weight / plant and its related characters. Out of the $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ crosses, only two crosses: $P_{3} \times P_{8}$, and $P_{5} \times P_{7}$ showed highly significant positive SCA effects for each of fiber weight, fiber percentage and seed weight per plant as well as $\mathrm{P}_{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{6}$ for seed weight per plant only. $\mathrm{P}_{4} \times \mathrm{P}_{7}$ also, showed high SCA effects in the desirable direction for each of plant height, fruiting zone length and stem diameter. Also, $P_{5} \times P_{6}$ for fruiting zone length, $P_{1 \times} \times P_{7}$ and $P_{3} \times P_{6}$ for stem diameter and $P_{1} \times P_{8}$ and $P_{2} \times P_{6}$ for seed weight per plant indicated high SCA effects.

Table 5. Selected crosses on the basis of specific combining ability effects $\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ for green weight / plant and its related traits.

| Crosses | Plant height/plant $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Fiber length (cm) | Fiber weight / plant (g) | Fiber percentage (\%) | Fruiting zone length (cm) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Stem } \\ \text { diameter } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | Seed weight / plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1x7 \# | -1.408 | -0.648 | 0.688 | 0.225 | -0.727 | 0.363 * | -0.230 |
| 1x8 | 0.127 | -0.433 | -0.782 | -0.290 | -0.067 | -0.432 | 0.300 * |
| 2x6 | 0.440 | -0.977 | 0.752 | 0.148 | 0.627 | -0.273 | 0.763 ** |
| 3x6 | -0.868 | -0.168 | 0.110 | 0.090 | -1.048 | 1.068 ** | -0.403 |
| 3x8 | 0.227 | -0.408 | 0.835* | 0.410 ** | 0.842 | -0.032 | 0.892 ** |
| $4 \times 6$ | -1.352 | 1.848 * | 0.102 | -0.002 | -1.832 | -0.540 | 0.022 |
| 4x7 | 2.983 ** | -0.857 | -0.403 | -0.017 | 2.998 ** | 0.455 * | 0.187 |
| 5x6 | 0.498 | -1.785 | -1.057 | -0.302 | 1.460 * | -0.323 | -0.312 |
| 5x7 | -1.292 | 1.110 | 1.788 ** | 0.508 ** | -1.710 | 0.047 | 0.828 ** |
| S.E. (sij-Sik) | 1.360 | 1.250 | 0.635 | 0.193 | 1.108 | 0.251 | 0.182 |

*,** Indicate significant and highly significant, respectively.
\# Number refer to parent codes, Table 3.

Table 6. Mean performances of 23 kenaf genotypes ( 8 parents and 15 $F_{1}$ 's crosses)for green weight/plant and its related traits.

| genotypes | Green <br> weight $/$ <br> plant <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Plant height/pl ant (cm) | Technical stem length (cm) | Fiber length (cm) | Fiber weight / plant (g) | Fiber percent age (\%) | Fruiting <br> zone <br> length <br> (cm) | Stem diameter (mm) | Seed weight / plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-H. 113 | 308.90 | 194.28 h | 152.38 h | 148.58 g | 19.13 g | 6.20 e | 41.90 b | 9.60 | 1.93 |
| 2-Coba | 319.33 f | 216.28 e | 178.13 d | 173.38 d | 21.23 ef | 6.63 d | 38.13 de | 11.28 d | 3.25 d |
| 3-S.20/96 | 362.20 b | 225.83 b | 187.98 b | 182.80 b | 32.20 b | 8.88 b | 37.88 e | 12.60 b | 4.60 a |
| 4-I. 38 | 315.28 f | 205.18 g | 163.78 f | 158.98 f | 20.80 f | 6.60 d | 41.40 b | 9.80 | 2.23 e |
| 5-New Indian | 335.20 d | 217.33 d | 178.13 d | 172.40 d | 24.48 d | 7.30 c | 39.20 de | 10.73 e | 4.03 b |
| 6-Giza3 | 345.88 c | 223.08 c | 183.23 c | 177.88 c | 27.98 c | 8.08 b | 39.83 cd | 12.00 c | 3.40 cd |
| 7-108/9 | 547.38 a | 260.18 a | 195.23 a | 191.40 a | 51.23 a | 9.35 a | 64.93 a | 13.13 a | 4.68 a |
| 8-Thianing | 324.30 e | 212.03 f | 171.78 e | 165.83 e | 22.18 e | 6.83 d | 40.28 bc | 10.50 e | 3.60 c |
| Crosses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1x6 | 321.10 h | 210.48 i | 167.50 h | 162.78 i | 24.18 h | 7.53 e | 42.98 d | 11.20 gi | 4.00 g |
| 1x7 | 428.98 d | 225.68 c | 174.10 f | 168.43 gh | 37.63 c | 8.78 c | 51.58 a | 12.33 cd | 3.98 g |
| 1x8 | 311.98 i | 206.70 | 163.78 j | 157.23 k | 20.18 k | 6.48 g | 42.93 d | 9.58 | 2.93 |
| 2x6 | 333.20 g | 218.08 ef | 179.30 d | 172.60 e | 25.33 g | 7.60 e | 38.78 f | 12.43 c | 5.80 b |
| 2x7 | 437.10 c | 234.60 b | 187.10 b | 180.78 c | 36.30 d | 8.33 d | 47.50 bc | 13.70 a | 4.88 f |
| 2x8 | 320.40 h | 215.50 gh | 176.40 e | 170.70 ef | 21.83 j | 6.83 f | 39.10 f | 11.68 ef | 3.13 i |
| 3x6 | 351.48 e | 223.93 cd | 186.53 b | 181.00 bc | 31.98 e | 9.10 b | 37.40 f | 13.20 b | 5.63 bc |
| 3x7 | 456.88 a | 243.33 a | 194.58 a | 189.13 a | 43.78 a | 9.60 a | 48.78 b | 11.93 de | 5.68 bc |
| 3x8 | 339.43 f | 222.40 de | 182.30 c | 176.73 d | 29.58 f | 8.73 c | 40.10 e | 10.98 hi | 5.48 cd |
| $4 \times 6$ | 332.80 g | 209.98 i | 171.23 g | 167.40 h | 25.53 g | 7.70 e | 38.73 f | 11.28 fh | 4.68 f |
| 4x7 | 431.40 d | 232.20 b | 178.53 d | 172.08 ef | 37.88 c | 8.78 c | 53.68 a | 13.10 b | 4.98 ef |
| 4x8 | 321.50 h | 207.08 j | 166.88 i | 160.53 j | 22.60 ij | 7.03 f | 40.20 e | 10.78 i | 3.00 |
| 5x6 | 341.50 f | 217.30 fg | 178.23de | 171.90 ef | 25.68 g | 7.50 e | 39.08 f | 11.40 fg | 5.23 de |
| 5x7 | 441.20 b | 233.40 b | 187.38 b | 182.18 b | 41.38 b | 9.40 ab | 46.03 c | 12.60 c | 6.50 a |
| 5x8 | 332.68 g | 214.98 h | 176.30 e | 170.33 fg | 22.88 i | 6.90 f | 38.68 f | 10.88 i | 3.58 h |
| Means | 363.48 | 220.42 | 177.42 | 171.96 | 28.95 | 7.83 | 43.00 | 11.59 | 4.22 |

The values identified by the same letter are not significantly different at 5 \% level of probability.
\# = Parents from 1 to 5 were used as female and from 6 to 8 as male parents.
In general, two crosses ( $P_{3} \times P_{8}$, and $P_{5} \times P_{7}$ ) exhibited significant and positive SCA effects for two important components viz., fiber weight, fiber percentage in addition to seed weight per plant. These crosses involved high $x$ low general combiners for these traits exception $P_{5} \times P_{7}$ involved high $x$ high for seed weight per plant only. Therefore, the crosses $P_{3} \times P_{8}$, and $P_{5} \times P_{7}$ are likely to throw good segregates for these traits if the allelic genetic systems are present in good combination and epistatic effects present in the crosses act in the same direction as to maximize the desirable characteristics.

## 4- Correlation studies:

Phenotypic ( $r_{p}$ ) and genotypic ( $r_{g}$ ) correlation coefficients among 9 characters of 23 kenaf genotypes ( 8 parents and $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ 's crosses) are shown in Table (7). these results indicated that fiber weight / plant was significantly positive correlated with each of green weight, plant height, technical stem length, fiber percentage, fiber length, fruiting zone length and stem diameter. Concerning, seed weight / plant was significantly positive correlated with
fruiting zone length. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Mourad et al.,1987; Padmaja,1989; El-Shimy et al.,1990; Subramanyam et al.,1995, El-Farouk and El-Sweify, 1998 and Mostafa, 2003.
Table 7. Phenotypic ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ ) and genotypic ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) correlation coefficients among nine characters for 23 Kenaf genotypes ( 8 parents and $15 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ 's crosses).

| Characters |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Green weight / plant (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Plant height/plant (cm) | rph | 0.912 ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rg | 0.753 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Technical stem length (cm) | rph | 0.864 ** | 0.992 ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rg | 0.725 | 0.921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Fiber length (cm) | rph | 0.868 ** | 0.992 ** | 0.998 ** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rg | 0.543 | 0.847 | 0.901 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-Fiber weight / plant (g) | rph | 0.919 ** | 0.718 * | 0.665 * | 0.672 * |  |  |  |  |
|  | rg | 0.631 | 0.772 | 0.798 | 0.784 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-Fiber percentage (\%) | rph | 0.732 * | 0.557 | 0.535 | 0.542 | $0.912^{\text {** }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | rg | 0.571 | 0.442 | 0.664 | 0.632 | 0.817 |  |  |  |
| 7-Fruiting zone length (cm) | rph | 0.952 ** | 0.860 ** | 0.787 * | 0.790 ** | 0.829 ** | 0.577 |  |  |
|  | rg | 0.624 | 0.347 | 0.260 | 0.327 | 0.302 | 0.147 |  |  |
| 8-Stem diameter (mm) | rph | 0.790 ** | 0.709 * | 0.700 * | 0.703 * | 0.821 ** | 0.806 ** | 0.646 * |  |
|  | rg | 0.541 | 0.517 | 0.346 | 0.101 | 0.427 | 0.119 | 0.441 |  |
| 9-Seed weight / plant (g) | rph | -0.239 | -0.463 | -0.455 | -0.452 | 0.115 | 0.364 | 0.679* | 0.109 |
|  | rg | 0.333 | 0.207 | 0.112 | -0.057 | 0.321 | 0.258 | 0.454 | 0.351 |

*, ** : Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.
In general, it can be concluded that green stalk weight, plant height, technical stem length, fiber percentage and fiber length are the major components contributing to fiber weight / plant in kenaf. Therefore, selection for these traits will improve the fiber yield in kenaf. Also, fruiting zone length as selection indices to improve seed yield in kenaf.
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# تحليل الأب الكشاف × سلالة لتققير القدرة علي الايتتلاف للمحصول ومكوناته لبعض التراكيب الوراثية في الثيل عفاف السيد عبد الواحد زهاتة معهـ المحاصيل الحقلية ـ مركز البحوث الزراعيةـ الجيزة 

أجريت هذه الار اسة بهـف تقدير القـرة علي الاتتنلاف والفعل الجيني لبعض التر اكيب الوراثية في التيل باستخذام تحليل الأب الكشاف في السلالة من خلال تقييم 10 هجين ناتجة من
 ، ه/६rol • ه

 في الجيل الاول في محطة البحوث الزر راعية بالإسماعيلية في تجربـة قطاعات كاملـة العشو ائئة ذات الأربعة مكررات . تثشبر النتائج إلى أن نأثير العوامل الور اثية المضيفة أكبر من غير المضيفة في توريث كل الصفات المدروسـة باستثناء صفتي سمك السـاق ووزن البذرة لللنـبات ، لذلك من المدكن ممارسـة الالتخاب اعثبارا من الجيل الثانيو والأجيال النالية لـه باستثناء تلك الصفتين. كمـا تثـير النتائج أن
 الأخضر للنبات ومعظم مكوناته، كما أظهر الأب كوبا هذه القترة لأهم ثلاث مكونات (الطول الكلي،
 محصول الساق الأخضر وبالتالي محصول الألياف ، كما تشبير النتائج الخاصة بوزن البذور للنبـات أن الأبويين س ه ل و وهندي جديد أظهرا قدرة عامـة علي الائتلاف ، لذلك يمكن استخذام هذين
 النتائج الخاصة بالارتباط الموجب بين قيم القردة العامة علي الاتنتلاف ومتوسطات الأباء إلى إمكانيـة اختيار الاباء في برنامج التزبية بناءا علي متوسطانها لتلك الصفات. كمـا تثبير النتائج أن هجينين
 وهما وزن الألياف لللنبات و النسبة المئوية للألياف بالإضافة لصفة محصول اللبذور للأبات، وأن هذا الهجين واحد الآباء فقط كانت متفوقة في القـرة العامـة علي الائتلاف (عالي X منخفض) باستثناء
 الانتّلاف(عالي X عالي) لصفة محصول البذور فتط.
كمـا تثنير النتنائتج الخاصـة بالارتباط الظـاهري والور اثي بين الصفات إلـى أن محصول الساق الأخضر والطول الكلي والطول الفعال والنسبة المئوية للألياقِ وطول الألياف أظّهرت ارتباط موجب ومنوي مع محصول الألياف/نـات لذلك يككن الانتخـاب لتالك الصفات لتحسين محصول الألياف في التيل. أيضًا الارتباط كان موجب ومعنوي بين محصول البذور وطول المنطقة الثمريــة لذلك يمكن استخذام هذه الصفة كدليل انتخابي لتحسين محصول البذور.

Table 2. Mean squares for green weight /plant and its related characters for eight kenaf parents (five females and

| Characters | S.O.V. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reps | Entries | Error | Crosses | Parents | p.vs.c. | Female (f) | Male (m) | m $\mathbf{x}$ | Error |
|  | (3)\# | (22) | (66) | (14) | (7) | (1) | (4) | (2) | (8) | (66) |
| Green weight / plant (g) | 8.15 | 15433.05** | 11.30 | 11715.13** | 24806.41** | 1870.45** | 1416.50** | 79074.26** | 24.67* | 11.30 |
| Plant height/plant (cm) | 0.38 | 790.93** | 3.70 | 489.84** | 1496.76** | 65.48** | 446.39** | 2491.92** | 11.04** | 3.70 |
| Technical stem length (cm) | 3.55 | 428.20** | 2.98 | 297.58** | 742.19** | 59.02** | 708.50** | 659.37** | 1.70 | 2.98 |
| Fiber length (cm) | 7.35 | 424.92** | 3.12 | 300.02** | 733.27** | 14.97* | 700.35** | 670.16** | 7.32* | 3.12 |
| Fiber weight / plant (g) | 0.39 | 300.41** | 0.81 | 241.64** | 444.01** | 118.05** | 118.65** | 1434.59** | 4.90** | 0.81 |
| Fiber percentage (\%) | 0.02 | 4.55** | 0.07 | 4.04** | 5.37** | 5.98** | 5.02** | 16.19** | 0.52** | 0.07 |
| Fruiting zone length (cm) | 1.80 | 172.68** | 2.46 | 109.51** | 323.67** | 0.18 | 44.20** | 632.49** | 11.42** | 2.46 |
| Stem diameter (mm) | 0.03 | 5.51** | 0.13 | 4.84** | 6.60** | 7.78** | 3.96 | 19.26** | $1.67^{* *}$ | 0.13 |
| Seed weight / plant (g) | 0.12 | $5.83{ }^{* *}$ | 0.07 | 5.13** | 4.03** | 28.37** | 6.93** | 15.34** | 1.68** | 0.07 |

*,** Indicate significant and highly significant, respectively.
\# : The degrees of freedom are indicated in parentheses.

