## **Journal of Plant Production**

Journal homepage: <u>www.jpp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Effect of Foliar Nano Fertilizers and Irrigation Intervals on Soybean Productivity and Quality

Abd El-Hady, M. A.\* and H. Hussein

Agronomy department, Faculty of agriculture, Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.

# Cross Mark

## ABSTRACT



During summer seasons of 2015 and 2017, a field experiment was conducted at the Research and Experimental Station  $(30^{\circ}19' \text{ N}, 31^{\circ}16' \text{ E})$ , Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University at Shalakan, Kalubia Governorate, Egypt, to investigate the effects of combinations between hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (0, 3, and 6 kg/fad) and calcium carbonate nanoparticles (0, 500g/fad) as nano-fertilizers under irrigation intervals, (irrigation every 2 or 3 weeks whereas irrigation every 2 weeks as a recommended practice) on yield components and quality of soybean plants. Plants irrigated every two weeks produced higher seed and biological yield in the two resulted seasons; and its combined. Interaction between 6 kg/fad hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A<sub>3</sub>) and 500 g/fad calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C<sub>2</sub>) under irrigation every 2 week (IR 1) recorded the maximum values of 100-seed weight, pod yield, seed and biological yield . On the other hand the lowest means values were recorded at combination between zero kg/fed hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A<sub>1</sub>) and zero g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles every 3 week (IR 2). Regarding to chemical composition interactions of irrigation intervals x apatite concentrations x nano calcium carbonate scored the highest and significant oil% and protein %. Interaction between 6 kg/fed hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A<sub>3</sub>) and 500 g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C<sub>2</sub>) under irrigation every 2 week (IR 1) recorded the maximum values of yield and protein %. Interaction between 6 kg/fed hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A<sub>3</sub>) and 500 g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C<sub>2</sub>) under irrigation every 2 week (IR 1) recorded the maximum values of yield and quality studied traits of soybean plants.

*Keywords:* Soybean, Nano fertilizers, Calcium Carbonate Nanoparticles, Hydroxyl Apatite Nanoparticles, Irrigation Intervals.

### INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max*, L. Merr.) is a fundamental wellspring of vegetable protein for human food and animal feed around the world. It is anticipated to turn into a noteworthy crop in Africa (Sinclair *et al.*, 2014). Soybean assumes a vital job in providing oil and protein required by people (Agarwal, 2007; Shi *et al.*, 2010).

Water has become a limited resource in Egypt. Hence, the search for technologies/ measures to save/ conserve water in irrigated agriculture has intensified. Therefore, decreasing plant water consumption by stretching irrigation intervals will keep water through reducing number of irrigation but still attain similar economic yield (Mahmoud, *et al.*,, 2013). Ibrahim and Kandil (2007) in clay loam soil in Egypt reported that irrigation intervals significantly affected growth and yield attributes. Highest values of growth traits and productivity were achieved by irrigation every two week as compared with irrigation one week and three weeks days.

Nanotechnology it considers the great solution we can depend on now to develop agriculture practices. Consequently, our information's about that technology must be growing up. Nanotechnology focused on the application of modern strategies for water management and pesticides (Prasad *et al.*, 2014). Promising nanotechnology applications address low use effectiveness of agricultural production inputs and worry of drought and high soil temperature. Nanoscale agrichemical formulations can proficiency expands use and decline ecological losses. Nano-porous materials which able to storing water and gradually discharging it amid times of water shortage could likewise expand yields and save water (Bouwmeester *et al.*, 2009).

Usage of phosphorus from the applied traditional phosphate fertilizers by plants is low because of its intricate reactions in soils. It's considered that the competence of utilized phosphates manures is as low as about 20% relying upon soil properties. This has prompted a look for increasingly proficient methodologies for improving yield generation in low phosphates soils (Shenoy and Kalgudi 2005). Phosphorus proficiency use can be improved by advancing soil use, preventing disintegration soils, keeping up soil quality, improving manure recommendations and fertilizer position techniques, yield genotypes, advancing mycorrhizas (Schreoder et al., 2011) and utilizing fertilizers and bio charcoal (Gunes et al.,, 2014). In addition to these, utilizing manufactured Nano-hydroxyapatite [Ca10 (PO<sub>4</sub>) <sub>6</sub>(OH) <sub>2</sub>; NHA] can be promising strategy to upgrade phosphates fertilizer use effectiveness. The present literature on Nano-hydroxyapatite is chiefly centered on its biomedical applications while potential farming applications have been not enough tended to (Kottegoda et al... 2011). In addition, he used modified urea hydroxyapatite as slow release fertilizer. Liu and Lal (2014) considered Nano-hydroxyapatite as alternative P fertilizer and they suggested that Nano-hydroxyapatite can potentially upgrade soybean grown in peat-perlite mixture.

Calcium carbonate is a primary component of garden lime, and known as agricultural lime, which use to

#### Abd El-Hady, M. A. and H. Hussein

enhance the soil quality by adjusting pH and water holding capacity of acidic soils. Calcium carbonate sources as limestone and chalk, along with other chemical compounds are used in the preparation of agricultural lime, when added to soil acts as a calcium source for plants (Sabriye and Ozdemir 2012). Plants need calcium for growth, enhance activate number of enzymes, metabolism systems, nitrate take-up a useable type of nitrogen, biomass proportion (Savithramma, 2002) and photosynthetic rate (Savithramma, 2004 and Savithramma *et al.*, 2007).

Kara and Sabir (2010) tested a natural product made of Ca, Mg, Fe and Si elements and they found beneficial in production of robust plants by accelerating vegetative growth in nursery stage. Sprayed onto leaves it activate micronized particles penetrate through stomata into the leaves. In leaves the particles are split into CO<sub>2</sub> and CaO and MgO which are immediately available for plant. This process is triggered by chlorophyll absorbing light resulting in CO<sub>2</sub> plus H<sub>2</sub>O which converted to carbohydrates and O<sub>2</sub>. Nano-particles can directly enter through stoma into leaf. Calcite particles are then split into CaO and CO<sub>2</sub> which, as demonstrated by Chen *et al.*, (2004), is the driving force of the photosynthesis. The aim of work is to evaluate response of soybean plants growth, yield and yield quality to hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles and calcium carbonate nanoparticles under two irrigation intervals.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Experimental site**

During summer seasons of 2015 and 2017, a field experiment was conducted at the Research and Experimental Station (30°19' N, 31°16' E), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University at Shalakan, Kalubia Governorate, Egypt, to study the effects of combinations between hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles and calcium carbonate nanoparticles as nano-fertilizers under two irrigation intervals, on yield, yield attribute and seed chemical composition of soybean plants (*Glycine max*, Merrill c.v. Giza 111). The soil was clay loam and its properties are shown in Table 1. The preceding crop was wheat in both seasons.

 Table 1. Soil properties of the Research and Experimental Station at Shalakan

Soil depth	Mec	Mechanical analysis %			Chemical properties				
(cm)	Clay	Silt	Sand	O	rganic matter %	pН	EC dSm <sup>-1</sup>	CaCo <sub>3</sub> (%)	
	40	43	17		1.65	7.72	1.5	2.1	
	Available				cronutrients (mg kg	g <sup>-1</sup> soil)			
		Ν			Р		K	Σ	
0–30	1189				2.2			327	
	Soluble cations and anions (mg 100g soil <sup>-1</sup> )								
	CO3	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup></sup>	Cl-	$SO_4^{}$	Ca++	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	$\mathbf{K}^+$	
	0.0	11.5	26.2	19.4	7.8	2.7	15.3	0.9	

#### **Experimental treatments**

The experiment included 12 treatments which were the combinations of:-

1-Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (HA): foliar application of three concentrations, i.e. 0 kg fad<sup>-1</sup> (control), 3 kg fad<sup>-</sup>

<sup>1</sup>, 6 kg fad<sup>-1</sup> (faddan = 4200 m<sup>2</sup>). A Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticle was sprayed three times after 25, 45, and 65 days from sowing. A Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticle was purchased from Bio-Nano fertilizer company and was characterized by x – ray diffraction, average crystal size 16.9 Nano-meters (fig 1). **2-Calcium carbonate nanoparticles (CC):** foliar application of two concentrations, i.e. zero g fad<sup>-1</sup> (control), 500 g fad<sup>-1</sup> calcium carbonate nanoparticle was sprayed three times after 25, 45, and 65 days from sowing. Calcium carbonate nanoparticles was purchased from Bio-Nano fertilizer company and was characterized by x – ray diffraction, average crystal size 93.3 Nano-meters (fig 2).

**3- Irrigation intervals:** irrigation treatments, (irrigation at 2 and 3 weeks whereas irrigation every 2 weeks as a recommended practice). Irrigation treatments were followed after the first irrigation (25 days after planting).



Figure 1: X-ray diffraction patterns of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles)



Figure 2: X-ray diffraction patterns of calcium carbonate nanoparticles)

#### **Experimental practices and design:**

Treatments were arranged in the strip split plot design in 4 replicates. Plot area was  $10.8 \text{ m}^2$  (6 ridges 3 m as long 0.60 m apart). Soybean seeds were sown in hills (20 cm between hills) on  $25^{\text{th}}$  May and  $28^{\text{th}}$  May in 2015 and 2017, respectively. Two plants were left in every hill while plant cultivation in the two sides of hill. Seeds were inoculated with (*Bradyrhizopium Jabonicum*) before sowing directly and nitrogen fertilizer (urea 46% N) was applied at the rate of 15 kg nitrogen as an active dose after 25 days from sowing.

Soybean (C.V. Giza111) seeds (gained from, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC) were broadcasted at a rate of 30 kg fad<sup>-1</sup>, after irrigation. All other recommended agricultural practices were adopted throughout the two seasons.

#### Data recorded

**Yield and yield attributes:** Soybean plants were harvested in October 15<sup>th</sup> and October 25<sup>th</sup> during 2015 and 2017, respectively from two inner ridges in every plot to estimate the following yield characters:-

a. 100 - seed weight (g) b. Hulling %

Moreover, plants from the two inner ridges were collected to estimate:-

a. Biological yield fad<sup>-1</sup> (ton) b. seed yield, fad<sup>-1</sup> (ton) c. Pods yield fad<sup>-1</sup> (ton),

#### Seed chemical composition

a. Crude protein percentage:-Total nitrogen was determined in seeds using the modified micro Kjeldahl method as described in A.O.A.C. (1995). Crude protein content was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen by 5.7. Then, protein yield in kg per feddan was computed.

#### b. Seed oil percentage:-

For oil percentage determination, the technique for extraction and the methods used for determination were mainly by using petroleum ether in a Soxhelt apparatus according to A.O.A.C (1995)

#### Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) as single seasons and combined data using least significant difference (LSD) at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels according to Gomez and Gomez, (1983).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1- Seed vield and its components

#### A- Effect of irrigation intervals:-

Data presented in Table 2 stated that 100-seed weight was significantly affected by irrigation intervals in the two successive seasons; 2015 and 2017 as well as its

combined data. On the contrary Hulling% did not affect by irrigation intervals in the two studied seasons; 2015 and 2017 or combined data. Similar trends were obtained by Kobraei *et al.* (2011), Golezani and Lotfi (2012) and Mahmoud, Gamalat *et al.* (2013).

Table 2. Effect of irrigation intervals on soybean pod
yield ton per faddan, hulling percentage and
100-seed weight in the two studied seasons;
2015, 2017 and their combined data

2013, 2017 and then combined data								
Irrigation	Pod yield	Hulling	100-seed					
intervals(weeks)	(ton/fed)	%	weight (g)					
	Season 20	015						
2	2.506	68.24	16.296					
3	1.952	63.44	17.488					
LSD at 5%	0.231	n.s	0.790					
	Season 20	017						
2	3.213	69.09	16.188					
3	2.790	65.60	17.463					
LSD at 5%	0.272	n.s	0.245					
	combined	data						
2	2.859	68.66	16.242					
3	2.371	64.52	17.475					
LSD at 5%	0.170	n.s	0.472					

Soybean seed yield /faddan and biological yield /faddan significantly influenced by irrigation intervals in the two studied seasons; 2015 and 2017 as well as combine result as shown in Table 3. Plants irrigated every two weeks produced higher seed yield /faddan and its biological yield /faddan in the two resulted seasons; 2015 and 2017 and its combine. The increments in seed yield /faddan and biological yield were (0.358 and 0.232 ton seeds /faddan) and (1.123 and 0.963 ton /faddan), respectively in the two growing seasons. The increases in seed yield /faddan or biological yield /faddan of irrigated plants every two weeks may be owing to its increases in number of branches and number of seeds /plant (Hussein, et al. 2019) or pod yield /faddan or/and 100-seed weight Table 2. Same workers came to similar conclusions as Kobraei et al. (2011), Golezani and Lotfi (2012) and Mahmoud, Gamalat et al. (2013).

#### B- Effect of calcium carbonate nanoparticles rates:-

Data in Table 4 revealed that pod yield /faddan and 100-seed weight were significantly affected by calcium carbonate nanoparticles in the two successive seasons; 2015 and 2017 as well as its combined result. Soybean plants treated by 500 g/faddan calcium carbonate nanoparticles produced higher pod yield /faddan, hulling% and 100-seed weight. These results mean that soybean plants were affected by calcium carbonate nanoparticles which encourage soybean plants to produce more pods as well as

#### Abd El-Hady, M. A. and H. Hussein

heavier seeds. Seed yield /faddan as well as biological yield /faddan (as shown in table 5) significantly influenced by adding 500 g/feddan calcium carbonate nanoparticles in the two studied seasons; as well as combine result except the first season of study. The increases in seed yield /faddan or biological yield /faddan by adding 500 g/faddan calcium carbonate nanoparticles may be due to the increases in number of branches /plant and number of seeds /plant.

Same workers came to similar trends as Yugandhar and Savithramma (2013), Sabir *et al.*, (2014), Georgieva *et al.*, (2017) and Abd El-Aal and Eid (2018).

Table 3. Effect of irrigation intervals on seed yield (ton/fad) and biological yield (ton/fad) of soybean plants in the two studied seasons; 2015, 2017 and their combined data

Irrigation intervals	Seed yield	<b>Biological yield</b>
(weeks)	(ton/fed)	(ton/fed)
	Season 2015	
2	1.553	4.154
3	1.195	3.031
LSD at 5%	0.072	0.131
	Season 2017	
2	1.576	6.592
3	1.344	5.629
LSD at 5%	0.111	0.915
	combined data	
2	1.565	5.373
3	1.269	4.330
LSD at 5%	0.084	0.457

Table 4. Effect of calcium carbonate nanoparticles on pod yield ton/fad, hulling percentage and 100seed weight of soybean plants in the two studied seasons: 2015. 2017 and their combine data.

beabons, 2	1010, 2017 un	u then co	mome unu
Calcium	Pod yield	Hulling	100-seed
Carbonate (g/fed)	e (g/fed) (ton/fad) %		weight (g)
	Season 20	15	
Zero	2.069	68.30	17.00
500	2.389	63.38	16.78
LSD at 5%	0.190	3.61	n.s
	Season 20	17	
Zero	2.804	69.82	16.98
500	3.198	64.87	16.67
LSD at 5%	0.062	4.12	n.s
	combined d	lata	
Zero	2.436	69.06	16.99
500	2.793	64.12	16.73
LSD at 5%	0.087	3.28	n.s

Table 5. Effect of calcium carbonate nanoparticles on seed yield ton per faddan and biological yield ton per faddan of soybean plants in the two studied seasons: 2015, 2017 and their combined data.

Calcium carbonate	Seed yield	Biological yield
(g/fed)	(ton/fad)	(ton/fad)
	Season 2015	
Zero	1.319	3.391
500	1.430	3.794
LSD at 5%	n.s	0.270
	Season 2017	
Zero	1.387	5.770
500	1.533	6.450
LSD at 5%	0.039	0.253
	combined data	
Zero	1.353	4.580
500	1.481	5.122
LSD at 5%	0.073	0.195

#### C- Effect of hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles:-

Data presented in Table 6 indicated that Pod yield /faddan and 100-seed weight were increased significantly with increasing hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles from 3 kg/faddan up to 6 kg/faddan. These results were fairly true in the two growing seasons; 2015 and 2017 as well as combine result. The increase in pod yield /faddan and 100-seed weight were (19.6% and 26.8%) and (7.0% and 7.0%) in the two growing seasons; 2015 and 2017, respectively.

In Table 7, Seed yield /faddan as well as biological yield /faddan were significantly affected by applying 6 kg/fed hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles in the two studied season; 2015 and 2017 as well as combine result. Soybean plants treated with 6 kg /faddan hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles produced the highest seed yield /faddan or/and biological yield /faddan in the two growing seasons. These results may be due to the more great permeability and high speed of hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles which mean more easily to penetrate into soybean leaves and release P and Ca which play an important role in pushing plant growth of soybean plants and there reflects on yield attributes. Some investigators come to similar trends as Subbaiya *et al.*,, (2012), Liu and lal (2014), Liu and lal (2015), Upadhyaya *et al.*,, (2017) and Taşkın *et al.*,, (2018).

Table 6. Effect of Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles on pod yield ton/fad, hulling percentage and 100-seed weight of soybean plants in the two studied seasons; 2015, 2017 and their combined data.

Hydroxyl	Pod yield	Hulling	100-seed
apatite (kg/fad)	(ton/fad)	%	weight (g)
	Season 2	015	
Zero	2.055	69.77	16.29
3	2.174	64.54	16.94
6	2.458	63.21	17.44
LSD at 5%	0.178	3.32	0.58
	Season 2	017	
Zero	2.620	69.06	16.38
3	3.062	67.08	16.57
6	3.321	65.88	17.53
LSD at 5%	0.170	n.s	0.48
	combined	data	
Zero	2.338	69.42	16.33
3	2.618	65.81	16.76
6	2.889	64.54	17.48
LSD at 5%	0.136	3.85	0.41

Table 7. Effect of Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles on seed yield ton per faddan and biological yield ton per faddan of soybean plants in the two studied seasons: 2015, 2017 and their combined data.

500005, 2	013, <b>2</b> 017 and then	i comonica aata.
Hydroxyl apatite	Seed yield	<b>Biological yield</b>
(kg/fad)	(ton/fad)	(ton/fad)
	Season 2015	
Zero	1.233	3.101
3	1.350	3.608
6	1.539	4.068
LSD at 5%	0.097	0.299
	Season 2017	
Zero	1.379	5.179
3	1.403	6.595
6	1.598	6.556
LSD at 5%	0.076	0.541
	combined data	
Zero	1.306	4.140
3	1.377	5.102
6	1.568	5.312
LSD at 5%	0.066	0.346

# D- Effect of interaction between irrigation intervals and calcium carbonate nanoparticles:

Data presented in Tables 8 revealed that pod yield /faddan, hulling%, 100-seed weight, seed yield ton/faddan and biological yield ton/feddan were significantly affected by interaction between irrigation intervals and calcium carbonate nanoparticles in the two growing seasons 2015 and 2017 except number of seeds /pod in the first season of study. Soybean plants irrigated every two weeks and treated by 500 g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles scored the highest value of pod yield /faddan, hulling %, 100-seed weight, seed yield ton/faddan and biological yield ton/faddan in the two studied seasons 2015 and 2017, respectively while soybean plants irrigated every 2 or 3 weeks and treated by 500 g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles had more seed/pod and hulling% either during 2015 or 2017 seasons as shown in Tables 8. These results may be regarded to the effect of calcium carbonate nanoparticles in pushing growth of plants to accumulate more dry matter which intern in yield attributes some worker came to similar trends as Kobraei et al., (2011), Golezani and Lotfi (2012), Sabir et al., (2014) and Abd El-Aal and Eid (2018).

Table 8. Effect of interaction between irrigationintervals and calcium carbonate nanoparticleson soybean yield attributes during the twogrowing seasons 2015 and 2017.

Calcium carbonate nanoparticles (g/fad)							
	201	5	20	17			
Irrigation intervals (weeks)	Zero	500	Zero	500			
	Pod	l yield (to	on/fed)				
2	2.328	2.684	2.895	3.530			
3	1.811	2.093	2.713	2.866			
LSD at 5%	0.261		0.163	3			
		Hulling	%				
2	70.76	65.72	70.40	67.78			
3	65.84	61.04	69.23	61.96			
LSD at 5%	3.74 6.97			7			
	100-	seed we	ight (g)				
2	16.17	16.43	15.98	16.39			
3	17.84	17.13	17.98	16.95			
LSD at 5%	0.85		0.68				
	Seed	ł yield (t	on/fad)				
2	1.473	1.634	1.483	1.669			
3	1.165	1.225	1.291	1.397			
LSD at 5%	0.172		0.09	0			
	Biolog	ical yield	l (ton/fa	d)			
2	3.778	4.531	5.866	7.318			
3	3.004	3.058	5.674	5.583			
LSD at 5%	0.315		0.62	20			

## E- Effect of interaction between irrigation intervals and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles:

Data tabulated in Tables 9 observed that all studied yield attributes pod yield /faddan, hulling%, 100-seed weight, seed yield ton/faddan and biological yield ton/faddan were statistically influenced by interaction between irrigation intervals and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles in the two growing seasons 2015 and 2017 except number of seeds /pod in the first season of study.

These results were so great and enough to reach the 5% level of significance. Irrigated soybean plants every two weeks and received 6 kg/faddan hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles had the highest value of pod yield ton/faddan,

seed yield ton/faddan and biological yield ton/faddan in the two studied seasons 2015 and 2017, as shown in tables (9). More effects in the same trend done by Kobraei *et al.*,, (2011), Golezani and Lotfi (2012), Mahmoud, Gamalat *et al.*,, (2013), Liu and lal (2015) and Upadhyaya *et al.*,, (2017).

Table 9. Effect of interaction between irrigation<br/>intervals and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles on<br/>soybean yield attributes during the two<br/>growing seasons 2015 and 2017.

	Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (kg/fad)					
Irrigation		2015			2017	
intervals (weeks)	Zero	3	6	Zero	3	6
		Р	od yield	l (ton/fac	ł)	
2	2.330	2.280	2.908	2.725	3.366	3.546
3	1.780	2.068	2.009	2.515	2.758	3.095
LSD at 5%	0	.321			0.224	1
			Hulli	ng %		
2	70.78	66.90	67.04	67.80	71.64	67.82
3	68.76	62.19	59.38	70.33	62.53	63.94
LSD at 5%	6.93 7.12					2
	100-seed weight (g)					
2	15.62	16.33	17.30	15.16	15.94	17.46
3	17.33	17.56	17.58	17.59	17.20	17.60
LSD at 5%	0	.62			0.5	9
		Se	eed yiel	d (ton/fa	d)	
2	1.461	1.409	1.790	1.471	1.511	1.745
3	1.006	1.291	1.288	1.286	1.295	1.450
LSD at 5%	0.1	155			0.12	23
		Biol	ogical y	ield (ton	/fad)	
2	3.870	4.069	4.524	5.581	6.818	7.376
3	2.333	3.148	3.613	4.778	6.373	5.736
LSD at 5%	0.5	03			0.8	392

# F- Effect of interaction between calcium carbonate nanoparticles and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles:

Data in Tables 10 reported that all studied measurements of soybean seed yield and its attributes were significantly influenced by the interaction between calcium carbonate nanoparticles and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles in the two studied seasons 2015 and 2017, except 100-seed weight in the first season.

#### Table 10. Effect of interaction between hydroxyl apatite and calcium carbonate nanoparticles on soybean yield attributes during the two growing seasons 2015 and 2017.

Hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (kg/fad)						
		2015			2017	
Calcium carbonate (g/fed)	Zero	3	6	Zero	3	6
		P	od yield	(ton/fac	l)	
0	1.783	2.149	2.276	2.344	2.904	3.164
500	2.328	2.199	2.640	2.896	3.220	3.478
LSD at 5%	(	).169			0.29	9
	Hulling %					
0	76.60	65.20	63.10	75.39	67.34	66.73
500	62.94	63.89	63.31	62.74	66.83	65.04
LSD at 5%		6.45			6.02	2
		S	eed yield	l (ton/fa	d)	
0	1.092	1.310	1.524	1.259	1.346	1.555
500	1.375	1.390	1.553	1.499	1.460	1.640
LSD at 5%		0.189			0.113	3
		Biol	ogical yi	eld (ton	/fad)	
0	2.725	3.371	4.060	5.026	6.400	5.883
500	3.478	3.845	4.076	5.332	6.790	7.229
LSD at 5%		0.243			0.856	

Soybean pod yield /faddan, seed yield ton/faddan and biological yield ton/faddan of plants treated with 500 g/faddan calcium carbonate nanoparticles and 6 kg/faddan hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles were so great and enough to reach the 5% level of significance in the two studied seasons 2015 and 2017. These results may be due to the role of calcium carbonate nanoparticles and/or hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles in seed filling and plant metabolism. Similar trends were obtained by Liu and lal (2014), Sabir *et al.*, (2014), Liu and lal (2015), Abd El-Aal and Eid (2018) and Taşkın *et al.*, (2018).

#### G- Irrigation intervals X calcium carbonate nanoparticles X hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles interaction:

Figures from 3 to 7 show the effect of the triple interaction among calcium carbonate, hydroxyl apatite levels and irrigation intervals treatments on soybean yield attributes. Results revealed clearly that the interaction effect was significant. These significant effects reflect that tested calcium carbonates nanoparticles along with hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles levels do not take the same behavior under the different treatments of irrigation intervals. Interaction between 6 kg/fed hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A3) and 500 g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C2) under irrigation every 2 week (IR<sub>1</sub>) recorded the maximum values of 100seed weight (17.613 g), pod yield (3.454 ton/fed.), seed yield (1.866 ton/fed.) and biological yield (6.606 ton/fed.). On the other hand the lowest means values were recorded at combination between zero kg/fed hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A1) and zero g/fed calcium carbonate nanoparticles ( $C_1$ ) under irrigation every 3 week (IR 2) on all yield attributes on contrary, this combination scored the highest hulling% may be due to decreased in number of sinks (seeds) which made all dry mater accumulations distribute on small number of seeds which reflect increases in hulling%. As shown from figures (11 to 18) there weren't significant results between plants treated with nano-mineral fertilizers under irrigation every 3 week and plants untreated but irrigated every 2 week (normal case) in all yield attributes, which reflect appositive result of these chemical substances in mitigation harmful effect of water shortage consequently, achieve great productivity. Erkan et al., (2004), Liu and Zhao (2013), Mahmoud Gamalat et al., (2013), Liu and Lal (2014), Liu and Lal (2015) and Mehmet et al., (2018) came to the same trends.



Figure 3. The significant interaction among irrigation intervals (IR1 every 2 weeks and IR2 every 3 weeks), calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C1 zero g/fed and C2 500 g/fed) and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A1 zero kg/fed, A2 3 kg/fed and A3 6kg/fed) of soybean pod yield (ton/fed.).











Figure 6. The significant interaction among irrigation intervals (IR1 every 2 weeks and IR2 every 3 weeks), calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C1 zero g/fed and C2 500 g/fad) and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A1 zero kg/fed, A2 3 kg/fed and A3 6kg/fed) of sovbean seed vield (ton/fad.).



Figure 7. The significant interaction among irrigation intervals (IR1 every 2 weeks and IR2 every 3 weeks), calcium carbonate nanoparticles (C1 zero g/fed and C2 500 g/fed) and hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles (A1 zero kg/fed, A2 3 kg/fed and A3 6kg/fed) of soybean biological yield (ton/fad.).

#### H- Seed chemical composition: -

- 1- **Oil percentage:** Data presented in Table 11 revealed that oil % significantly affected by nano hydroxyl apatite application at 5% level of significance. Adding 6 kg/fed nano hydroxyl apatite gave the highest oil%. On the other hand, interactions of irrigation intervals x apatite concentrations x nano calcium carbonate scored the highest and significant oil% (table 11). Similar trends were observed by Golezani and Lotfi (2012) and (Mahmoud *et al.*, 2013).
- 2- **Protein percentage:** Data in Table 12 clear that protein % was significantly influenced by adding apatite with the high rate to soybean plants and irrigated every two weeks. On the other hand, interactions of irrigation intervals x apatite concentrations x nano calcium carbonate scored the highest and significant protein% (Table 24). Similar trends were observed by Golezani and Lotfi (2012) and (Mahmoud *et al.*, 2013).
- Table 11. Effect of the triple interaction among irrigation intervals, hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles and calcium carbonate nanoparticles on oil percentage of soybean seeds.

Irrigation intervals	every 2	2 weeks	every 3	Maan		
Calcium	Zero g	500 g	Zero g	500		
carbonate	/fed	/fed	/fed	/fed	apaute	
Zero kg apatite/fed	19.55	19.90	19.55	20.10	19.77	
3 kg apatite/fed	20.75	20.95	20.05	19.75	20.37	
6 kg apatite/fed	21.25	21.45	20.70	20.65	21.01	
Mean irrigation	20.64		20.13			
Mean calcium	Zero=	20.31	500  g = 20.47			
LSD apatite (A)			1.18			
LSD irrigation (I)	n.s					
LSD calcium (C)	n.s					
LSD I x A x C			0.71			

Table 12. Effect of the triple interaction among irrigation intervals, hydroxyl apatite nanoparticles and calcium carbonate nanoparticles on protein percentage of soybean seeds.

Irrigation intervals	every 2 weeks		every 3 weeks		
calcium carbonate	Zero g	500 g	Zero g	500 g	Mean
	/fed	/fed	/fed	/fed	apatite
Zero kg apatite/fed	42.17	39.90	44.76	44.15	42.05
3 kg apatite/fed	41.25	39.81	42.66	39.19	40.37
6 kg apatite/fed	37.35	36.85	37.33	37.16	37.17
Mean irrigation	39.09		40.88		
Mean calcium	Zero=40.92		500 g= 39.04		
LSD apatite (A)	0.94				
LSD irrigation (I)	1.05				
LSD calcium (C)	n.s				
LSD I x A x C	0.84				

#### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Aal, M. M. M. and R. S. M. Eid (2018). Effect of foliar spray with lithovit and amino acids on growth, bioconstituents, anatomical and yield features of soybean plant. Plant Biotech. 187-201.
- Agarwal, A.K. (2007). Bio-fulels (Alcohols &Biodisel) application as fuels for internal composition engines. Prog. in Ene. and Comb. Sci. 33: 233-241.

- AOAC. (1995). Official Methods of Analysis. 14th Ed. Benjamin Franklin Station. Washington, D. C. U.S.A.: 490-510
- Bouwmeester, H.; S. Dekkers; M. Noordam; W. Hagens; A. Bulder; C. De Heer; S. Voorde; S. Wijnhoven; H. Marvin and A. Sips (2009). Review of health safety aspects of nanotechnologies in food production. Regul. Toxicol. Pharm. 53: 52-62.
- Chen, L. S.; B. R. Smith; L. L. Cheng (2004). CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, photosynthetic enzymes, and carbohydrates of 'Concord' grape leave in response to iron supply. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 129, 738–744
- Erkan, B.; M. Simsek and S. Gerek, (2004). Row spacing and irrigation intervals effects on yield and yield components of soybean (*Glycine max*, L. Merr.). Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 7 (2): 203-234.
- Georgieva, N.; I. Nikolova; V. Kosev and Y. Naydenova (2017). In vitro germination and viability of pea pollen grains after application of organic nanofertilizers. Pestic. Phyto. (Belgrade), 32(1): 61–65.
- Golezani, K. G. and R. Lotfi (2012). Response of soybean cultivars to water stress at reproductive stages. Int. J. of Plant, Ani. and Enviro. Sci. 2 (2):198-202.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, (1983). Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. John willey and sons. Inc New York, U.S.A.
- Gunes, A.; A. Inal; M. B. Taskin; O. Sahin; E. C. Kaya and A. Atakol. (2014). Effect of phosphorus-enriched biochar and poultry manure on growth and mineral composition of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. cv.) grown in alkaline soil. Soil Use and Manag. 30:182–188.
- Ibrahim, S.A. and H. Kandil (2007). Growth, yield and chemical constituents of soybean (*Glycin max* l.) plants as affect by plant spacing under different irrigation intervals. Res. J. Agric. Bio. Sci., 3(6): 657-663.
- Kara, Z. and A. Sabir (2010). Effects of herbagreen application on vegetative developments of some grapevine rootstocks during nursery propagation inglasshouse. In: 2nd Int. Symp. on Sust. Develop::127–132.
- Kobraei, S.; A. Etminan; R. Mohammadi and S. Kobraee (2011). Effects of drought stress on yield and yield components of soybean. Ann. of Bio. Res. 2 (5):504-509.
- Kottegoda, N.; I. Munaweera; N. Madusanka and V. Karunarante. (2011). A green slow-release fertilizer composition based on urea-modified hydroxyapatite nanoparticles encapsuled wood. Current Sci. 101:73–78.
- Liu, R. and D. Zhao (2013). Synthesis and characterization of a new class of stabilized apatite nanoparticles and applying the particles to in situ Pb immobilization in a fire-range soils. Chemosphere 91: 594–601.
- Liu, R. and R. Lal (2014). Synthetic apatite nanoparticles as a phosphorus fertilizer for soybean (Glycine max). Sci. Rep. 4: 1-6.
- Liu, R. and R. Lal (2015). Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. A Review. Sci. of the Total Enviro. 514: 131–139.

#### Abd El-Hady, M. A. and H. Hussein

- Mahmoud, Gamalat O.; M. A. Almatboly and S. A. Safina, (2013). Effect of irrigation intervals and fertilization systems on soybean seed yield and its quality. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 4 (7).
- Mehmet, B. T.; O. Sahina; H. Taskina; O. Atakolb; A. Inala and A. Gunesa (2018). Effect of synthetic nanohydroxyapatite as an alternative phosphorus source on growth and phosphorus nutrition of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) plant. J. of Plant Nut.
- Prasad, T.N.V.; K.V.P. Sudhakar; Y. Sreenivasulu; P. Latha; V. Munaswamy; K. R. Reddy; T. S. Sreeprasad; P.R. Sajanlal and T. Pradeep (2012). Effect of nanoscale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth and yield of peanut. J. of Plant Nut., 35(6):905-927.
- Sabir, A.; K. Yazara; F. Sabira; Z. Karaa; Y. M. Atilla and N. Goksu (2014). Vine growth, yield, berry quality attributes and leaf nutrient content of grapevines as influenced by seaweed extract (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) and nanosize fertilizer pulverizations. Sci. Hortic. 175: 1-8.
- Sabriye, P. and O. D. Ozdemir. (2012): Effect of process conditions on crystal structure of precipitated calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) from fly ash: Na2CO3 preparation conditions. Inter. J. of bio. Eco. and Environ. Sci., 1(6): 192-195.
- Savithramma, N. (2002): Influence of calcium supply on biomass production of endemic and endangered tree species of tirumala hills of south Eastern Ghats. J. of Ind. Bot.l Soci., 81: 323-326.
- Savithramma, N. (2004): Influence of calcium supply on photosynthetic rate in relation to calmodulin in endemic and endangered tree saplings of seshachlam hills of South Eastern Ghats of India. Ind. J. of P. Bio., 31(3): 1-6.
- Savithramma, N.; G. Fareeda; V. Madhavi and S.S.D. Murthy (2007): Effect of calcium on photochemical activities of green leafy vegetables. J. of Plant Bio. 34 (2): 95-98.

- Schreoder, J. J.; A. L. Smith; D. Cordell and A. Rosemarin (2011). Improved phosphorus use efficiency in agriculture: A key requirement for its sustainable use. Chemosphere 84: 822–831.
- Shenoy, V. V. and G. M. Kalagudi (2005). Enhancing plant phosphorus use efficiency for sustainable cropping. Biotech. Adv. 23:501–513.
- Shi, G. and Q. Cai (2010). Zinc tolerance and accumulation in eight oil crops. J. of Plant Nut. 33: 982-997.
- Sinclair, T. R.; H. Marrou; A. Soltani; V. Valdez (2014). Soybean production in Africa. Glob. Food Bio. doi:10.1016/j.fgs.20131.
- Subbaiya, R.; M. Priyanka; M. M. Selvam (2012). Formulation of Green Nano-Fertilizer to Enhance the Plant Growth through Slow and Sustained Release of Nitrogen. J. of Pharm. Res. 5(11): 5178-5183.
- Taşkın, M. B.; Ö. Şahin; H. Taskin; O. Atakol; A. Inal and A. Gunes (2018). Effect of synthetic nanohydroxyapatite as an alternative phosphorus source on growth and phosphorus nutrition of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) plant, J. of Plant Nut., https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2018.1433836
- Upadhyaya, H.; L. Begum; B. Dey; P. K. Nath and S. K. Panda (2017). Impact of Calcium Phosphate Nanoparticles on Rice Plant. J. Plant Sci. Phytopa. 1: 1-10.
- Upadhyaya, H.; S. Shome; S. Tewari; M. K. Bhattacharya and S. K. Panda (2015). Effect of Zn nano-particles on growth responses of rice, Nano technology– Novel perspectives and prospects. McGraw Hill education (India): 508-512.
- Yugandhar, P. and N. Savithramma, (2013). Green synthesis of calcium carbonate nanoparticles and their effects on seed germination and seedling growth of *Vigna mungo* (L.). Hepper. Inter. J. of Adva. Res., 1(8): 89-103.

## تأثير التسميد الورقي بأسمدة النانو و فترات الري علي انتاجية و جودة فول الصويا محمد أحمد عبدالهادي و حسام حسين محمد قسم المحاصيل، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس

أتناء صيف ٢٠١٠ ٢١١ أقيمت تجربة حقلية في محطة تجارب وبحوث كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس بشلقان، محافظة القليوبية، جمهورية مصر العربية، لتوضيح تأثير التفاعل بين جزيئات الهيدروكسى أباتيت الناتومترية ثلاث مستويات (صفر كجم/فدان، ٣ كجم/فدان، ٢ كجم/فدان) و جزيئات كربونات الكالسيوم الذلتومترية مستويان (صفر جرام/فدان، ٥٠٠ جرام/فدان) تحت فترتى رى (الرى كل أسبو عين كمعاملة موصى بها، الرى كل ثلاث أسابيع) على انتاجية و جودة الفول الصويا أثر التفاعل بين فترات الرى وكربونات الكالسيوم الناتومترية و جزيئات الهيدروكسى أباتيت الذى مع عنه أنه مستويان (صفر جرام/فدان، ٥٠٠ جرام/فدان) تحت فترتى رى (الرى كل أسبو عين كمعاملة موصى بها، الرى كل ثلاث أسابيع) على انتاجية و جودة الفول الصويا أثر التفاعل بين فترات الرى وكربونات الكالسيوم الناتومترية و جزيئات الهيدروكسى أباتيت الناتومترية معنويا على صفات نمو فول الصويا. حيث أدت المعاملة بكربونات الكالسيوم الذاتومترية المختبرة تحت مستويات مرتفعة من جزيئات الهيدروكسى أباتيت الناتومترية ريادة فى صفات نمو فول الصويا. الصويا محصول القرون (طن/فدان)، نسبة التصافى%، وزن ال ١٠٠ بذرة بالجرام، محصول البذور (طن/فدان)، و التوليزين و ال الصويا محصول القرون (طن/فدان)، نسبة التصافى%، وزن ال ١٠٠ بذرة بالجرام، محصول البذور (طن/فدان) و البيولوجى (طن/فدان) و النسبة المنوية للزيت و البروتين. اظهرت النتائج في صفات المحصول و الجودة تحرم بنيت معطام ؟ كجم / فدان في صورة الناتو و ٢٠٠ جزام / فدان كربونات الكالسوم في صورة الناتو و الري كل اسبو عين سجلت اعلى النتائية في صفات المحصول و الجودة لنباتات فول الصويا