# CULTURAL AND MANUAL WEED MANAGEMENT IN SESAME

# Saudy, H.S. and W.R. Abd El-Momen Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.

# ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at the Research and Experimental Station Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University at Shalakan, Kalubia Governorate, Egypt, during 2006 and 2007 growing seasons to study the response of three cultivars (Toshka, Shandawel-3 and Shansawel-4) of sesame yield and the associated weeds to four weed management practices (adding false irrigation followed by light hoeing with either two hoeing {W1} or without hoeing {W2} as well as without adding false irrigation with either two hoeing {W3} or without hoeing {W4}, and two sowing methods (drilling seeds in ridges or in rows). Data revealed that W1 or W2 exceeded W3 or W4 for reducing dry weights of broadleaf and total weeds at 3 WAS. Moreover, W1 recorded the lowest dry weight values of broad leaf and total weeds (at 6 and 12 WAS) and grassy weeds (at 12 WAS), while W3 gave the minimal dry weight of grassy weeds (at 6 WAS). W1 was the best practice for enhancing plant height, capsules number and weight/plant, seeds weight/plant, weight of 1000 seeds, oil % as well as biological, seed and oil yields/fed.

Broad leaf and total weeds (at 12 WAS) showed the minimal dry weight when sesame plants were grown in ridges than in rows. While, sowing methods had no significant effect on sesame yield and its components as well as oil % and yield/fed.

At 12 WAS, Shandawel-3 along with Shandawel-4 was less infested with grassy and total weeds recording the minimum dry weight values. Shandawel-3 was the potent cultivar for producing the highest values of plant height, capsules and seeds weight/plant as well as biological, seed and oil yields/fed.

 $W_1$  x ridges x Shandawel-3 combination possessed the least dry weight at all stages, and recorded the highest values of seeds weight/plant, seed yield and oil yield/fed.

Seed yield of the three tested cultivars, i.e. Toshka, Shandawel-3 and Shandawel-4 was correlated negatively and highly significant with dry weight of total weeds (at 6 and 12 WAS). Plant height, capsules number and weight/plant and seeds weight/plant of the three cultivars were correlated positively and highly significant with seed yield.

Finally, it could be recommended that the combination of false irrigation followed by light hoeing and accompanied with hoeing twice at 25 and 45 days from sowing of sesame c.v. Shandawel-3 that was sown in ridges is the best practice for weed suppression and higher yield potentiality.

Keywords: Sesame, Weeds, Sowing methods, Cultivars

# INTRODUCTION

One of the oldest cultivated plants in the world is sesame. It is a highly prized oil crop. Its seeds and their constituents (approximately 50 % oil and 25 % protein) are used in food industries. Moreover, seed meal is an excellent high-protein (34 - 50 %) feed for poultry and livestock.

Sesame plants are poor competitors against weeds, especially at early growth stages, so controlling weeds since the planting crop is significance. The production per unit area is quite low because of weed infestation. Singh *et al.* (2003) reported that weeds reduced sesame seed yield by 20.6 %.

# Saudy, H.S. and W.R. Abd El-Momen

Under the current directions to produce safely healthy food, many farms are organically managed and this requires exclusion the application of synthetic chemicals. Herein, use a wide variety of compatible weed control tools and strategies (without herbicides) is the most efficient and acceptable approach to combat weeds. In this respect, hand hoeing still the potent effective pattern with regard to weed elimination and also as a safety clean non-chemical weed control method in the point of view of environmental conservation. Hand weeding twice reduced density and dry matter of weeds by 57.5 and 60.4%, respectively (Kumar and Thakur, 2005).

Besides, sowing method may affect the crop growth vigor, reflecting on its competitiveness against weeds. Weed control efficiency markedly varied with various sowing methods in sesame (Kumar and Thakur, 2005 and Imoloame *et al.*, 2007).

Moreover, crop cultivars can differ substantially in their response to weed competition, with those that canopy earlier and provide more shading being the most competitive. Varietal differences in sesame yield and its attributes were stated by Sootrakar, *et al.*, (1995) and Subrahmaniyan *et al.*, (2001).

Consequently, the present investigation was planned to determine the best weed management package (involved cultural and manual weed control) for sesame crop.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at the Research and Experimental Station Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University at Shalakan, Kalubia Governorate, Egypt, during 2006 and 2007 growing seasons to study the response of three sesame cultivars (Toshka, Shandawel-3 and Shansawel-4) yield and the associated weeds to two sowing methods (drilling seeds in ridges or in rows), and four weed management practices (adding false irrigation followed by light hoeing after 8 days with either two hoeing at 25 and 45 days from sowing  $\{W_1\}$  or without hoeing  $\{W_2\}$  as well as without adding false irrigation with either two hoeing at 25 and 45 days from sowing  $\{W_4\}$ ).

A strip plot design was used with four replicates. Weed management practices were arranged in the vertical plots, sowing method treatments were allocated in the horizontal plots, and cultivars occupied the sub plots. The experimental unit area was 12.96  $m^2$  and contained 6 ridges or rows (3.6 m length and 60 cm apart).

The soil texture of the experimental site was clay loam, with 2.0 % organic matter, 0.15 % total nitrogen and pH of 7.3. The preceding crop was berseem in both seasons.

Seeds of sesame cultivars were sown in hills, 20 cm apart at a rate of 3.0 kg/fed, then sowing irrigation was applied. The sowing date was May 13<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. At 25 days after sowing, plants were thinned to secure two plants per hill followed by irrigation. Phosphorus fertilizer (in the form of calcium super phosphate, 15 %  $P_2O_5$ ) was applied during the soil preparation at the rate of 100 kg/fed. Also, nitrogen fertilizer (in the form of ammonium sulfate, 20 % N) was applied at the rate of 30 kg/fed at three equal portions: after thinning, before the second and the third irrigation.

All other recommended cultural practices were adopted throughout the two seasons.

#### Assessments:-

### Weeds:

Weeds were hand pulled three times from one length meter of middle ridge or row of each experimental unit at 3, 6 and 12 weeks after sowing (WAS). The dry weights/m<sup>2</sup> of broadleaf, grassy and total weeds were estimated after air drying for 7 days and oven drying at 105° C for 24 hours. Sesame:

Five guarded plants were taken randomly from each experimental plot at harvest to measure plant height, branches number/plant, capsules number and weight/plant, seeds weight/plant and weight of 1000 seeds. Moreover, plants of one middle ridge or row of each experimental plot were collected to evaluate biological and seed vields/fed.

Seed oil percentage was determined by extraction the oil using Soxhlet Apparatus with hexane as an organic solvent according to A.O.A.C. (1995), then oil yield was calculated.

#### Simple correlation:

All possible coefficients of simple correlation (r) were calculated (according to Snedecor and Cochran, 1980) between sesame seed yield and each of dry weight of total weeds (at 3, 6 and 12 WAS), sesame plant height, branches number/plant, capsules number and weight/plant, seeds weight/plant and weight of 1000 seeds, under each studied cultivar.

# Statistical analysis:

All the obtained data from each season were exposed to the proper statistical analysis of variance according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The combined analysis of variance for the data of the two seasons was performed after testing the error homogeneity and LSD at 0.05 level of significance was used for the comparison between means.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# I- Weed growth

During both growing seasons the dominant annual broad leaf weeds were common purslane (Portulaca oleracea, L.) and malta jute (Chorchorus olitorius L.), while the major grassy weeds were jungle rice (Echinochloa colonum (L.) Link.) and crowfoot grass (Dactyloctenium aegyptium (L.) P. Beauv.).

# a-Effect of the individual factors:

The impacts of weed management, sowing methods and sesame cultivars on dry weights of broad leaf, grassy and total weeds at different growth stages, i.e. 3, 6 and 12 WAS are presented in Table 1.

Dry weights of broad leaf, grassy and total weeds were markedly affected by weed management treatments at all stages, except grassy weeds at 3 WAS (Table, 1). Herein, W1 or W2 exceeded W3 (the two hoeing were not performed yet) or W4 for reducing dry weights of broad leaf and total weeds at 3 WAS. Moreover, W1 recorded the lowest dry weight values of broad leaf and total weeds (at 6 and 12 WAS) and grassy weeds (at 12 WAS), while, W<sub>3</sub> gave the minimal dry weight of grassy weeds (at 6 WAS).

Saudy, H.S. and W.R. Abd El-Momen

Such findings divulge that false irrigation enhanced the germination of weed seeds just before the crop sown, and the germinated weeds are killed by light hoeing, so decreasing population of weed emerged after sowing. Also, hoeing removed weeds grown during the critical period which reflected to reducing weed biomass. Hoeing achieved high weed control efficiency in sesame as reported by Ibrahim *et al.* (1988), Chauhan and Gurjar (1998) and Yadav (2004).

Sowing methods of sesame has a significant effect on dry weight of broad leaf weeds at 6 WAS as well as broad leaf, grassy and total weeds at 12 WAS (Table, 1). Sesame plants were grown in ridges showed the lowest dry weight of such weed groups. Sowing sesame in ridges may give the crop a good head start over the weeds as well, resulting in more shade. In the literature, it has been found that weed growth was markedly affected by sowing methods of sesame (Kumar and Thakur, 2005).

As illustrated in Table 1, dry weight of broad leaf, grassy and total weeds statistically varied with sesame cultivars at all growth stages, except total weeds at 6 WAS which was not affected. In this concern, the lowest dry weight of broad leaf weeds was produced with Shandawel-4 at 3 and 6 WAS as well as with Toshka at 12 WAS. Moreover, the lowest dry weight of grassy weeds (at 3 and 6 WAS) and total weeds (at 3 WAS) were achieved in plots included Shandawel-3 and Toshka plants, respectively. At 12 WAS, Shandawel-3 along with Shandawel-4 was less infested with grassy and total weeds recording the minimum dry weight values. It could be concluded that through the period between the 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> week of sesame growth, total dry weight of weeds was increased by 3.62, 2.91 and 3.27 with Toshka, Shandawel-3 and Shandawel-4, respectively. Such finding means that Shandawel-3 is more competitiveness cultivar for weeds being suppressed weeds by 19.6 and 11.0 % than Toshka, and Shandawel-4, respectively. Varietal differences among sesame cultivars in photosynthetic area and growth habit may influence the suppressing ability of crop plants on weeds, in addition to their allelopathic effects. Cultivars which possess rapid growth and development and adaptive abilities perform better in suppressing weed growth and compete well with weeds (Rao, 1999).

# **b-Effect of the interactions:**

Weed dry weights at 3, 6 and 12 WAS were markedly affected by the first order interactions (Table, 2) and the second order one (Table, 3) of the three studied factors, i.e. weed management, sowing methods and cultivars.

# Weed management x sowing methods:

Total weeds at all growth stages in addition to broad leaf ones at 12 WAS recorded the minimum dry weight with the combination of  $W_1$  x ridges. While,  $W_1$  x rows produced the lowest dry weight of broad leaf weeds at 3 and 6 WAS and grassy weeds at 12 WAS. Moreover,  $W_3$  x rows gave the lowest value of grassy weeds dry weight at 3 and 6 WAS (Table, 2). Weed management x cultivars:

# $W_1$ x Shandawel-4, $W_3$ x Shandawel-3 and $W_1$ x Shandawel-3 possessed

the lowest dry weight of broad leaf, grassy and total weeds, respectively, at 3 WAS (Table, 2).

T2

Under  $W_1$ , broad leaf and total weeds at 6 WAS (in Shandawel-4 plots) as well as broad leaf, grassy and total weeds at 12 WAS (in Shandawel-3 plots) recorded the minimum dry weight. Also, with  $W_3$ , Toshka was the less infested by grassy weeds at 6 WAS.

# Sowing methods x cultivars:

In ridged plots, Shandawel-3 was the less infested cultivar with grassy weeds at all growth stages and total weeds at 6 WAS. In addition to, broad leaf at all growth stages and total weeds at 12 WAS were weak with Shandawel-4. Moreover, total weeds at 3 WAS produced the lowest dry weight with Toshka grown in rows (Table, 2).

# Weed management x sowing methods x cultivars:

At 3 WAS,  $W_3$  or  $W_4$  gave the minimal dry weight of broad leaf weeds which associated with Shandawel-4 plants that were grown in ridges (Table, 3). While,  $W_1$  x rows x Shandawel-4 or  $W_1$  x ridges x Shandawel-3 recorded the lowest dry weight of broad leaf weeds at 6 and 12 WAS, respectively. Under the weeded practice of  $W_3$ , rows x Shandawel-3 (at 3 WAS), rows x Toshka (at 6 WAS) and ridges x Shandawel-3 (at 12 WAS) interactions showed the best grassy weed elimination, recording the lowest dry weight. Concerning the dry weight of total weeds,  $W_1$  x ridges x Shandawel-3 combination possessed the least dry weight at all stages.

# Table 3. Weeds dry weight at 3, 6 and 12 weeks after sowing (WAS) asaffected by the second order interaction among weedmanagement, sowing methods and cultivars.

/	_	Trait			V	Veeds d	ry weigh	nt (g/m²	2)		
		I	A	t 3 WAS*	t	A	t 6 WAS		At	12 WAS	
Trea	atment		Broad leaf	Grassy	Total	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total
		Toshka	42.0	32.8	74.8	18.5	28.5	47.0	135.6	49.2	184.8
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	39.8	19.6	59.4	17.3	26.9	44.2	73.0	49.6	122.6
×۸/		Shandawel-4	32.5	58.2	90.8	10.0	49.7	59.7	150.0	58.8	208.8
<b>v v</b> 1		Toshka	34.6	52.6	87.3	13.0	52.6	65.7	132.8	66.0	198.8
	Rows	Shandawel-3	35.4	56.8	92.2	20.8	50.9	71.7	136.8	26.4	163.2
		Shandawel-4	42.4	36.1	78.5	8.2	37.7	45.9	116.4	47.6	164.0
		Toshka	42.0	33.8	75.8	60.0	55.3	115.3	286.8	294.0	614.0
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	39.8	20.6	60.4	58.0	42.0	83.3	452.0	252.0	704.0
<u>۸</u> ۷.		Shandawel-4	32.5	59.2	91.8	50.3	80.6	131.0	223.3	188.2	411.6
v v 2		Toshka	36.6	53.6	90.3	52.6	74.6	127.3	326.4	373.2	699.6
	Rows	Shandawel-3	37.4	57.8	95.2	53.3	79.3	132.6	366.8	194.0	560.8
		Shandawel-4	44.4	37.1	81.5	60.6	58.6	119.3	446.4	270.0	716.4
		Toshka	48.2	40.6	88.9	30.2	45.2	75.4	163.2	52.0	215.2
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	64.2	42.5	106.8	38.1	34.2	72.4	165.2	4.0	169.2
×۸/.*		Shandawel-4	20.8	97.8	118.6	11.0	46.9	58.0	139.2	103.2	242.4
v v 3		Toshka	51.8	21.4	73.3	54.8	26.0	80.8	87.6	122.0	209.6
	Rows	Shandawel-3	76.8	10.5	87.3	58.1	37.7	95.8	150.0	101.2	251.2
		Shandawel-4	63.7	32.0	95.7	13.3	40.5	53.8	245.2	41.2	286.4
		Toshka	48.2	41.6	89.9	66.3	62.6	129.0	166.4	534.4	700.8
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	64.2	43.5	107.8	82.3	64.6	147.0	124.0	304.0	428.0
<u>۸</u> ۷.		Shandawel-4	20.8	98.8	119.6	39.0	120.3	159.3	224.4	259.6	484.0
v v 4		Toshka	53.8	22.4	76.3	70.0	44.0	114.0	190.4	475.6	666.0
	Rows	Shandawel-3	78.8	12.2	91.0	94.6	33.6	128.3	330.8	308.4	639.2
		Shandawel-4	65.7	33.0	98.7	82.0	54.3	136.3	324.0	426.4	750.4
LSD	) at 0.05	,	21.4	28.6	35.0	19.1	26.2	29.8	75.2	81.0	114.8

\* The two hoeing were not performed yet up to this date.

## II- Sesame yield, yield components and seed oil content: a-Effect of the individual factors:

All yield and its attributes were significantly affected by weed management treatments and sesame cultivars except capsules number/plant which was not differed among the three proved cultivars (Table, 4). Moreover, sowing methods had no significant effect on sesame yield and its components as well as oil % and yield/fed.

			Capsule	s/plant	Saada	Weight	Yield/	fed	Oil			
Trait Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Branches number /plant	Number (g)		veight /plant (g)	of 1000 seeds (g)	Biological (ton)	Seed (kg)	%	Yield (kg/fed)		
Weed management												
W <sub>1</sub>	140.3	1.70	56.5	21.6	7.40	3.867	2.31	684.7	51.56	352.7		
W <sub>2</sub>	91.9	1.00	15.8	9.3	1.89	3.715	0.46	294.2	48.09	141.6		
W <sub>3</sub>	133.7	1.77	46.5	18.4	5.61	3.602	1.96	574.3	51.13	293.5		
$W_4$	99.5	1.00	18.5	10.9	2.14	3.854	0.54	343.4	48.72	167.3		
LSD at 0.05	4.3	0.12	5.9	2.0	0.98	0.128	0.18	65.9	3.19	11.4		
Sowing method	s											
Ridges	115.0	1.29	33.7	14.3	3.91	3.765	1.24	461.4	50.39	234.7		
Rows	117.7	1.44	34.8	15.8	4.61	3.754	1.40	486.9	49.36	242.8		
LSD at 0.05	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S		
Cultivars												
Toshka	111.4	1.01	34.5	15.7	4.41	3.971	1.36	470.6	47.56	227.8		
Shandawel-3	120.0	1.05	34.1	15.8	4.62	3.681	1.40	508.9	49.87	256.4		
Shandawel-4	117.7	2.04	34.2	13.7	3.74	3.626	1.20	443.0	52.20	232.1		
LSD at 0.05	2.4	0.13	N.S	1.2	0.63	0.074	0.11	33.8	2.14	7.7		

Table	4.	Yield,	yield	attributes	and	seed	oil	content	of	sesame	as
	a	ffected	by we	ed manage	ement	t, <mark>sow</mark> i	i <mark>ng</mark> i	methods	and	d cultivar	s.

In this concern,  $W_1$  was the potent practice for enhancing plant height, capsules number and weight/plant, seeds weight/plant, weight of 1000 seeds, oil % as well as biological, seed and oil yields/fed. While,  $W_3$  recorded the maximum value of branches number/plant, without statistical difference with  $W_1$  in this respect. Contrarily, such traits recorded the minimal values with  $W_2$  followed by  $W_4$ . Clean bed from weeds since sowing sesame as a result of eliminating the emerged weeds before planting (by applying light hoe) accompanied with hoeing twice after emergence minimizes weed competition and enables crop plants to utilize light, water, nutrients,  $CO_2$  and other environmental resources. This in turn increases the amount of metabolites synthesized, enhancing crop plant growth, and consequently yield and its attributes and seed oil content. Similar observations were obtained by Chauhan and Gurjar (1998), Yadav (2004) and Kumar and Thakur (2005).

Shandawel-3 was the potent cultivar for producing the highest values of plant height, capsules and seeds weights/plant as well as biological, seed and oil yields/fed, but significantly equaled with Shandawel-4 (in plant height) and with Toshka (in capsules and seeds weight/plant and biological yield/fed). Moreover, Shandawel-4 surpassed Toshka and Shandawel-3 in branches number/plant and oil %. Potency in weight of 1000 seeds was achieved with Toshka, exceeding the other two cultivars (Table, 4).

Differences among sesame cultivars in yield and its attributes were reported by Tiwari and Namdeo (1997), Subrahmaniyan and Arulmozhi (1999), Basavarai *et al.* (2000) and Kathiresan (2002).

# b-Effect of the interactions:

### Weed management x sowing methods:

All yield and its components were substantially affected by the interaction between weed management and sowing methods, except seed oil % which did not affect (Table, 5).  $W_1 x$  rows gave the highest values of plant height, branches number/plant, capsules number and weight/plant and biological yield/fed. While the maximum seeds weight/plant, seed yield and oil yield/fed were achieved with  $W_1 x$  ridges. The heaviest weight of 1000 seeds was obtained with  $W_4 x$  ridges.

# Weed management x cultivars:

Considerable influence of the interaction between weed management x cultivars on sesame yield and its traits was obtained (Table, 5). Plots of Shandawel-3 which were treated with  $W_1$  produced the maximum values of plant height, seeds weight/plant, seed yield and oil yield/fed. Moreover, Shandawel-4 with  $W_3$  (for branches number/plant) and with  $W_1$  (for oil %) recorded the highest values. Capsules number and weight/plant and biological yield/fed showed the maximum values in  $W_1$  x Toshka plots. Additionally,  $W_4$  x Toshka gave the highest weight of 1000 seeds value.

# Sowing methods x cultivars:

With the exception of capsules number/plant, all sesame yield and its attributes and seed oil content were statistically influenced by the interaction between sowing methods and cultivars (Table, 5). Under rows pattern, plant height and biological yield/fed (with Shandawel-3), branches number/plant (with Shandawel-4) as well as capsules weight/plant, seeds weight/plant, weight of 1000 seeds, and seed yield/fed (with Toshka) recorded the highest values. In ridges plots, oil % and oil yield/fed showed the maximum values with Shandawel-4 and Shandawel-3, respectively.

# Weed management x sowing methods x cultivars:

The interaction among the three tested factors had remarkable effects on yield and its components and seed oil content of sesame (Table, 6). In rows x W<sub>1</sub> plots, Shandawel-4 produced the highest values of plant height and branches number/plant, Toshka possessed the maximum capsules number and weight/plant as well as Shandawel-3 gave the heaviest biological yield/fed. W<sub>4</sub> x ridges x Toshka and W<sub>4</sub> x ridges x Shandawel-4 recorded the maximum weight of 1000 seeds and oil %, respectively. Moreover, W<sub>1</sub> x ridges x Shandawel-3 recorded the highest values of seeds weight/plant, seed yield and oil yield/fed.

Generally, it could be recommended that the combination of false irrigation followed by light hoeing and accompanied with hoeing twice at 25 and 45 days from sowing of sesame c.v. Shandawel-3 that was sown in ridges is the best practice for weed suppression and higher yield potentiality.

/	Trait	Plant	Branches	Capsule	s/plant	Seeds	Weight	Yield/fe	ed		Oil
Treatment		height (cm)	number /plant	Number	Weight (g)	weight /plant (g)	of 1000 seeds (g)	Biological (ton)	Seed (kg)	%	Yield (kg/fed)
Weed I	management x	sowin	g methods								
W1	Ridges	138.2	1.40	53.2	20.1	7.44	3.838	2.15	696.9	51.97	362.0
	Rows	142.4	2.00	59.7	23.1	7.36	3.896	2.48	672.5	51.16	343.4
W <sub>2</sub>	Ridges	94.4	1.00	18.8	10.1	1.67	3.721	0.51	311.7	49.09	152.9
	Rows	89.4	1.00	12.8	8.5	2.11	3.709	0.42	276.7	47.09	130.4
W <sub>2</sub>	Ridges	129.7	1.77	47.1	17.1	4.87	3.504	1.83	525.5	51.18	268.9
••3	Rows	137.7	1.77	45.9	19.6	6.34	3.699	2.09	623.1	51.09	318.1
W4	Ridges	97.5	1.00	15.9	9.7	1.66	3.996	0.46	311.5	49.32	155.0
	Rows	101.5	1.00	21.0	12.2	2.62	3.712	0.61	375.4	48.12	179.6
LSD at	0.05	6.9	0.19	11.8	4.5	1.09	0.197	0.44	85.3	N.S	28.1
Weed I	management x	cultiva	ars								
	Toshka	134.6	1.06	60.8	23.2	7.44	4.076	2.45	696.8	49.90	347.5
W1	Shandawel-3	143.6	1.06	50.1	22.4	8.16	3.919	2.40	744.9	51.75	385.7
	Shandawel-4	142.8	2.96	58.6	19.3	6.60	3.605	2.09	612.4	53.04	324.8
	Toshka	93.0	1.00	15.9	9.7	2.92	4.052	0.49	298.7	44.67	133.7
W2	Shandawel-3	91.4	1.00	15.7	8.9	1.37	3.530	0.45	291.9	48.77	142.5
	Shandawel-4	91.3	1.00	15.7	9.3	1.37	3.564	0.45	292.0	50.83	148.6
	Toshka	123.6	1.00	44.2	18.8	5.12	3.615	1.96	541.8	50.36	272.5
W3	Shandawel-3	142.4	1.13	50.4	20.2	6.69	3.652	2.16	646.2	50.90	329.1
-	Shandawel-4	135.1	3.20	44.9	16.1	5.01	3.538	1.76	534.9	52.15	279.0
	Toshka	94.5	1.00	17.4	11.0	2.16	4.140	0.54	344.9	45.32	157.5
W4	Shandawel-3	102.7	1.00	20.4	11.6	2.28	3.624	0.57	352.8	48.04	168.4
	Shandawel-4	101.4	1.00	17.6	10.2	1.98	3.797	0.50	332.6	52.78	175.9
LSD at	0.05	4.8	0.26	5.6	2.5	1.27	0.149	0.23	67.7	4.28	15.4
Sowing	a methods x c	ultivars									
	Toshka	110.8	1.00	32.6	14.2	3.33	3.949	1.25	422.7	47.58	205.0
Ridaes	Shandawel-3	118.8	1.01	34.6	14.8	4.57	3.789	1.31	505.4	50.54	257.2
. 9	Shandawel-4	115.3	1.86	34.0	13.8	3.83	3.557	1.15	456.0	53.04	241.8
	Toshka	112.1	1.03	36.5	17.1	5.49	3.993	1.47	518.4	47.54	250.6
Rows	Shandawel-3	121.2	1.08	33.7	16.7	4.68	3.574	1.48	512.5	49.19	255.6
	Shandawel-4	120.0	2.21	34.4	13.7	3 65	3 695	1 24	429.9	51 35	222.3
I SD at	0.05	34	0.18	NS	17	0.00	0 105	0.16	17.8	3.02	10.0

Table 5. Yield, yield attributes and seed oil content of sesame as affected by the first order interactions between weed management, sowing methods and cultivars.

# **III-** Correlation relationships:

All possible correlation coefficients between sesame seed yield and each of dry weight of total weeds (at 3, 6 and 12 WAS), sesame plant height, branches number/plant, capsules number and weight/plant, seeds weight/plant and weight of 1000 seeds were computed under each studied cultivar (Table, 7). Seed yield of the three cultivars, i.e. Toshka, Shandawel-3 and Shandawel-4 was correlated negatively and highly significant with dry weight of total weeds (at 6 and 12 WAS). Moreover, the associations between seed yield of Shandawel-3 and each of branches number/plant and weight of 1000 seeds were positive, reaching the 5 % level of significance. Additionally, all the involved sesame traits of the three cultivars were correlated positively and highly significant with seed yield, except weight of 1000 seeds of Toshka and Shandawel-4 which was not markedly correlated. Similar trend was obtained by Olowe (2007) and Sarwar *et al.* (2007).

$\langle$	<	Trait	Diant	Branchas	Capsule	s/plant	Seeds	Weight	Yield/fe	ed		Oil
Tre	eatment		height (cm)	number /plant	Number	Weight (g)	weight /plant (g)	of 1000 seeds (g)	Biological (ton)	Seed (kg)	%	Yield (kg/fed)
		Toshka	134.4	1.00	53.4	21.9	7.25	4.045	2.35	683.1	50.69	346.3
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	146.0	1.06	53.4	20.9	8.75	3.908	2.22	784.0	52.25	409.7
	-	Shandawel-4	134.4	2.13	52.8	17.6	6.34	3.561	1.88	622.9	52.97	329.0
VV 1		Toshka	134.8	1.13	68.1	24.6	7.64	4.107	2.56	709.8	49.12	348.7
	Rows	Shandawel-3	141.2	1.06	46.7	23.9	7.58	3.931	2.58	705.8	51.25	361.8
		Shandawel-4	151.2	3.80	64.3	21.0	6.86	3.649	2.29	602.0	53.10	319.7
		Toshka	99.3	1.00	19.8	11.4	1.80	4.107	0.58	320.3	45.47	145.7
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	93.0	1.00	19.0	9.1	1.65	3.464	0.46	310.2	49.58	153.8
		Shandawel-4	91.1	1.00	17.6	10.0	1.56	3.592	0.48	304.7	52.22	159.1
VV 2	Rows	Toshka	86.8	1.00	12.0	8.1	4.04	3.996	0.40	277.2	43.88	121.7
		Shandawel-3	89.8	1.00	12.5	8.7	1.10	3.596	0.43	273.6	47.96	131.3
		Shandawel-4	91.6	1.00	13.9	8.7	1.19	3.535	0.42	279.4	49.44	138.2
		Toshka	120.6	1.00	45.0	15.7	3.12	3.473	1.69	408.1	50.58	206.4
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	137.7	1.00	50.8	19.8	6.41	3.688	2.11	627.4	50.21	315.1
	-	Shandawel-4	130.8	3.33	45.4	16.0	5.10	3.351	1.69	540.9	52.76	285.4
VV3		Toshka	126.6	1.00	43.4	22.0	7.13	3.757	2.24	675.5	50.14	338.7
	Rows	Shandawel-3	147.1	1.26	50.0	20.5	6.97	3.616	2.21	664.9	51.59	343.1
		Shandawel-4	139.4	3.06	44.4	16.2	4.92	3.725	1.82	528.9	51.53	272.6
		Toshka	88.9	1.00	12.1	8.1	1.17	4.168	0.38	278.6	43.61	121.6
	Ridges	Shandawel-3	98.6	1.00	15.3	9.5	1.49	4.096	0.46	300.1	50.11	150.4
	-	Shandawel-4	105.2	1.00	20.4	11.6	2.33	3.724	0.56	355.8	54.23	193.0
<b>VV</b> 4		Toshka	100.2	1.00	22.7	13.9	3.16	4.112	0.70	411.1	47.04	193.4
	Rows	Shandawel-3	106.8	1.00	25.6	13.7	3.08	3.152	0.69	405.5	45.98	186.5
	9	Shandawel-4	97.7	1.00	14.9	8.9	1.63	3.871	0.44	309.4	51.34	158.9
LS	D at 0.0	5	6.8	0.37	8.0	3.5	1.80	0.211	0.33	95.7	6.05	21.7

Table 6. Yield, yield attributes and seed oil content of sesame as affected by the second order interaction among weed management, sowing methods and cultivars.

Table 7: Simple correlation coefficient between sesame seed yield and each of total dry weight of weeds (at 3, 6 and 12 WAS), plant height, branches number/plant, capsules number and weight/plant, seeds weight/plant and weight of 1000 seeds.

Baramotoro	Seed yield (kg/fed)							
Farameters	Toshka	Shandawel-3	Shandawel-4					
1-Total dry weight of weeds (g.m <sup>-2</sup> )								
At 3 WAS	-0.110	0.110	-0.059					
At 6 WAS	-0.604**	-0.657**	-0.633**					
At 12 WAS	-0.752**	-0.721**	-0.741**					
2-Plant height (cm)	0.875**	0.912**	0.888**					
3-Branches number/plant	0.437**	0.307*	0.848**					
4-Capsules number/plant	0.859**	0.872**	0.859**					
5-Capsules weight/plant (g)	0.968**	0.918**	0.923**					
6-Seeds weight/plant (g)	0.908**	0.992**	0.981**					
7-Weight of 1000 seeds (g)	0.108	0.336*	0.065					

\* and \*\*: Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively; WAS: weeks after sowing.

# REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1995). Association of Official Agriculture Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis 16<sup>th</sup> Ed., Washington, DC, USA.
- Basavarai, B.; R.A. Shetty and C.S. Hunshl (2000). Nutrient content and uptake by sesame varieties to fertilizer and population levels under summer irrigated conditions. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 13 (1): 141-143.
- Chauhan, D.V.S. and B.S. Gurjar (1998). Mechanical and chemical weed control in sesame(*Sesamum indicum*). Indian J. Agron., 43 (3): 480-483.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research. A Wiley- Inter Science Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, USA.
- Ibrahim, A.F.; H.R. El-Wekil; Z.R. Yehia and Sh. A. Shaban (1988). Effect of some weed control treatments on sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) and associated weds. J.Agron. & Crop Sci., 162: 319-324.
- Imoloame, E.O.; N.A. Gworgwor and S.D.Joshua (2007). Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) weed infestation, yield and yield components as influenced by sowing method and seed rate in a Sudan Savanna agro-ecology of Nigeria. African J.Agric. Res., 2 (10): 528-533.
- Kathiresan, G. (2002). Response of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) genotypes to levels of nutrients and spacing under different seasons. Indian J. Agron., 47 (4): 537-540.
- Kumar A. and K.S. Thakur (2005). Effect of sowing method and weed control practices on production potential of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*)-based intercropping system under rainfed conditions. Indian J.Weed Sci., 37 (1-2): 133-134.
- Olowe, V.I.O. (2007). Optimum planting date for sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) in the transition zone of south west Nigeria. Agricultura Tropica et Subtropica, 40 (4); 156-164.
- Rao, V.S. (1999). Principles of Weed Science. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Science Publishers, Inc, NH, USA, p. 51.
- Sarwar, G.; M. A. Haq; M. B. Chaudhry and I. Rabbani (2007). Evaluation of early and high yielding mutants of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) for different genetic parameters. J. Agric. Res., 45 (4):125-133.
- Singh, B.P.; Om Prakash and P.K.Singh (2003). Dry-matter accumulation in weeds and qualitative characters of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) as influenced by nitrogen levels and weed-control measures. Indian J. Agron., 48 (2): 120-123.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G.Cochran (1980). Statistical methods 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. Iowa State Univ.USA.
- Sootrakar, B.P.; K.N. Namdeo and L.J. Khare (1995). Effect of weed control on productivity of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*). Indian J. Agron., 40 (3): 454-458.
- Subrahmaniyan, K. and N. Arulmozhi (1999). Response of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) to plant population and nitrogen under irrigated conditions. Indian J. Agron., 44 (2): 413-415.

- Subrahmaniyan, K.; N. Arulmozhi and P. Kalaiselven (2001). Influence of plant density and NPK levels on the growth and yield of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Agric. Sci. Digest, 21 (3): 208-209.
- Tiwari, K.P. and K.N. Namdeo (1997). Response of sesame (Sesamum *indicum*) to planting geometry and nitrogen. Indian J. Agron., 42 (2): 365-369.
- Yadav, S.S. (2004). Integrated weed management in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) under rainfed conditions of Rajasthan. Haryana J.Agron., 20 (1-2): 120-121.

# المكافحة الزراعية و اليدوية للحشائش في السمسم

#### هانی صابر سعودی و وصفی رمضان عبد المؤمن قسال ماه با حالیة النسامة جارمة حد شد سر شد الشدة القاد ، ترمی

قسم المحاصيل- كلية الزراعة- جامعة عين شمس- شبرا الخيمة- القاهرة- مصر.

أقيمت تجربتان حقّليتان خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٦ و٢٠٠٧ بمحطة التجارب و البحوث الزراعية بشلقان - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس - محافظة القليوبية لدراسة استجابة محصول ثلاثة أصناف من السمسم (توشكى ، شندويل-٣ و شندويل-٤) و الحشائش المصاحبة لطريقتين للزراعة ( الزراعة فى خطوط و الزراعة فى سطور) و أربع معاملات من مكافحة الحشائش ( رية كدابة اتبعت بعزقة خفيفة + عزيق مرتين [W] ، رية كدابة اتبعت بعزقة خفيفة فقط [W] ، العزيق مرتين[W] و بدون مكافحة [4]).

أوضحت النتائج تفوق معاملتى W<sub>1</sub> وW<sub>2</sub> في خفض الوزن الجاف للحشائش عريضة الأوراق و الكلية بعد ثلاثة أسابيع من الزراعة ، كما سجلت معاملة W<sub>1</sub> أكبر نقص في الوزن الجاف للحشائش عريضة الأوراق و الكلية (بعد سنة و اثنى عشر أسبوع من الزراعة) و الحشائش ضيقة الأوراق (بعد اثنى عشر أسبوع من الزراعة) ، بينما أعطت معاملة W<sub>3</sub> أقل وزن جاف للحشائش ضيقة الأوراق (بعد سنة أسابيع من الزراعة). كانت معاملة W<sub>1</sub> هي الأفضل في احداث زيادة في ارتفاع النبات ، عدد و وزن الكبسولات /نبات ، وزن البنور /نبات ، وزن ال ١٠٠٠ بذرة ، نسبة الزيت بالبذرة ، و المحصول البيولوجي ، البذور و الزيت / فدان.

. أظهرت الحشائش عريضة الأوراق و الكلية (بعد اثنى عشر أسبوع من الزراعة) أقل وزن جاف عند زراعة السمسم في خطوط مقارنة بالزراعة في سطور بينما لم يكن لطرق الزراعة تأثير معنوى على محصول السمسم و مكوناته و كذلك محتوى البذور من الزيت.

عند عمر اثنى عشر أسبوع من الزراعة كانت الحشائش ضيقة الأوراق و الكلية أقل انتشارا مع الصنف شندويل-٣ و شندويل-٤ مسجلة أقل وزن جاف لها. كان الصنف شندويل-٣ هو المتفوق في اعطاء أكبر القيم في ارتفاع النبات ، وزن الكبسولات و البذور /نبات ، و المحصول البيولوجي ، البذور و الزيت / فدان.

أدى تطبيق الرية الكدابة والتى اتبعت بعزقة خفيفة+عزيق مرتين [W] مع زراعة الصنف شندويل-٣ على خطوط الى تسجيل أقل وزن جاف للحشائش الكلية خلال جميع مراحل النمو (بعد ثلاثة و ستة و اثنى عشر أسبوع من الزراعة) مع اعطاء أعلى وزن بذور /نبات ومحصول بذور و زيت / فدان.

أظهر معامل الارتباط البسيط وجود ارتباط سالب و عالى المعنوية بين محصول بذور الثلاثة أصناف تحت الدراسة مع الوزن الجاف للحشائش الكلية (بعد ستة و اثنى عشر أسبوع من الزراعة). بينما كان الارتباط بين محصول بذور تلك الأصناف موجب و عالى المعنوية مع كل من ارتفاع النبات ، عدد و وزن الكبسولات /نبات و وزن البذور /نبات.

و وفقا لتلك النتائج يمكن التوصية بأن الرية الكدابة و اتباعها بعزقة خفيفة مع اجراء العزيق مرتين عند عمر ٢٥ و ٤٥ يوم من زراعة السمسم صنف شندويل-٣ و المنزرع في خطوط هي أفضل ممارسة زراعة كونها أحدثت أعلى تثبيط للحشائش المصاحبة مع ارتفاع المحصول.

# J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 34 (8): 9001 - 9013, 2009

	Weeds dry weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )													
Trait	Α	t 3 WAS*		A	t 6 WAS		Α	t 12 WAS						
Treatment	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total					
Weed management				•										
W <sub>1</sub> *	37.8	42.7	80.5	14.6	41.0	55.7	124.1	49.6	173.7					
W <sub>2</sub>	38.8	43.7	82.5	55.8	65.1	118.1	350.2	261.9	617.7					
W <sub>3</sub> *	54.2	40.8	95.1	34.2	38.4	72.7	158.4	70.6	229.0					
W <sub>4</sub>	55.2	41.9	97.2	72.3	63.2	135.6	226.6	384.7	611.4					
LSD at 0.05	10.0	N.S	7.5	13.6	12.8	10.7	41.6	25.4	56.6					
Sowing methods				•										
Ridges	41.2	49.9	90.4	40.1	54.7	93.4	191.9	179.0	373.7					
Rows	51.8	35.4	87.3	48.4	49.1	97.6	237.8	204.3	442.1					
LSD at 0.05	N.S	N.S	N.S	6.1	N.S	N.S	29.1	16.1	21.2					
Cultivars														
Toshka	44.7	37.4	82.1	45.7	48.6	94.3	186.1	245.8	436.1					
Shandawel-3	54.6	32.9	87.5	52.8	46.1	96.9	224.8	154.9	379.7					
Shandawel-4	40.3	56.5	96.9	34.3	61.1	95.4	233.6	174.3	408.0					
LSD at 0.05	7.6	10.1	12.4	6.7	9.2	N.S	26.6	28.5	40.6					

# Table 1: Weeds dry weight at 3, 6 and 12 weeks after sowing (WAS) as affected by weed management, sowing methods and sesame cultivars.

\* The two hoeing were not performed yet up to this date.

	Trait	Weeds dry weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )										
		A	t 3 WAS*		A	t 6 WAS		At	12 WAS			
Treatm	ent	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total	Broad leaf	Grassy	Total		
Weed ma	nagement x sowing metho	ods										
۸/ *	Ridges	38.1	36.8	75.0	15.2	35.0	50.3	119.5	52.5	172.0		
V V 1	Rows	37.5	48.5	86.0	14.0	47.1	61.1	128.6	46.6	175.3		
۸ <i>۸</i> /	Ridges	38.1	37.8	760	56.1	59.3	109.8	320.7	244.7	576.5		
VV2	Rows	39.5	49.5	89.0	55.5	70.8	126.4	379.8	279.0	658.9		
۸/ *	Ridges	44.4	60.3	104.8	26.4	42.1	68.6	155.8	53.0	208.9		
VV3	Rows	64.1	21.3	85.4	42.0	34.7	76.8	160.8	88.1	249.0		
A./	Ridges	44.4	61.3	105.8	62.5	82.5	145.1	171.6	366.0	537.6		
VV4	Rows	66.1	22.5	88.6	82.2	44.0	126.2	281.7	403.4	685.2		
LSD at 0.	05	8.3	10.1	18.3	19.4	12.7	29.1	50.7	78.7	65.8		
Weed ma	nagement x cultivars											
	Toshka	38.3	42.7	81.0	15.8	40.6	56.4	134.2	57.6	191.8		
W1*	Shandawel-3	37.6	38.2	75.8	19.0	38.9	58.0	104.9	38.0	142.9		
	Shandawel-4	37.4	47.2	84.6	9.1	43.7	52.8	133.2	53.2	186.4		
	Toshka	39.3	43.7	83.0	56.3	65.0	121.3	306.6	333.6	656.8		
$W_2$	Shandawel-3	38.6	39.2	77.8	55.6	60.6	108.0	409.4	223.0	632.4		
	Shandawel-4	38.4	48.2	86.6	55.5	69.6	125.1	334.8	229.1	564.0		
	Toshka	50.0	31.0	81.1	42.5	35.6	78.1	125.4	87.0	212.4		
W <sub>3</sub> *	Shandawel-3	70.5	26.5	97.0	48.1	36.0	84.1	157.6	52.6	210.2		
	Shandawel-4	42.2	64.9	107.2	12.2	43.7	55.9	192.2	72.2	264.4		
	Toshka	51.0	32.0	83.1	68.1	53.3	121.5	178.4	505.0	683.4		
$W_4$	Shandawel-3	71.5	27.8	99.4	88.5	49.1	137.6	227.4	306.2	533.6		
	Shandawel-4	43.2	65.9	109.2	60.5	87.3	147.8	274.2	343.0	617.2		
LSD at 0.	05	15.2	20.2	24.8	13.5	18.5	21.1	53.2	57.3	81.2		
Sowing n	nethods x cultivars											
	Toshka	45.1	37.2	82.3	43.7	47.9	91.7	188.0	232.4	428.7		
Ridges	Shandawel-3	52.0	31.5	83.6	48.9	41.9	86.7	203.5	152.4	355.9		
	Shandawel-4	26.6	78.5	105.2	27.6	74.4	102.0	184.2	152.5	336.7		
	Toshka	44.2	37.5	81.8	47.6	49.3	96.9	184.3	259.2	443.5		
Rows	Shandawel-3	57.1	34.3	91.4	56.7	50.4	107.1	246.1	157.5	403.6		
	Shandawel-4	54.0	34.5	88.6	41.0	47.8	88.8	283.0	196.3	479.3		
LSD at 0.	05	10.7	14.3	17.5	9.5	13.1	14.9	37.6	40.5	57.4		

Table 2. Weeds dry weight at 3, 6 and 12 weeks after sowing (WAS) as affected by the first order interactions between weed management, sowing methods and cultivars.

\* The two hoeing were not performed yet up to this date.

Saudy, H.S. and W.R. Abd El-Momen