# EFFECT OF DIFFERENT POLLINATION LEVELS ON FRUIT QUALITY OF "HAYANY" DATE PALM FRUITS (*Phoenix dactvlifera* L.)

Omar, A. K. and A. R. El-Shereif

Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, 33516 Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt

\* Corresponding author: E-mail: omaradks@yahoo.com

# ABSTRACT

This investigation was carried out during two successive seasons (2006 and 2007) in Balteem district, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, Egypt, aiming to investigate the influence of different pollination levels on fruit quality of "Hayany" date palm compared to the level pollination used by farmers (9 strands / inflorescence). Five healthy female date palms were selected, 12 spathes (inflorescence) were left on each one. Four levels of pollination were applied; 3,6 and 12 strands/inflorescence and control (9 strands / inflorescence, farmers level pollination). The results showed that fruit set percentage increased by increasing pollination level in both experimental seasons. Bunch weight increased in treatments 3 strands/inflorescence and 6 strands/inflorescence and declined by increasing pollination level to record the lowest values by treatment 12 strands/inflorescence and control. The highest acidity and tannins content were recorded by 12 strands/inflorescence treatment in both seasons. P2 (6 strands/inflorescence) showed the highest values of bunch weight, fruit weight and flesh weight. Moreover, fruit chemical properties were enhanced under this treatment compared to the other treatments. Based on these results, it is recommend that the optimum pollination level to improve fruit set and quality of "Hayany" date palm is 6 strands/inflorescence. under Kafr El-Sheikh conditions.

Keywords: Fruit quality, Date palm, Pollination level , Phoenix dactylifera

# INTRODUCTION

Since date palm is a diocious plant, pollen has to be transported from the male to the female palm, this could occur naturally by wind. However, this requires an equal portion of male and female trees in the orchard, which make date farming uneconomical. Therefore, commercial date production necessitates artificial pollination which ensures good fertilization and overcome disadvantage of dichogamy and also reduces the number of male palms.

Hand or manual pollination is considered an ancient practice in date palm cultivation, which usually done by dusting and inserting 2 or 3 dry male strands between the strands of the female inflorescence (spathe) (Sial 1980, Khataab 1985 and Hamood *et al.*, 1986).

Many factors can affect fruit quality (physical and chemicals properties) such as soil moisture (Aldrich *et al.*, 1943) and thinning of the fruit clusters or bunches (Nixon and Crawford 1937, 1942). Moreover, Pollination treatments can play similar role in improving fruit quality.

It is believed that different date palm cultivars require different amount of pollen in order to get satisfactory fruit set (Dowson 1982). To the best of our knowledge the information about the optimum pollination level that

## Omar, A. K. and A. R. El-Shereif

improves fruit set and quality of "Hayany" date palm is scarce. Therefore, this research was carried out to study the effect of different pollination levels on fruit quality of "Hayany" date palm in comparison with the farmer's used level to find out the optimum pollination level for this variety.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Experiment site:**

This investigation was carried out during two successive seasons (2006 and 2007) in Balteem district, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, Egypt. This district is considered one of the intensive date palm growing areas in Egypt. There are about 300,000 female date palms in this district (Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt 2006).

## Plant Materials:

Five healthy female "Hayany" date palms 15 years old were selected and 12 spathes were left for each female. Four levels of pollinations were applied as follows:3 strands/inflorescence(P<sub>1</sub>),6 strands/inflorescence (P<sub>2</sub>), 12 strands/inflorescence (P<sub>3</sub>) and control (9 strands/inflorescence, which is the farmer's used level of pollination. Each treatment was represented by 3 bunches / palm. The pollination was done after 2 - 4 days from spathes opening using one male date palm (Meghal) as a source of pollen in both seasons. All female inflorescences were bagged in paper bags directly after pollination and remained covered for approximately two weeks to prevent natural contamination by wind borne pollen, bee pollination and/or unwanted pollen from the surrounding treatments. The palms were grown in loamy soil, planted 8 meters apart and receiving the regular horticultural practices as recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture.

#### Fruit set:

After one month of pollination, ten female strands per bunch were randomly selected and the number of fruits was recorded, then fruit set percentage was calculated according to El-Makhtoun (1982). All bunches were harvested on the first week of October at the peak of color development in both experimental seasons.

## Fruit physical characters:

Samples of 20 fruits were taken randomly from each bunch to determine fruit weight, flesh weight and fruit dimensions (length and diameter).

#### Fruit chemical characters:

Fruit acidity: Fruit acidity was determined according to Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1995) and the titrable acidity was calculated as citric acid. Total soluble solids: The percentage of TSS was determined in the fruit juice using Zice refractometer. Tannins content: Tannins content was determined using Indigo carmine indicator after Winton and Winton (1958).

# Experimental design and statistical analysis:

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design. The data were statistically analyzed. Analysis of variance technique was implemented to test the overall significance of the data, while the DMRT was used to compare the differences among treatment means (Snedecor and Cochran 1980).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Fruit set:

Fruit set percentage increased with increasing the number of strands that used for pollination (Table.1). The highest level of pollination (12 strands/inflorescence -P<sub>3</sub>) recorded the highest fruit set percentage in both seasons followed by the control (9strands/inflorescence),  $P_2(6$  strands/inflorescence) and  $P_1$  (3 strands/inflorescence) respectively. **Bunch weight:** 

Bunch weight is considered as an index for the yield and reflects the best promising treatments. Table 1, shows that bunch weight increased from P<sub>1</sub> to P<sub>2</sub> and declined by increasing pollination level to record lower values for the control and P3 with no significant differences between these two treatments. P<sub>2</sub> recorded the highest bunch weight in both seasons. Although, P<sub>3</sub> recorded higher fruit set percentage than P<sub>2</sub>, bunch weight was lower. This may be attributed to fruit drop as a result of excessive fruit set under this treatment. These results are in agreement with those reported by Mawlood (1980), El-Kassas (1983), Ghalib *et al.* (1987) and Mostafa (1994) on different date palm varieties. They found that there is a positive correlation between fruit set percentage obtained and bunch weight at harvest.

## Fruit physical characters:

Table (1) shows that fruit weight was the lowest at  $P_1$  compared with the other treatments. There were no significant differences among  $P_2$ , control and  $P_3$ . However,  $P_2$  had the highest fruit weight in both seasons.6 strands/inflorescence treatment ( $P_2$ ) enhanced significantly flesh weight in the first season followed by the control,  $P_3$  and  $P_1$  respectively. In the second season, no significant differences were found between  $P_2$  and control, and the lowest flesh weight was recorded with 3 strands/inflorescence treatment ( $P_1$ ). Different treatments had no significant effect on fruit length except the control treatment in the second season. In regard to fruit diameter, no significant differences were found among treatments in both seasons.

## Fruit chemical properties:

There were no significant differences in fruit total acidity among different pollination levels in the first season (Table 2).

nayany date pain nuns during 2005 and 2000 seasons.											
Fruit set %		Bunch weight (kg)		Fruit weight (gm)		Flesh weight (gm)		Fruit length (cm)		Fruit diameter (cm)	
2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
45.13c	55.04d	21.08a	19.03b	28.45b	27.44b	26.16d	24.92c	5.61	5.55b	2.96	2.89
61.58b	76.59c	22.61a	22.91a	37.03a	32.11a	33.44a	30.64a	5.88	5.78b	3.26	3.16
63.87a	87.86a	18.74b	18.25c	36.89a	30.16a	29.11c	27.08b	5.01	5.09b	3.25	3.01
62.05ab	83.63b	19.08b	18.76c	36.01a	31.02a	31.01b	30.70a	4.85	7.85a	2.89	2.79
	Fruit   %   2005   45.13c   61.58b   63.87a   62.05ab	Fruit set   2005 2006   45.13c 55.04d   61.58b 76.59c   63.87a 87.86a   62.05ab 83.63b	Fruit set % Bur wei (k   2005 2006 2005   45.13c 55.04d 21.08a   61.58b 76.59c 22.61a   63.87a 87.86a 18.74b   62.05ab 83.63b 19.08b	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg)   2005 2006 2005 2006   45.13c 55.04d 21.08a 19.03b   61.58b 76.59c 22.61a 22.91a   63.87a 87.86a 18.74b 18.25c   62.05ab 83.63b 19.08b 18.76c	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit s (g   2005 2006 2005 2006 2005   45.13c 55.04d 21.08a 19.03b 28.45b   61.58b 76.59c 22.61a 22.91a 37.03a   63.87a 87.86a 18.74b 18.25c 36.89a   62.05ab 83.63b 19.08b 18.76c 36.01a	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm)   2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006   45.13c 55.04d 21.08a 19.03b 28.45b 27.44b   61.58b 76.59c 22.61a 22.91a 37.03a 32.11a   63.87a 87.86a 18.74b 18.25c 36.89a 30.16a   62.05ab 83.63b 19.08b 18.76c 36.01a 31.02a	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Flesh (gm)   2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005   45.13c 55.04d 21.08a 19.03b 28.45b 27.44b 26.16d   61.58b 76.59c 22.61a 22.91a 37.03a 32.11a 33.44a   63.87a 87.86a 18.74b 18.25c 36.89a 30.16a 29.11c   62.05ab 83.63b 19.08b 18.76c 36.01a 31.02a 31.01b	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Flesh weight (gm)   2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 24.92c 24.92c 25.036 30.44a 30.64a 30.64a 30.44a 30.64a 30.44a 30.64a 25.05ab 25.05ab 36.35ab 19.08b 18.76c 36.01a 31.02a 31.01b 30.70a	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Flesh weight (gm) Flesh weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) Flesh weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) <t< td=""><td>Fruit set weight (kg) Fruit weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit length (cm)   2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2056 2056 2056 2056 2056 2056 2</td><td>Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Flesh weight (gm) Fruit length (gm) <th< td=""></th<></td></t<>	Fruit set weight (kg) Fruit weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit weight (gm) Fruit length (cm)   2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2005 2006 2056 2056 2056 2056 2056 2056 2	Fruit set % Bunch weight (kg) Fruit weight (gm) Flesh weight (gm) Fruit length (gm) <th< td=""></th<>

#### Table (1): Effect of pollinations levels on some physical properties of Havany date palm fruits during 2005 and 2006 seasons.

Means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT.

Treatments	Tota	l acidity (%)	T\$ (%	SS (6)	Tannin (%)					
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006				
3strands/bunch (P1)	0. 13	0.29b	28.36b	28.87b	0.090b	0.190b				
6strands/bunch (P <sub>2</sub> )	0.031	0.022c	33.51a	33.97a	0.080c	0.120d				
12strands/bunch (P <sub>3</sub> )	0.34	0.31a	24.55c	26.93c	0.110a	0.22a				
Cont. (9 strands/bunch)	0.20	0.028b	29.01b	30.40b	0.090b	0.17c				

Table (2): Effect of pollinations levels on some chemical properties of Hayany date palm fruits during 2005 and 2006 seasons.

Means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT.

However, 6 strands/inflorescence (P<sub>2</sub>) showed the lowest acidity percentage in the second season with no significant differences between P<sub>1</sub> and the control, while P<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest acid content. Soluble solids content was the highest with P<sub>2</sub> treatment followed by P<sub>1</sub> and the control with no significant differences between these two levels (Table 2) while, the lowest was found with P<sub>3</sub> treatment in both experimental seasons. Results shows that tannins content had an opposite trend to that of TSS and no significant differences were found in the first season between P<sub>1</sub> and the control, while P<sub>1</sub> was significantly higher than the control in the second season. However, P<sub>2</sub> recorded the lowest significant values and control was the highest in both seasons.

Pollination treatments obviously play a role on enhancing fruit quality, through increasing bunch weight, fruit length, fruit weight and improving TSS and reducing tannins and total acidity contents. These changes in fruit quality are associated with changes in some promotors and enzymes (Mardi 1995 and El Mardi *et al.*, 2007). Mardi (1995) reported that low pollen level can produce the same effect on pectin content as high pollen concentration does, indicating a clue for economizing of pollen application on "Farad" date palm.

The above results indicate that among different pollination levels,  $P_2$  showed the highest bunch weight and fruit quality in both seasons. Thus, it is recommended that 6 strands/inflorescence is the optimum pollination level for "Hayany" date palm under Balteem district conditions. From economic point of view, using this pollination level can reduce the number of male date palms required for each orchard.

## REFERENCES

- Aldrich,L.V; L.Crawford and D.C.Moore (1943). Some effects of soil moisture deficiency at different times upon the size and quality of fruit of the Deglet Noor date. U.S.D.A. Tech. Bull.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1995). Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Ed. Published by A.O.A.C. Washington, D.C. (U.S.A).
- Dowson,V.H.W. (1982). Date production and protection with special reference to North Africa and the near East. FAO-UN, Plant Production and Protection paper 35, FAO Rome, 294 pp.
- El-Kassas, Sh.E. (1983). Manual bunch and chemical thinning of Zaghloul dates. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 14 (2): 221-233.

- El Mardi, M.O; F.A. Al Said; C.B. Sakit; L.M. Al Kharusi; I.N. Al Rahbi and K. Al Mahrazi (2007). Effect of pollination method, fertilizer and mulch treatments on the physical and chemical characteristics of date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.) fruit 1: Physical characteristics. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 736: 317-328.
- El-Mkhtoun, F.M.B. (1982). Effect of different pollen types on fruiting and fruit quality in some date varieties. M.Sc. Thesis, Dept. Hort., Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt.
- Ghalib, H.H;A., Mawlood; M.J. Abbass and S. Abd-elslam (1987). Effect of different pollinators on fruit set and yield of Sayer and Hallawy date palm cultivars under Basrah conditions. Date palm J. 5 (5): 155-173.
- Hamood, H.H.; E.A. Mawlood and M.A. Al-Khafaji (1986). The effect of mechanical pollination on fruit set, yield and fruit characteristics of date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.) Zahdi cultivar. Date Palm J. 4 (2): 175-184.

Khataab, H.A. (1985). Date palm in old Egypt. Agric. J. 40 (12): 33-35.

- Mardi, A. (1995). Effect of pollination and pollin dilution on some chemical constituent of Farad dates in different stages of fruit development. Hort. Abst. (60); 12-361-10874.
- Mawlood,E.A. (1980). Physiological studies on fruit development of Samani and Zaghloul date palm cultivars. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Mostafa, A.A.R. (1994). Effect of different pollination methods on improving productivity of certain date palm (*Phoniex dactylifera* L.) cultivars under Assuit conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assuit Univ., Egypt.
- Nixon, R.W. and L. Crawford (1937). Fruit thinning experiments with Deglet Noor dates. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 34: 107-115.
- Nixon, R.W. and L. Crawford (1942). Quality of Deglet Noor date fruits as influenced by bunch thinning. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 40: 103-110.
- Sial, F.S. (1980). Development of a pneumatic date pollinator. ASAE paper No. 80-1506, Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. Winter meeting, Chicago.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.A. Cochram (1980). Statistical methods .7th ed. Iowa State Univ. press, Ames., Iowa, U.S.A.
- Winton, A.L. and K.B. Winton (1958). The analysis of food. John Wiley and Sones. INC, London.

تاثير مستويات التلقيح المختلفة علي جودة ثمار البلح الحياني علاء الدين خليل عمر و علي رمضان الشريف قسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة - جامعة كفر الشيخ- مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٦، ٢٠٠٥ بمنطقة بلطيم محافظة كفر الشيخ ، بهدف دراسة تاثير مستويات التلقيح المختلفة علي جودة ثمار البلح الحياني مقارنة بمستوي التلقيح لدي المزارعين في المنطقه . اختيرت خمس نخلات وترك علي كل واحده منها ١٢ إغريض ، وذلك لاجراء اربعة مستويات من التلقيح المختلفه هي:٣ شماريخ / إغريض ،٦ شماريخ / إغريض ١٢، شمراخ / إغريض مقارنة بالكنترول (المستوي المستخدم لدي المزراعين في المنطقة وهو ٩ شماريخ/ إغريض) في كلا الموسمين.

المراح / إغريت النتائج أرتفاع نسبه عقد الثمار بزيادة مستويات التلقيح من ٣ الي ١٢ شمراخ / إغريض في كلا الموسمين وأن وزن السباطه قد زاد من المستوي الأول للتلقيح (٣ شماريخ / إغريض) الي المستوي الثاني (٦ شماريخ / إغريض) ولكن انخفض مع زيادة مستويات التلقيح الأخري ، وقد سجلت اقل قيمه لوزن السباطه عند مستوي الكنترول و المستوي الرابع من التلقيح (٢ شماريخ / إغريض) من المستوي الرابع من التلقيح (٢ شماريخ / إغريض ، وقد سجلت اقل قيمه لوزن السباطه عند مستوي الكنترول و المستوي الرابع من التلقيح (٢ شماريخ / إغريض المستوي الثاني (٦ شماريخ / إغريض) ولكن انخفض مع زيادة مستويات التلقيح الأخري ، وقد سجلت اقل قيمه لوزن السباطه عند مستوي الكنترول و المستوي الرابع من التلقيح (٢ شماريخ / إغريض) وأن أعلي محتوي للثمار من الحموضه والتانيات قد سجلت في الشار بالمعاملة ٢٢ شمراخ / إغريض.

قد سجل المستوي ٦ شماريخ/ إغريض احسن النتائج في وزن السباطه ، وزن الثمر،، وزن لحم الثمر،، علاوه علي تحسين بعض الصفات الكيماويه للثمار تحت هذا المستوي مقارنة بباقي المستويات الاخري وعليه يمكن التوصيه بان المستوي المثالي هو ٦ شماريخ / إغريض للصنف الحياني لتحسين العقد وجودة الثمار تحت ظروف كفر الشيخ.