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ABSTRACT

This study was conducted on the Experimental Farm of the Shandaweel Research Station at Sohage, Egypt, during 2006/07 and 2007/08 growing seasons. The investigation was aimed to study the effect of irrigation at 45, 60 and 75% of available soil water deficit (ASMD) and two plant population densities (35000 and 70000 plants/ fad.) on productivity and water use efficiency of some genotypes (Lines 1, 2, 3 and Giza1 cv) of safflower. A randomized complete block, split- split plot design with three replicates was used in each season. Irrigation treatments, genotypes and plant population densities were randomly assigned to the main, sub-plots and sub-sub plots, respectively.

Results showed that irrigation at 45% of ASMD significantly increased plant height, number of branches/plant, number of heads/plant, 100-seed weight, weight of seeds/plant, seed yield/fad., seed oil content and oil yield/fad. by 35.0, 45.8, 50.6 13.9, 49.9, 34.0, 7.3 and 43.8%, respectively, compared to irrigation at 75% of ASMD.

Increasing plant population densities from 35000 to 70000 plants/fad. significantly increased plant height, seed and oil yield fad⁻¹, while, decreased number of branches/plant, number of heads/plant, 100-seed weight, weight of seeds/plant. In this case, seed oil content was not affected by plant population density. In general, Line 3 surpassed others genotypes in the most studied characteristics.

The interaction between irrigation regime x genotype as well as irrigation regime x plant population density had a significant effect on the 100-seed weight, seed weight/plant, seed yield/fad., seed oil content, oil yield/fad and WUE. Meanwhile, interaction between plant population density x genotype or between three factors, had a significant effect on seed yield/fad. and WUE only.

Seasonal water consumptive use was 30.03, 26.05 and 23.95 cm when irrigated safflower plants at 45%, 60% and 75% of ASMD, respectively. Results indicated that irrigation at 60% of ASMD gave the highest value of WUE (31.32 kg seed yield cm⁻¹ of water consumed). Also, plant population densities at 70000 plants/fad. gave the highest value of WUE. Moreover, Line 3 was more efficient in water use than other genotypes.

So, from results of seed yield and WUE, a high or medium irrigation rates with high plant population densities and planted safflower genotype Line 3 are adequate under this study condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius, L.) is considered as one of the most important oilseed crops in the world due to its numerous uses of both flower petals and edible oil. The seeds contain 30-40% oil, 15-20% protein and 35-45% hull fraction (Rahamatalla et al., 2001). It has attracted significant
interest as an alternative oil seed due to its high adaptability for dry climatic conditions with little precipitation. This plant is considered as a drought tolerant crop which is capable of obtaining moisture from levels not available to the majority of crops (Weiss, 2000). Safflower can also be grown successfully on soil with poor fertility and in areas with relatively low temperatures (Koutroubas and Papakosta, 2005).

Water element is a major constant of tissue and is essential for cell enlargement and growth. Soil moisture in the root zone plays an important role in plant growth and its yield. In this case, Mekki et al (1993) revealed that plant height, seed yield /plant, number of heads /plant and seed oil content were reduced as water depletion level increased. Also, who found that highest values of seed yield /plant, number of heads /plant and 100-seed weight were recorded with Demo 157 cv and irrigated at 35% of ASMD. Eid (1991) indicated that weight of seeds /plant and seed yield /fad. of safflower varieties increased when plants were irrigated with 50% from filed capacity. Katara and Bansal (1995) found that increasing irrigation number increased seed yield /ha. Also, they added that seasonal water consumptive use increased with an increase in number of irrigations from 1 to 3 (145.5 to 236.0 mm), whereas water use efficiencies declined considerably from 14.2 to 11.0 kg seeds /ha /mm. Ibrahim et al (2008) who mentioned that water consumptive use by safflower plants increased as the available soil moisture around the root zone increased. Also, who added that the best water use efficiency (WUE) for seed production was obtained from irrigated safflower plants at 60 % of ASMD.


Regarding the plant density effect, Abo-Shetaia (1990) found that lower density (70000 plants /fad.) gave significantly the highest number of branches /plant, number of heads /plant and seed index than higher density (105000 plants /fad.), while the reverse was true for seed yield /fad. El-Hariri and Ahmed (1993) stated that the 70000 plants stand/ fad. exceeded both 35000 and 105000 plants /fad. respecting plant height, seed yield /plant or fad1. Gonzalez et al (1994) showed that plant population did not influence seed oil content. Ghanem and Ash-Shormillesy (2007) mentioned that plant densities at 35000 plants/ fad. gave the highest seed yield /plant compared with others plant densities at 46666, 70000 and 93333 plants /fad. Pourhadian and Khajehpour (2008) found that highest (3039 kg /ha) and lowest (1930 kg /ha) seed yields were obtained with 20 and 40 cm row distances, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted on the Experimental Farm of the Shandawweel Research Station at Sohage, Governorate (26°34'N, 31°42'E, and 61m above mean sea level) during the 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 growing seasons. The experimental soil was clay loam in texture with pH value of 7.72, organic
matter content of 1.53%, total N 1.15%, available P and K of 17.22, 148 ppm, respectively (averages of the two seasons for the upper 25 cm of the soil). Soil water contents of the experimental soil are given in Table 1. Soil bulk density was determined with a classical method, using cylinders 100 mm wide and 60 mm in height according to Grossmann and Reinsch (2002). Soil field capacity and wilting point were determined in the laboratory using the method described by Cassel and Nielsen (1986).

Table 1: Soil moisture constants for the experimental site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>Field capacity (%)</th>
<th>Wilting point (%)</th>
<th>Bulk density (%)</th>
<th>Available soil water (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>13.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>15.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>29.03</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>15.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-60</td>
<td>33.12</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>17.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at a rate of 60 kg /fad. in the form of urea (46.5 % N) as fertigation. Nitrogen fertilizer was added in two equal doses, at 21 and 42 days after sowing. Phosphorus fertilizer was applied at a level of 150 kg /fad. as calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅). Whole of phosphorus was applied basally before sowing in all treatments. Potassium fertilizer was applied at a level of 50 kg /fad as potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) in two equal doses every 3 weeks after sowing. Weed, pests, and diseases control were done in a timely manner.

A randomized complete block split split-plot design with three replicates was used in each season. Irrigation treatments, genotypes (Lines 1, 2, 3 and Giza1 cv) and plant population densities (35000 and 70000 plants /fad.) were randomly assigned to the main, sub-plots and sub-sub plots, respectively. Irrigation treatments started after the first irrigation and were timed, through soil moisture samples, at 45, 60 and 75% of available soil water deficit. Sub-sub plot area was 24 m² including 8 ridges, 5 m long and 60 cm apart. Plots were isolated by ditches of 1.5 m in width to avoid lateral movement of water. Genotypes seeds were sown by hand on November 8th and 10th in the first and second seasons, respectively. Planting was in hills 20 cm apart, and seeding rate was 12 kg /fad. The preceding crop was grain sorghum in both seasons. The origin of genotypes was shown in Table 2.

Table 2: The origin of safflower genotypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line 1</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 2</td>
<td>1699</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 3</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giza 1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hand harvesting was performed about 160 days after sowing. Ten guarded plants were randomly taken from each sub-sub plot to measure plant height (cm), number of branches and heads /plant, 100-seed weight (g) and weight of seeds /plant. Seed yield (kg /fad.) was determined from the six central ridges. Seed oil percentage was calculated by using the modified
Soxhlet Apparatus and pure petroleum ether as a solvent, according to A.O.A.C. (1984). Oil yield (kg/fad.) was calculated by multiplying seed yield (kg/fad.) by seed oil content (%).

Water consumptive use (cm) was calculated using the following equation (Hansen et al. 1979): \( CU = \sum D_i \times D_{bi} \times (PW_2 - PW_1)/100. \)
Where: 

- \( D_i \) = soil layer depth (15 cm).
- \( D_{bi} \) = soil bulk density, (g cm\(^{-3}\)) for this depth.
- \( PW_1 \) = gravimetric soil moisture percentage before irrigation.
- \( PW_2 \) = gravimetric soil moisture percentage, 48 hours after irrigation.

Meanwhile, water use efficiency was calculated according to Jensen (1983).
\[ WUE = \frac{Y}{CU}. \]
Where: 

- \( Y \) = seed yield (kg fad\(^{-1}\)).
- \( CU \) = seasonal water consumptive use (cm).

Data of each season were subjected to analysis of variance and the test of homogeneity of variance was done (Bartlett's test of homogeneity) and the combined analysis of both seasons was performed, as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The significant differences among the treatment means were judged with the help of Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955). In the interaction, Figures were used for the comparison among various interactions.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The means between years were similar according to the Bartlett homogeneity test. Therefore, data presented correspond to the means of the two years.

1. **Yield, yield attributes and seed oil content:**

The irrigation treatments exhibited significant changes in all the studied characteristics recorded in Table 3. Irrigation at 45% of ASMD significantly increased plant height, number of branches/plant, number of heads/plant, 100-seed weight, weight of seeds/plant, seed yield/fad., seed oil content and oil yield/fad. by 35.0, 45.8, 50.6, 13.9, 49.9, 34.0, 7.3 and 43.8%, respectively, compared to irrigation at 75% of ASMD. A higher seed yield of safflower at 45% of ASMD could be attributed to the higher yield components such number of branches/plant, number of heads/plant, 100-seed weight, weight of seeds/plant (Table 3). These results were in agreement with those obtained by Eid (1991), Mekki et al. (1993) and Katara and Bansal (1995) who concluded that yield and its attributes of safflower were gradually increased as a result of increasing the availability of soil moisture content.

Data exhibited in Table 3, revealed that plant height, number of branches/plant, number of heads/plant, 100-seed weight, weight of seeds/plant, seed yield/fad. seed oil content and oil yield/fad. were significantly affected by safflower genotypes. Line 3 surpassed others genotypes in the most studied characteristics. Meanwhile, Line 1 and Giza 1 surpassed others genotypes in the 100-seed weight and seed oil content, respectively. In
general, the superiority of Line 3 on others genotypes in theses characteristics may be attributed inherently to the greater ability of such Line in synthesizing more assimilates that partitioned to the final economical yields of safflower plants and the consequent dry matter accumulation. Similar safflower genotype differences were expressed by El-Gayar et al. (1990), Mundel and Braum (1999), Mundel et al. (1999) and Camas et al. (2007).

Table 3: Mean values of yield, yield attributes, seed oil content and water use efficiency (WUE) of some safflower genotypes as affected by irrigation and plant population density in the combined analysis over both seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>No. of branches/plant</th>
<th>No. of heads/plant</th>
<th>100- seed weight (g)</th>
<th>Seed weight/plant (g)</th>
<th>Seed yield/fad (kg)</th>
<th>Seed oil content (%)</th>
<th>Oil yield/fad (kg)</th>
<th>WUE (kg/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation (I):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% of SAMD</td>
<td>189.8 a</td>
<td>8.621 a</td>
<td>33.12 a</td>
<td>4.583 a</td>
<td>39.03 a</td>
<td>902 a</td>
<td>33.30 a</td>
<td>299 a</td>
<td>30.05 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% of SAMD</td>
<td>170.1 b</td>
<td>7.517 b</td>
<td>27.75 b</td>
<td>4.544 b</td>
<td>32.84 b</td>
<td>816 b</td>
<td>32.46 a</td>
<td>266 b</td>
<td>31.32 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypes (G):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 1</td>
<td>161.6 c</td>
<td>6.789 c</td>
<td>26.10 b</td>
<td>4.244 a</td>
<td>30.59 c</td>
<td>768 c</td>
<td>31.03 c</td>
<td>239 c</td>
<td>28.51 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giza 1</td>
<td>160.8 c</td>
<td>7.181 b</td>
<td>26.54 b</td>
<td>4.294 b</td>
<td>27.87 d</td>
<td>732 d</td>
<td>34.14 a</td>
<td>251 b</td>
<td>27.45 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant density (D):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One plant/hill</td>
<td>163.1 b</td>
<td>7.779 a</td>
<td>29.29 a</td>
<td>4.624 a</td>
<td>35.24 a</td>
<td>714 b</td>
<td>32.09 a</td>
<td>230 b</td>
<td>26.66 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two plants/hill</td>
<td>170.6 a</td>
<td>6.921 b</td>
<td>25.94 b</td>
<td>4.144 b</td>
<td>30.04 b</td>
<td>880 a</td>
<td>32.45 a</td>
<td>286 a</td>
<td>32.98 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I x season</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G x season</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G x I</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D x I</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D x G</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D x I x G</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means designed by the same letter at each cell are not significant at the 5% level – N.S and ** Denotes not significant and significant at 1%, respectively.

Data in Table 3, illustrated that plant height was increased significantly by increasing plant density from 35000 (one plant/hill) to 70000 plants/fad. (two plants/hill). This may be due to the more competition between plants within the denser plant population for light. This led to reduction in light intensity in safflower canopy and encouragement of IAA synthesis. The increase in IAA concentration in stem tissues caused cell enlargement and hence plant height. In reverse, number of branches/plant, number of heads/plant, 100-seed weight, weight of seeds/plant were increased significantly by decreasing plant density. Also, in this case, plant densities at 70000 plants/fad. significantly increased seed yield/fad. and oil yield/fad. by 23.2 and 24.3%, respectively, compared to plant densities at 35000 plants/fad. Meanwhile, seed oil content was not affected by plant population density. This increase in seed yield/fad. with this treatment may be attributed to the highest number of plants/fad. under planting at two plants/hill. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Abo-Shetaia.
The interaction effect of irrigation x season, Genotypes x season or plant density x season was not significant for all traits (Table 3).
Such results indicated that irrigation regime, safflower genotype or plant population density showed similar effects from one season to another. The interaction between irrigation regime x genotype as well as irrigation regime x plant population density had a significant effect on the 100-seed weight, seed weight /plant, seed yield /fad., seed oil content and oil yield /fad., as presented in Table 3. Figures 1 and 2. Figures 1 indicated that the highest values of 100-seed weight, seed weight /plant, seed yield /fad. and oil yield /fad. (4.942 g, 44.67 g, 1046 kg and 336 kg, respectively) were obtained when planted safflower Line 3 and irrigated at 45% of ASMD, while, the lowest values resulted from irrigation at 75% ASMD with Giza 1 cv, that were 3.825 g, 23.02 g, 640 kg and 200 kg, respectively. In this case, the heights oil percentage (35.08%) and the lowest (28.97%) was obtained from Giza 1 and Line 1 at irrigation with 60% of ASMD, respectively. On the other hand, Results in Figure 2 indicated that the highest values of 100-seed weight (4.971 g), seed weight /plant (42.82 g), seed yield /fad. (964 kg), seed oil content (34.29%) and oil yield /fad. (312 kg) were associated with irrigation at 60% of ASMD x 35000 plants /fad., 45% of ASMD x 35000 plants /fad., 45% of ASMD x 70000 plants /fad., 60% of ASMD x 70000 plants /fad. and 45% of ASMD x 70000 plants /fad., respectively. Also, data in Figure 3 showed different response of safflower genotypes to increasing plant population density concerning seed yield /fad. Increasing plant population density from 35000 to 70000 plants /fad. increased seed yield by 26.4, 30.1, 15.6 and 22.0% for Lines 1, 2, 3 and Giza1cv, respectively. Data in Table 3 indicated that the second order interaction between three factors was significant for seed yield /fad. The data presented in Figure 4 indicated that Line 3 produced the highest seed yield /fad. (1088 kg), when planting at 70000 plants /fad. (two plants /hill) and irrigated at 45% of ASMD. The response of safflower genotype to irrigation treatments reported by Mekki et al (1993) who found that highest values of seed yield /plant, number of heads /plant and 100-seed weight were recorded with Demo 157 cv and irrigated at 35% of ASMD.

2. Soil-water relations:
2.1. Water consumptive use (CU):
Seasonal water consumptive use by safflower plants is presented in Table 4, and showed that, seasonal water consumptive use values were
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30.03, 26.05 and 23.95 cm when irrigated safflower plants at 45%, 60% and 75% of ASMD, respectively. These results indicated that water consumptive use increased, as soil moisture was maintained high by frequent irrigation.

Table 4: Monthly and seasonal water consumptive use (cm) as affected by irrigation treatments, over both seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation treatments</th>
<th>Monthly water consumptive use (cm)</th>
<th>Seasonal C.U(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45% of SAMD</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% of SAMD</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% of SAMD</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This increase for seasonal water consumptive use at 45% of ASMD may be to higher water around root zone provides chance for more consumption of water that ultimately resulted in increasing transpiration by plants and evaporation from the soil surface. These results were in harmony with Katara and Bansal (1995) and Ibrahim et al (2008) who mentioned that water consumptive use by safflower plants increased as the available soil moisture around the root zone increased.

Monthly water consumptive use value started low at the beginning of safflower plant growing season, and increased gradually to reach its maximum value at March, as a result of the increase in vegetative growth that requires higher water consumption to plants, then it declined at maturity.

2.2. Water use efficiency (WUE):

Water use efficiency expressed in kg of seed yield /cm of water consumed is presented in Table 3. Results indicated that irrigation at 60% of ASMD gave the highest value of WUE (31.32 kg seed yield /cm of water consumed), while the lowest (28.09 kg /cm) resulted from irrigation at 75% of ASMD. These findings could be attributed to the highly significant differences among seed yield due to irrigation treatments, as well as differences between water consumptive uses. These results are in line with those reported by Ibrahim et al (2008) who showed that the best water use efficiency (WUE) for seed production was obtained from irrigated safflower plants at 60 % of ASMD.

Averaged over irrigation treatments, plant population density and seasons, Line 3 had WUE values that were 10.2, 14.7 and 20.4% greater than genotypes of Lines 2, 1 and Giza1 cv, respectively. This is logic because Line 3 gave the highest value of seed yield /fad. (Table 3). These results are confirmed with those obtained by Ibrahim et al (2008) who showed that Line 11 gave the best WUE.

Increasing plant population density from 35000 to 70000 plants /fad, significantly increased WUE as shown in Table 3. Plant densities at 70000 plants /fad. (two plans /hill) significantly enhanced WUE by 23.7% compared with plant densities at 35000 plants /fad. (one plan /hill). Also, this finding could be attributed to increased seed yield due to high plant densities.

Data in Table 3 showed that interaction effect between irrigation regime x genotype, irrigation regime x plant population density as well as plant population density x genotype was significant for WUE. The highest
values of WUE of 34.83 or 34.03 kg seed yield /cm of water consumed, were obtained when planted safflower Line 3 and irrigated at 45% or 60% of ASMD, respectively, while the lowest value (26.73 kg /cm) resulted from irrigation at 75% of ASMD with Giza1 cv. (Figure 5). Also, the highest and the lowest values of WUE (35.62 and 24.96 kg seed yield /cm of water consumed), were obtained from irrigation at 60 of ASMD at 70000 plants /fad and 75% of ASMD at 35000 plants /fad, respectively (Figure 6). Different response of safflower genotypes to increasing plant population density concerning WUE is presented in Figure 7. Increasing plant population density from 35000 to 70000 plants /fad increased WUE by 27.0, 30.8, 16.1 and 22.3% for Lines 1, 2, 3 and Giza1 cv, respectively. Same seed yield /fad., the second order interaction between three factors was significant for WUE. The data presented in Figure 8, indicated that Line 3 produced the highest value of WUE when planting at 70000 plants /fad. (two plants /hill) and irrigated at 60% of ASMD.
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تأثير الري والكثافة النباتية على الإنتاجية وكفاءة استخدام المياه لبعض التراكيب الوراثية
لمحصول القرطم تحت ظروف جنوب مصر.

عصام الدين عبد الهادي عبد الطيف، فجيري شحات صديق، حماد خضرى أحمد رحتاب

1- قسم المحاصيل بكلية الزراعة جامعة جنوب الوادي، قا. مصر
2- قسم بحوث المحاصيل الزراعةية معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية-مركز البحوث الزراعية.

الجبرة-مصر

تمت اقامة تجارب حقلية في الموسمين الشتويين (2006 و 2007) (ملاحظة:)

المحصول الوراثية-حى شندويل -مركز البحوث الزراعية -محافظة سوهاج، لدراسة تأثير الري على
مستويات مختلفة من استفادة الرطوبة الأرضية وكفاءة الزراعة على الإنتاجية بعض التراكيب الوراثية
لحصول القرطم وكفاءة استخدام المياه. و كان التصميم التجريبي المستخدم هو القطاع المنقسم مرتين
في ثلاث مكبات حيث وزعت عمالات الري (آزى عند فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد فقد ف عند
3- حصول الزكية / (لقدن) على القطاع المنقسم تحت ظروف سهولة

وتنقص أهم النتائج في الآتي:

* %20 من الماء المستهلك للزكية، كذلك ادع هذه النتائج الى اعطاء أعلى القيم من الاستهلاك المائي
  المسمى

* %30 من الماء المستهلك للزكية، بكفاءة الزراعة في جميع الصفات المدرسية، ولكن اعلى نسبة زيت بالزكية
* تفوقت الإنتاجية على كل التراكيب الوراثية في جميع الصفات المدرسية، ولكن اعلى نسبة زيت بالزكية
* حصول زيت اعلى من الصفر حيث %1 من التراكيب الوراثية
* حصول على زيت زيت للزكية و زيت الزيت لزكية استخدام الماء، ولم يتغير
* حصول الزكية على الزكية مع نهاية النباتات
* حصول الزكية على الزكية مع نهاية النباتات
* حصول الزكية على الزكية مع نهاية النباتات
* حصول الزكية على الزكية مع نهاية النباتات

بالجبرة (٢٠٠٧-١٧) و (٢٠٠٨-١٧) وكافة النباتات في الصنف 1
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