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ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted at Shandaweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate [26.5013° N, 31.7651° E] during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons to investigate the effect of three ridge widths (80, 100 and 120 cm), two seeding rates (37800 and 50400 buds/fed) and three nitrogen levels (180, 200 and 220 kg N/fed) on yield and quality of sugar cane. Each experiment was carried out in split-split plot design with three replications. The results showed that planting sugarcane on ridges width at 100 cm apart attained a significant increase in cane stalk height, number of millable canes, cane yield/fed, as well as brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed compared with those planted at 80 and 120 cm. Significant increase in stalk diameter was recorded at 120 cm ridge width in both seasons. The results indicated that the two seeding rates differed significantly in cane stalk height, stalk diameter, number of millable canes, cane and sugar yields/fed in both seasons. The results indicated that the interaction effect between ridge width of 100 cm, seeding rates of two rows (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) and fertilized by 220 kg N/feddan gave the highest values of cane and sugar yield/feddan. Under conditions of the present investigation, growing sugarcane variety G. 2003-49 in rows of 100 cm apart with seeding rate of 2 rows of cane cuttings (50400 buds/fed) and fertilized with 220 kg N/fed can be recommended to get the maximum cane and sugar yields/fed.

INTRODUCTION

Sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is considered the main important sugar crop in Egypt and in many countries all over the world. Sugar cane plays a prominent role for sugar production in Egypt. Recently, Egypt face a great problem concerned with the lack of sugar production to feed an increasing population. So increasing sugar production is necessary to meet demands of population. One of the approaches to increase sugar production is raising sugar cane production per unit area.

Increasing sugar production from unit area could be achieved by using adequate agriculture practices i.e. plant density, high yielding varieties and fertilization.

Row spacing has a direct effect on plant population. It plays a distinct role in the amount of solar radiation and hence, crop canopy development, which in turn affects photosynthesis and ultimately the dry matter produced by plant. El-Geddawy, et al. (2002a) found that narrow row spacing (100 cm) produced higher number of millable canes, cane and sugar yields compared to 120 and/or 140 cm row spacing. Their results showed that the wider row spacing (140 cm) significantly recorded higher values for stalk height, thicker stalks, compared with those of narrower spacing of 100 cm. El-Geddawy, et al. (2002-b) added that the widest row spacing gave the highest sucrose, and sugar recovery percentage. Raskar and Bhoi (2003) found that cane girth and number of millable canes were significantly higher with a 90-cm intra-row spacing compared with 30 or 60-cm intra-row spacing. Millable cane height was insignificantly affected by spacing. Rizk, et al. (2004-a) found that sucrose was insignificantly affected by the studied row distances (100, 120 and 140 cm). Likewise, Rizk, et al. (2004-b) mentioned that the widest row distance significantly gave the thickest stalks. El-Shafai and Ismail (2006) indicated that planting sugarcane in rows spaced at 80-cm apart attained a significant increase in cane stalk height, number of millable canes, cane and sugar yields/fed compared with 100 and 120 cm, while sucrose, sugar recovery percentages were insignificantly affected by row spacing. Bekheet, et al. (2011) showed that planting sugarcane varieties in rows spaced at 80-cm apart attained significant increase in cane stalk height, number of millable canes, cane yield/fed, brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed compared with those planted at 100 and 120 cm. However, they detected a significant increase in stalk diameter at 120 cm row spacing.

Crop density (number of drills of cane cuttings/furrow) could be one of the main environmental factors affecting sugarcane productivity and quality. El-Sogheir (1999) noticed that seed rate (1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 drill/furrow) affected significantly stalk length, stalk diameter, cane and sugar yield, while sucrose and purity percentages were insignificantly affected. Two rows of cane cutting exceeded the other two seed rate in stalk length, cane and sugar yields. Avtar (2000) planted sugarcane cv. Coj 84, at 50000 or 70000 three-budded setts and revealed that cane yield was significantly higher with dense planting. Garside, et al. (2002) grew sugarcane with two planting densities (27000 and 81000) and two row spacings (0.5 and 1.5 meters). They found that there was a trend for increased yields due to dense planting. Shahid, et al. (2002) found that sugarcane established at 62500 and 75000 double-budded setts/ha showed the highest cane (73.41 and 72.63 t/ha) and sugar (10.17 and 10.1 t/ha) yields, respectively. Ahmed (2003) studied the effect of three seed rate of 25200, 37800 and 50400 buds/fed (1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 drills of cane setts) sugarcane. He found that increasing seed rate increased number of millable cans/m² and cane and sugar yields. However, stalk length, stalk diameter and sugar recovery percentages were insignificantly affected by seed rate. El-Geddawy, et al. (2015) found that dual drilling of cane seed sets increased number of millable canes, cane and sugar yield.

Nitrogen is among the principal factors limiting yield of sugar cane production. Several investigators reported that cane yield was increased with increasing nitrogen level. Shafshak, et al. (2001) found that increasing N-level from 150 to 190 kg N/fed significantly increased stalk height and diameter.
Insignificant difference was detected in stalk height between 190 and 230 kg N/fed. Nitrogen level had insignificant effect on sucrose and reducing sugar content. Ahmed (2003) reported that the application of 240 kg N/fed gave the highest values of the number of millable canes/m², millable cane length, cane and sugar yields/fed. Tiwari, et al. (2004) tested the effect of three N levels given to sugarcane (100, 150 and 200 kg N/ha). They demonstrated that increasing N level increased number of tillers, millable canes, yield components and cane yield. Sucrose % was deteriorated when N level was further than 150 kg/ha. Azzazy, et al. (2005) supplied sugarcane with three N levels (180, 210 and 240 kg N/fed). They demonstrated that increasing N level up to 240 kg N/fed resulted in a significant increase in stalk height, stalk diameter and cane yield of the plant cane, but decreased sucrose and sugar recovery percentages. Sugar yield was insignificantly affected by N levels. Ismail, et al. (2008) found increasing N levels up to 252.5 kg N/fed significantly increased number of millable canes, cane and sugar yields/fed. Stalk length and quality traits were insignificantly affected by N levels. Mokadem, et al. (2008) revealed that increasing N levels attained a positive and significant effects on stalk height, millable canes/fed, cane yield/fed, sugar yield/fed and sugar recovery%.

The present investigation was carried out at Shandaweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate [26.5013° N, 31.7651° E] during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons. The experiment treatments were as follows:

1. Ridge width
   Three ridge widths were studied i.e. (80, 100 and 120 cm).

2. Seeding rates
   Two seeding rates, i.e. 37800 and 50400 buds/fed, the two seeding rates were obtained by planting 12600 and 16800 of three-budded seed sets/fed which were arranged in furrows as 1.5 and 2.0 rows/furrow, respectively.

3. Nitrogen fertilizer rates
   Three N rates were applied i.e. (180, 200 and 220 kg N/ha, in both seasons). Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of Urea, 46% N was added in two equal doses. The one was applied 50 days after planting, preceded with hoeing. The 2nd N-dose was added one month after the 1st one.

A split-split plot design with three replications was used, where ridge widths were allocated in the main plots, the sub plots were assigned for the two seed sets/fed, while the three N rates were distributed in the sub-sub plots. The sub-sub-plot area was 60 m² (including 15, 12 and 10 rows in case of spacing 80, 100 and 120 cm spacings, respectively and 5 m in length).

Sugarcane was sown as a plant cane in the first week of March using the promising variety G. 2003-49. Canes were harvested at age of 12 months. Soil mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental site showed that the upper 30 cm of the soil was clay loam (29.4% sand, 10.4% silt and 59.6% clay) which contained 34.0, 11.7 and 210 ppm available N, P, K, respectively, with a pH of 7.4

The recommended rates of P and K fertilizers were added during seed bed preparation at the rates of 30 kg P2O5 (as super phosphate, 15.5%) and 48 kg K2O (as potassium sulphate 48% K2O/fed, respectively. The other agricultural practices were done as recommended by Sugar Crops Research Institute.

**Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out at Shandaweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate [26.5013° N, 31.7651° E] during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons. The experiment treatments were as follows:

1. Ridge width
   Three ridge widths were studied i.e. (80, 100 and 120 cm).

2. Seeding rates
   Two seeding rates, i.e. 37800 and 50400 buds/fed, the two seeding rates were obtained by planting 12600 and 16800 of three-budded seed sets/fed which were arranged in furrows as 1.5 and 2.0 rows/furrow, respectively.

3. Nitrogen fertilizer rates
   Three N rates were applied i.e. (180, 200 and 220 kg N/ha, in both seasons). Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of Urea, 46% N was added in two equal doses. The one was applied 50 days after planting, preceded with hoeing. The 2nd N-dose was added one month after the 1st one.

A split-split plot design with three replications was used, where ridge widths were allocated in the main plots, the sub plots were assigned for the two seed sets/fed, while the three N rates were distributed in the sub-sub plots. The sub-sub-plot area was 60 m² (including 15, 12 and 10 rows in case of spacing 80, 100 and 120 cm spacings, respectively and 5 m in length).

The recommended rates of P and K fertilizers were added during seed bed preparation at the rates of 30 kg P2O5 (as super phosphate, 15.5%) and 48 kg K2O (as potassium sulphate 48% K2O/fed, respectively. The other agricultural practices were done as recommended by Sugar Crops Research Institute.

**Data recorded:**

At harvest, ten plants were randomly taken to determine the following characters

1. Stalk height (cm) 2. Stalk diameter (cm).

Plants of the guarded rows were harvested, topped and the following parameters were recorded:

3. Number of millable canes/fed,
4. Cane yield (ton/fed)
5. Sugar yield (ton/fed), which was estimated according to the following equation:

**Raw sugar production = cane yield (tons/fed) x sugar recovery %.

**A sample of 20 millable cane stalks was collected immediately after harvesting, cleaned and crushed to determine the following quality traits:**

6. Brix percentage (total soluble solids, TSS %) in juice was determined using Brix Hydrometer standardized at 20°C.
7. Sucrose/100 cm³ juice was determined using Sacharemeter according to A.O.A.C. (1995).

8. Sugar recovery percentage was calculated as follows:

**Sugar recovery % = richness % x purity %,**

**Where:**

**Richness = (sucrose in 100 x factor)/100.**

**Factor = 100- [fiber% + physical impurities% + percent water free from sugar].**

The collected data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1981). Treatment means were compared using LSD at 5% level.

**Results and Discussion**

1. Stalk height:

Data recorded in Table 1 show that increasing ridge width from 80 to 100 and to 120 cm led to a significant decrease in cane stalk height in both seasons. This result could be due to the intraspecific competition among cane plants for light in the dense planting, i.e. narrower ridge width. The results manifested that increasing ridge width to 100 and to 120 cm decreased stalk height by (7.94 and 13.51 cm) and (7.41 and 10.53 cm) in the first and second season, respectively, compared to sugarcane grown at 80
cm. These results are in consistence with those obtained by El-Shafai and Ismail (2006).

The results presented in Table 1 indicate that increasing planting materials from 1.5 to 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) increased stalk height in both seasons. The results pointed out that increasing planting material from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded/setts increased stalk height appreciably by 5.71 and 6.83 cm in the first and second seasons, respectively. These results may be due to the intraspecific competition among plants for solar radiation of dense planting. These findings coincide with those reported by Avtar (2000).

Data presented in Table 1 clear that increasing the applied N rate from 180, 200 to 220 kg N/fed led to a significant increase in stalk height. The increase in stalk height may be attributed to the role of nitrogen as an essential element in building-up plant organs and enhancing their growth. These results are in agreement with those reported by Ahmed (2003), Azzazy, et al. (2005) and Mokadem, et al. (2008).

All of the interactions among the three studied factors had insignificant influence on this traits in the second season, but the interaction between ridge widths and seeding rates was insignificant in the first season.

On the other hand, the interaction effect among ridge widths and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridges of 80 cm distance and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 321.77 cm, but the shortest stalks 287.73 cm was recorded with sowing plants on rows of 120 cm distance and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

Results tabulated in Table 1 indicated that increasing planting materials from 1.5 to 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) resulted in reduction of stalk diameter by 0.79 and 1.15% in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively. These results may be attributed to the highest competition between plants caused by dense sowing which led to producing thin stalks.

The interaction effect among seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 316.67 cm, but the shortest stalks 291.70 cm was recorded with sowing plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

The interaction effect among ridge widths, seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridge width of 80 cm, seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 323.48 cm, but the shortest stalks 280.91 cm was recorded with sowing plants on rows spacing of 120 cm by seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/Fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

2. Stalk diameter:

Data presented in Table 1 clear that increasing the applied N rate from 180, 200 to 220 kg N/fed led to a significant increase in stalk height. The increase in stalk height may be attributed to the role of nitrogen as an essential element in building-up plant organs and enhancing their growth. These results are in agreement with those reported by Ahmed (2003), Azzazy, et al. (2005) and Mokadem, et al. (2008).

All of the interactions among the three studied factors had insignificant influence on this traits in the second season, but the interaction between ridge widths and seeding rates was insignificant in the first season.

On the other hand, the interaction effect among ridge widths and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridges of 80 cm distance and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 321.77 cm, but the shortest stalks 287.73 cm was recorded with sowing plants on rows of 120 cm distance and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

Results tabulated in Table 1 indicated that increasing planting materials from 1.5 to 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) resulted in reduction of stalk diameter by 0.79 and 1.15% in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively. These results may be attributed to the highest competition between plants caused by dense sowing which led to producing thin stalks.

The interaction effect among seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 316.67 cm, but the shortest stalks 291.70 cm was recorded with sowing plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

The interaction effect among ridge widths, seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridge width of 80 cm, seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 323.48 cm, but the shortest stalks 280.91 cm was recorded with sowing plants on rows spacing of 120 cm by seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/Fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

Data presented in Table 1 clear that increasing the applied N rate from 180, 200 to 220 kg N/fed led to a significant increase in stalk height. The increase in stalk height may be attributed to the role of nitrogen as an essential element in building-up plant organs and enhancing their growth. These results are in agreement with those reported by Ahmed (2003), Azzazy, et al. (2005) and Mokadem, et al. (2008).

All of the interactions among the three studied factors had insignificant influence on this traits in the second season, but the interaction between ridge widths and seeding rates was insignificant in the first season.

On the other hand, the interaction effect among ridge widths and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridges of 80 cm distance and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 321.77 cm, but the shortest stalks 287.73 cm was recorded with sowing plants on rows of 120 cm distance and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

Results tabulated in Table 1 indicated that increasing planting materials from 1.5 to 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) resulted in reduction of stalk diameter by 0.79 and 1.15% in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively. These results may be attributed to the highest competition between plants caused by dense sowing which led to producing thin stalks.

The interaction effect among seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 316.67 cm, but the shortest stalks 291.70 cm was recorded with sowing plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.

The interaction effect among ridge widths, seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in 2015/2016 season. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridge width of 80 cm, seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/Fed. gave the tallest stalk 323.48 cm, but the shortest stalks 280.91 cm was recorded with sowing plants on rows spacing of 120 cm by seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/Fed. as compared with other treatments in 2015/2016 season.
The results showed that the applied N levels up to 200 and 220 kg N/fed caused a significant increase in stalk diameter in both seasons. This result may be attributed to the role of N element in building-up plant organs and enhancing plant growth. These results are in agreement with those reported by Ahmed (2003), Azzazy, et al. (2005) and Mokadem, et al. (2008).

All possible interactions had insignificant effect on stalk diameter, except the interaction between ridge width and seeding rate in both seasons.

However, planting sugar cane plants on rows of 120 cm distance and seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings (12600 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) produced the widest stalk 2.61 and 2.66 cm, on the contrary, planting sugar cane plants on rows of 80 cm distance and seeding rate of 2 rows of cane cuttings (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) produced the thin stalk 2.46 and 2.52 cm in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons respectively.

3. Number of millable canes/fed and cane yield/fed:

Data in Table 2 cleared that increasing ridge width from 80 up to 120 cm resulted in a significant reduction in the number of millable canes/fed by 4.53 and 4.79 % and can yield (ton/fed) by 5.32 and 4.45 % in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons respectively. These results could be due to the widening distance between rows to 120 cm decreased stalk height (Table 1) and number of millable canes/fed. Therefore can yield (ton/fed) decreased. These results are in agreement with those mentioned by El-Geddawy, et al. (2002-a) and Raskar and Bhoi (2003) and El-Shafai and Ismail (2006).

The result presented in Table 2 indicated that increasing planting materials from 1.5 to 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) increased in number of millable canes/fed by 3.90 and 3.00 thousand stalk/fed and can yield/fed by 2.47 and 2.35 ton in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons respectively.

Results recorded in Table 2 show clearly that there were significant increases (0.61 and 1.19) and (0.66 and 1.14) thousand in number millable canes/fed and cane yield (1.53 and 2.24) (1.27 and 1.92) ton/fed were obtained by supplying sugarcane with 200 kg N/fed and 220 kg N/fed compared with that recorded by applying 180 N/fed in the first and second season respectively. These results are probably due to the increase of both stalk height and diameter as N-level was raised (Table 1) which may be referred to the role of nitrogen as an essential element in building up plant organs. These results coincided with those given by Ismail, et al. (2008) and Mokadem, et al. (2008).

All the interactions had insignificant influence on number of millable canes/fed except that of ridge width x seeding rate, in both seasons. Planting sugar cane plants on ridge of 80 cm and seeding rate of 2 rows of cane cuttings (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) produced the highest number of millable canes 49.22 and 49.23 thousand/fed, on the contrary, planting sugar cane plants on ridges of 120 cm width and seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) produced the lowest number of millable canes 44.53 and 44.19 thousand/fed in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons respectively.

All possible interactions among the three studied factors had a significant influence on cane yield/fed in both seasons. Planting sugar cane plants on ridge widths of 100 cm and seeding rate of 2 rows of cane cuttings (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) produced the highest cane yield/fed 53.91 and 53.72 ton, on the contrary, planting sugar cane plants on ridges of 120 cm width and seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) produced the lowest cane yield/fed 48.83 and 49.11 ton in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons respectively.

Table 2. Average of number of millable cane (thousand/fed) and cane yield (ton/fed.) as influenced by ridge widths, seeding rate and nitrogen rates as well as their interactions in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ridge widths (cm) (A)</th>
<th>Seeding rates (row/ furrow)</th>
<th>No of Millable cane (thousand/fed.)</th>
<th>Cane yield (ton/fed.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Mean Nitrogen kg/fed. (C)</td>
<td>Mean Nitrogen kg/fed. (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>45.83</td>
<td>46.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>47.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>44.35</td>
<td>44.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>47.86</td>
<td>48.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>46.10</td>
<td>46.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>46.26</td>
<td>46.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>49.44</td>
<td>49.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>47.58</td>
<td>48.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of nitrogen</td>
<td>46.09</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>47.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD at 0.5 level for:

| Ridge widths (A) | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.31 |
| Seeding rates (B) | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.26 |
| Nitrogen rates (C) | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| (A) x (B) | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.52 |
| (A) x (C) | NS | NS | 0.33 | 0.37 |
| (B) x (C) | NS | NS | 0.34 | 0.31 |
| (A) x (B) x (C) | NS | NS | 0.52 | 0.49 |
The interaction effect among ridge widths and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on stalk height in both seasons. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridge of 100 cm width and received 220 kg N/fed. gave the highest cane yield/fed 54.15 and 53.81 ton, but the lowest cane yield/fed 49.63 and 49.53 ton as compared with other treatments in 20915/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively.

The interaction effect among seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on cane yield/fed in both seasons. Sowing sugar cane plants by seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/fed. gave the highest cane yield/fed 54.15 and 53.81 ton, but sowing plants by seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. gave the lowest cane yield/fed 49.63 and 49.53 ton as compared with other treatments in 20915/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively.

The interaction effect among ridge widths, seeding rates and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on cane yield/fed in both seasons. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridges of 100 cm width, seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/fed. gave the highest cane yield/fed 55.41 and 55.61 ton, the other hand, sowing plants on ridge width of 120 cm, seeding rate of 1.5 rows of cane cuttings and fertilized by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg N/fed. gave the lowest cane yield/fed 47.90 and 48.17 ton as compared with other treatments in 20915/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively.

### 5. Juice quality percentages and sugar yield/fed:

Data in Tables 3 and 4 show that increasing ridge width from 80 up to 100 cm caused a significant increase and gave the highest values of brix 22.45 and 22.90%, sucrose 21.49 and 21.70%, sugar recovery percentages 14.17 and 14.04% and sugar yield/fed 7.30 and 7.22 ton, while increasing ridge width from 100 up to 120 cm resulted in a significant reduction in the brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed, in both seasons. These results are in agreement with those mentioned by Sundara (2003), Ismail, et al. (2008) and Mokadem, et al. (2008).

The results in Table 3 and 4 indicate that increasing planting materials from 1.5 to 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (from 12600 to 16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) resulted in an appreciable increase in brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed, in both seasons. Nitrogen rates showed significant effects on brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed, in both seasons. Gradual increases in brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield ton/fed values were noticed as nitrogen rate increased from 180 up to 200 kg N/fed. Thereafter, the additional nitrogen increment was not accompanied by an increase in brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed, in both seasons. Similar results were observed by Mokadem, et al. (2008).

Brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield/fed were significantly affected by the interaction between ridge widths and seeding rates in both seasons. Planting sugar cane plants on ridges of 100 cm width and seeding rate of 2 rows of cane cuttings (16800 of three-budded cane cuttings/fed) gave the highest values of brix 22.52 and 22.9%, sucrose 21.55 and 21.77%, sugar recovery 14.20 and 14.07% and sugar yield/fed 7.66 and 7.56 ton compared to all other treatments in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively.

### Table 3. Average of brix and sucrose percentage as influenced by ridge widths, seeding and nitrogen rates as well as their interactions in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ridge widths (cm) (A)</th>
<th>Seeding rates (rows/ furrow) B</th>
<th>2015/2016 season</th>
<th>2016/2017 season</th>
<th>2015/2016 season</th>
<th>2016/2017 season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrogen kg/fed. (C)</td>
<td>Nitrogen kg/fed. (C)</td>
<td>Nitrogen kg/fed. (C)</td>
<td>Nitrogen kg/fed. (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>21.92</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>22.05</td>
<td>22.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>23.13</td>
<td>22.42</td>
<td>22.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>21.72</td>
<td>22.96</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>22.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Mean of nitrogen      |                                | 21.59 | 22.80 | 22.03 | 22.03 | 23.25 | 22.48 | 20.46 | 21.88 | 20.95 | 20.78 | 22.13 | 21.20 | 69  

LSD at 0.5 level for:

| Ridge widths (A)                  | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| Seeding rates (B)                 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Nitrogen rates (C)                | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.27 |
| (A) x (B)                         | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
| (A) x (C)                         | NS   | NS   | NS   | NS   |
| (B) x (C)                         | NS   | NS   | NS   | NS   |
| (A) x (B) x (C)                   | NS   | NS   | 0.02 | NS   |
Table 4. Average of sugar recovery and sugar yield (ton/fed.) as influenced by ridge widths, seeding and nitrogen rates as well as their interactions in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ridge widths (cm)</th>
<th>Seeding rates (row/ furrow)</th>
<th>Sugar recovery%</th>
<th>Sugar yield (ton/fed.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) (B) (C)</td>
<td>180 200 220 Mean 180 200 220 Mean 180 200 220 Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12.61 13.36 13.10 13.03 12.70 13.47 13.25 13.14 6.60 7.21 7.18 7.00 6.56 7.18 7.17 6.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.04 14.03 13.21 13.42 13.10 13.96 13.34 13.47 6.76 7.54 7.20 7.16 6.73 7.43 7.18 7.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brix, sucrose, sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield ton/fed were not significantly affected by the interaction between ridge widths x nitrogen rates and interaction between seeding rates x nitrogen rates in both seasons.

The 2nd order interaction among the three studied factors had a significant influence on sucrose % and sugar recovery % in first season as well as on sugar yield/fed in both seasons. Sowing sugar cane plants on ridges of 100 cm width, seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/fed gave the highest sugar yield/fed 7.79 and 7.82 ton as compared with other treatments in 20915/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons, respectively.

Generally, it could be recommended that sowing promising sugarcane variety G. 2003-49 on ridges of 100 cm width, seeding rate of 2.0 rows of cane cuttings (planting material 16800 of three-budded/setts) and received 220 kg N/fed gave the highest cane and sugar yield/fed. under s Sohag Governorate condition.
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